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In Northwest Syria, winter storms have the potential to generate devastating floods, which have a disproportionate effect on internally displaced persons (IDPs) living in camps and informal sites. Recent heavy rain and snowstorms between 18 and 31 January 2022 generated widespread flooding throughout northwest Syria, affecting an estimated 250,000 IDPs. More than 287 camps and sites throughout the governorates of Idleb and Aleppo were impacted by the floods, 10,000 tents have been destroyed or damaged, with many roads leading to the camps cut off by heavy rains. Thousands of households were forced to seek shelter in schools, mosques, and open spaces where winter temperatures dipped below freezing point.

Winter flooding within IDP camps and sites throughout Idleb and western Aleppo has been a recurrent problem for several years, the recent devastation suffered by vulnerable populations in these locations is tragically only the most recent example following many similar previous events in the past. In November 2016, camps in Maaret Tamsrin sub-district were impacted by flooding, which inundated tents and accessways, causing destruction of property and movement difficulties. In December 2018, another severe storm resulted in widespread flooding throughout Idleb and Aleppo, and damage to tents and property was reported in more than 60 camps. Again, in March 2019, heavy rainfall caused severe flash flooding in the region, damaging road infrastructure and destroying food stocks. In June 2020, heavy rain in Maaret Tamsrin sub-district caused severe flooding, resulting in the loss of three lives at least, as heavy rains turned IDP camps into 'lakes' and reportedly destroyed hundreds of shelters, putting sanitation facilities out of service.

IDPs are among the population groups most vulnerable to the impacts of disasters associated with natural hazards for a number of reasons. The primary reasons are linked to the locations and living conditions of the sites where IDPs live. IDP sites and settlements are frequently located on land that has traditionally been considered uninhabitable due to environmental factors such as steep terrain, rocky or arid ground, or land that is known to be prone to seasonal flooding. The close proximity of IDP camps and informal sites to flood susceptible locations increases the exposure of IDPs to flood hazards. IDPs in camps and informal sites often also live in densely populated environments, in shelters that are not designed to resist natural hazards. Both of these factors exacerbate the risks of natural hazards present for IDP populations. In addition to the immediate hazard flash floods present to people and property, poor drainage and persistent standing water in and around shelters can lead to numerous health and sanitation problems in camps and informal sites, extending the adverse effects of flooding beyond the event itself. Considering the current outbreak of COVID-19 and rising numbers of confirmed cases in northwest Syria, degraded sanitation conditions and overcrowding in camps and informal sites are of particular concern this winter.

Since the beginning of winter 2019, the number of IDPs living in Maaret Tamsrin sub-district alone has increased by more than 35% from 617,000 IDPs in November 2019 to 845,000 in August 2020, following an escalation in conflict in early 2020. As of July 2021, the number of IDPs in Maaret Tamsrin is 916,273. Increased migration to areas with already high IDP populations is likely to result in IDPs living in increasingly dense settings in locations considered less suitable for habitation and potentially more exposed to natural hazards like flooding.

To support the humanitarian response in Northwest Maaret Tamsrin, REACH conducted a flood hazard assessment to highlight shelters located within IDP sites that may be most susceptible to flood hazards. The assessment focuses on the catchment of Northwest Maaret Tamsrin sub-district, which includes Kafra Aruq Cluster, Harbanush Cluster, Maaret Elekhwan, Kafin, and Shek Bahr camp clusters, which have reportedly experienced flooding on multiple occasions since 2016.

Flooding in Sarmada camps | January 2021 | REACH field team
KEY FINDINGS

1,956

Estimated number of IDP shelters exposed to flash flooding with a modelled flood depth exceeding 200mm (16-hour design storm of 97.7mm with a peak rainfall intensity of 143.5 mm/hr)

897

Estimated number of IDP shelters exposed to a flood hazard (Modelled depth x velocity categorised as a medium hazard or more severe)

17  REACH | Satellite detected shelters/structures 24 August 2020
18  Flash flooding is generated by heavy rainfall over a short period of time. Flash floods are characterised as having relatively high peak discharges and short response times between rainfall and the onset of flooding, usually within 12 hours | World Meteorological Organization - No.577

19  A flood hazard is a product of both flood depth and flood velocity. This output utilises a flood hazard classification based on simplified D*V severity grid symbolisation categories published by the US Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) |

Guidance for Flood Risk Analysis and Mapping

Estimated number of IDP shelters exposed to flash flooding with a modelled flood depth exceeding 200mm (16-hour design storm of 97.7mm with a peak rainfall intensity of 143.5 mm/hr)

1,956

Estimated number of IDP shelters exposed to a flood hazard (Modelled depth x velocity categorised as a medium hazard or more severe)

897

Analysis Extent | Camp Cluster | # Shelters within modelled flood extents (>200mm depth) | # Shelters exposed to hazard (medium hazard or greater)
---|---|---|---
1 | Kafr Aruq Cluster | 314 | 8
2 | Kaftin Community | 129 | 48
3 | Maaret Elekhwan Community | 156 | 1
4 | Harbanush Cluster | 746 | 418
5 | Kafr Nabi Community | 102 | 56
6 | Shekh Bahr Cluster | 100 | 45
7 | Bhora Cluster | 112 | 87
8 | Taltuneh Community | 198 | 178
9 | Bir Al Tayeb Community | 30 | 29
10 | Others | 69 | 27
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CATCHMENT CHARACTERISTICS

Much of Maaret Tamsrin sub-district is composed of flat agricultural land, the Idlib plains to the east and the al-Rouj plains to the west. The sub-district is bounded to the north by the steep slopes of the Barisha and al-A’la mountain ranges, which extend to a maximum elevation of approximately 750m above sea level, and to the south by hills and mountains west of Idlib, which reach a maximum elevation of approximately 600m above sea level.

Maaret Tamsrin sub-district is split into two catchments along a dividing line that runs from north to south through the central plains via highpoints in the vicinity of Kelly and Maaret El Ekhwan communities. The Northwest catchment, which is the focus of this assessment, runs northwest from the Barisha mountain range through Kelly community reaches Kafir Nabi community and towards the Idlib plains. The west of the catchment is bounded by the ridgelines from Kafr Takharim sub-district and slopes eastwards from an elevation of approximately 750m above sea level down towards Shaikh Bahr community and the Idlib plains. The two ridges merge at an elevation approximately 320m above sea level at the middle of North-west Maaret Tamsrin Catchment. From there, the catchment continues east, exiting into Idleb sub-district from Murin community at an elevation of approximately 150m above sea level.

The extent of the Northwest Maaret Tamsrin catchment was delineated from a 2.5m resolution Digital Terrain Model (DTM) utilising System for Automated Geoscientific Analyses (SAGA) - hydrology and channel tools (fill sinks Wang & Liu; catchment area; channel network; watershed basins). The result of these processes is shown below.
RAPID FLOOD HAZARD ASSESMENT

A direct precipitation two-dimensional (2D) hydraulic model was built using HEC-RAS in order to provide insights on flash flooding in Northwest Maaret Tamsrin catchment during heavy rainfall events. This method of 2D flood modelling is often referred to as a Rapid Flood Hazard Assessment (RFHA).

A RFHA can provide a high-level understanding of flood hazards on a catchment wide scale - large enough to include all or most of the effects to the relevant area - and helps to identify flood-susceptible areas. The following section outlines the methodology utilised to obtain the flood extents and flood depth x velocity results presented in this output.

MODEL INPUTS - TERRAIN/2D FLOW AREA

The terrain utilised for the HEC-RAS analysis is a 2.5m resolution DTM built utilising satellite imagery acquired by the Advanced Land Observing Satellite of the Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency (JAXA). The raw surface model has been processed to remove anomalies and adjusted to account for the presence of trees and structures. The 2D flow area extents were defined in the HEC-RAS model environment and model computation points were generated at 10m intervals. Additional computation points were added along stream centrelines and along the stream banks of lower reaches to improve the resolution of the 2D flow area in these locations by enforcing breaklines. The 2D flow area contains a total of 1,912,922 cells and computation points.

MODEL INPUTS - LANDUSE AND ROUGHNESS PARAMETERS

Using a machine learning algorithm, Northwest Maaret Tamsrin catchment was delineated into 6 different landuse categories using satellite imagery as shown in the figure below. Each category was assigned a roughness coefficient (Manning’s n) which was subsequently used as an input parameter for the HEC-RAS model.

The Manning’s n values assigned to the different landuses are provided in the table below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Landuse Category</th>
<th>Manning’s n value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bare/ rocky areas</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olives orchard</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture areas</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forested areas</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bare/rocky areas</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban/ residential areas</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

20 For more information on the digital terrain model utilised for the model build refer to AW3D product details | https://www.aw3d.jp/en/products/standard/
21 Land cover classification method available at | https://github.com/victor-m-olsen/nature-food
22 Manning’s n values were based on reference tables for Manning’s n values for Channels, Closed Conduits Flowing Partially Full, and Corrugated Metal Pipes (Chow, 1959) | http://www.fsl.orst.edu/geowater/FX3/help/8_Hydraulic_Reference/Mannings_n_Tables.htm
METHODOLOGY

MODEL INPUTS - SOIL TYPE AND INFILTRATION LOSS PARAMETERS

The Soil Conservation Service (SCS) Curve Number method was used to estimate losses due to infiltration for different soil types and land uses. Data on the most probable soil types within the catchment was obtained from the International Soil Reference and Information Centre’s (SRIC) soilgrids information system.23

INFILTRATION CLASSIFICATION

The catchment was classified into different hydrologic soil groups based on the soil types above and assigned SCS curve numbers for each of the landuses defined in the previous section. Curve numbers were integrated into an infiltration layer file and used as an input in the HEC-RAS model.

The SCS curve numbers assigned to the different landuses and soil types are provided in the table below.24

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Landuse Category</th>
<th>Curve Number – type C soil</th>
<th>Curve Number – type D soil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Camp/ informal settlement</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban/ residential areas</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bare/ rocky areas</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture areas</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olives orchard</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forested areas</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

23 https://soilgrids.org/
A review of available regional precipitation data, rainfall gauge data, and remote sensing datasets was undertaken in order to define appropriate rainfall inputs for the hydraulic model. In the absence of rainfall gauge data or associated statistical analysis of rainfall within Northwest Syria, the precipitation data used as an input in the model was derived from an intensity duration frequency (IDF) analysis undertaken for the city of Gaziantep located in Turkey, approximately 116 km northeast of western Maaret Tamsrin. The precipitation input used in the model was derived from IDF curves using the alternating block method. Eleven storms with a 25-year return period ranging in duration from 5 minutes to 12 hours were combined to synthesise a hyetograph with a peak rainfall intensity of 143.5 mm/hr and a total storm depth of 51.7 mm.

Preliminary model runs highlighted a large volume of storage throughout the catchment, which greatly reduced peak flows downstream. A single 12 hour 25 year recurrence interval storm event did not contribute enough accumulated rainfall to exceed the storage capacity of the upper catchment and generate runoff. In an effort to model a “worst case scenario” event, the modelled precipitation inputs were modified to simulate the impact of a high intensity storm, arriving after considerable accumulated rainfall had saturated the upper catchment, limiting the volume of active storage capacity remaining at the time of the storm’s peak. This was achieved by adding an additional 4 hour 25 year recurrence interval storm to the end of the modelled precipitation input (shown below). The total depth of the modelled 16 hour storm is 97.7mm.

As a second source of rainfall estimates, NASA’s Global Precipitation Measurement (GPM) dataset was reviewed using Google Earth Engine in order to compare the synthesised design storm, with estimates of extreme rainfall inside Syria. Rainfall depths were extracted from the GPM dataset for dates of reported flooding in Northwest Syria. Between 1- 21 January 2021, several large storm events in Northwest Syria were identified, including one rainfall event on 16-18 January 2021, centred south of the study area in Darkosh, with an accumulated rainfall depth over 48 hours of 100mm. The majority of the storm’s depth (76mm) fell within a 24 hour period. This observed extreme rainfall event is comparable to the design storm in terms of the storm’s peak. This was achieved by adding an additional 4 hour 25 year recurrence interval storm to the end of the modelled precipitation input (shown below). The total depth of the modelled 16 hour storm is 97.7mm.

A normal depth boundary was applied along the southeast edge of the 2D flow area east of Murin community. The normal depth boundary was calculated based on a channel slope of 1.3%.

**Modelled precipitation input**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rainfall depth (mm)</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>1.0</th>
<th>2.0</th>
<th>3.0</th>
<th>4.0</th>
<th>5.0</th>
<th>6.0</th>
<th>7.0</th>
<th>8.0</th>
<th>9.0</th>
<th>10.0</th>
<th>11.0</th>
<th>12.0</th>
<th>13.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16-hour two storms period</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**UNSTEADY FLOW ANALYSIS PARAMETERS**

An unsteady flow analysis was run in HEC-RAS with a simulation time window of 36 hours. The additional 20 hours was added to the simulation at the end of the 16 hour precipitation input to ensure the receding limb of the runoff hydrograph was adequately captured despite the long lag time of the catchment. A computation timestep of 2 seconds was used for the simulation.

**HAZARD CLASSIFICATION**

The severity of a flood hazard is a product of both the flood depth and flood velocity. Studies undertaken around the world aimed at classifying modelled depth x velocity results into flood severity categories have focused on identifying hazardous floodplain conditions for people attempting to wade through floodwater, vehicles moving through floodwater, and buildings and structures located within the floodplain. The approach that has been adopted for this analysis, is the simplified approach presented in the US Federal Emergency Management Agency guidance on Flood Depth and Analysis Grids. The flood severity categories used to produce the hazard maps included in this output are provided below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flood severity category</th>
<th>Depth x Velocity Range (m²/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>&lt; 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>0.2 - 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>0.5 - 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very High</td>
<td>1.5 - 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extreme</td>
<td>&gt; 2.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LIMITATIONS**

A RFHA is a simplified modelling approach which is suitable for obtaining a ‘big picture’ perspective of flooding over an assessed area. It is not intended to provide precise flood depths and extents. The results presented in this output should be considered as estimates, to be confirmed and validated with subsequent analysis at the individual site level.

The following should be considered when viewing the results presented in this output:

- Hydraulic structures, such as bridges and culverts, piped drainage networks, irrigation canals, and open channels have not been included in the hydraulic model.
- Obstructions to flow such as buildings, parked vehicles, and vegetation are not included directly in the model. The effect of obstructions on the modelled flow regime are approximated by the selection of surface roughness parameters.
- The modelled terrain was not validated and may not reflect recent changes to the landform resulting from natural or engineered changes in stream morphology or cut and fill earthworks operations.
- The HEC-RAS hydraulic model is neither calibrated nor validated.
- Rainfall inputs are applied directly onto the 2D flow area as a single time series without spatial variability.

For questions or comments on the methodology, please contact: mena.reach@impact-initiatives.org
Shelters are estimated to lie within the modelled flood extents*, where flood depth exceeds 200mm.

Breakdown of number of shelters by ranges of flood extents

- ≤ 0.2m: 1,052
- 0.2 - 0.5m: 568
- 0.5 - 1.0m: 313
- 1.0 - 3.0m: 23
- >3.0m: 1,956

*Modelled flood extents calculated based on 25-year design storm derived from IDF curves for the city of Gaziantep, Turkey. Peak rainfall intensity is 143.5 mm/hr and the total storm depth is 97.7 mm over 16 hours.

Satellite imagery:
Open source, world imagery
Shelters are exposed to a flood hazard* categorised as a medium severity hazard or higher based on modelled depth x velocity.

Breakdown of number of shelters by the level of severity of flood hazards:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very High</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extreme</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Flood hazard classification based on simplified D*V severity grid symbolisation categories by FEMA: [Guidance for Flood Risk Analysis and Mapping](#).

Satellite imagery: Open source, world imagery.
Shelters are estimated to lie within the modelled flood extents*, where flood depth exceeds 200mm.

Breakdown of number of shelters by ranges of flood extents:

- 0.2 - 0.5m: 199
- 0.5 - 1.0m: 79
- 1.0 - 3.0m: 36
- >3.0m: 0

*Modelled flood extents calculated based on 25-year design storm derived from IDF curves for the city of Gaziantep, Turkey. Peak rainfall intensity is 143.5 mm/hr and the total storm depth is 97.7 mm over 16 hours.

Satellite imagery: WV01 from 26 February 2021

Copyright: © 2020 DigitalGlobe

Source: US Department of State, Humanitarian Information Unit, NextView license
Shelters are exposed to a flood hazard* categorised as a medium severity hazard or higher based on modelled depth x velocity.

Breakdown of number of shelters by the level of severity of flood hazards:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very High</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extreme</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Satellite imagery: WV01 from 26 February 2021
Copyright: © 2020 DigitalGlobe
Source: US Department of State, Humanitarian Information Unit, NextView license.
Shelters are estimated to lie within the modelled flood extents*, where flood depth exceeds 200mm.

*Modelled flood extents calculated based on 25-year design storm derived from IDF curves for the city of Gaziantep, Turkey. Peak rainfall intensity is 143.5 mm/hr and the total storm depth is 97.7 mm over 16 hours.

Satellite imagery:
WV01 from 26 February 2021
Copyright: © 2020 DigitalGlobe
Source: US Department of State, Humanitarian Information Unit, NextView license
Shelters are exposed to a flood hazard* categorised as a medium severity hazard or higher based on modelled depth x velocity.

Breakdown of number of shelters by the level of severity of flood hazards:

- **Medium**: 23 shelters
- **High**: 14 shelters
- **Very High**: 6 shelters
- **Extreme**: 5 shelters


Satellite imagery: WV01 from 26 February 2021

Copyright: © 2020 DigitalGlobe

Source: US Department of State, Humanitarian Information Unit, NextView license.
Shelters are estimated to lie within the modelled flood extents*, where flood depth exceeds 200mm.

Breakdown of number of shelters by ranges of flood extents:

- 0.2 - 0.5m: 135
- 0.5 - 1.0m: 18
- 1.0 - 3.0m: 5
- >3.0m: 0

*Modelled flood extents calculated based on 25-year design storm derived from IDF curves for the city of Gaziantep, Turkey. Peak rainfall intensity is 143.5 mm/hr and the total storm depth is 97.7 mm over 16 hours.

Satellite imagery: WV03 from 19 October 2020
Copyright: © 2020 DigitalGlobe
Source: US Department of State, Humanitarian Information Unit, NextView license
Shelters are exposed to a flood hazard* categorised as a medium severity hazard or higher based on modelled depth x velocity.

Breakdown of number of shelters by the level of severity of flood hazards:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flood Hazard Classification</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very High</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extreme</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Satellite imagery: WV03 from 19 October 2020
Copyright © 2020 DigitalGlobe
Source: US Department of State, Humanitarian Information Unit, NextView license.
Shelters are estimated to lie within the modelled flood extents*, where flood depth exceeds 200mm.

Breakdown of number of shelters by ranges of flood extents:

- 0.2 - 0.5m: 315
- 0.5 - 1.0m: 228
- 1.0 - 3.0m: 180
- >3.0m: 23

*Modelled flood extents calculated based on 25-year design storm derived from IDF curves for the city of Gaziantep, Turkey. Peak rainfall intensity is 143.5 mm/hr and the total storm depth is 97.7 mm over 16 hours.

Satellite imagery: WV03 from 19 October 2020
Copyright: © 2020 DigitalGlobe
Source: US Department of State, Humanitarian Information Unit, NextView license
Shelters are exposed to a flood hazard* categorised as a medium severity hazard or higher based on modelled depth x velocity.

Breakdown of number of shelters by the level of severity of flood hazards:

- **Medium**: 180
- **High**: 204
- **Very High**: 30
- **Extreme**: 4


Satellite imagery: WV03 from 19 October 2020

Copyright © 2020 DigitalGlobe

Source: US Department of State, Humanitarian Information Unit, NextView license.
Shelters are estimated to lie within the modelled flood extents*, where flood depth exceeds 200mm.

Breakdown of number of shelters by ranges of flood extents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modelled flood depth (m)</th>
<th>0.2 - 0.5m</th>
<th>0.5 - 1.0m</th>
<th>1.0 - 3.0m</th>
<th>&gt;3.0m</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Modelled flood extents calculated based on 25-year design storm derived from IDF curves for the city of Gaziantep, Turkey. Peak rainfall intensity is 143.5 mm/hr and the total storm depth is 97.7 mm over 16 hours.

Satellite imagery: P001 from 21 February 2021
Copyright: © 2020 DigitalGlobe
Source: US Department of State, Humanitarian Information Unit, NextView license
Shelters are exposed to a flood hazard* categorised as a medium severity hazard or higher based on modelled depth x velocity.

Breakdown of number of shelters by the level of severity of flood hazards:

- **Medium**: 22
- **High**: 10
- **Very High**: 12
- **Extreme**: 12

MODELLLED FLOOD DEPTH RESULTS - Shekh Bahr Cluster

Shelters are estimated to lie within the modelled flood extents*, where flood depth exceeds 200mm.

Breakdown of number of shelters by ranges of flood extents:

- 0.2 - 0.5m: 73 shelters
- 0.5 - 1.0m: 16 shelters
- 1.0 - 3.0m: 11 shelters
- > 3.0m: 0 shelters

*Modelled flood extents calculated based on 25-year design storm derived from IDF curves for the city of Gaziantep, Turkey. Peak rainfall intensity is 143.5 mm/hr and the total storm depth is 97.7 mm over 16 hours.

Satellite imagery: P001 from 21 February 2021
Copyright © 2020 DigitalGlobe
Source: US Department of State, Humanitarian Information Unit, NextView license
FLOOD HAZARD CLASSIFICATION - Sheikh Bahr Cluster

Shelters are exposed to a flood hazard* categorised as a medium severity hazard or higher based on modelled depth x velocity.

Breakdown of number of shelters by the level of severity of flood hazards:

- Medium: 26
- High: 12
- Very High: 2
- Extreme: 5

*Flood hazard classification based on simplified D*V severity grid symbolisation categories by FEMA.

Satellite imagery: P001 from 21 February 2021
Copyright: © 2020 DigitalGlobe
Source: US Department of State, Humanitarian Information Unit, NextView license.
MODELLED FLOOD DEPTH RESULTS - Bhora Cluster

Shelters are estimated to lie within the modelled flood extents*, where flood depth exceeds 200mm.

Breakdown of number of shelters by ranges of flood extents:

- 0.2 - 0.5m: 55
- 0.5 - 1.0m: 46
- 1.0 - 3.0m: 11
- >3.0m: 0

*Modelled flood extents calculated based on 25-year design storm derived from IDF curves for the city of Gaziantep, Turkey. Peak rainfall intensity is 143.5 mm/hr and the total storm depth is 97.7 mm over 16 hours.

Satellite imagery: P001 from 21 February 2021
Copyright: © 2020 DigitalGlobe
Source: US Department of State, Humanitarian Information Unit, NextView license
FLOOD HAZARD CLASSIFICATION - Bhora Cluster

Shelters are exposed to a flood hazard* categorised as a medium severity hazard or higher based on modelled depth x velocity.

Breakdown of number of shelters by the level of severity of flood hazards:

- Medium: 32
- High: 45
- Very High: 9
- Extreme: 1


Satellite imagery: P001 from 21 February 2021
Copyright: © 2020 DigitalGlobe
Source: US Department of State, Humanitarian Information Unit, NextView license
Shelters are estimated to lie within the modelled flood extents*, where flood depth exceeds 200mm.

Breakdown of number of shelters by ranges of flood extents

- 0.2 - 0.5m: 61
- 0.5 - 1.0m: 110
- 1.0 - 3.0m: 27
- >3.0m: 0

*Modelled flood extents calculated based on 25-year design storm derived from IDF curves for the city of Gaziantep, Turkey. Peak rainfall intensity is 143.5 mm/hr and the total storm depth is 97.7 mm over 16 hours.

Satellite imagery: P001 from 21 February 2021

Copyright: © 2020 DigitalGlobe

Source: US Department of State, Humanitarian Information Unit, NextView license
Shelters are exposed to a flood hazard* categorised as a medium severity hazard or higher based on modelled depth x velocity.

Breakdown of number of shelters by the level of severity of flood hazards:

- **Low**: 50
- **Medium**: 101
- **High**: 23
- **Very High**: 4
- **Extreme**: 4

---

FLOOD HAZARD CLASSIFICATION - Taltuneh Community

*Flood hazard classification based on simplified D*V severity grid symbolisation categories by FEMA: Guidance for Flood Risk Analysis and Mapping.*

Satellite imagery: P001 from 21 February 2021

Copyright: © 2020 DigitalGlobe

Source: US Department of State, Humanitarian Information Unit, NextView license
MODELLED FLOOD DEPTH RESULTS - Bir Al Tayeb Community

Shelters are estimated to lie within the modelled flood extents*, where flood depth exceeds 200mm.

Breakdown of number of shelters by ranges of flood extents

- Shelters flood depth > 0.2m
- Modelled flood depth (m)
  - ≤ 0.2
  - ≤ 0.5
  - ≤ 1
  - ≤ 2
  - ≤ 3
  - > 3

*Modelled flood extents calculated based on 25-year design storm derived from IDF curves for the city of Gaziantep, Turkey. Peak rainfall intensity is 143.5 mm/hr and the total storm depth is 97.7 mm over 16 hours.

Satellite imagery: P001 from 21 February 2021
Copyright: © 2020 DigitalGlobe
Source: US Department of State, Humanitarian Information Unit, NextView license
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Shelters are exposed to a flood hazard* categorised as a medium severity hazard or higher based on modelled depth x velocity.

Breakdown of number of shelters by the level of severity of flood hazards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very High</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extreme</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Satellite imagery: PG01 from 21 February 2021
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