# **Research Terms of Reference** Service Functionality and Damage Assessment

## UKR2213 Ukraine

April 2022 Version 1.0 REACH Informing more effective humanitarian action

## 1. Executive Summary

| Country of intervention                   | Ukraine  |  |     |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|-----|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Type of Emergency                         |  | Natural disaster X Conflict                  |     |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Type of Crisis                            | Х  | Sudden onset                                 |     | □ Slow onset X Protracted   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mandating<br>Body/Agency                  | GAC  | S, SDC                                       |     |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project Code                              | 97AUD, 97AUW   |  |     |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall<br>Research<br>Timeframe          | 01/0   | 01/04/2022 to 31/03/2023                     |     |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Research Timeframe                        | 1. Start collect data: 01/04/2022 5. Preliminary presentation: N/A   |  |     |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 2. Da  | ata collected: N/A                           |     | <ol> <li>Outputs sent for validation: weekly for the map /<br/>biweekly for factsheet</li> </ol>  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  | ata analysed: N/A                            |     | 7. Outputs published: weekly for the map / biweekly for factsheet   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  | ata sent for validation: N/A                 |     | 8. Final presentation: 15/03/2023   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of assessments                     | Single assessment (one cycle) in multiple geographies: conflict-affected areas in North, East, and South of Ukraine  |  |     |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| -   | Х  | Multi assessment (more than on               | есу |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Humanitaria<br>nmilestones                | Milestone  |  |     | Deadline  |  |  |  |  |  |
| nmiestones                                |  | Donor plan/strategy                          |     |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  | Inter-cluster plan/strategy                  |     |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  | Cluster plan/strategy                        |     |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  | NGO platform plan/strategy (3P Consortium)   |     |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | X  | Other (Specify): High-level dono<br>meetings | r   | ongoing   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Audience Type                             |  | ience type                                   |     | Dissemination   |  |  |  |  |  |
| &Dissemination                            | <b>X</b> Pr  | rategic<br>ogrammatic<br>perational          |     | <ul> <li>General Product Mailing (e.g., mail to NGO consortium; HCT participants; Donors)</li> <li>Cluster Mailing (DRR working group)</li> <li>X Presentation of findings</li> <li>Website Dissemination (Relief Web &amp; REACH Resource Centre)</li> <li>X Bilateral dissemination to local authorities</li> </ul> |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detailed<br>disseminationplan<br>required |  | Yes  |     | X No  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Objective                         | Inform humanitarian actors (specifically Shelter, WASH, and Health clusters) by promoting a better understanding of conflict's impact on the key infrastructure and highlighting the categories of this infrastructure with highest damage proportion given a specific conflict-affected settlement. |  |     |   |  |  |  |  |  |

|                           |                       |  |       |      |   | -           |      |                              |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|--|-------|------|---|-------------|------|------------------------------|
| Specific Objective(s)     |                       | Analyse damage to key infrastructure and key service providers (facilities) in urban settlements iffected by hostilities. <sup>1</sup>   |       |      |   |             |      |                              |
|                           | 2. 8                  | Summarize the analysed data at localized level for prioritized areas to communicate on the   |       |      |   |             |      |                              |
|                           |                       | actual / possible service disruptions in the area.   |       |      |   |             |      |                              |
|                           |                       | Connect assessment findings with local humanitarian needs and a capacity of humanitarian ctors.  |       |      |   |             |      |                              |
| <b>Research Questions</b> |                       | How has key infrastructure in urban settlements under ongoing conflict been impacted (key services                                       |       |      |   |             |      |                              |
|                           | ty                    | pe defined via cluster consultations)?   |       |      |   |             |      |                              |
|                           |                       | a. What healthcare and education facilities are damaged?   |       |      |   |             |      |                              |
|                           |                       | <ul><li>b. What utility network hubs/stations are damaged?</li><li>c. What transport hubs/stations and bridges are damaged?</li></ul>    |       |      |   |             |      |                              |
|                           |                       | <ul><li>c. What transport hubs/stations and bridges are damaged?</li><li>d. What logistics and industrial objects are damaged?</li></ul> |       |      |   |             |      |                              |
|                           |                       | e. What is damage to grocery stores and pharmacies?  |       |      |   |             |      |                              |
|                           | 2. V                  | Vhat are the features of revealed damage in specific areas?  |       |      |   |             |      |                              |
|                           |                       | a. What absolute and relative estimates of damage to infrastructure in specific areas?   |       |      |   |             |      |                              |
|                           |                       | b. What is damage density at settlement level in specific areas?   |       |      |   |             |      |                              |
|                           | 2 1                   | c. What spatial focus should be given by humanitarian actors in specific areas?  |       |      |   |             |      |                              |
|                           | 3. ⊦                  | low to connect revealed findings with needs and humanitarian actors?   |       |      |   |             |      |                              |
|                           |                       | a. What infrastructure category with revealed damage is the most threatening for a specific settlement?                                  |       |      |   |             |      |                              |
|                           |                       | b. What humanitarian actors and how can respond on the revealed damage estimates in  |       |      |   |             |      |                              |
|                           | specific settlement?  |  |       |      |   |             |      |                              |
| Geographic Coverage       |                       | ct affected urban settlements in   |       |      |   |             |      |                              |
| Secondary data sources    |                       | Street Map, OCHA for administr   |       |      |   |             |      |                              |
| Population(s)             |                       | Cover (2020), MAXAR (Geo-Eye<br>IDPs in camp   | 3, VV |      |   | - ·         |      | · · ·                        |
|                           | X                     |  |       |      |   |             |      |                              |
|                           |                       | IDPs in host communities     IDPs [Other, Specify]       Refugees in camp     I       Refugees in informal sites                         |       |      |   |             |      |                              |
|                           |                       | Refugees in host communities     Image: Communities       Refugees [Other, Specify]  |       |      |   |             |      |                              |
|                           | X                     | Host communities   | -     |      | X | Returnees   | ., e | p                            |
| Stratification            | X                     | Geographical #: 3  |       | Grou |   | :           |      | [Other Specify] #:           |
|                           |                       | Population size per strata is  |       |      |   | on size per |      | Population size per stratais |
|                           |                       | known? □ Yes X No  |       |      |   | known?      |      | known?                       |
|                           |                       |  |       | □ Ye |   |             |      | □ Yes □ No                   |
| Data collection tool(s)   | X                     | Secondary data review X Satellite remote sensing   |       |      |   |             | sing |                              |
| Data<br>management        | Х                     |  |       |      |   |             |      |                              |
| platform(s)               |                       | [Other, Specify]   |       |      |   |             |      |                              |
| Expected output type(s)   |                       | Situation overview #:  |       |      |   |             |      |                              |
|                           |                       | Presentation (Preliminary findings) #:   |       |      |   | X           |      |                              |
|                           |                       | Interactive dashboard #: _   |       | •    |   |             |      |                              |
| Access                    | X                     | Public (summary factsheets will be available on IMPACT resource center and other humanitarian platforms)                                 |       |      |   |             |      |                              |
|                           | X                     | Restricted (bilateral dissemination only upon agreed dissemination list, no publication on IMPACT  |       |      |   |             |      |                              |
|                           |                       | or other platforms for large-scale settlement-level maps with detailed specification of damaged  |       |      |   |             |      |                              |
| N/1 - 11-11/              | facilities locations) |  |       |      |   |             |      |                              |
| Visibility                | IMPA                  | CT, ACTED, UNOSAT  |       |      |   |             |      |                              |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Business facilities (trade, retail, catering), educational, healthcare facilities, industrial and logistic objects, cultural and entertainment, official and social infrastructure, transport and utilities infrastructure, hotels.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> One factsheet on damaged non-residential infrastructure summary for specific regions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> One map dedicated for a specific settlement per each week of assessment (accounting for image availability).

## 2. Rationale

### 2.1. Background info

The escalation of hostilities in Ukraine, on 24 February 2022, has led to a rapid expansion of conflict-affected areas in the East, North and South of Ukraine. Multiple settlements, including large cities with dense built-up cover, were severely damaged between the end of February – April. Additional threats arise from natural disasters for ecosystems located in conflicted-affected areas with cascading negative effects on environment and human well-being.

Numerous incidents of building damage were <u>reported for both living houses and infrastructure</u> in impacted settlements. While in the first week since the beginning of escalation direct locations and type of damaged objects were transparently revealed, currently such reports in media are forbidden due to security reasons. That led to uncertainty in the understanding of the extent of damage to economics, transport, healthcare, and educational infrastructure in the areas of both ongoing and stopped hostilities.

Remote sensing data is an efficient and safe tool to estimate the occurrence and severity of damage to infrastructure in affected settlements. While acquisition of 3D images by unmanned aerial vehicles (with possibility to visually examine all types of damage to buildings and structures) is rather impossible due to security concerns, high-resolution satellite imagery can be a relevant source of reliable data of conflict impact. Visual interpretation of conflict and post-conflict images in previously known locations of facilities and infrastructure can support a robust assessment of conflict impact on infrastructure in affected urban areas.

Close cooperation with UNOSAT on the analysis of high-resolution optical satellite imagery is crucial to derive robust estimates of the damage of various infrastructure types. These estimates will be an essential part of further assessments of conflict impact on markets, healthcare, educational system, transport connection, industry in affected regions.

### 2.2. Intended impact

This assessment aims to report locations where damage to key infrastructure and service providers (facilities) should be the highest priority for humanitarian actors and local authorities. Estimation of damage to non-residential infrastructure by categories and relative proportions to total infrastructure in region is aimed for indirect assessment of economical loss (e.g., for Area Based Assessments conducted by REACH), and for revision by humanitarian clusters (e.g., Health Cluster, WASH Cluster, Shelter Cluster). Additionally, translations of project products to Ukrainian are intended to inform local policy makers and authorities for more robust planning and management in conflict-affected areas.

## 3. Methodology

## 3.1. Methodology overview

To estimate conflict impact on the key infrastructure and facilities in urban settlements directly affected by ongoing hostilities, IMPACT will assess the visually detected damage using high-resolution optical satellite images in close cooperation with UNOSAT. UNOSAT initiative uses images provided by MAXAR company (World-View and Geo-Eye satellites with 50 cm spatial resolution) acquired during and after the conflict in several locations of Ukraine. Visual inspection of such scenes allows to detect most of the severe damage to buildings in urban settlements and is successfully applied for Rapid Damage Assessment (e.g., <u>Kharkiv; Sumy; Mariupol</u> maps) and Detailed Building Damage Assessment (e.g., <u>Irpin, Bucha</u> map).

Estimation of conflict impact on the infrastructural objects and facilities requires a visual check of possible damage on buildings which are assigned to previously known objects from a georeferenced database. We will prepare this data set of infrastructural objects using freely available sources. At first, layers prepared by OpenStreetMap (OSM) contributors will be used to extract a set of objects within urban settlements affected by conflict in the East, North and South of Ukraine. Where necessary, this data set will be expanded by adding missing data presented in Google Maps and media sources. A validation will be conducted to avoid the double presence of the same facilities and structures.

#### Service Functionality and Damage Assessment, April 2022

Georeferenced database of such objects will include two main categories: infrastructure and service providers, as well as business facilities. Data for the first category will be mainly extracted from the layers prepared by OSM contributors and will include: healthcare, education, social and administrative facilities. As well, it will include important transport hubs (such as railway stations), bridges, and locations of utility network objects (such as power substations). The second category of business facilities will rely also on a specific knowledge of local retail and food shop networks. Alongside with mapping the large industrial objects in conflict-affected areas, there will be an imputation of different shops (food, medicine, veterinary, clothes, etc.) and supermarkets as an important element of local economies.

It is intended that all objects from the prepared georeferenced database will be visually inspected in close cooperation with UNOSAT using high-resolution optical satellite imagery. These objects will be assigned to the one of four categories according to <u>UNOSAT methodology</u>: 'possible damage' (visible debris near building or indirect signs of damage on roofs or walls), 'moderate damage' (visible damage of walls and roofs), 'severe damage' (substantially damaged walls, destroyed roof), and 'destroyed' (only a few remnants of walls with no roof). Shops and other small facilities located on the ground floor of multi-apartment living houses will be assigned to the "visible damage" or "severe visible damage" categories according to the visual damage type of the whole building.

Although remote sensing satellite data cannot fully capture the damage caused by conflict hostilities, it illustrates general trends while field (ground or drone) surveys are restricted or impossible.

To analyze what are the features of revealed damage in specific areas, IMPACT will select specific areas within conflictaffected regions where several urban settlements are located close to each other and with rural settlements in vicinity can be considered as agglomerations. IMPACT will focus on North-West Kyiv suburb area, agglomerations of Chernihiv, Sumy, Kharkiv, Mykolaiv cities, and selected agglomerations in Dnipropetrovska, Donetska, and Luhanska oblasts. Absolute and relative estimates of damaged key infrastructure and facilities will be examined and reported in sharable form suitable for humanitarian actors, area-based assessments, and local authorities. Additionally, settlement-level (in form of summarized hexagonal grids, without direct mapping of damaged infrastructure due to security reasons) damage density hotspots will be mapped and presented to better inform spatial patterns of conflict impact and areas to prioritize the response.

To connect revealed findings of damage with humanitarian needs and humanitarian actors' capacity, IMPACT will communicate with humanitarian actors and local authorities through cluster platforms. Settlement-level maps with estimated damage to infrastructure will be shared bilaterally and agglomeration-level factsheets (for specific areas) will be shared publicly. Sharing of revealed damage data in geospatial (both SHP and KML extensions for users with different GIS capacity) and table formats by request will be essential part of efforts to incorporate research findings into humanitarian actors' workflow. Revealed findings are intended to be presented at humanitarian cluster meetings to better understand the gaps between the actual needs and response capacity.

### 3.2. Population of interest

The population of interest in this study includes host community members, IDPs and returnees in the urban settlements impacted by damage in response to the Russian invasion on February 24, 2022, in the East, North and South of Ukraine.

### 3.3. Secondary data review

Data provided by OSM contributors for the regions of interest directly affected by conflict escalation will be in a core of georeferenced data set of infrastructure (healthcare, social, education, transport) and business facilities (shops, supermarkets, large industry). Database will be manually enriched with data extracted from Google Maps and other freely available sources where geographical locations can be accurately referenced. This data set will be collected for the urban settlements with first priority given for urban settlements with large previously reported damage to buildings and where most of hostilities are rather stopped as for April 2022 (North of Ukraine). Then, all objects in georeferenced database will be visually inspected using provided World-View and Geo-Eye images for specific conflict-affected areas.

UNOSAT damage data will be used as main data source of damaged structures (key infrastructure and facilities) for the following analysis of non-residential infrastructure by categories.

Sentinel-1 and Sentinel-2 imagery are additional data sources to map land cover / land use and their changes. Locations of fire spots will be examined using FIRMS data of thermal anomalies. Data provided by OSM contributors and ESA WorldCover <u>www.reach-initiative.org</u> (2020) land cover / land use data set also will be used. These raster layers based on remote sensing data will be used to supplement the mapping and geospatial analysis if necessary.

Table 1. List of open data sources to be utilized

| Data source                   | Short description                      | Area                           | Available data and comment                         |  |  |
|-------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Sentinel-1                    | Remote sensing                         | Global                         | Radar imagery (10 m)                               |  |  |
| Sentinel-2                    | Remote sensing                         | Global                         | Multispectral imagery (10 m)                       |  |  |
| World-View and Geo-Eye        | Remote sensing                         | Specific locations of interest | RGB-composites of visible bands (50 cm)            |  |  |
| FIRMS                         | Remote sensing                         | Global                         | Thermal anomaly detection (500 m)                  |  |  |
| OCHA Settlement Boundaries    | Administrative boundaries              | Ukraine                        | Admin boundaries                                   |  |  |
| OSM buildings network         | Vector layer                           | Global                         | raw OSM  |  |  |
| OSM road, electricity network | Vector layer                           | Global                         | raw OSM  |  |  |
| OSM facilities                | Vector layer                           | Global                         | raw OSM  |  |  |
| Google Maps                   | Locations of facilities and structures | Global                         | Locations to impute into the main database         |  |  |
| ESA WorldCover 2020           | Raster layer                           | Global                         | Land cover / land use (10 m)                       |  |  |
| NHS, EDEBO databases          | Vector layer                           | Ukraine                        | Locations of healthcare and educational facilities |  |  |
| UNOSAT damage data            | Vector layer                           | Ukraine                        | Locations of damaged structures                    |  |  |

### 3.4. Primary Data Collection

No primary data collection will be conducted as part of this assessment.

## 3.5. Data Processing & Analysis

Georeferenced database of infrastructure and business facilities will be created (compiling the OSM and Google Maps data) and inspections for damage (visual checks using World-View and Geo-Eye satellite images) will be done rather manually. A database with unified infrastructure / facility type and damage category will be prepared in GIS software in vector formats.

Settlement-level maps (A0 format) will be produced with labeled detected damaged key infrastructure objects and facilities. Agglomeration-level factsheets (A3 format) will be produced to inform the wider audience and thus will not contain any sensitive information: damage estimates will be summarized within hexagonal grids.

Table 2. Summary of data processing and analysis.

| Section name   | Process/analysis  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Damage of infrastructure and facilities due to the conflict escalation | Manual inspection of georeferenced facilities and objects using World-View and Geo-Eye imagery in specific conflict-affected areas.         |  |  |  |  |
| Additional data for mapping  | Using medium-resolution (Sentinel-1, 2) remote sensing data, global land cover raster products, OSM data to supplement geospatial analysis. |  |  |  |  |

## 4. Roles and responsibilities

Table 3. Description of roles and responsibilities

| Task Description                        | Responsible   | Accountable                                     | Consulted  | Informed                   |
|---|---|---|--|----------------------------|
| Research Design                         | GIS/Database Ma<br>IMPACT HQ Res  |   | 3P Consortium partners,<br>GIS/Database Manager,<br>IMPACT HQ Research<br>Design & Data Unit<br>(RDDU) |                            |
| Secondary Data Review                   | GIS Specialist, Climate & Resilience Unit Lead                            | Climate & Resilience Unit<br>Lead               | Country Coordinator,<br>IMPACT HQ RDDU   |                            |
| 3 <sup>rd</sup> Party Data Requests     | GIS Specialist  | Climate & Resilience Unit<br>Lead               | Country Coordinator  |                            |
| Data Processing<br>(Checking, Cleaning) | GIS Specialist, GIS Officer   | Climate & Resilience Unit<br>Lead               | IMPACT HQ RDDU   |                            |
| Data Analysis                           | GIS Specialist, GIS Officer   | Climate & Resilience Unit<br>Lead               | IMPACT HQ RDDU   |                            |
| Map Production                          | GIS Specialist, GIS Officer   | Climate & Resilience Unit<br>Lead               | IMPACT HQ Reporting Unit<br>(GIS)  |                            |
| Factsheet Writing                       | GIS Specialist  | Climate & Resilience Unit<br>Lead               | IMPACT HQ Reporting Unit   |                            |
| Factsheet Design                        | GIS Specialist  | Climate & Resilience Unit<br>Lead               | Country Coordinator,<br>IMPACT HQ Reporting<br>Unit  |                            |
| Dissemination                           | GIS Specialist  | Country Coordinator                             | 3P Consortium partners   |                            |
| Monitoring & Evaluation                 | aluation GIS Specialist Country Coordinator IMPACT HQ Research Department |   |  | IMPACT Head of<br>Research |
| Lessons Learned                         | GIS Specialist  | GIS Specialist IMPACT HQ Research<br>Department |  | IMPACT Head of<br>Research |

**Responsible:** the person(s) who executes the task

**Accountable:** the person who validates the completion of the task and is accountable for the final output or milestone **Consulted:** the person(s) who must be consulted when the task is implemented **Informed:** the person(s) who need to be informed when the task is completed

# 5. Data Management Plan

Data Management Plan is available upon request.

Service Functionality and Damage Assessment, April 2022

| IMPACT Objective  | External M&E Indicator  | Internal M&E Indicator   | Focal point              | Tool  | Will indicator be tracked?        |
|---|---|--|--------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| Humanitarian stakeholders<br>are accessing IMPACT<br>products                                   |   | # of downloads of x product from Resource Centre   | Country<br>request to HQ |   |                                   |
|   | Number of humanitarian  | # of downloads of x product from Relief Web  | Country<br>request to HQ |   |                                   |
|   | organizations accessing IMPACT<br>services/products                         | # of downloads of x product from Country level platforms   | Country team             |   |                                   |
|   | Number of individuals accessing   | # of page clicks on x product from REACH global newsletter   | Country<br>request to HQ |   | no                                |
|   | IMPACT services/products  | # of page clicks on x product from country newsletter, sendingBlue, bit.ly   | Country team             |   |                                   |
|   |   | # of visits to x webmap/x dashboard  | Country<br>request to HQ |   |                                   |
| IMPACT activities<br>contribute to better<br>program implementation<br>and coordination of the  | Number of humanitarian<br>organisations utilizing IMPACT                    | # references in HPC documents (HNO, SRP, Flash appeals, Cluster/sector strategies)                                     | Country team             | Reference_log                                     | DRR Working Group<br>publications |
| humanitarian response   | services/products   | # references in single agency documents  |                          |   |                                   |
|   | Humanitarian actors use IMPACT  | Perceived relevance of IMPACT country-programs   |                          | Usage_Feedback<br>and<br>Usage_Survey<br>template | no                                |
|   | evidence/products as a basis for decision making, aid planning and delivery | Perceived usefulness and influence of IMPACT outputs   |                          |   |                                   |
| Humanitarian stakeholders   |   | Recommendations to strengthen IMPACT programs  |                          |   |                                   |
| are using IMPACT products   | Number of humanitarian<br>documents (HNO, HRP,                              | Perceived capacity of IMPACT staff   | Country team             |   |                                   |
|   | cluster/agency strategic plans,<br>etc.) directly informed by IMPACT        | Perceived quality of outputs/programs  |                          |   |                                   |
|   | products  | Recommendations to strengthen IMPACT programs  |                          |   |                                   |
| Humanitarian stakeholders<br>are engaged in IMPACT<br>programs throughout the<br>research cycle | Number and/or percentage of<br>humanitarian organizations                   | # of organizations providing resources (i.e. Staff, vehicles, meeting space, budget, etc.) for activity implementation |                          | Engagement_log                                    | □ Yes                             |
|   | directly contributing to IMPACT programs (providing resources,              | # of organizations /clusters inputting in research design and joint analysis   | Country team             |   | X Yes                             |
|   | participating to presentations,<br>etc.)                                    | # of organizations /clusters attending briefings on findings;  |                          |   | X Yes                             |

# 6. Monitoring & Evaluation Plan