Camp 15 Ukhiya, Cox's Bazar, Bangladesh

July 2018 and January 2019 comparison


Background and Methodology

An estimated 738,000 Rohingya refugees have fled violence in Myanmar's Rakhine state since August 25, 2017¹. Most of the refugees rely on humanitarian assistance, having left with few possessions and exhausted their financial resources on the journey. The cyclone and monsoon seasons, stretching from May to October, further threatened the living conditions of the vast majority of refugees who are living in makeshift shelters and settlements highly vulnerable to floods and landsides.

To understand the evolving priority needs of the refugees, and to understand change over time, this Multi-Sector Needs Assessment (MSNA), coordinated by the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) and with technical support from REACH, was conducted in 33 refugee sites using a household survey methodology. It is a follow up assessment to the baseline MSNA conducted in July 2018.


Results of this MSNA are generalizable to the camp level with 95% confidence level and 10% margin of error. This factsheet presents an analysis of data collected in Camp 15 where 115 households were surveyed between 8 and 26 January 2019. Support for questionnaire translation and enumerator language training was provided by Translators Without Borders.

January 2019 data is presented in red, and July 2018 data is presented in beige.



The UN Refugee Agency

M Demographics³



3. January 2019 data only



July 2018 and January 2019 comparison


Protection

Camp 15

% of households reporting safety concerns (read: *fears*) for boys and girls (aged under $18)^{4,5,6}$

-	-		å B(oys		
Jan 2019				Jys		Jul 2018
80%	Kidnaj	oping	0	Kidna	pping	69%
44%	Violence comm		2	Armed recrui		58%
44%	Deter	ntion	B	Violence comm		40%
			🛉 Gi	rls		
54%	Sexual v	iolence	0	Kidna	pping	61%
51%	Kidna	oping	2	Sexual \	violence	51%
27%	Deter	ntion	8	Detention		32%
Ja	in 2019				Jul 20	18
C	7%	least on hazardo days prio	e child in us labour or to data	porting at volved in in the 30 collection, h children	1%	0
0	79%	presenc	e of a yo	oorting the uth group during the	90%	0
% of children reported to be attending activities at an						

% of children reported to be attending activities at an NGO-run safe space for children in the 7 days prior to data collection⁸



% of females reported to be attending activities at an NGO-run space where only women and girls are allowed in the 7 days prior to data collection⁹



% of households reporting areas in the camp where men, women, boys, and girls do not feel $safe^{4,10}$

Jan 2019		İ N	len	Jul 2018
43%	No area unsafe	Ū	No area unsafe	68%
31%	Firewood collection sites	2	Do not know	13%
26%	Market	В	Latrines	8%
		🛉 v	Vomen	
63%	Latrines	0	Latrines	58%
43%	Bathing areas	2	Bathing areas	51%
37%	Water points	В	Water points	33%
		ή E	Boys	
42%	Market	0	Market	48%
39%	Latrines	2	Latrines	38%
32%	Distribution points	в	Water points	27%
		Å G	Birls	
68%	Latrines	0	Latrines	72%
52%	Bathing areas	2	Bathing areas	70%
43%	Water points	B	Water points	56%

4. Respondents could select multiple options

5. Three most common safety concerns for boys and girls are shown

6. These results are based on the respondent's subjective perception of risks in the camp, and not the actual number of security incidents

7. In this assessment hazardous labour was defined as: working with heavy machinery or lifting heavy objects, exposure to harmful chemicals, exposure to extreme heat (eg. furnace, bakery), working more than 40 hours per week, working at night (between 8 pm and 6 am), and using sharp objects

8. Respondents were asked to report information for each child in their household. This indicator shows the proportion of all individual children reported


 Respondents were asked to report information for each woman in their household. This indicator shows the proportion of all individual women reported

10. Three most common areas where men, women, boys and girls do not feel safe are shown



Camp 15

July 2018 and January 2019 comparison



An initiative of IMPACT Initiatives

ACTED and UNOSAT

REACH

Camp 15

July 2018 and January 2019 comparison

% of households reporting challenges in accessing NGO run clinics since arriving in the camp^{15,16}

			Jul 2018
No challenge	0	No challenge	45%
Supplies unavailable	2	Supplies unavailable	31%
Treatment unavailable	ß	Treatment unavailable	23%
Clinic too far	4	Poor staff behaviour	17%
Crowded	6	Clinic too far	15%
	Supplies unavailable Treatment unavailable Clinic too far	Supplies unavailable 2 Treatment unavailable 3 Clinic too far 4	Supplies unavailable 2 Supplies unavailable Treatment unavailable 3 Treatment unavailable Clinic too far 4 Poor staff behaviour

Food Security




of households reporting possession of a ration card



Jul 2018

% of households reporting receiving food assistance in the 30 days prior to data collection, by source¹⁵




+30 min

19%

vailable 23%

Education




% of children reported to be attending NGO-run learning

Girls

centres in the 7 days prior to data collection¹⁷

Boys

% of children reported to be attending Madrassas in the 7 days prior to data collection¹⁷



Shelter & Non-Food Items



of households reporting sharing their shelter with other households



% of households reporting destruction or damage of their shelter in the 30 days prior to data collection

Jan 2019		Jul 2018
11%	Roof damaged	2%
3%	Roof destroyed	0%
5%	Wall damaged	1%
5%	Wall destroyed	0%

REACH An initiative of IMPACT Initiatives ACTED and UNOSAT

0% Do not know 0% 15. Respondents could select multiple options 16. Five most common health care access challenges are shown 17. Respondents were asked to report information for each child in their household. This indicator shows the proportion of all individual children reported

The UN Refugee Agency

13%

July 2018 and January 2019 comparison

% of households reporting using different materials for the frame and walls of the household shelter¹⁸


Camp 15

Bamboo frame with external mud walls	<mark>1%</mark> 0%
Bamboo frame with bamboo matting walls	68% 57%
Bamboo frame with lattice walls covered in plastic sheeting	39% 43%
Bamboo frame with combination of bamboo lattice and mud walls	4% ∎ 0%
Bricks and cement	<mark>0%</mark> 0%
Other	<mark>0%</mark> 0%
J an 2019	Jul 2018


% of households reporting using different materials for the roof of the household shelter^{18}

Tarpaulin	100% 100%	
Bamboo	90% 96%	
Sheet metal	<mark>0%</mark> 0%	
Bricks and cement	<mark>0%</mark> 0%	
Other	<mark>0%</mark> 0%	
	■ Jan 2019 ■ Jul 2018	


% of shelters with windows, by number of openings



% of shelters with ventilation mesh, by number of openings



% of households reporting ownership of the following non-food items at the time of data collection



% of households reporting most urgently needed non-food items^{19,20}

days prior to data collection

Jan 2019				Jul 2018
57%	Solar lamp	0	Fuel	79%
57%	Cooking stove	2	Solar lamp	59%
42%	Fuel	3	Cooking stove	56%
40%	Kitchen set	4	Kitchen set	47%
30%	Blanket	6	Portable lamp	19%
22%	Floor mat	6	Umbrella	14%
14%	Clothes - Children	7	Clothes - Children	9%

18. Respondents could select multiple options

19. Respondents could select up to 3 options

20. Seven most common non-food item needs are shown



Camp 15 July 2018 and January 2019 comparison

Site Management Jan 2019 Jul 2018 of households reporting being aware of the role 60% 71% of Cyclone Preparedness Program volunteers²¹ % of households reporting steps they would take to prepare for an approaching cyclone^{22,23} Jan 2019 Jul 2018 Secure shelter 83% 88% Secure shelter Secure valuables 50% 61% 2 Stay in shelter Evacuate shelter 43% R 43% Evacuate shelter **Communication with Communities** Jan 2019 Jul 2018 of households reporting being aware of a complaint/ 62% 70% feedback mechanism within their camp % of households reporting use of a complaint/feedback mechanism in the 30 days prior to data collection^{22,24} Jan 2019 Jul 2018 None used 87% 59% None used П Mahji 41% Mahji 12% 2 Community leader 4% B 0% Complaint box Jan 2019 Jul 2018 of households reporting receiving a response to their complaint/ 100% feedback, of households that reported using a mechanism


21. CPP is a joint community-based disaster risk reduction initiative of the Government of Bangladesh and the Bangladesh Red Crescent Society. It trains volunteers to deliver cyclone warnings to communities, assist with shelter and rescue, and provide medical attention 22. Respondents could select multiple answers

- 23. Three most common steps for cyclone preparedness are shown
- 24. Three most common complaint mechanisms used are shown
- 25. Three most common barriers to accessing complaint mechanisms are shown
- 26. Income from employment, remittances, cash assistance and new debts
- 27. 1 USD = 83.4 BDT (as of 28 January 2019). Source: www.xe.com

% of households reporting barriers to using complaint/feedback mechanisms $^{\rm 22,25}$

Jan 2019					Jul 2018
	No barriers	83%	0	73%	No barriers
	Unaware of mechanisms	6%	2	25%	Unaware of mechanisms
	Do not know	5%	B	1%	Community pressure to not use

% of households reporting use of information channels in the 7 days prior to data collection²²



🧕 Livelihoods



of households reporting at least one member working to earn an income in the 30 days prior to data collection Jul 2018

% of individuals reported to be working to earn an income in the 30 days prior to data collection

Men	İ	27% 47%	Women	Ť	2% 3%
Boys (<18 yrs)	ħ	<mark>8%</mark> 3%	Girls (<18 yrs)	Ť	<mark>0%</mark> 1%
		Jan 2019	Jul 2018		
Jan 2019 1,000		Median housel Bangladeshi T			Jul 2018 1,750

UNHCR The UN Refugee Agency

Camp 15

July 2018 and January 2019 comparison

% of households reporting income from different sources in the 30 days prior to data collection²⁸

Work	35% 53%
Remittances	0% 4%
Cash assistance	3% 3% ∎
New debts	39% 21%
Ian 2	2019 🔲 Jul 2018

% of households reporting income from different types of employment in the 30 days prior to data collection^{28, 29}

Jan 2019				Jul 2018
13%	Construction / Manual labour	0	Restaurant	18%
10%	NGO volunteer	2	Construction / Manual labour	14%
4%	Domestic work	B	NGO volunteer	10%
4%	Restaurant	4	Other	6%
3%	Small business trader	6	Agriculture or livestock	3%
Jan 201	9			Jul 2018
C	of househo least one ind in work paid for work") in to data	dividu by an the 3	ial engaging NGO ("cash 14% 80 days prior	0
	69% taking on r	new d	reporting debts since 51% ngladesh	0
Jan 201 7,00	Median househo	ld de a (BE	bt in Bangladeshi	Jul 2018 2,500
	lents could select multiple answ t common employment sectors		own	

30. Only households reporting that an individual had worked Construction / Manual labour in the 30 days prior to data collection were asked if this work was 'Cash for Work'. The finding is a proportion of the entire camp population

31. 1 USD = 84.1 BDT (as of 5 March 2019). Source: www.xe.com

32. Data from only January 2019 presented, as WASH indicators were not included in the July 2018 MSNA

33. Top three sources of drinking water are shown

34. Top three locations for defecation shown

Water Sanitation and Hygiene³²

% of households reporting main source of drinking water³³

0	Tubewell / Borehole	80%	
2	Tapstand	14%	
B	Water tank	6%	•



of households reporting witnessing someone treating water with chlorine the last time they were at a water point, of households using tubewells / boreholes as a main source of drinking water



Average litres of potable water collected per person per day for each household

Water collection - % of households reporting:

at least 3L of drinking water per person per day	at least 10L of protected water storage capacity per person	at least 15L of water for all domestic uses per person per day
93%	30%	75%

% of households reporting where households members usually go to defecate^{28, 34}

0	Communal latrine	82%	
2	Household latrine	18%	-
B	Open defecation	1%	I

of households reporting having soap in their 58% shelter

% of households reporting the most common method of solid waste disposal



37%	Designated waste pit
30%	Undesignated waste pit
28%	Stream or water source
3%	Burn it
2%	Bury it

- 0% Other
- 0% Do not know

REACH An initiative of IMPACT Initiatives ACTED and UNOSAT

UN Refugee Agency