

Camp Profile: Menbij East Old Aleppo governorate, Syria

October 2019

2.435¹

416¹

443¹

April 2017

0.06 km²

Summary

This profile provides an overview of conditions in Menbij East Old settlement. Primary data was collected through household surveys between 30 September and 1 October, prior to military escalation in northeast Syria starting on 9 October. Households were randomly sampled to a 95% confidence level and 10% margin of error, based on population figures provided by camp management. In some cases, further additional information from camp managers has been used to support findings.

Menbij East Old is one of two large camps in the countryside outside Menbij City. At the time of data collection, the camp was managed by local authorities, and administered by local authorities.

Demographics

1 Men

1%

18%

22%

9%



Women *****

0%

20%

21%

8%



Camp Map

First arrivals:


Camp area:

Camp Overview

Number of shelters:

Number of individuals:

Number of households:



60+

18-59

5-17

0-4

Sectoral	Minimum Standards		Curr	ent round	Previous rour	nd (July 2019)
SECIUIAI	WIIIIIIIIIII Stallualus	Target	Result	Achievement	Result	Change
Shelter	Average number of individuals per shelter Average covered area per person Average camp area per person	max 4.6 min 3.5m ² min 35m ²	5.5 3.8m ² 25m ²	•	5.2 3.4m² 24m²	
Health	% of 0 - 5 year olds who have received polio vaccinations Presence of health services within the camp	100% Yes	63% Yes	•	63% Yes	
Protection	% of households reporting safety/security issues in past two weeks	0%	58%	•	81%	\bullet
Food	$\%$ of households receiving assistance in 30 days prior to data collection $\%$ of households with acceptable food consumption score (FCS)^2	100% 100%	92% 54%	•	99% 84%	•
Education	% of children aged 6-11 accessing education services % of children aged 12-17 accessing education services	100% 100%	85% 28%	•	69% 23%	
WASH	Persons per latrine Persons per shower Frequency of solid waste disposal	max. 20 max. 20 min. twice weekly	25 122 Weekly		34 117 Daily	

Targets based on Sphere and humanitarian minimum standards specific to northeast Syria.
Minimum standard reached
More than 50% minimum standard reached
Less than 50% of minimum standard reached
Number of individuals, households, and shelters reported by camp management.

2. FCS measures households' current status of food consumption based on the number of days per week a household is able to eat items from nine standard food groups, weighted for their nutritional value.







A→ MOVEMENT

Top three household origins (out of all camp residents):

Country	Governorate	Sub-district	
Syria	Aleppo	Maskana	93%
Syria	Aleppo	Dayr Hafir	5%
Syria	Idleb	Ma'arrat An Nu'man	1%

Movements in the 30 days prior to the assessment:



Households planning to leave the camp:

0% 0% 0% 100%	0
100%	
	0% 0%

On average, households in the camp had been displaced **twice** before arriving to this camp and **87%** of households in the camp had been displaced longer than one year.

100% of households were planning to stay in the camp.

It was unknown whether households received any information on returning to their area of origin from the camp management / administration.

PROTECTION

Protection issues



76% of households in the camp reported being aware of safety and security issues in the camp, during the two weeks prior to data collection.

The most commonly reported issues were:

- Disputes between residents (84%)
- Theft (30%)
- Movement restrictions (11%)

3% of households reported at least one member suffering from **psychosocial distress**.³ **13%** of households with children aged 3-17 reported that at least one child had exhibited **changes in behaviour**⁴ in the two weeks prior to data collection.

Freedom of movement



47% of households who needed to leave the camp temporarily for **medical emergencies** in the two weeks prior to data collection reported that they had been able to do so.

Households reporting that they were able to leave for nonemergency purposes in the two weeks prior to data collection:

42%

58%

Yes

No



Most commonly reported barriers:

- Site departure conditions needs approval (83%)
 - Insufficient transport (37%)

Gender-based violence

Households reporting the presence of gender-based protection issues within the camp (in the two weeks prior to data collection):



Most commonly reported issues:

- Early marriage (women below 16 years old) (96%)
- Restrictions on women and girls accessing services (18%)

Child protection

Households reporting the presence of child protection issues within the camp (in the two weeks prior to data collection):



Most commonly reported issues:

- Child labour (94%)
- Early marriage (below 16 years old) (89%)

Documentation



57% of households reported that all married individuals in the household are in possession of their **marriage certificate**. The main reason why married individuals were not in possession of their marriage certificate was **certificate services were unavailable**.

27% of children under five years old reportedly have **birth registration documentation**.

Vulnerable groups

Proportion of total assessed population in vulnerable groups:5

Children at risk ⁶	0.00%	People with psychosocial needs	0.6%
Elderly at risk ⁶	20%	Single parents/caregivers	0.6%
Persons with disabilities	1.3%	Pregnant/lactating women ⁶	24.6%
Chronically ill persons	2.7%	In female-headed households	8.6%

3. As reported by households themselves. Assessed symptoms included: persistent headaches, sleeplessness, and more aggressive behaviour than normal towards children or other household members.

4. As reported by households themselves. Changes in sleeping patterns, interactions with peers, attentiveness, or interest in other daily activities.
5. Self-reported by households and not verified through medical records. Children at risk are persons under 18 who are parents, separated from their immediate family, or not attending school, and persons under 16 who are married or working. Elderly people at risk are persons over the age of 65 who cannot take care of themselves or who are solely responsible for children under 18 or others who are not take care of themselves.







EDUCATION



At the time of data collection, there was 1 educational facility in the camp.

Age groups:	Unknown
Service providers:	Camp management, UN agencies
Curricula on offer:	Unknown
Certification available:	No

Availability of WASH facilities in educational facilities

Gender-segregated latrines:	No data
💪 Handwashing facilities:	In no schools
Safe drinking water:	In all schools

HATER, SANITATION AND HYGIENE (WASH)

Water



Public tap/standpipe was the primary source of water in the camp at the time of data collection. However, no data was available on the drinking water supplier or whether water was treated prior to distribution.

1% of households reported they spent at least two consecutive days without access to drinking water the in the two weeks prior to data collection.

100% of households reported using a public tap/standpipe to access

Drinking water issues in the two week prior to data collection, by % of households reporting:



No issues 64% Water tasted/smelled/looked bad People got sick after drinking 17% Not sure 0%

1% of households reported that they treated their drinking water.

Households using negative strategies to cope with a lack of water in the two weeks prior to data collection:



Most commonly reported strategies:

- Modify hygiene practices (bathe less, etc.) (83%)
- Rely on drinking water stored previously (54%)

43% of individuals reported having suffered from diarrhoea in the two weeks prior to data collection, with 23% suffering from respiratory illnesses and 28% from skin diseases.7

Waste disposal



Primary waste disposal system: Communal garbage bin **Disposal location:** Official landfill

Sewage system: Septic tank, emptied monthly

96% of households reported that solid waste was collected more than once per week.

7. In the two weeks prior to data collection, self-verified by household and not verified through medical records. 8. The assessed hygiene items included: soap, sanitary pads, disposable diapers, washing powder, jerry cans/buckets, toothbrushes (for adults and children), toothpaste (for adults and children), shampoo (for adults and babies), cleaning liquid (for house), detergent for dishes, plastic garbage bags, washing lines, nail clippers, combs, and towels. Communal latrines and showers are shared by more than one household. Household latrines and showers are used only by one household. This may be an informal designation that is not officially enforced.

10 Excluding households who selected not sure

Attendance

Ť	50%	Girls	Age	Boys	45%	Ť
0%	10%		3-5		7%	0%
0%	88%		6-11		81%	0%
0%	38%		12-14		55%	0%
0%	0%		15-17	1 C	5%	0%

Inside camp Outside camp

The proportion of children aged 6-11 who attended school increased from 69% in May 2019 to 85% at the time of data collection.

Barriers to education: of the 26% of households with children aged 3-17 who reported that none of them went to school, 100% reported that they faced barriers to education. The most commonly reported barriers were:

- Child does not want to attend (50%)
- No education for children of a certain age (25%)
- Newly arrived to camp (13%)

Sanitation



0% of households reported practicing open defecation as main practice.

7% of households reported that some members could not access latrines, with boys (0-17) being most frequent (5% of households).

Communal latrine characteristics, by % of households reporting:10

Segregated by gender				0%	0%	100%
Lockable from inside				6%	34%	61%
Functioning lighting				26%	34%	40%
Privacy wall				20%	3%	76%
•	None	Some	All			

Communal latrine cleanliness, by % of households reporting:10



Very clean 9% Mostly clean 38% Somewhat unclean 33% Very unclean 20%





Number of showers in camp: 20 (May 2019: 20) Communal⁹ Household⁹ Households using showers 1%

1%

Households without access to showers predominantly reported bathing inside their shelters (97%).

Hygiene

Households that were able to access all assessed hygiene items:⁸

Yes 69% No 31%

The most commonly inaccessible items included washing powder and bars of soap. Hygiene items were most commonly inaccessible because households could not afford to buy them.







ई HEALTH



Number of healthcare facilities: 1 Service providers: UN agencies Types of facilities: NGO clinic

Households with members in the following categories:5

Person with serious injury 3%

Person with chronic illness

Pregnant or lactating woman

3% 34%

Т

Access to treatment for one or more household members in the 30 days prior to data collection:

Of the households who required treatment in the 30 days prior to data collection, 68% reported that they had faced barriers accessing medical care. The most commonly reported barriers were cost of care/medicine being too high (82%) and high transport costs (56%).

Households reporting that a member had given birth since living in the camp:

Yes 32% No 68% Where women delivered: At a health facility (100%)

	12% Did not seek treat
64% Required treatment 88% Sought treatm	nent 88% Received treatment outside the c
	64% Required treatment 88% Sought treatm

FOOD SECURITY

Consumption

Percentage of households at each food consumption score level:²



54% Acceptable Borderline 42% Poor 1%



The percentage of households with an acceptable food consumption score has increased from 84% in May 2019 to 54% in September 2019.

96% of households reported using food-related coping strategies in the week before data collection.

Top three reported food-related coping strategies:11



Borrowing food 83% Eating fewer meals 17% Eating cheaper, poorer quality food **17%**

Most commonly reported main sources of food:12



Markets in the camp 89% Markets outside the camp 71% Food distributions 61%

LIVELIHOODS

Livelihood Sources

78% of households reported having at least one financial livelihood source in the month prior to data collection.

Average monthly household income:

Households with members earning an income: 94%

Top three reported primary income sources in the 30 days prior to data collection:15



Employment inside the camp 72% Employment outside the camp 15% Cash for work 6%

Distributions

Type of food assistance received¹⁴, by % of households reporting:



0% of the **8%** households who had not received a food basket, cash, or vouchers in the 30 days prior to data collection, had received at least one of these distributions in the preceding three months.

Top three food items households would like to receive more of:15



Sugar 97% 88 Tea 65%

Market access

88% of households reported that they were able to access markets inside the camp to buy food. However, 100% of these households reportedly did not have enough funds to buy all the items they needed.

Coping strategies

Top three reported livelihoods-related coping strategies:¹⁵



Borrowed money 74% Sold assistance items received 26% Reduced spending on non-food expenditures (e.g. health)



81% of households reported that they had bought goods on credit in the 30 days prior to data collection; on average these households owed 36,076 SYP (56 USD)13

11. Households were asked to report the number of days they employed each coping strategy, graph only shows the overall frequency with which a coping strategy was reported.

Households could select as many options as applied.
 Households could select as many options as applied.
 Households could select as many options as applied.
 The effective exchange rate for Northeast Stria was reported to be 650 Syrian Pounds to the dollar in September 2019 (REACH Initiative, Market Monitoring Exercise Snapshot 21 October 2019).

- In the 30 days before data collection.
- 15 Households could select up to three options



52,091 SYP (80 USD)13





I ■ SHELTER AND NON-FOOD ITEMS (NFIs)

Shelter

93% of inhabited shelters were **family-sized tents**. Average number of people per shelter: **5.5** Average number of shelters per household: **1.1** Average household size: **5.9** individuals

Tent status¹⁶

	П		

Tent is new	82%
Minor wear and tear	16%
Tent is in poor condition	2%
Tent is worn/torn	0%

Sources of light

Top three sources of light inside shelters:12



Light powered by camp generator 51% Light powered by solar panels 43% Rechargeable flashlight/lamp 18%

NFI needs

Top three anticipated NFI needs for the next three months:¹⁵



Winter blankets 70% Heating fuel 44% Plastic sheeting 42%

® INFORMATION AND ACCOUNTABILITY

Camp management and committees

10% of households reported that they did not know the camp management, with **29%** saying that they were not sure.

Committees reported by households to be present in camp:

99%	Camp management	75%	Youth committee
62%	Women's committee	82%	Maintenance committee
62%	WASH committee	82%	Distribution committee

Complaints

Only **24%** of households who had made a complaint in the three months prior to data collection reported that action was taken as a result:

Shelter adequacy

85% of households reported that they faced shelter adequacy issues.

Top three most commonly reported shelter adequacy issues:¹⁵



ŤΪ

Lack of privacy 84% Safety (structural damage, etc.) 32% No electricity 21%

Top three most commonly reported shelter item needs:15



Plastic sheeting	46%	
Tarpaulins	33%	
New/additional tents	7%	

1% of respondents reported they had access to a kitchen space.

Fire safety

Households reporting the presence of fire fighting systems that could be used to protect them:



Yes - fire extinguishers 97% Yes - other 0% Not sure 0% No 3%



63% of respondents with access to a fire fighting system reported being familiar with **how to use it.** It was unknown whether residents were provided with information on fire safety in the three months prior to data collection.

Information Needs

Top three reported sources of information about distributions:12



Community leaders 92% Word of mouth 47% Community mobilisers 28%


Top three reported information needs:¹⁵



How to find job opportunities 76% Sponsorship programmes 27% How to access assistance 26%

% %

10% Did not know where to complain -



REACH Initiative facilitates the development of information tools and products that enhance the capacity of aid actors to make evidence-based decisions in emergency, recovery and development contexts. The methodologies used by REACH include primary data collection and in-depth analysis, and all activities are conducted through inter-agency aid coordination mechanisms. REACH is a joint initiative of IMPACT Initiatives, ACTED and the United Nations Institute for Training and Research - Operational Satellite Applications Programme (UNITAR-UNOSAT).

16. Enumerators were asked to observe the state of the tent and select one of the options.

