

Al-Hasakeh governorate, Syria October 2019



# Summary


This profile provides an overview of conditions in Areesheh settlement. Primary data was collected through household surveys between 26 and 29 September. Residents from Mabrouka camp were transferred to Areesheh following military escalation in northeast Syria beginning 9 October, but this occurred after the current round of data collection. Households were randomly sampled to a 95% confidence level and 10% margin of error, based on population figures provided by camp management. In some cases, further additional information from camp managers has been used to support findings.

Areesheh camp is located by a reservoir, which flooded in winter 2018. An extension was constructed to alleviate flood risk and both areas were assessed. An additional extension has not yet been assessed. At the time of data collection, the camp was managed by an INGO, and self-administered.

### **Demographics** Camp Overview Women T Number of individuals: $8.585^{1}$ ¶ Men Number of households: $1.747^{1}$ 60+ 0% Number of shelters: No data<sup>3</sup> 20% 15% 18-59 First arrivals: June 2017 21% 22% Camp area: 0.42 km<sup>2</sup> 10% 11%

# **Location Map**





Costanal Minimum Ctandanda		Curi	Current round		Previous round (July 2019)		
Sectoral	Minimum Standards	Target	Result	Achievement	Original	Extension	Change
Shelter	Average number of individuals per shelter <sup>1</sup> Average covered area per person <sup>3</sup> Average camp area per person	max 4.6 min 3.5m <sup>2</sup> min 35m <sup>2</sup>	6.0 no data 49m²	-	5.4 4.3m <sup>2</sup> 39m <sup>2</sup>	5.3 6.2m² 53m²	•
Health	% of 0 - 5 year olds who have received polio vaccinations Presence of health services within the camp	100% Yes	72% Yes	•	71% Yes	79% Yes	
Protection	% of households reporting safety/security issues in past two weeks	0%	76%	•	75%	83%	
Food	% of households receiving assistance in 30 days prior to data collection $%$ of households with acceptable food consumption score (FCS)²	100% 100%	100% 72%	•	100% 57%	100% 57%	
Education	% of children aged 6-11 accessing education services % of children aged 12-17 accessing education services	100% 100%	82% 42%	•	40% 30%	27% 7%	<b>A</b>
WASH	Persons per latrine Persons per shower Frequency of solid waste disposal <sup>3</sup>	max. 20 max. 20 min. twice weekly	24 537 no data	•	22 No showers Dailly	27 No showers 2-3 days	<b>&gt;</b>

Targets based on Sphere and humanitarian minimum standards specific to northeast Syria. 
Minimum standard reached More than 50% minimum standard reached Less than 50% of minimum standard reached reached Syria.

1. Number of individuals and households reported by NES Forum. Average individuals per shelter reported by households themselves.

2. FCS measures households' current status of food consumption based on the number of days per week a household is able to eat items from nine standard food groups, weighted for their nutritional value.

3. Due to the change in the security situation immediately following data collection, it was not possible to consolidate key informant data for the camp.





# **%→ MOVEMENT**

Top three household origins (out of all camp residents):

Country G	overnorate	Sub-district	
Syria D	eir-ez-Zor	Al Mayadin	39%
Syria D	eir-ez-Zor	Ashara	<b>24</b> %
Syria D	eir-ez-Zor	Deir-ez-Zor	11%

Movements in the 30 days prior to data collection:

1,279	New arrivals	Departures	1,367

Households planning to leave the camp:



Within 1 week	0%
Within 1 month	1%
Within 6 months	0%
After 6+ months	7%
Not planning to leave	92%



On average, households in the camp had been displaced twice before arriving to this camp and 84% of households in the camp had been displaced longer than one year.

8% of households were planning to leave the camp and the most commonly reported reason for leaving was a desire to return to their area of origin.

100% of those intending to leave wanted to return to their community of origin; the most commonly reported reason for this was emotional

63% of those intending to leave didn't receive any information on returning to their area of origin from the camp management / administration.

# **PROTECTION**

### **Protection issues**



76% of households in the camp reported being aware of safety and security issues in the camp, during the two weeks prior to data collection.

### The most commonly reported issues were:

- Disputes between residents (75%)
- Theft (50%)
- Domestic violence (13%)

3% of households reported at least one member suffering from psychosocial distress.4 13% of households with children aged 3-17 reported that at least one child had exhibited changes in behaviour<sup>5</sup> in the two weeks prior to data collection.

### Freedom of movement



13% of households who needed to leave the camp temporarily for medical emergencies in the two weeks prior to data collection reported that they had been able to do so.

Households reporting that they were able to leave for nonemergency purposes in the two weeks prior to data collection:



3% Yes 97%

### Most commonly reported barriers:

- Site departure conditions needs approval (75%)
- Transport available but expensive (21%)

### Gender-based violence

Households reporting the presence of gender-based protection issues within the camp (in the two weeks prior to data collection):



Yes 18%

### Most commonly reported issues:

- Early marriage (women below 16 years old) (94%)
- Violence against women (6%)

### **Child protection**

Households reporting the presence of child protection issues within the camp (in the two weeks prior to data collection):



### Most commonly reported issues:

- Early marriage (below 16 years old) (93%)
- Child labour (21%)

### **Documentation**



3% of households reported that all married individuals in the household are in possession of their marriage certificate. The main reason why married individuals were not in possession of their marriage certificate was the certificate was lost.

88% of children under five years old reportedly have birth registration documentation.

# Vulnerable groups

Proportion of assessed population in vulnerable groups:6

Children at risk7 0.7% People with psychosocial needs 0.6% 33% Single parents/caregivers 3.3% Elderly at risk<sup>7</sup> Pregnant/lactating women<sup>7</sup> 24.5% Persons with disabilities 3.6% Chronically ill persons In female-headed households 19.2%

As reported by households themselves. Assessed symptoms included: persistent headaches, sleeplessness, and more aggressive behaviour than normal towards children or other household members.
 As reported by households themselves. Changes in sleeping patterns, interactions with peers, attentiveness, or interest in other daily activities.
 Self-reported by households and not verified through medical records. Children at risk are persons under 18 who are parents, separated from their immediate family, or not attending school, and persons under 16

who are married or working. Elderly people at risk are persons over the age of 65 who cannot take care of themselves or who are solely responsible for children under 18 or others who cannot take care of themselves. 7. Percentage is the proportion of the population subset who are reported as vulnerable.





## **EDUCATION**



At the time of data collection, there were 6 educational facilities in the camp.

No data3 Age groups:

Service providers: LNGOs, INGOs, UN agencies

No data<sup>3</sup> Curricula on offer: **Certification available:** No data<sup>3</sup>

### Availability of WASH facilities in educational facilities

Gender-segregated latrines: In some schools Handwashing facilities: In some schools Safe drinking water: In some schools

### **Attendance**

<b>†</b>	61%	Girls	Age	Boys	58%	Ť
0%	41%		3-5		44%	0%
0%	82%		6-11		82%	0%
0%	55%		12-14		49%	0%
0%	29%		15-17	•	13%	0%

The proportion of children aged 6-11 who attended school from in May 2019 to 82% at the time of data collection.

Inside camp Outside camp

Barriers to education: of the 22% of households with children aged 3-17 who reported that none of them went to school, 100% reported that they faced barriers to education. The most commonly reported barriers were:

- Education is not considered important (50%)
- Child does not want to attend (25%)
- No education available/lack of learning space (13%)

# WATER, SANITATION AND HYGIENE (WASH)

### Water



Public tap/standpipe was the primary source of water in the camp at the time of data collection. However, no data was available on the drinking water supplier or whether water was treated prior to distribution.

**7%** of households reported they spent at least two consecutive days without access to drinking water in the two weeks prior to data collection.

100% of households reported using a public tap/standpipe to access drinking water.

Drinking water issues in the two weeks prior to data collection, by % of households reporting:



No issues 85% Water tasted/smelled/looked bad 15% People got sick after drinking 2% 0% Not sure

10% of households reported that they treated their drinking water.

Households using negative strategies to cope with a lack of water in the two weeks prior to data collection:



# Most commonly reported strategies:

- Reduce drinking water consumption (70%)
- Rely on drinking water stored previously (57%)

49% of individuals reported having suffered from diarrhoea in the two weeks prior to data collection, with 22% suffering from respiratory illnesses and 14% from skin diseases.8

### Waste disposal



Primary waste disposal system: Garbage collection

Disposal location: No data<sup>3</sup> Sewage system: No data<sup>3</sup>

99% of households reported that solid waste was collected more than once per week.

## **Sanitation**



Number of latrines in camp: **365** (May 2019: 354)

Communal<sup>10</sup> Household<sup>10</sup> 3%

Households using latrines:

96%

1% of households reported practicing open defecation as main practice.

3% of households reported that some members could not access latrines. with people with disabilities being most frequent (2% of households).

Communal latrine characteristics, by % of households reporting:11

Segregated by gender Lockable from inside 18% 76% Functioning lighting 14% Privacy wall None Some

Communal latrine cleanliness, by % of households reporting:11



Very clean 10% Mostly clean 54% Somewhat unclean 22% Very unclean



Number of showers in camp: 16 (May 2019: 0)

Communal<sup>10</sup> Household<sup>10</sup>

Households using showers<sup>7</sup>

2%

Households without access to showers predominantly reported bathing inside their shelters (98%).

Households that were able to access all assessed hygiene items:9



Yes 80% No

The most commonly inaccessible items included detergent for dishes and washing powder. Hygiene items were most commonly inaccessible because households could not afford to buy them.

11 Excluding households who selected not sure

<sup>8.</sup> In the two weeks prior to data collection, self-verified by household and not verified through medical records. 9. The assessed hygiene items included: soap, sanitary pads, disposable diapers, washing powder, jerry cans/buckets, toothbrushes (for adults and children), toothpaste (for adults and children), shampoo (for adults and babies), cleaning liquid (for house), detergent for dishes, plastic garbage bags, washing lines, nail clippers, combs, and towels.

10. Communal latrines and showers are shared by more than one household. Household latrines and showers are used only by one household. This may be an informal designation that is not officially enforced.





# ਝ HEALTH



Number of healthcare facilities: 4

Service providers: LNGOs. INGOs. UN agencies

Types of facilities: NGO clinics, informal emergency care

Households with members in the following categories:6

Person with serious injury

2% 2%

Person with chronic illness Pregnant or lactating woman

38%

Access to treatment for one or more household members in the 30 days prior to data collection:


Of the households who required treatment in the 30 days prior to data collection, 32% reported that they had faced barriers accessing medical care. The most commonly reported barriers were cost of care/medicine being too high (50%) and lack of medicine (42%).

Households reporting that a member had given birth since living in the camp:



Yes 40% No 60% Where women delivered:

- At a health facility (80%)
- At home with professional assistance (18%)



## **FOOD SECURITY**

### Consumption

Percentage of households at each food consumption score level:2



Acceptable Borderline Poor



The percentage of households with an acceptable food consumption score has increased from in May 2019 to 72% in September 2019.

67% of households reported using food-related coping strategies in the week before data collection.

Top three reported food-related coping strategies:12



Eating fewer meals Eating cheaper, poorer quality food 38% Eating smaller meals 29%

Most commonly reported main sources of food:13



Food distributions 98% Markets in the camp 93% Family and friends in the area

### **Distributions**

Type of food assistance received<sup>15</sup>, by % of households reporting:



Bread 100% Food basket(s) Cash/vouchers for food

ALL assessed households had received a food basket, cash, or vouchers in the 30 days prior to data collection.

Top three food items households would like to receive more of:16



Tea Tomato paste Sugar 50%

### Market access

100% of households reported that they were able to access markets inside the camp to buy food. However, 98% of these households reportedly did not have enough funds to buy all the items they needed.

# **B** LIVELIHOODS

### **Livelihood Sources**

96% of households reported having at least one financial livelihood source in the month prior to data collection.

Average monthly household income: 49,914 SYP (77 USD)14 Households with members earning an income: 34%

Top three reported primary income sources in the 30 days prior to data collection:16



Cash assistance/humanitarian aid Cash for work

25% Selling assets 22%

### **Coping strategies**

Top three reported livelihoods-related coping strategies:16



Sold assistance items received Borrowed money 30% Sold assets 14%

75% of households reported that they had bought goods on credit in the 30 days prior to data collection; on average these households owed 33,743 SYP (52 USD).14

- 12. Households were asked to report the number of days they employed each coping strategy, graph only shows the overall frequency with which a coping strategy was reported.
- 13. Households could select as many options as applied.

  14. The effective exchange rate for Northeast Stria was reported to be 650 Syrian Pounds to the dollar in September 2019 (REACH Initiative, Market Monitoring Exercise Snapshot 21 October 2019).
- 15. In the 30 days before data collection.16. Households could select up to three options.







# **★ SHELTER AND NON-FOOD ITEMS (NFIs)**

### **Shelter**

94% of inhabited shelters were family-sized tents.

Average number of people per shelter: no data<sup>3</sup>

Average number of shelters per household: **no data**<sup>3</sup>

Average household size: 6.8 individuals



### Tent status<sup>17</sup>



Tent is new	27%
Minor wear and tear	33%
Tent is in poor condition	40%
Tent is worn/torn	1%

# Sources of light

Top three sources of light inside shelters: 13





### NFI needs

Top three anticipated NFI needs for the next three months:16



Sources of light	46%	
Bedding items (sheets, pillows)	39%	
Mattresses/sleeping mats	33%	

# **Shelter adequacy**

49% of households reported that they faced shelter adequacy issues.

Top three most commonly reported shelter adequacy issues:16



Shelter in poor condition	<b>42</b> %	
No electricity	31%	
Overcrowding	25%	

Top three most commonly reported shelter item needs:16



New/additional tents	76%	
Tarpaulins	19%	
Plastic sheeting	1%	1

**15%** of respondents reported they had access to a kitchen space.

### Fire safety

Households reporting the presence of fire fighting systems that could be used to protect them:



Yes - fire extinguishers	3%	
Yes - other	0%	
Not sure	1%	
No	96%	

**3%** of respondents with access to a fire fighting system reported being familiar with **how to use it**. It was unknown whether residents were provided with information on fire safety in the three months prior to data collection.

# **® INFORMATION AND ACCOUNTABILITY**

### **Camp management and committees**

**14%** of households reported that they did not know the camp management, with **4%** saying that they were not sure.

Committees reported by households to be present in camp:

85% Camp management

28% Youth committee

28% Women's committee

27% Maintenance committee

24% WASH committee

27% Distribution committee

## **Information Needs**

Top three reported sources of information about distributions:13

**!** 

Word of mouth	<b>75</b> %	
Local authorities	44%	
Community leaders	10%	

Top three reported information needs:16



How to find job opportunities
How to return to area of origin
Sponsorship programmes
Sponsorship programmes
16%

## **Complaints**

Only 9% of households who had made a complaint in the three months prior to data collection reported that action was taken as a result:

Of all households in the camp...

86% Knew where to make a complaint

14% Did not know where to complain =

74% Did not have a complaint

26% Had a complaint

77% Did not make a complaint

23% Made a complaint

9% action was taken =

87% No action was taken

4% Did not say -

### **About REACH Initiative**

REACH Initiative facilitates the development of information tools and products that enhance the capacity of aid actors to make evidence-based decisions in emergency, recovery and development contexts. The methodologies used by REACH include primary data collection and in-depth analysis, and all activities are conducted through inter-agency aid coordination mechanisms. REACH is a joint initiative of IMPACT Initiatives, ACTED and the United Nations Institute for Training and Research - Operational Satellite Applications Programme (UNITAR-UNOSAT).

17. Enumerators were asked to observe the state of the tent and select one of the options