




Summary

This profile provides an overview of conditions in Abu Khashab settlement. Primary data was collected through household surveys between 2 and 3 October. Households were randomly sampled to a 95% confidence level and 10% margin of error, based on population figures provided by camp management. In some cases, further additional information from camp managers has been used to support findings.


Abu Khashab is an informal settlement in the north of Deir-ez-Zor governorate. At the time of data collection, the camp was self-managed and self-administrated. After Ein Issa camp was evacuated in early October following military escalation in northeast Syria, inhabitants were transferred to Abu Khashab. However, this occurred after the current round of data collection.



Location Map



Camp Map



Sectoral	Minimum Standards	Torrest	Current round Previous round (May			())
Shelter	Average number of individuals per shelter ¹ Average covered area per person ³ Average camp area per person	Target max 4.6 min 3.5m ² min 35m ²	S.6 5.6 no data 51m ²	Achievement	Result 6.3 4.8m ² 44m ²	Change
Health	% of 0-5 year olds who have received polio vaccinations Presence of health services within the camp	100% Yes	74% No	•	72% No	
Protection	% of households reporting safety/security issues in past two weeks	0%	72%	•	54%	
Food	$\%$ of households receiving assistance in 30 days prior to data collection $\%$ of households with acceptable food consumption score (FCS)^2	100% 100%	100% 75%	•	100% 73%	
Education	% of children aged 6-11 accessing education services % of children aged 12-17 accessing education services	100% 100%	60% 55%	•	73% 38%	
WASH	Persons per latrine Persons per shower Frequency of solid waste disposal ³	max. 20 max. 20 min. twice weekly	24 96 no data	•	43 100 Daily	•

Targets based on Sphere and humanitarian minimum standards specific to northeast Syria.
Minimum standard reached
More than 50% minimum standard reached
Less than 50% of minimum standard reached 1. Number of individuals and households reported by camp management NES Forum. Average individuals per shelter reported by households themselves.

2. FCS measures households' current status of food consumption based on the number of days per week a household is able to eat items from nine standard food groups, weighted for their nutritional value. 3. Due to the change in the security situation immediately following data collection, it was not possible to consolidate key informant data for the camp.







3 → MOVEMENT

Top three household origins (out of all camp residents):

Country	Governorate	Sub-district	
Syria	Deir-ez-Zor	Al Mayadin	59%
Syria	Deir-ez-Zor	Abu Kamal	17%
Syria	Deir-ez-Zor	Deir-ez-Zor	8%

Movements in the 30 days prior to data collection:

no data³ New arrivals Departures no data³

Households planning to leave the camp:

	0%	Within 1 week
	1%	Within 1 month
	0%	Within 6 months
	3%	After 6+ months
	96%	Not planning to leave

PROTECTION Protection issues



76% of households in the camp reported being aware of safety and security issues in the camp, during the two weeks prior to data collection.

The most commonly reported issues were:

- Disputes between residents (81%)
- Theft (73%)
- Domestic violence (8%)

15%

85%

Yes

No

0% of households reported at least one member suffering from psychosocial distress.⁴ 13% of households with children aged 3-17 reported that at least one child had exhibited changes in behaviour⁵ in the two weeks prior to data collection.

Freedom of movement



22% of households who needed to leave the camp temporarily for medical emergencies in the two weeks prior to data collection reported that they had been able to do so.

Households reporting that they were able to leave for nonemergency purposes in the two weeks prior to data collection:



Most commonly reported barriers:

- Site departure conditions needs approval (53%)
 - Transport available but too expensive (42%)

On average, households in the camp had been displaced twice before arriving to this camp and 43% of households in the camp had been displaced longer than one year.

4% of households were planning to leave the camp and the most commonly reported reason for leaving was a lack of income or employment.

75% of those intending to leave wanted to return to their community of origin; the most commonly reported reason for this was emotional desire.

75% of those intending to leave didn't receive any information on returning to their area of origin from the camp management / administration.

Gender-based violence

Households reporting the presence of gender-based protection issues within the camp (in the two weeks prior to data collection):

79%

34%

66%



Most commonly reported issues: Early marriage (women below 16 years old) (95%)

Violence against women (25%)

Child protection

Households reporting the presence of child protection issues within the camp (in the two weeks prior to data collection):



Most commonly reported issues:

- Early marriage (below 16 years old) (94%)
- Child labour (19%)

Documentation



3% of households reported that all married individuals in the household are in possession of their marriage certificate. The main reason why married individuals were not in possession of their marriage certificate was the certificate was lost.

89% of children under five years old reportedly have birth registration documentation.

Vulnerable groups

Proportion of total assessed population in vulnerable groups:⁶

Children at risk ⁷	0.0%	People with psychosocial needs	0.0%
Elderly at risk ⁷	0.0%	Single parents/caregivers	3.0%
Persons with disabilities	1.1%	Pregnant/lactating women ⁷	29.6%
Chronically ill persons	1.8%	In female-headed households	17.3%

4. As reported by households themselves. Assessed symptoms included: persistent headaches, sleeplessness, and more aggressive behaviour than normal towards children or other household members.

5. As reported by households themselves. Changes in sleeping patterns, interactions with peers, attentiveness, or interest in other daily activities. 6. Self-reported by households and not verified through medical records. Children at risk are persons under 18 who are parents, separated from their immediate family, or not attending school, and persons under 16 who are married or working. Elderly people at risk are persons over the age of 65 who cannot take care of themselves or who are solely responsible for children under 18 or others who cannot take care of themselves 7. Percentage is the proportion of the population subset who are reported as vulnerable.







EDUCATION



At the time of data collection, there was 1 educational facility in the camp.

Age groups:	No data ³
Service providers:	Local non-governmental organisation (LNGO)
Curricula on offer:	No data ³
Certification available:	No data ³

Availability of WASH facilities in educational facilities

Gender-segregated latrines:	In all schools
垥 Handwashing facilities:	In all schools
Safe drinking water:	In all schools

HATER, SANITATION AND HYGIENE (WASH)

Water



Public tap/standpipe was the primary source of water in the camp at the time of data collection. However, no data was available on the drinking water supplier or whether water was treated prior to distribution.

5% of households reported they spent at least two consecutive days without access to drinking water in the two weeks prior to data collection.

100% of households reported using a public tap/standpipe to access drinking water.

Drinking water issues in the two weeks prior to data collection, by % of households reporting:



No issues 62% Water tasted/smelled/looked bad 37% People got sick after drinking 3% н Not sure 0%

10% of households reported that they treated their drinking water.

Households using negative strategies to cope with a lack of water in the two weeks prior to data collection:



- Most commonly reported strategies:
- Reduce drinking water consumption (55%)
 - Rely on drinking water stored previously (46%)

54% of individuals reported having suffered from diarrhoea in the two weeks prior to data collection, with 15% suffering from respiratory illnesses and 12% from skin diseases.8

Waste disposal



Primary waste disposal system: Garbage collection Disposal location: No data³ Sewage system: No data³

100% of households reported that solid waste was collected more than once per week.

8. In the two weeks prior to data collection, self-verified by household and not verified through medical records.

- 9. The assessed hygiene items included: soap, sanitary pads, disposable diapers, washing powder, jerry cans/buckets, toothbrushes (for adults and children), toothpaste (for adults and children), shampoo (for adults and babies), cleaning liquid (for house), detergent for dishes, plastic garbage bags, washing lines, nail clippers, combs, and towels. 10. Communal latrines and showers are shared by more than one household. Household latrines and showers are used only by one household. This may be an informal designation that is not officially enforced. 11. Excluding households who selected not sure.

Attendance

ŧ	46%	Girls	Age	Boys	47%	Ť
0%	16%		3-5		14%	0%
0%	59%		6-11		62%	0%
0%	61%		12-14		60%	0%
0%	38%		15-17		47%	0%
			_			

Inside camp Outside camp

The proportion of children aged 6-11 who attended school decreased from 73% in May 2019 to 60% at the time of data collection.

Barriers to education: of the 36% of households with children aged 3-17 who reported that none of them went to school, 100% reported that they faced barriers to education. The most commonly reported barriers were:

- No space in school / unable to register (37%)
- Newly arrived to camp (32%)
- No education for children of a certain age (16%)

Sanitation



1% of households reported practicing **open defecation** as main practice.

3% of households reported that some members could not access latrines. with people with disabilities being most frequent (2% of households).

Communal latrine characteristics, by % of households reporting:11

Segregated by gender				36%	23%	41%
Lockable from inside				0%	17%	83%
Functioning lighting				86%	13%	1%
Privacy wall				11%	11%	78%
	None	Some	All			

Communal latrine cleanliness, by % of households reporting:11



Very clean 18% Mostly clean 62% Somewhat unclean 12%





Very unclean 8%



Number of showers in camp: 57 (May 2019: 63) Households using showers

Communal¹⁰ 3%

Household¹⁰ 0%

Households without access to showers predominantly reported bathing inside their shelters (95%).

Hygiene

Households that were able to access all assessed hygiene items:9

Yes 76% No 24%

The most commonly inaccessible items included washing powder and detergent for dishes. Hygiene items were most commonly inaccessible because households could not afford to buy them.







ई HEALTH



Number of healthcare facilities: 0 Service providers: NA Types of facilities: NA

Households with members in the following categories:6

Person with serious injury	e.	1%	I.	
Person with chronic illness	Pag	2%	I.	
Pregnant or lactating woman	1	42%		

Access to treatment for one or more household members in the 30 days prior to data collection:

Of the households who required treatment in the 30 days prior to data collection, 53% reported that they had faced barriers accessing medical care. The most commonly reported barriers were cost of care/medicine being too high (56%) and lack of medicine (39%).

Households reporting that a member had given birth since living in the camp: Where women delivered:



At a health facility (73%) At home with professional assistance (12%)

Of all have a halds in the same		63% Did not require treatment
Of all households in the camp		15% Did not seek treatment
	37% Required treatment 85% Sought treatment	21% Received treatment inside the camp
	05% Sought treatment	79% Received treatment outside the camp

FOOD SECURITY

Consumption

Percentage of households at each food consumption score level:²



Acceptable 75% Borderline 17% Poor 8%



The percentage of households with an acceptable food consumption score has increased from 73% in May 2019 to 75% in September 2019.

68% of households reported using food-related coping strategies in the week before data collection.

Top three reported food-related coping strategies:¹¹



Eating cheaper, poorer quality food 69% Eating fewer meals 54% Eating smaller meals 31%

Most commonly reported main sources of food:12

M



Food distributions	98%	
Markets in the camp	81%	
arkets outside the camp	17%	

LIVELIHOODS

Livelihood Sources

94% of households reported having at least one financial livelihood source in the month prior to data collection.

Average monthly household income:

Households with members earning an income: 28%


Top three reported primary income sources in the 30 days prior to data collection:15



Cash assistance/humanitarian aid 77% Selling assets 25% Cash for work 18%

Distributions


Type of food assistance received¹⁴, by % of households reporting:



20% of the **5%** households who had not received a food basket, cash, or vouchers in the 30 days prior to data collection, had received at least one of these distributions in the preceding three months.

Top three food items households would like to receive more of:15





Market access

100% of households reported that they were able to access markets inside the camp to buy food. However, 100% of these households reportedly did not have enough funds to buy all the items they needed.

Coping strategies

Top three reported livelihoods-related coping strategies:¹⁵



Sold assistance items received 87% Borrowed money 32% Sold assets 13%

74% of households reported that they had bought goods on credit in the 30 days prior to data collection; on average these households owed 38,058 SYP (59 USD)13

11. Households were asked to report the number of days they employed each coping strategy, graph only shows the overall frequency with which a coping strategy was reported.

Households could select as many options as applied. The effective exchange rate for Northeast Syria was reported to be 650 Syrian Pounds to the dollar in September 2019 (REACH Initiative, Market Monitoring Exercise Snapshot 21 October 2019). 12. 13.

- 14. In the 30 days before data collection.
 15. Households could select up to three options.



47.436 SYP (73 USD)13





In Image Shelter and Non-Food Items (NFIs)

Shelter

73% of inhabited shelters were **family-sized tents**. Average number of people per shelter: no data³ Average number of shelters per household: no data³ Average household size: 7.1 individuals

Tent status¹⁶

Tent is new Minor wear and tear	
Tent is in poor condition	22%
Tent is worn/torn	6%

Sources of light

Top three sources of light inside shelters:12



Light powered by camp generator 44% Light powered by solar panels 39%

Rechargeable flashlight/lamp 12%

NFI needs

Top three anticipated NFI needs for the next three months:¹⁵



Mattresses/sleeping mats 63% Carpet/mat for floor 35% Winter blankets 35%

◎ INFORMATION AND ACCOUNTABILITY

Camp management and committees

9% of households reported that they did not know the camp management, with 4% saying that they were not sure.

Committees reported by households to be present in camp:

99%	Camp management	13%	Youth committee
25%	Women's committee	24%	Maintenance committee
24%	WASH committee	24%	Distribution committee

Complaints

Only 10% of households who had made a complaint in the three months prior to data collection reported that action was taken as a result:

91% Knew where to make a complaint

Shelter adequacy

55% of households reported that they faced shelter adequacy issues. Top three most commonly reported shelter adequacy issues:¹⁵



ŤŤ

Ť Ť Ť

Shelter is in poor condition 53% Security 41%



Top three most commonly reported shelter item needs:15



New/additional tents	71%	
Tarpaulins		
Plastic sheeting		

12% of respondents reported they had access to a kitchen space.

Fire safety

Households reporting the presence of fire fighting systems that could be used to protect them:



Yes - fire extinguishers 34% Yes - other 0% Not sure 0% 66% No



33% of respondents with access to a fire fighting system reported being familiar with how to use it. It was unknown whether residents were provided with information on fire safety in the three months prior to data collection.

Information Needs

Top three reported sources of information about distributions:12



Word of mouth 76% Local authorities 29% Camp manager 9%

Top three reported information needs:15



How to find job opportunities 61% How to access assistance 19% Sponsorship programmes 17%

9% Did not know where to complain -

85% Did not have a complaint

90% Did not make a complaint 15% Had a complaint 10% action was taken =

10% Made a complaint

90% No action was taken

About REACH Initiative

Of all households in the camp...

REACH Initiative facilitates the development of information tools and products that enhance the capacity of aid actors to make evidence-based decisions in emergency, recovery and development contexts. The methodologies used by REACH include primary data collection and in-depth analysis, and all activities are conducted through inter-agency aid coordination mechanisms. REACH is a joint initiative of IMPACT Initiatives, ACTED and the United Nations Institute for Training and Research - Operational Satellite Applications Programme (UNITAR-UNOSAT).

16. Enumerators were asked to observe the state of the tent and select one of the options.

