# Research Terms of Reference Rapid migration assessment briefs for MERF GL01801

Regional: Libya, Chad, Niger

02 August 2018 final



### 1. Executive Summary

Country of intervention	Li	bya, Niger, Chad						
Type of Emergency		Natural disaster	Х	Conf	lict			
Type of Crisis	х	Sudden onset		Slow	on	set	Protracted	
Mandating Body/ Agency	S	TART Network					· ·	
Project Code	G	LO1801						
Research Timeframe	1.	Start collect data://_		_ 1	4.	Data sent for va	alidation://	
Add planned deadlines (for	2.	Data collected://	_				r validation://	
first cycle if more than 1)	3.	Data analysed://	_		6.	Outputs publish	ned://	
Number of assessments		Single assessment (one cy	cle)	)	Х		ent (more than one cycle)	
Humanitarian milestones	Μ	ilestone			De	eadline		
Specify what will the		Donor plan/strategy						
assessment inform and <b>when</b> e.g. The shelter cluster will		Inter-cluster plan/strategy						
use this data to draft its	<ul> <li>Cluster plan/strategy</li> <li>NGO platform plan/strategy</li> </ul>							
Revised Flash Appeal;					ongoing			
		Other (Specify):						
Audience Type &	Α	udience type				ssemination		
Dissemination Specify	Х	Strategic					Mailing (e.g. mail to NGO	
who will the assessment		Programmatic			consortium; HCT participants; Donors)			
inform and <b>how</b> you will disseminate to inform the	х (	Operational					Education, Shelter and WASH) findings at next cluster	
audience		Other (specify):				eting	indings at next cluster	
					<ul> <li>Presentation of findings (e.g. at HCT meeting Cluster meeting)</li> </ul>			
					Website Dissemination (Relief Web & REACH Resource Centre)			
Detailed dissemination		Yes			х	No		
plan required								
M&E Matrix required		Yes			Х	No		
General Objective		support START Network me				•		
		hether to release funds for a rapid emergency situation to respond to the needs of						

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Please note that no clear timeframe is associated with these TORs, as this will be an ongoing exercise which may be triggered by partners and hence starting date will be ad hoc. For further information please see methodology outlined below. <sup>2</sup> The Start Network is a network of 42 INGOs, please see here: https://startnetwork.org/

Expected ouput type(s)	X Situation overview #: 1 □ Report #:	Profile #:		
Data management platform(s)	× IMPACT □	UNHCR		
Target level of precision if probability sampling		/- % margin of error NA		
		Other specify] (Target #):		
target # interviews		□ Focus group discussion (Target #):		
collection method and specify		ndividual interview (Target #):		
Select sampling and data		sible in 48h timeframe		
collection tool (s) # 1		ey informant interview (Target #): as many as		
Semi-structured data				
		a collection method		
Data collection tool(s)		Semi-structured (Qualitative)		
	Tbd at each research cycle	No 🛛 🗆 Yes 🗆 No		
number of strata	is known? □ Yes □ No strata is I			
Select type(s) and enter		n size per Population size per		
Stratification	□ Geographical #: □ Group #:			
		Other (Specify): mixed migration context		
	•	Refugees Other (Specify):		
	xRefugees in campx	Refugees in informal sites		
Select all that apply		IDPs Other (Specify):		
Population(s)	□ IDPs in camp □	IDPs in informal sites		
Secondary data sources	Depending on each country, consult country-	specific secondary data matrises		
-	determined for each alert			
Geographic Coverage	Depending on where the alert is raised, in Li			
	identified crisis in a comparable con	1		
	7. What are the lessons learnt, if any,			
	6. What are the gaps in the response?			
	to respond to the crisis at present?	wenninent and numanitariali StakenOluen		
		vernment and humanitarian stakeholders		
	<ol> <li>What aggravates the crisis?</li> <li>What are the humanitarian needs re</li> </ol>	sculting from the crisis?		
	<ol> <li>What perpetuates the crisis?</li> <li>What aggravates the crisis?</li> </ol>			
Research Questions	1. What caused the crisis?			
	tailored humanitarian intervention in said cris	is		
	-to provide an overview of lessons learnt in s	imilar humanitarian responses to suppor		
	humanitarian actors and associated remainir	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
	- provide understanding of the response cap			
	-to provide understanding of the resulting hu	manitarian needs		
	-to provide understanding as to the causes, µ the crisis	perpetuating and aggravating factors of		
Specific Objective(s)				
	calling for the allocation of funds under the M			
Specific Objective(s)	people in mixed migration flows, following an calling for the allocation of funds under the N -to provide context analysis of the situation in	IERF (Migration Emergency Fund)		

Rapid migration assessment briefs in support of the MERF, July 2018

		Presentation (Preliminary		Presentation (Final)		Factsheet #:
		findings) #:		#:		
		Interactive dashboard #:_		Webmap #:		Map #:
		[Other Specify] #:				
Access	х	Public (available on REAC	H re	esource center and other	hur	manitarian platforms)
		<ul> <li>Restricted (bilateral dissemination only upon agreed dissemination list, publication on REACH or other platforms)</li> </ul>				
Visibility Specify which	R	EACH, START Network logos				
	1					

logos should be on outputs

### 2. Methodology

### 2.1. Methodology overview

#### Context

REACH, as part of its affiliation to the START Network through ACTED, has access to the Migration Emergency Response Fund II (MERF) (DFID), which allows for emergency interventions in the West/Sahel/North Africa region on migration (Morocco, Tunisia, Algeria, Egypt, Libya, Mali, Burkina, Niger, Cameroon, Chad, Sudan). The MERF is an emergency funding mechanism, which allows for funding to be released for emergency interventions within a 48h/72h timeframe for projects of a duration of 2 -6 months. This works as follows: (1) A member organisation raises an alert intervention; (2) a board of partners comes together within 48h/72h to agree whether the fund is released. To inform members' decision making on whether an alert/funding request by a participating NGO is granted, IMPACT has agreed with START to provide situation briefs on the situation the alert aims to address on the basis of a mix of primary data collection through key informant interview (KIs) and secondary data review (SDR) in Libya, Chad, Niger.

#### Methodology will be as follows:

- 1) Alert is raised and request for Brief submitted by START to IMPACT
- 2) IMPACT HQ submit request to field teams
- 3) IMPACT field teams, on basis of pre drafted and validated TORs, indicators and tools, adapt the draft tools & TOR to the situation in question → technical review and validation by specialists in IMPACT HQ
- 4) Once validated, IMPACT field teams start conducting interviews with KIs on basis of pre-identified KI lists
- 5) Simultaneously, assessment officer (AO) drafts SDR based on pre-developed SDR matrix
- 6) First draft to be submitted to HQ within 48h
- 7) Finalised and shared w partners within 72h

#### 2.2. Population of interest

The geographic area of interest will be based on the location where the alert is raised. A number of key informants (KI) is pre-identified in each country where IMPACT agreed to provide situation briefs (Niger, Chad, Libya). The population assessed will be people in mixed migration flows,<sup>3</sup> defined as 'complex population movements including refugees, asylum seekers, economic migrants and other migrants'. Unaccompanied minors, environmental migrants, smuggled persons, victims of trafficking and stranded migrants, among others, may also form part of a mixed flow.

2.3. Secondary data review (outline key bibliography/sources you will use and for what).

Secondary data review will form an integral part of the situation briefs, as research questions will always be responded to by both secondary data review (SDR) and primary data collection (DC). SDR matrices will be put in place at country level and generally updated, to ensure ease of access and rapidity when an alert is raised and a brief is to be drafted accordingly. SDR will hence feed into findings and be used for triangulation of primary data collection.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Mhub, <u>What is Mixed-Migration?</u>

#### 2.4. Primary Data Collection

Primary DC will be done through key informants within a 24 h timeframe as soon as possible after the alert is raised. Questionnaires for KI interviews are pre-developed, semi-structured including both open ended and semi-open questions. All questionnaires will be slightly adjusted by the assessment officer (AO) in charge for each specific alert. KI will have been selected beforehand on the basis of their expertise on migration in the national and local context and likely include humanitarian aid workers, field practitioners and other experts on migration. Interviews will be conducted via phone to expedite data collection by REACH field managers/ officers as appropriate in the national context.

#### 2.5. Data Processing & Analysis

Due to the short timeframe in data collection and analysis, data processing, analysis and writing will be done simultaneously upon completion of data collection by crossreading KI data collected and existing SDR. Questionnaires will be filled in on computers during the phone interview in French, the language in which the interview will be conducted. After enumerators have conducted all interviews and shared interview notes with the AO, a debrief will take place where any remaining points and impressions from the KI interview will be discussed. All data will be triangulated with secondary sources; in case of any inconsistencies in the data collected, enumerators will be debriefed and may be asked to follow up for clarifications with key informants interviewed.

### 3. Roles and responsibilities

Table 2: Description of roles and responsibilities

Task Description	Responsible	Accountable	Consulted	Informed
Research design	Assessment Officer (AO)	Assessment Officer (AO)	Assessment Manager; IMPACT HQ	Migration Assessment Manager
Supervising data collection	Field manager	Assessment Officer (AO)	Assessment Manager	Migration Assessment Manager
Data processing (checking, cleaning)	Assessment Officer (AO)	Assessment Officer (AO)	Assessment Manager; IMPACT HQ	Migration Assessment Manager
Data analysis	Assessment Officer (AO)	Assessment Officer (AO)	Migration Assessment Manager; IMPACT HQ	HQ
Mapping	GIS officer	Assessment Officer (AO)	Assessment Manager	Migration Assessment Manager
Output production	Assessment Officer (AO)	Assessment Officer (AO)	Assessment Manager , Migration Assessment Manager, HQ	n/a
Dissemination	Migration Assessment Manager	Migration Assessment Manager	HQ	AO, AM

Monitoring & Evaluation	Migration Assessment Manager	Migration Assessment Manager	HQ	AO, AM
Lessons learned	Assessment Officer (AO)	Assessment Officer (AO)	Assessment Manager , Migration Assessment Manager, HQ	HQ

**Responsible:** the person(s) who executes the task

Accountable: the person who validates the completion of the task and is accountable of the final output or milestone

Consulted: the person(s) who must be consulted when the task is implemented

Informed: the person(s) who need to be informed when the task is completed

### Data Analysis Plan

#### KEY INFORMANT AND SDR TEMPLATE (TO BE ADJUSTED FOR EACH RESEARCH CYCLE)

Research questions	IN #	Data collection method	Indicator / Variable	Question	Response categories
	1	KI/SDR	crisis definition	What is the crisis identified?	open ended
	2	KI/SDR	crisis cause	What has caused the crisis?	open ended
What caused the crisis?	3	KI/SDR	crisis cause	What is the recent historical context to the crisis and how does this impact the current situation?	open ended
	4	KI/SDR	crisis cause	What are the social, economic, political and other factors which have <u>caused</u> the identified crisis?	open ended
	5	KI/SDR	crisis perpetuation	add further as relevant	open ended
What perpetuates the crisis?	6	KI/SDR	crisis perpetuation	What are the social, economic, political and other factors which are <u>perpetuating</u> the identified crisis at the community and/or individual household level?	open ended
Crisis?	7	KI/SDR	crisis perpetuation	How are these factors likely to continue in the short, mid and long term? (within next month; next six months; one year or more)	open ended
	8	KI/SDR		add further as relevant	open ended
What aggravates the crisis?	9	KI/SDR	crisis aggravation	How is the situation likely to develop and why?	open ended

			crisis	What are factors which are	
	10	KI/SDR	aggravation	aggravating the crisis?	open ended
			crisis	What factors are there which	
	11	KI/SDR	aggravation	are diminishing the crisis?	open ended
	12	KI/SDR		add further as relevant	open ended
				What are the humanitarian	
			humanitarian	needs resulting from the crisis	
	13	KI/SDR	impact	in terms of sector?	open ended
What are the humanitarian			humanitarian	What are the most important	
needs resulting from the	14	KI/SDR	impact	needs and why?	open ended
crisis?	15		humanitarian	What particular population	anan andad
	15	KI/SDR	impact humanitarian	groups, if any, are affected?	open ended
	16	KI/SDR		If particular population groups are affected, why and how?	anan andad
			impact		open ended
	17	KI/SDR		add further as relevant	open ended
				Who is responding to the	
				crisis? (government – who;	
	10		response	humanitarian actors – who;	
	18	KI/SDR	actors	civil society – who)	Open ended
		KI/SDR	Response actors	How have government actors responded to the crisis?	Open ended
		NI/ODN	acions	How have humanitarian	Open ended
			response	actors responded to the	
	19	KI/SDR	actors	crisis?	open ended
	10			How have other actors, such	
			response	as civil society, military, other,	
What is the response	20	KI/SDR	actors	responded to the crisis?	open ended
capacity of government				To what extent have all	
and humanitarian				interventions put together	
stakeholders to respond to				succeeded in responding the	
the crisis at present?			response	the humanitarian needs	
	21	KI/SDR	capacity	ensuing from the crisis?	open ended
				What are the limitations of the	
				current overall response,	
	20		response	disaggregated by response	an an an da d
	22	KI/SDR	capacity	actor?	open ended
				If applicable, why are there the outlined limitations in the	
			response	response to the humanitarian	
	23	KI/SDR	capacity	needs?	open ended
	24	KI/SDR		add further as relevant What are the main	open ended
				humanitarian gaps in the	
				response in terms of sectors,	
				population groups and areas,	
What are the gaps in the	25	KI/SDR	response gaps	and why?	open ended
response?	-			What humanitarian needs	1
	26	KI/SDR	response gaps	remain unaddressed?	open ended
				For the unaddressed	
	27	KI/SDR	response gaps	humanitarian needs, why?	open ended
	28	KI/SDR		add further as relevant	open ended
	20				
What are the lessons	20			What recent comparable	
What are the lessons learnt, if any, on what	20			What recent comparable crises to the one identify exist	

Rapid migration assessment briefs in support of the MERF, July 2018

identified crisis in a comparable context?	30	KI/SDR	lessons learnt	How were humanitarian needs addressed in that context?	open ended
	31	KI/SDR	lessons learnt	What gaps/ shortcomings were identified in that response?	open ended
	32	KI/SDR	lessons learnt	What lessons can be learnt resulting from the response in that context?	open ended
	33	KI/SDR		add further as relevant	open ended

# 5. Data Management Plan

Administrative Data							
Research Cycle name	To be added						
Project Code	To be added						
Donor	START Network						
Project partners	-						
Research Contacts	Diana Ihring; diana.ihring@reach-initiative.org						
	[Name principal researcher 2] [email principal re						
Data Management Plan	Date: 28/06/2018	Version: 1					
Version							
Related Policies	Usual IMPACT data saving, sharing policie	s will be applied					
Documentation and Metadata							
What documentation	x Data analysis plan	Data Cleaning Log, including:					
and metadata will		Deletion Log					
accompany the data? Select all that apply		Value Change Log					
	Code book	Data Dictionary					
	□ Metadata based on HDX	□ Other [specify]					
	Standards						
Ethics and Legal Compliance	9						
Which ethical and legal	x Consent of participants to participate	Consent of participants to share personal					
measures will be taken?		information with other agencies					
	□ No collection of personally identifiable	Gender, child protection and other					
	data will take place	protection issues are taken into account					
	x All participants reached age of	Other [please specify]					
	majority						
Who will own the	tbc						
copyright and							
Intellectual Property							
Rights for the data that							
is collected?							
Storage and Backup							
Where will data be	IMPACT/REACH Kobo Server	□ Other Kobo Server: [specify]					
stored and backed up							
during the research?	□ IMPACT Global Physical / Cloud	Country/Internal Server					
	Server						
	On devices held by REACH staff	x Physical location [specify]: country office					
		where alert will be triggered					

		Other [specify]					
Which data access and security measures have		Password protection on devices/servers	x	Data access is limited to select REACH staff working on the project			
been taken?		Form and data encryption on data collection server					
		Other [specify]					
Preservation		-					
Where will data be stored for long-term	Х	IMPACT / REACH Global Cloud / Physical Server		OCHA HDX			
preservation?		REACH Country Server		Other [specify]			
Data Sharing							
Will the data be shared publically?		Yes	x	No, only with mandating agency / body			
Will all data be shared?		Yes		No, only anonymized/ cleaned/ consolidated [delete what does not apply] data will be shared			
	х	No, other [specify]: Data will not be sha	red				
Where will you share the data?		REACH Resource Centre		OCHA HDX			
		HumanitarianResponse		Other [specify]			
Responsibilities							
Data collection	[]	nsert name, function, email]					
Data cleaning	[]	nsert name, function, email]					
Data analysis	[]	[Insert name, function, email]					
Data sharing/uploading	D	Diana Ihring, Migration Assessment Manager, diana.ihring@reach-initiative.org					

# 6. Monitoring & Evaluation Plan

IMPACT Objective	External M&E Indicator	Internal M&E Indicator	Focal point	Tool	M&E Plan
		# of downloads of x product from Resource Center	Country request to HQ		□ Yes □ No
	Number of humanitarian	# of downloads of x product from Relief Web	Country request to HQ		□ Yes □ No
Humanitarian stakeholders are	organisations accessing IMPACT services/products	# of downloads of x product from Country level platforms	Country team		□ Yes □ No
accessing IMPACT products	Number of individuals accessing IMPACT services/products	# of page clicks on x product from REACH global newsletter	Country request to HQ	User_log	□ Yes □ No
		# of page clicks on x product from country newsletter, sendingBlue, bit.ly	Country team		□ Yes □ No
		# of visits to x webmap/x dashboard	Country request to HQ		□ Yes □ No
IMPACT activities		# references in HPC documents (HNO, SRP, Flash appeals, Cluster/sector strategies)			MERF decision making on giving funding upon raising of an alert
contribute to better program implementation and coordination of the humanitarian response	Number of humanitarian organisations utilizing IMPACT services/products	# references in single agency documents	Country team	Reference_I og	
Humanitarian stakeholders are using IMPACT products	Humanitarian actors use IMPACT evidence/products as a basis for decision making, aid planning and delivery	Perceived relevance of IMPACT country-programs	Country team	Usage_Feed back <i>and</i> Usage_Surv ey template	Usage survey to be conducted once three outputs have been published among START Network members and decision makers, to assess usefulness of products shared.

	Number of humanitarian documents (HNO, HRP, cluster/agency strategic plans, etc.) directly informed by IMPACT products	Perceived usefulness and influence of IMPACT outputs Recommendations to strengthen IMPACT programs Perceived capacity of IMPACT staff Perceived quality of outputs/programs Recommendations to strengthen IMPACT programs			
Humanitarian stakeholders are	Number and/or percentage of humanitarian organizations directly	# of organisations providing resources (i.e.staff, vehicles, meeting space, budget, etc.) for activity implementation		_	x Yes □ No
engaged in IMPACT programs throughout the	contributing to IMPACT programs (providing	# of organisations/clusters inputting in research design and joint analysis	Country team	Engagement _log	x Yes □ No
research cycle	resources, participating to presentations, etc.)	# of organisations/clusters attending briefings on findings;			x Yes □ No

ANNEX 1: METHODOLOGY NOTES (IF RELEVANT) ANNEX 2: [OTHER SPECIFY]