

Background and methodology

The aim of the Emergency Needs Tracking (ENT) System is to track the key priority needs of internally displaced persons (IDPs) in northwest Syria, as well as identifying communities with households in direct need of humanitarian assistance. The ENT assesses communities that have experienced any of the following in the three days prior to data collection: more than 40 IDP arrivals, natural hazards (including storms, fires, and flooding), conflict escalation, or any other event which impacts humanitarian needs. This information should serve to better inform immediate humanitarian operations, as well as provide a wider contextual understanding of the ongoing situation. As the humanitarian situation remains complex, it is critical to fill information gaps across sectors to ensure a well-coordinated humanitarian response.

Data was collected between 28 March and 3 April (excluding Friday and Saturday). Information was collected via a Key Informant (KI) methodology with one KI interview conducted per community. Findings should be considered indicative only. 38 communities, including 7 camps, were assessed overall across Western Aleppo and Idlib governorates. **The full dashboard can be accessed [here](#) and the full catalogue of datasets can be accessed [here](#).**

Protection

Percentage of assessed communities in which KIs reported the presence of newly-arrived IDPs with the following vulnerability types:¹

| | % |
|---------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Women headed households | 39% |
| Elderly headed households | 39% |
| Orphans | 21% |
| Disabled headed households | 8% |
| Women travelling alone | 3% |
| Child headed households/children travelling alone | 3% |
| Elderly travelling alone | 3% |

Food security

Most commonly reported food sources for newly-arrived IDPs in assessed communities:^{1 3}

| | | |
|---|--------------------------------------------|-----|
| 1 | Stores/markets in this community | 79% |
| 2 | Relying entirely on food stored previously | 58% |
| 3 | Stores/markets in other communities | 55% |

96% of newly-arrived IDP households (331) were reportedly eating one meal or more per day

Shelter

Number and percentage of newly-arrived IDP households by shelter type:²

| | # | % |
|--------------------------------------|-----|-----|
| Solid/finished buildings | 161 | 47% |
| Functioning tents | 144 | 42% |
| Unfinished/ damaged buildings | 40 | 11% |
| Designated collective centers | 0 | 0% |
| Residing without any form of shelter | 0 | 0% |
| Makeshift shelter | 0 | 0% |

NFI Non-Food Items (NFIs)

Number and percentage of newly-arrived IDP households without the following NFIs:²

| | # | % |
|------------------------------------------------|-----|-----|
| Households without sufficient fuel for heating | 247 | 71% |
| Households without fuel for cooking | 184 | 53% |
| Households without bedding | 44 | 13% |
| Households without sufficient mattresses | 38 | 11% |
| Households without sufficient cooking utensils | 38 | 11% |

Livelihoods

Most commonly reported currency used for purchasing basic/essential commodities:³

| | | |
|---|----------------------|------|
| 1 | Turkish Lira | 100% |
| 2 | Syrian Pound | 0% |
| 3 | United States Dollar | 0% |

Health

95% of newly-arrived IDP households (330) reportedly could access essential healthcare

View the interactive dashboard here

This factsheet is supplementary to the interactive ENT dashboard which is updated on a daily basis with up-to -date information on humanitarian needs across northwest Syria .For more information please contact Amber van Dessel -amber.van-dessel@reach-initiative.org

¹ KIs could choose from multiple answer options so answers may exceed 100%

² Refers to percentage of total newly-arrived IDP households

³ Refers to percentage of assessed communities

✖ IDP Priority Needs

Top ranked priority needs for newly-arrived IDPs
(by % of 38 assessed communities where KIs selected a first, second, and third priority need for IDPs):³

| Type | First priority need (# of assessed communities reporting) | First priority need (% of assessed communities reporting) | Second priority need (# of assessed communities reporting) | Second priority need (% of assessed communities reporting) | Third priority need (# of assessed communities reporting) | Third priority need (% of assessed communities reporting) |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|
| Winterisation kits | 0 | 0% | 1 | 3% | 0 | 0% |
| Multi-purpose cash grants | 21 | 55% | 4 | 11% | 2 | 5% |
| Cash for rent | 4 | 11% | 5 | 13% | 0 | 0% |
| New/additional shelters | 6 | 16% | 3 | 8% | 2 | 5% |
| Food rations (in-kind) | 5 | 13% | 15 | 39% | 11 | 29% |
| Cash for work | 0 | 0% | 4 | 11% | 5 | 13% |
| Employment support | 0 | 0% | 1 | 3% | 2 | 5% |
| NFI kits | 0 | 0% | 3 | 8% | 13 | 34% |
| Water provision/rehabilitation | 1 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 3% |
| Hygiene kits | 1 | 3% | 2 | 5% | 0 | 0% |
| Education support | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 3% |
| Medicines and other commodities | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 3% |



Humanitarian Assistance

76%

In 29 communities, KIs reported that the preferred modality of assistance for newly-arrived IDPs was cash assistance

100%

In 38 communities, KIs reported that no barriers were present for humanitarian actors when accessing the community

💧 Water, Sanitation and Hygiene (WASH)

Most commonly reported drinking water sources for newly-arrived IDP households in assessed communities:^{1, 3}

- 1 Informal water trucking conducted by private citizens 61%
- 2 Formal water trucking conducted by authorities or an NGO 42%
- 3 Piped water network 26%

3%

of newly-arrived IDP households (9) reportedly did not have access to a sufficient quantity of drinking water, while 9% (32) reportedly did not have access to a sufficient quantity of water for other purposes such as cooking, bathing, and washing

13%

of newly-arrived IDP households (45) reportedly did not have access to functioning hand-washing facilities with water and soap

3%

of newly-arrived IDP households (11) reportedly did not have access to a functioning toilet

34%

In 13 communities, KIs reported that soap and hygiene items are too expensive for some newly-arrived IDPs

About REACH

REACH Initiative facilitates the development of information tools and products that enhance the capacity of aid actors to make evidence-based decisions in emergency, recovery and development contexts. The methodologies used by REACH include primary data collection and in-depth analysis, and all activities are conducted through inter-agency aid coordination mechanisms. REACH is a joint initiative of IMPACT Initiatives, ACTED and the United Nations Institute for Training and Research - Operational Satellite Applications Programme (UNITAR-UNOSAT).