Research Terms of Reference

Actor and service mapping in Kakuma refugee camps KEN 2007a
KENYA

July 2022 V1



1. Executive Summary

Country of	Kenya						
intervention							
Type of Emergency		Natural disaster	Χ	Conflict		Other (specify)	
Type of Crisis		Sudden onset		Slow onset	Х	Protracted	
Mandating Body/	Unite	d Nations High Commissione	r fo	r Refugees (UNHCR), (Gove	ernment of Kenya (GOK)	
Agency							
IMPACT Project Code							
	24E	:PB					
Overall Research							
Timeframe (from	01/06	5/2022 to 30/09/2022					
research design to final							
outputs / M&E)							
Research Timeframe		ot/ training: 18/07/2022		6. Preliminary pre			
(mapping of	2. Sta	art collect data: 19/ 07/ 2022		· ·	7. Outputs sent for validation: 29/08/2022		
humanitarian,	3. Da	ta collected: 29/07/2022		8. Outputs publis	8. Outputs published: 30/09/2022		
development and	4. Da	ta analysed: 12/08/2022		9. Final presenta	Final presentation: upon request		
government agencies)	5. Da	ta sent for validation: 12/08/2	022				
Number of	x Single assessment (one cycle)						
	□ Multi assessment (more than one cycle)						
assessments		Multi assessment (more that	an o	ne cycle)			
assessments Humanitarian	Miles	,	an o	ne cycle) Deadline			
		,	an o	<u> </u>	oroje	ct	
Humanitarian	Miles	tone	an o	Deadline	oroje	ct	
Humanitarian	Miles	Donor plan/strategy	an o	Deadline	oroje	ct	
Humanitarian	Miles x	Donor plan/strategy Inter-cluster plan/strategy		Deadline	oroje	ct	
Humanitarian	Miles x	Donor plan/strategy Inter-cluster plan/strategy Cluster plan/strategy		Deadline Throughout the p	ctors	s in Kakuma	
Humanitarian	Miles x	Donor plan/strategy Inter-cluster plan/strategy Cluster plan/strategy		Deadline Throughout the p // Implementing a refugee camps	ctors	s in Kakuma use these findings	
Humanitarian	Miles x	Donor plan/strategy Inter-cluster plan/strategy Cluster plan/strategy		Deadline Throughout the p	ctors will o	s in Kakuma use these findings ational decisions	
Humanitarian	Miles x x x	Donor plan/strategy Inter-cluster plan/strategy Cluster plan/strategy NGO platform plan/strategy		Deadline Throughout the p // Implementing a refugee camps	ctors will o	s in Kakuma use these findings ational decisions	
Humanitarian	Miles x	Donor plan/strategy Inter-cluster plan/strategy Cluster plan/strategy		Deadline Throughout the p	ctors will o	s in Kakuma use these findings ational decisions	

Audience Type &	□ Strategic	x General Product Mailing (to NGO consortium;
Dissemination Specify	□ Programmatic	HCT participants; Donors)
who will the assessment	x Operational	□ Cluster Mailing (Protection,
inform and how you will	☐ [Other, Specify]	Security, Education, Shelter and WASH) and presentation of findings at next cluster meeting
disseminate to inform the	[Other, openiy]	
audience		x Presentation of findings (UNHCR, NRC, and sector working groups)
		x Website Dissemination (Relief Web & REACH Resource Centre)
		□ [Other, Specify]
Detailed	□ Yes	x No
dissemination plan		
required		
General Objective	To map actors and services offered to	the refugee community by different agencies so
	as to identify possible service gaps and	d enhance the delivery of services in a
	collaborative and coordinated approach	h.
Specific Objective(s)	To understand the kinds of se	rvices offered in Kakuma Refugee Camps.
	To identify services that actor	s consider to be effective and adequate.
	3) To identify and provide up-to-	date data on the implementing actors' activities,
	their locations, and all the ser	vices provided to refugees in Kakuma refugee
	camps.	
	4) To support operational planni	ng by humanitarian actors through the
	identification of gaps in servic	e delivery coverage within the refugee camps and
	the provision of maps showing	g the coverage.
Research Questions	Who are the implementing a within the refugee camps loc	ctors providing services to refugees cated?
		vided by the implementing actors to the
	refugees in the refugee cam	-
	Which services do actors co	nsider to be adequate and effective?
	4. Which actions can actors tal	ke to improve service delivery to the
	refugee community?	
	5. Where are the ongoing inter	ventions being implemented within the
	camps?	
	6. Where are the gaps in service	ce delivery in the refugee camps?
Geographic Coverage	Kakuma refugee camps (Kakuma 1, Ka	akuma 2, Kakuma 3, Kakuma 4)
Secondary data	 Actor and Service Mapping in 	Dadaab and Kakuma Refugee Camps conducted
sources). This will be used to develop the methodology
	and identify the implementing	partners that will be visited to discuss and
	conceptualize the findings.	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	nt in Kakuma Refugee Camps, conducted by
		s will be used to triangulate identfied services
	gaps with sector specfic need	
		esment conducted by REACH in September
	<u> </u>	ntify sector-specific needs and triangulate with
	1	actors and highlight possible service gaps.
	4. Open Street Map and Google	Maps – This will guide the development of maps.
	<u> </u>	

		 5. Existing matrices from REACH and databases from UNHCR. This will be used as a guide to identifying existing actors in Kakuma and the development of the methodology. 6. UNHCR Kenya statistical package. The source will provide background information about Kakuma camps, especially demographic information, 						
	7	resettlement and repatriation trends that will help triangulate the findings. Z. UNHCR monthly operational update for Kakuma camp and Kalobeyei settlement. This will provide background information on sectoral needs in Kakuma and be triangulated with findings about the different services that actors offer in the camps.						
Population(s)		IDPs in camp				IDPs in informa	al s	sites
Select all that apply		IDPs in host communities				IDPs [Other, Sp		
, ,,,,,	Х	Refugees in camp				Refugees in in		
		Refugees in host communi	tipe			Refugees [Oth		
		Host communities	lics		X	Implementing		
Stratification	X	Geographical #: 4		Gro		#:		I
Select type(s) and enter	^	Population size per strata			•	r ion size per		Population size per
number of strata		is known? x Yes □ No				known?		strata is known?
number of strata		IS KNOWN? X YES \(\text{ NO} \)				No		Strata is known? □ Yes □ No
Data collection tool(s)	Х	Structured (Quantitative)		⊔ T	es ∟ □	Semi-structure	4 (
Data Collection (col(s)		,						·
	Sampling method				Data collection method			
Structured data	•	<u> </u>						
Structured data	x Purp					Key informant inte	ervi	ew (Target #): 40 (KOBO
collection tool # Key	x Purp				х	Key informant inte		
collection tool # Key informant interview	x Purp	osive bability / Simple random	om		x l	llect will be used)		ew (Target #): 40 (KOBO
collection tool # Key informant interview (KII) tool with	x Purp □ Pro □ Pro	osive bability / Simple random bability / Stratified simple rando	om		x Co	llect will be used) Group discussion	ı ı (T	ew (Target #): 40 (KOBO
collection tool # Key informant interview (KII) tool with humanitarian	x Purp □ Pro □ Pro □ Pro	posive bability / Simple random bability / Stratified simple rando bability / Cluster sampling			x Co	llect will be used) Group discussior Household interv	n (T iew	ew (Target #): 40 (KOBO farget #):
collection tool # Key informant interview (KII) tool with	x Purp ro Pro Pro Pro	posive bability / Simple random bability / Stratified simple rando bability / Cluster sampling bability / Stratified cluster samp			x Co	llect will be used) Group discussion Household intervieus	i (T iew ew (ew (Target #): 40 (KOBO farget #): (Target #): (Target #):
collection tool # Key informant interview (KII) tool with humanitarian	x Purp ro Pro Pro Pro	posive bability / Simple random bability / Stratified simple rando bability / Cluster sampling			x Co	llect will be used) Group discussior Household interv	i (T iew ew (ew (Target #): 40 (KOBO farget #): (Target #): (Target #):
collection tool # Key informant interview (KII) tool with humanitarian	x Purp ro Pro Pro Pro	posive bability / Simple random bability / Stratified simple rando bability / Cluster sampling bability / Stratified cluster samp			x Co	llect will be used) Group discussion Household intervieus	iew ew (ew (Target #): 40 (KOBO farget #): (Target #): (Target #): Target #
collection tool # Key informant interview (KII) tool with humanitarian agencies in Kakuma	x Purp Pro Pro Pro Pro	posive bability / Simple random bability / Stratified simple rando bability / Cluster sampling bability / Stratified cluster sampliner, Specify]			X Co	Group discussion Household interv Individual intervie Direct observation [Other, Specify] (iew iew (ns (ew (Target #): 40 (KOBO farget #): (Target #): (Target #): Target # figet #):
collection tool # Key informant interview (KII) tool with humanitarian	x Purp Pro Pro Pro Pro	posive bability / Simple random bability / Stratified simple rando bability / Cluster sampling bability / Stratified cluster samp			X Co	Illect will be used) Group discussion Household intervie Individual intervie Direct observation	iew iew (ns (ew (Target #): 40 (KOBO farget #): (Target #): (Target #): Target # figet #):
collection tool # Key informant interview (KII) tool with humanitarian agencies in Kakuma Target level of precision if	x Purp Pro Pro Pro Pro	posive bability / Simple random bability / Stratified simple rando bability / Cluster sampling bability / Stratified cluster sampliner, Specify]			X Co	Group discussion Household interv Individual intervie Direct observation [Other, Specify] (iew iew (ns (ew (Target #): 40 (KOBO farget #): (Target #): (Target #): Target # figet #):
collection tool # Key informant interview (KII) tool with humanitarian agencies in Kakuma Target level of precision if probability sampling	x Purp Pro Pro Pro Pro	posive bability / Simple random bability / Stratified simple rando bability / Cluster sampling bability / Stratified cluster sampliner, Specify]			X Co	Group discussion Household interv Individual intervie Direct observation [Other, Specify] (iew iew (ns (ew (Target #): 40 (KOBO farget #): (Target #): (Target #): Target # figet #):
collection tool # Key informant interview (KII) tool with humanitarian agencies in Kakuma Target level of precision if probability sampling Data management	x Purp Pro Pro Pro Pro	posive bability / Simple random bability / Stratified simple rando bability / Cluster sampling bability / Stratified cluster samp ner, Specify] evel of confidence			Co	Group discussion Household intervie Individual intervie Direct observation [Other, Specify] (_+/- % margin of 6	iew iew (ns (ew (Target #): 40 (KOBO farget #): (Target #): (Target #): Target # figet #):
collection tool # Key informant interview (KII) tool with humanitarian agencies in Kakuma Target level of precision if probability sampling	x Purp Pro Pro Pro Pro	posive bability / Simple random bability / Stratified simple rando bability / Cluster sampling bability / Stratified cluster samp ner, Specify] evel of confidence			Co	Group discussion Household intervie Individual intervie Direct observation [Other, Specify] (_+/- % margin of 6	iew iew (ns (ew (Target #): 40 (KOBO farget #): (Target #): (Target #): Target # figet #):
collection tool # Key informant interview (KII) tool with humanitarian agencies in Kakuma Target level of precision if probability sampling Data management platform(s)	x Purp Pro Pro Pro Pro	osive bability / Simple random bability / Stratified simple rando bability / Cluster sampling bability / Stratified cluster samp her, Specify] evel of confidence IMPACT [Other, Specify]			X Co	Group discussion Household intervie Direct observation [Other, Specify] (_+/- % margin of e	iew iew (ns (ew (Target #): 40 (KOBO farget #): (Target #): Target # figet #): for a set #
collection tool # Key informant interview (KII) tool with humanitarian agencies in Kakuma Target level of precision if probability sampling Data management platform(s) Expected ouput	x Purp Pro Pro Pro Control Control X	posive bability / Simple random bability / Stratified simple randor bability / Cluster sampling bability / Stratified cluster sampler, Specify] evel of confidence IMPACT	lling		X Co	Group discussion Household intervie Individual intervie Direct observation [Other, Specify] (_+/- % margin of 6	n (T iew ew (Tar	ew (Target #): 40 (KOBO farget #): (Target #): Target # figet #):
collection tool # Key informant interview (KII) tool with humanitarian agencies in Kakuma Target level of precision if probability sampling Data management platform(s)	x Purp Pro Pro Pro Control Control X	posive bability / Simple random bability / Stratified simple randor bability / Cluster sampling bability / Stratified cluster sampler, Specify] evel of confidence IMPACT [Other, Specify] Situation overview #:	iling	Rep	x Co	Group discussion Household intervie Individual intervie Direct observation [Other, Specify] (_+/- % margin of e UNHCR	iew (ew (ew (Target #): 40 (KOBO farget #): (Target #): Target # figet #): Profile #:
collection tool # Key informant interview (KII) tool with humanitarian agencies in Kakuma Target level of precision if probability sampling Data management platform(s) Expected ouput	x Purp Pro Pro Pro Control X	posive bability / Simple random bability / Stratified simple randor bability / Cluster sampling bability / Stratified cluster sampler, Specify] evel of confidence IMPACT [Other, Specify] Situation overview #: Presentation (Preliminary	iling	Rep	x Co	Group discussion Household intervie Direct observation [Other, Specify] (_+/- % margin of e	n (Tiew)	ew (Target #): 40 (KOBO farget #): (Target #): Target # get #): Profile #:
collection tool # Key informant interview (KII) tool with humanitarian agencies in Kakuma Target level of precision if probability sampling Data management platform(s) Expected ouput	x Purp Pro Pro Pro Control X	posive bability / Simple random bability / Stratified simple randor bability / Cluster sampling bability / Stratified cluster sampler, Specify] evel of confidence IMPACT [Other, Specify] Situation overview #:	iling	Rep Pres #: 1	x Co	Group discussion Household intervie Individual intervie Direct observation [Other, Specify] (_+/- % margin of e UNHCR #: ation (Final)	n (Tiew)	ew (Target #): 40 (KOBO farget #): f(Target #): Target # figet #): Profile #: Factsheet #:
collection tool # Key informant interview (KII) tool with humanitarian agencies in Kakuma Target level of precision if probability sampling Data management platform(s) Expected ouput	x Purp Pro Pro Pro Control X	posive bability / Simple random bability / Stratified simple randor bability / Cluster sampling bability / Stratified cluster sampler, Specify] evel of confidence IMPACT [Other, Specify] Situation overview #: Presentation (Preliminary findings) #: Interactive dashboard #:	x	Rep Pres #: 1	x Co	Group discussion Household intervie Individual intervie Direct observation [Other, Specify] (_+/- % margin of e UNHCR	Tar	ew (Target #): 40 (KOBO farget #): (Target #): Target # figet #): Profile #: Factsheet #:
collection tool # Key informant interview (KII) tool with humanitarian agencies in Kakuma Target level of precision if probability sampling Data management platform(s) Expected ouput	x Purp Pro Pro Pro Pro Pro Control X	posive bability / Simple random bability / Stratified simple randor bability / Cluster sampling bability / Stratified cluster sampliner, Specify] evel of confidence IMPACT [Other, Specify] Situation overview #: Presentation (Preliminary findings) #: Interactive dashboard #: Updated 3W Matrix analysis	x x s#:	Rep Pres #: 1	x Co	Group discussion Household intervie Individual intervie Direct observation [Other, Specify] (_+/- % margin of e UNHCR #: ation (Final)	Tar	ew (Target #): 40 (KOBO farget #): f(Target #): Target # figet #): Profile #: Factsheet #:
collection tool # Key informant interview (KII) tool with humanitarian agencies in Kakuma Target level of precision if probability sampling Data management platform(s) Expected ouput	x Purp Pro Pro Pro Control X X X	posive bability / Simple random bability / Stratified simple randor bability / Cluster sampling bability / Stratified cluster sampler, Specify] evel of confidence IMPACT [Other, Specify] Situation overview #: Presentation (Preliminary findings) #: Interactive dashboard #:	x x s#:	Rep Pres #: 1	x Co	Group discussion Household intervie Individual intervie Direct observation [Other, Specify] (_+/- % margin of e UNHCR #: ation (Final)	Tar	ew (Target #): 40 (KOBO farget #): f(Target #): Target # figet #): Profile #: Factsheet #:

		Restricted (bilateral dissemination only upon agreed dissemination list, no publication on REACH or other platforms)				
Visibility Specify which	REA	REACH				
logos should be on						
outputs	Dono	r: European union Civil protection and Humanitarian aid (ECHO)				
	Coor	Coordination Framework: Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)				
	Partr	ners: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR)				

2. Rationale

2.1 Background

Kakuma refugee camp is in the North-western region of Kenya. The camp was established in 1992 following the arrival of the "Lost Boys of Sudan". As of 30th April 2022, a total of 182,6352 mostly South Sudanese refugees resided in Kakuma refugee camps (Kakuma 1, Kakuma 2, Kakuma 3, and Kakuma 4 camps).

In view of the protracted situation of Kakuma refugee camp in Kenya, many actors have been providing services since the establishment of the camps. The refugee camps are managed by the Department of Refugee Affairs (DRS) while the UNHCR coordinates all humanitarian actors operating in the camps. The average population of refugees in Kakuma has been steadily increasing since 2018³. As a result, access to critical services remains constrained as more people get added to the already populated camps. In May 2021, REACH conducted an Actor and service mapping exercise in Kakuma⁴ refugee camps and developed 3W maps that showed the location and types of services offered by different actors operating in Kakuma. The existing information on implementing actors and the services they provide needs to be updated and consolidated in a single database. REACH will review the data that will be shared bilaterally by UNHCR and other actors about the different implementing actors and services that they provide in the Kakuma refugee camps. In addition, REACH will conduct information needs assessment to interrogate channels used by development, humanitarian, and government actors to disseminate information to the community with a view to finding out possible gaps in service delivery. Findings from the information needs assessment will complement the actors and services mapping by providing information needs and access data that will feed into an overall interactive dashboard. This information will provide the existing actors and any new actors with an overview of existing implementing partners operating in Kakuma refugee camps, the services provided by different development, humanitarian, and government actors, and identify the overlaps and gaps in service provision so that these can be addressed.

Intended impact

REACH will therefore build on the actor and services mapping conducted in 2021 to come up with a more comprehensive database of different actors and the services they provide in the camps. REACH will seek to understand the development, humanitarian and government actors providing different services in Kakuma and identify possible gaps in service delivery. REACH will develop a situation overview with some key recommendations on how to transfer some of these services into a more developmental approach and highlight services that actors perceive to be impactful. The actor and service mapping is intended to improve humanitarian service access by informing advocacy and operational coordination, thus indirectly benefiting the refugees in need. REACH will come up with a situation overview, develop 3W maps and a 3W matrix analysis for Kakuma refugee camps highlighting the actors and services offered in for Kakuma refugee camps.

¹ UNHCR information on Kakuma refugee camp and Kalobeyei Integrated settlement.

² https://www.unhcr.org/ke/wp-content/uploads/sites/2/2022/05/Kenya-Statistics-Package-30-April-2022.pdf

³ Kakuma & Kalobeyei spatial profile

⁴ Actor and service mapping in Dadaab and Kakuma refugee camps in November 2020

3. Methodology

3.1 Methodology overview

First, REACH will conduct an extensive review of existing actors' databases from UNHCR to inform the methodology of this assessment and triangulate primary data collection. The REACH field officer will communicate via email to representatives of previously identified actor agencies and new agencies about the intention to conduct this assessment. The REACH field officer will share the terms of reference for this assessment with representatives and book interview appointments with them.

Secondly, REACH will conduct KIIs with representatives of different humanitarian, development, and government actors using the KOBO Collect tool on smartphones. The name of the camp, implementing partner, and sectors of intervention and office location will be recorded in the survey tool. After the cleaning and analysis of the primary data, REACH will update the existing 3W matrix that indicates who is doing what, and where and identify service delivery gaps. In addition, one 3W map per camp will be produced. The data collection exercise is planned for July 2022. REACH staff conducting KIIs will the humanitarian, development and government actor representatives will seek to find out some of the information needs (related to service delivery) of the community living in Kakuma refugee camps.

3.2 Population of interest

- Geographical area assessed: Kakuma refugee camps (Kakuma1, Kakuma2, Kakuma3, Kakuma4)
- Population assessed: The population of interest is refugees and asylum seekers living in the three refugee
 camps as well as humanitarian and government actors who will be purposively selected from agencies
 operating in Kakuma.
- Unit of measurement: The unit of measurement will be community.

3.3 Secondary data review:

Available secondary data from past REACH assessments and UNHCR databases will be used to inform the research design and identify the geographical locations of the actors to be assessed. 3W maps from the previous actors and service mapping conducted by REACH will provide background information about some of the actors and services available in Kakuma refugee camps. The multi-sectoral need assessment done by REACH will also provide an overview of possible service gaps that exist in Kakuma and help triangulate findings from this assessment. Information from UNHCR sources will provide additional information that will also provide important demographic information, resettlement, and repatriation trends as well as operational updates on services that actors offer in different sectors. These facts and figures from UNHCR will be used to triangulate the findings of this assessment. Some of the secondary data sources that will be reviewed include:

- Actor and Service Mapping in Dadaab and Kakuma Refugee Camps conducted by REACH in November 2020.
 This will be used to develop the methodology and identify the implementing partners that will be visited to discuss and conceptualize the findings.
- ii. <u>Multi-sector needs assessment in Kakuma Refugee Camps, conducted by REACH in October 2020.</u> This will be used to triangulate service gaps with sector specfic needs in the camps.
- iii. <u>Joint multi-sectoral needs assesment conducted by REACH in September 2020</u>. This will be used to identify sector -specific needs and traingulate with services provided by different actors and highlight possible service gaps.
- iv. Open Street Map and Google Maps This will guide in the development of the maps.
- v. <u>Existing 3W matrices from REACH</u> and databases from UNHCR. This will be used as a guide to identifying existing actors in Kakuma and the development of the methodology.
- vi. <u>UNHCR Kenya statistical package.</u> The source will provide background information about Kakuma camps, especially demographic information, resettlement and repatriation trends that will help triangulate the findings.
- vii. <u>UNHCR monthly operational update for Kakuma camp and Kalobeyei settlement.</u> This will provide background information on sectoral needs in Kakuma and be triangulated with findings about the different services that actors offer in the camps.

3.4 Primary Data Collection

REACH staff (Assessment officer, Field officer and Database Officer) will conduct the primary data collection with development (5), humanitarian (35) and government agency (5) key informants (KIs) who implement programmes in Kakuma. REACH, with support from NRC and UNCHR, will map out all the implementing partners operating within the refugee camps and come up with a list of KIs from the implementing partners. The target KIs will be heads of organizations, heads of programs/sectors or communication heads. NRC will also support REACH to contact the implementing partners prior to the data collection day. KIs will provide primary data on their service provision in the camps. This will be done through semi-structured interviews. GPS locations of the offices of the implementing partners will also be collected to help in developing maps. A snowballing technique will also be used to ensure no implementing partner is left unmapped. Each KI interviewed will be asked if there are any other actors also responding to the same sectors as their organization in the respective camp.

3.5 Data Processing & Analysis

All data from the KIIs will be entered into KOBO Collect and uploaded daily onto the KOBO server. Daily data cleaning will be conducted by the database officer to identify potential errors and anomalies as established in MPACT's Data Cleaning Minimum Standards Checklist. The outcomes of the data quality checks will be shared with the REACH staff who will ensure the anomalies are corrected. On finalization of data cleaning, the data will be analyzed through statistical software (either R or excel) and will include descriptive statistics in addition to more advanced statistical analysis where appropriate.

Once data analysis is completed, the findings will be discussed and contextualized with relevant partners. The results of the data analysis will be used to come up with an interactive dashboard, update an existing 3W matrix and develop a situation overview with recommendations on the impactful services that can be provided to the camps. In addition, 3W maps will be produced for each camp.

4. Key ethical considerations and related risks

The proposed research design meets / does not meet the following criteria:

The proposed research design	Yes/ No	Details if no (including mitigation)
Has been coordinated with relevant stakeholders to avoid unnecessary duplication of data collection efforts?	Yes	Consultation with UNHCR, CwC, DRS, NRC and partners in Kakuma operation
Respects respondents, their rights and dignity (specifically by: seeking informed consent, designing length of survey/ discussion while being considerate of participants' time, ensuring accurate reporting of information provided)?	Yes	We will seek consent for participation
Does not expose data collectors to any risks as a direct result of participation in data collection?	Yes	
Does not expose respondents / their communities to any risks as a direct result of participation in data collection?	Yes	
Does not involve collecting information on specific topics which may be stressful and/ or re-traumatizing for research participants (both respondents and data collectors)?	Yes	This survey targets information on services offered by different actors in the refugee camps
Does not involve data collection with minors i.e., anyone less than 18 years old?	Yes	Respondents will be adults above 18 years
Does not involve data collection with other vulnerable groups e.g., persons with disabilities, victims/ survivors of protection incidents, etc.?	Yes/No	Given that we do not know the profile of key informants beforehand; we will not be able to ascertain whether they belong to vulnerable groups. Nonetheless, enumerators will receive training on ensuring questions are asked in a non-intrusive, sensitive manner in order to mitigate any unintended harm. Additionally, respondents always have the option to not answer any question (prefer not to answer) or withdraw consent for the interview at any stage.
Follows IMPACT SOPs for management of personally identifiable information?	Yes	

5. Roles and responsibilities

Table 3: Description of roles and responsibilities

Task Description	Responsible	Accountable	Consulted	Informed
Research design	Assessment Officer	Senior Assessment officer	IMPACT HQ Research Design and Data Unit (RDDU), GIS Officer, UNHCR	Country coordinator Deputy country coordinator
Supervising data collection	Senior Field Officer	Assessment Officer	IMPACT HQ RDDU, IMPACT HQ Research Manager, IMPACT HQ GIS Officer	Country coordinator
Data processing (checking, cleaning)	Senior Field Officer, Data Base Officer	Assessment Officer	IMPACT HQ RDDU, Research Manager	Country Cordinator
Data analysis	Database Officer, GIS Officer	Assessment Officer	Research Manager, RDDU, UNHCR	Country coordinator, NRC
Output production	GIS Officer, Assessment Officer	Senior Assessment Officer	Research Manager, IMPACT HQ Reporting Unit (RRU), UNHCR	Country coordinator, NRC Deputy country coordinator
Dissemination	Assessment Officer	Senior Assessment Officer	Research Manager, IMPACT HQ Communications Officer, UNHCR	Country coordinator, NRC Deputy country cordinator
Monitoring & Evaluation	Assessment Officer	Senior Assessment Officer	Research Manager, IMPACT HQ Resaerch Department UNHCR	Country coordinator, NRC Deputy country coordinator
Lessons learned	Assessment Officer	Senior Assessment Officer	Research Manager, IMPACT HQ Research Department UNHCR	Country coordinator, NRC Deputy country coordinator

Responsible: the person(s) who executes the task

Accountable: the person who validates the completion of the task and is accountable of the final output or milestone

Consulted: the person(s) who must be consulted when the task is implemented **Informed:** the person(s) who need to be informed when the task is completed

5. Data Analysis Plan

Available at the following link:

 $https://www.impact-repository.org/document/repository/abaa554b/REACH_KEN_2007a_Actor-and-service-mapping-DAP_Kakuma.pdf$

6. Data Management Plan

Administrative Data						
Research Cycle name	Actor, service and infrastructure mapping	in Ka	akuma refugee camps			
Project Code	24EPB					
Donor	ECHO					
Project partners	NRC					
Research Contacts	Pieter De Schepper - pieter.de-schepper@rea	ch-in	itiative.org			
Data Management Plan	Date: 01/06/2022					
Version						
Related Policies						
Documentation and Metadata						
What documentation	x Data analysis plan	X	Data Cleaning Log, including:			
and metadata will		Х	Deletion Log			
accompany the data?			x Value Change Log			
Select all that apply	□ Code book		Data Dictionary			
	☐ Metadata based on HDX		[Other, Specify]			
	Standards		[care, speany]			
Ethics and Lagal Compliance						
Ethics and Legal Compliance Which ethical and legal	1		Consent of participants to share personal			
measures will be taken?	x Consent of participants to participate		information with other agencies			
medearee will be taken	□ No collection of personally identifiable	Х	Gender, child protection and other			
	data will take place		protection issues are taken into account			
	x All participants reached age of		[Other, Specify]			
	majority		[54.6., 565]			
Who will own the	IMPACT initiatives					
copyright and	IIVII AOT IIIIIIIIIIVOS					
Intellectual Property						
Rights for the data that is						
collected?						
Storage and Backup						
Where will data be stored and backed up	x IMPACT/REACH Kobo Server		Other Kobo Server: [specify]			
during the research?	☐ IMPACT Global Physical / Cloud		Country/Internal Server			
during the research:	,		Country/Internal Server			
	Server					
	☐ On devices held by REACH staff		Physical location [specify]			
	□ [Other, Specify]					
Which data access and	x Password protection on	Х	Data access is limited to REACH			
security measures have	devices/servers	^	staff			
been taken?		+-				
	Form and data encryption on					
	data collection server		accessing raw data			

		[Other, Specify]							
Kobo Access Rigi	hts								
Kobo Access			Person		Account Name				
View Form		enum	erators		Reach_enum				
View and Edit Forn	1	Amos	Leaduma		Reach	_ken	ya		
View Form and S Data	ubmit	enum	enumerators			_enu	ım		
Download Data		Amos	Amos Leaduma			_ken	ya		
Raw Data Access	Diahte								
						_			
Raw Data Ac	cess		Reason				Perso	n	
Accountable		Accou	ıntable		Amos	Lead	luma		
Access									
Preservation									
	a be ı-term		PACT / REACH Global Clo ysical Server	oud /		OC	HA HDX		
preservation?		REACH Country Server				[Oth	ner, Specify]		
Data Sharing									
Will the data be si publically?	nared	x Yes					No, only with mandating agency /		
Will all data be sha	red?	□ Ye	□ Yes				only anony	mized/ cleaned/	
		□ No	□ No, [Other, Specify]				isoliualeu uala	will be shared	
Where will you sha data?	re the	x RE	x REACH Resource Centre			□ OCHA HDX			
data.		□ Hu	□ Humanitarian Response			□ [Other, Specify]			
Data musta ati an mi	-1								
Data protection ris			•			No	no information	that patantially	
Have you complete Indicators Risk Ass		^ 16	x Yes			□ No, no information that potentially allows identification of individuals is to			
table below?	essinent						collected.	II OI IIIUIVIUUAIS IS IO	
table below?		[Dlass	Indiant			abla balawi			
		[Fleas	e complete the first 4 columr	is iii tile	mulcal)15 KI	sk Assessilletil l	able below]	
Risk indicator	Туре	of	Disclosure	В	enefits	Required			
RISK INDICATOR	identificati	on risk	implications	Ь	enents		Class	mitigation	
GPS coordinates	Direct		Loss of privacy	The G	SPS po	ints			
of facilities	identificati	on of		will be	e used i	to			
	key inform	ants		gener	ate the				
				maps	os and				
				intera	ctive da	ash			
				board					
Position of the KI	Direct		Loss of privacy	For fo	llow up				
	identificati	on of		incase	e there	are			
	key inform	ants		any is	sues ir	l			
				the da	•				

Actor, service and infrustructure mapping in Kakuma refugee camps, July 2022

Phone number of the KI	Direct identification of key informants	Loss of privacy	For follow up incase there are any issues in the data			
Responsibilities						
Data collection	Mohan	ned Mahat - mohamed	d.mahat@reach-initiati	/e.org		
Data cleaning	Data cleaning Amos Leaduma - amos.leaduma@reach-initiative.org					
Data analysis	Data analysis Amos Leaduma - amos.leaduma@reach-initiative.org					
Data sharing/uploading Amos Leaduma - amos.leaduma@reach-initiative.org						

7. Monitoring & Evaluation Plan

IMPACT Objective	External M&E Indicator	Internal M&E Indicator	Focal point	Tool	Will indicator be tracked?
		# of downloads of x product from Resource Center	Country request to HQ		x Yes
	Number of humanitarian	# of downloads of x product from Relief Web	Country request to HQ		x Yes
Humanitarian stakeholders are	organisations accessing IMPACT services/products	# of downloads of x product from Country level platforms	Country team		x Yes
accessing IMPACT products	Number of individuals accessing IMPACT	# of page clicks on x product from REACH global newsletter	Country request to HQ	User_log	x Yes
	services/products	# of page clicks on x product from country newsletter, sendingBlue, bit.ly	Country team		x Yes
		# of visits to x webmap/x dashboard	Country request to HQ		x Yes
IMPACT activities contribute to better		# references in HPC documents (HNO, SRP, Flash appeals, Cluster/sector strategies)			NRC advocacy strategy.
program implementation and coordination of the humanitarian response	Number of humanitarian organisations utilizing IMPACT services/products	# references in single agency documents	Country team	Reference_I og	-Comprehensive Refugee Response Framework -Kenya
Humanitarian stakeholders are using IMPACT products	Humanitarian actors use IMPACT evidence/products as a basis for decision making, aid planning and delivery Number of humanitarian	Perceived relevance of IMPACT country-programs	Country team	Usage_Feed back <i>and</i> Usage_Surv ey template	Decisions made and implemented on the basis of the assessment – to be checked with operational and donor partners to ask what actions they took on the basis of the findings and recommendations

	documents (HNO, HRP, cluster/agency strategic plans, etc.) directly informed by IMPACT products	Perceived usefulness and influence of IMPACT outputs Recommendations to strengthen IMPACT programs Perceived capacity of IMPACT staff Perceived quality of outputs/programs Recommendations to strengthen IMPACT programs			This assessment may also be included in a usage survey of partners if one is conducted in the future.
Humanitarian stakeholders are	Number and/or percentage of humanitarian organizations directly	# of organisations providing resources (i.e.staff, vehicles, meeting space, budget, etc.) for activity implementation		_	x Yes
engaged in IMPACT programs throughout the research cycle	contributing to IMPACT programs (providing resources, participating to presentations, etc.)	# of organisations/clusters inputting in research design and joint analysis	Country	Engagement _log	x Yes
		# of organisations/clusters attending briefings on findings;			x Yes

ANNEX 1: METHODOLOGY NOTES (IF RELEVANT)

ANNEX 2: [OTHER SPECIFY]