

Iraq

Vulnerability Profiling of UNHCR Multi-Purpose Cash Recipients and Refugees

Kurdistan Region of Iraq

November 2017





Shaping practices Influencing policies Impacting lives



IMPACTShaping practices Influencing policies Impacting lives

VULNERABILITY PROFILING

This report has been drafted based on the declarations of MPCA beneficiaries. It aims at providing UNHCR an overview of their living conditions and main difficulties in the Kurdistan region of Iraq (KR-I). Thus, three indicators related to vulnerability profiling have been included:

1) Income vulnerability

According to a 2009 survey¹, which is the most recent comprehensive, government-led assessment of poverty in Iraq, poverty is defined as living on 76,896 IQD per person, per month. Income is defined as capital gained through productive means – therefore, debts and donations have not been included in this indicator. Using this as the baseline indicator of absolute poverty, income vulnerability thresholds were modeled based on those established by the regional vulnerability framework² and are illustrated in Table 1.

Limitations

This indicator does not account for difference in expenditures, or the real income needs of beneficiaries, nor does it account for accrued debt, donations, or remittances. Findings are based on reported incomes and household sizes, which could be misreported. Lastly, the poverty line referenced is from a survey that is eight years old, and therefore the study may no longer serve as an accurate benchmark for poverty.

2) Debt dependency

Debt dependency scores are based on dependencies self-identified by beneficiaries. IMPACT asked about how much debt beneficiaries had at the time of the cash distribution, and then to what extent they were dependent on this debt in the month prior to the distribution. Scorings were categorized as shown in Table 2.

Limitations

This indicator does not account for other vulnerabilities that may be related to this debt, such as difficulties related to the owner of the debt. It also does not account for income or expenditure.

3) Coping strategies

Scoring of coping strategies is based on the following categorisations shown in Table 3. These are also modeled on the regional vulnerability framework baseline survey. Findings present the percentage of beneficiaries who have reported using strategies that fall into each of these categories as their primary coping strategy.

Limitations

This methodology does not account for the extent to which families are dependent on each strategy. Ideally, coping strategies should always be seen in the wider context of each household; as such this ranking should be seen as indicative only.

Table 1: Income vulnerability scoring breakdown

Income per person per month (IQD)	Vulnerability score
129,186 or more	Low
76,897 - 129,185	Moderate
45,369 - 76,896	Absolute poverty
45,368 or less	Severe poverty

Table 2: Scoring of debt dependency as an indicator of vulnerability

To what extent were you dependent on loans in the month prior to receiving MPCA?	Scoring	
I have no loans	Low dependency	
I didn't use the loan money during that time.		
d another source of income, but still had to use the loan ney for some expenditures.		
I had another source of income, but still had to use the loan money for about half of my expenditures.	dependency	
Loans were my main form of support, but I had some other income.	High dependency	
Loans were my only form of support; I had no other income	Extreme dependency	

Table 3: Scoring of coping strategies as indicators of vulnerability

Strategy used by household	Indicator	Vulnerability score
No strategies used	No strategies	Low
	Received donations from relatives, the com- munity or religious organization	
Usage of stress strategies	Spent savings to purchase food or basic goods such as hygiene items, water, baby items	Moderate
	Bought commodities to meet basic needs on credit or borrowed money to purchase them	
	Limited portions at meal time	
Usage of crisis strategies = Directly reduce future productivity, including human capital formation	Sought or relied on aid from humanitarian agencies	
	Skipped paying rent to meet other needs High	
	Reduced essential non-food or basic need expenditures such as hygiene items, water, baby items etc	
Existence of emer-	Sent children (under 18) to work	
gency strategies = Affect future productivity and are more difficult to reverse, or more dramatic in nature including loss of human dignity	Sold household items or assets (car, jewelry, sewing machine) in order to buy food or basic goods	Severe
	Moved to a less adequate shelter situation	
	Restricted food consumption of adults in order for small children to eat	

¹ "Confronting Poverty in Iraq", Central Statistics Office of Iraq, 2009. https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/2253

² "Vulnerability Assessment Framework Baseline Survey", UNHCR Jordan, May 2015.





PROFILE OF IDP BENEFICIARIES OF MULTI-PURPOSE CASH ASSISTANCE³

	ERBIL	DOHUK	SULAYMANIYAH
INCOME VULNERABILITY O	OF ASSISTED BENEFICIA	RIES⁴	
Low	•	-	
Moderate	•	-	
Absolute Poverty	•	-	•
Severe Poverty	•	-	•
PRIMARY INCOME SOURCE	E OF ASSISTED BENEFIC	ARIES	
Daily Job	-	-	
Government Salary		-	
Friends' Support	· · · · ·	-	
Nothing		-	
Other		-	
PRIMARY COPING STRATE	GY TYPES OF ASSISTED	BENEFICIARIES	
None			
Stress		_	
Crisis			
Emergency	-	-	
DEBT DEPENDENCY OF AS	SISTED BENEFICIARIES	(IN IQD)	
Low			
Moderate			
High		•	•
Extreme		-	•
MEDIAN DEBT ⁵			
	-	•	-
AVERAGE EXPENDITURE P	PER CAPITA		

³ Findings for IDP MPCA beneficiaries in November have not been reported here due to low sample sizes.

The indicator is measued by calculating average income per capita of household, and then allocating them within the four vulnerability levels.

⁵ Median debt of total sample, including those that reported having no debt.





PROFILE OF IDP BENEFICIARIES OF MULTI-PURPOSE CASH ASSISTANCE

	ERBIL	DOHUK	SULAYMANIYAH
PRIMARY BASIC NEEDS			
Rent Payments		-	
Seasonal Items			
Healthcare	•		
Food	· · ·		
Other			
ACCOMMODATION TYPE			
Independent house/ apartment			
Unfinished shelter			
Hosted by relatives			
Hosted by non-relatives			
Collective house/ apartment			
Tent	•		
Caravan			-
Garage or basement	-		-
Collective centre	-		-
Other	-		-

PERCENT OF MPCA BENEFICIARIES WITH ACCESS TO FUNCTIONAL LATRINE

PERCENT OF MPCA BENEFICIARIES SHARING LATRINE WITH ANOTHER HOUSEHOLD

		-	
HOURS OF ELECTRICITY F	PER DAY ⁶		
No electricity			
Less than 2 hours	-		-
2-6 hours	-		-
6-10 hours			-
More than 10 hours			-

⁶ Respondents were asked if they had access to the electricity network, and if so, for how many hours.



IMPACT Shaping practices Influencing policies Impacting lives

PROFILE OF REFUGEE BENEFICIARIES OF MULTI-PURPOSE CASH ASSISTANCE

	ERBIL	DOHUK	SULAYMANIYAH
INCOME VULNERABILITY	OF ASSISTED BENEFICIA	RIES	
Low	35%		
Moderate	27%		
Absolute Poverty	15%	•	
Severe Poverty	23%		
PRIMARY INCOME SOURC	E OF ASSISTED BENEFIC	ARIES	
Daily Job	65%		
Friends' Support	32%		
Government Salary	1%		100 A.
Nothing	2%		
Other	0%		•
PRIMARY COPING STRATE	EGY TYPES OF ASSISTED	BENEFICIARIES	
None	0%		
Stress	53%	-	
Crisis	44%	-	
Emergency	3%	•	
DEBT DEPENDENCY OF A	SSISTED BENEFICIARIES	(IN IQD)	
Low	21%		
Moderate	51%	-	
High	11%	-	
Extreme	17%		
MEDIAN DEBT			
	600,000 IQD	•	-
AVERAGE EXPENDITURE	PER CAPITA		
	159,057 IQD	-	-



IMPACT Shaping practices Influencing policies Impacting lives

PROFILE OF REFUGEE BENEFICIARIES OF MULTI-PURPOSE CASH ASSISTANCE

	ERBIL	DOHUK	SULAYMANIYAH
PRIMARY BASIC NEEDS			
Rent Payments	40%		
Employment	8%	-	100 A.
Healthcare	31%		100 A.
Food	17%		100 A.
Other	4%	-	
ACCOMMODATION TYPE			
Independent house/ apartment	80%		
Unfinished shelter	4%	-	
Hosted by relatives	8%	-	
Hosted by non-relatives	2%	-	
Collective house/ apartment	6%	-	
Tent	0%	-	
Caravan	0%		
Garage or basement	0%		
Collective centre	0%		
Other	0%		

PERCENT OF MPCA BENEFICIARIES WITH ACCESS TO FUNCTIONAL LATRINE

100%

PERCENT OF MPCA BENEFICIARIES SHARING LATRINE WITH ANOTHER HOUSEHOLD

	27%	-	1.1
HOURS OF ELECTRICITY F	PER DAY		
No electricity	0%		
Less than 2 hours	0%	-	-
2-6 hours	1%	-	
6-10 hours	7%	-	
More than 10 hours	92%		