




# Camp Profile: Menbij East New

Aleppo governorate, Syria

October 2019



## Summary

This profile provides an overview of conditions in Menbij East New settlement. Primary data was collected through household surveys between 2 and 3 October, prior to military escalation in northeast Syria starting on 9 October. Households were randomly sampled to a 95% confidence level and 10% margin of error, based on population figures provided by camp management. In some cases, further additional information from camp managers has been used to support findings.

Menbij East New is one of two large camps in the countryside outside Menbij City. At the time of data collection, the camp was managed by local authorities, and administered by local authorities.

## Location Map




## Camp Overview

**Number of individuals:** 2,833<sup>1</sup>  
**Number of households:** 485<sup>1</sup>  
**Number of shelters:** 561<sup>1</sup>  
**First arrivals:** June 2017  
**Camp area:** 0.09 km<sup>2</sup>

## Demographics

Men			Women		
0%		60+	1%		
17%		18-59	21%		
22%		5-17	19%		
7%		0-4	10%		

## Camp Map



## Sectoral Minimum Standards

Sectoral Minimum Standards			Current round		Previous round (July 2019)	
			Target	Result	Achievement	Result
Shelter	Average number of individuals per shelter	max 4.6	5.0	🟡	6.3	🟩
	Average covered area per person	min 3.5m²	4.6m²	🟢	4.8m²	🔴
	Average camp area per person	min 35m²	32m²	🟡	35m²	🔴
Health	% of 0 - 5 year olds who have received polio vaccinations	100%	47%	🔴	69%	🟢
	Presence of health services within the camp	Yes	No	🔴	Yes	🔴
Protection	% of households reporting safety/security issues in past two weeks	0%	65%	🟡	72%	🟩
Food	% of households receiving assistance in 30 days prior to data collection	100%	93%	🟡	99%	🔴
	% of households with acceptable food consumption score (FCS) <sup>2</sup>	100%	58%	🟡	80%	🔴
Education	% of children aged 6-11 accessing education services	100%	74%	🟡	58%	🟢
	% of children aged 12-17 accessing education services	100%	20%	🔴	25%	🔴
WASH	Persons per latrine	max. 20	27	🟡	27	🟡
	Persons per shower	max. 20	142	🔴	125	🔴
	Frequency of solid waste disposal	min. twice weekly	Weekly	🔴	Daily	🔴

Targets based on Sphere and humanitarian minimum standards specific to northeast Syria. ● Minimum standard reached ● More than 50% minimum standard reached ● Less than 50% of minimum standard reached

1. Number of individuals, households, and shelters reported by camp management.

2. FCS measures households' current status of food consumption based on the number of days per week a household is able to eat items from nine standard food groups, weighted for their nutritional value.



# Camp Profile: Menbij East New



## MOVEMENT

Top three household origins (out of all camp residents):

Country	Governorate	Sub-district	
Syria	Aleppo	Maskana	49%
Syria	Aleppo	Dayr Hafir	34%
Syria	Aleppo	Al-Khafsa	7%

Movements in the 30 days prior to data collection:



Households planning to leave the camp:



On average, households in the camp had been displaced **twice** before arriving to this camp and **77%** of households in the camp had been displaced longer than one year.

**100%** of households were planning to stay in the camp.

It was unknown whether households received any information on returning to their area of origin from the camp management / administration.

## PROTECTION

### Protection issues

**76%** of households in the camp reported being aware of safety and security issues in the camp, during the two weeks prior to data collection.

The most commonly reported issues were:


- Disputes between residents (73%)
- Theft (37%)
- Confiscation of documents (13%)

**2%** of households reported at least one member suffering from **psychosocial distress**.<sup>3</sup> **13%** of households with children aged 3-17 reported that at least one child had exhibited **changes in behaviour**<sup>4</sup> in the two weeks prior to data collection.

### Freedom of movement

**36%** of households who needed to leave the camp temporarily for **medical emergencies** in the two weeks prior to data collection reported that they had been able to do so.

Households reporting that they were able to leave for non-emergency purposes in the two weeks prior to data collection:



Most commonly reported barriers:

- Site departure conditions needs approval (90%)
- Insufficient transport (31%)

### Gender-based violence

Households reporting the presence of gender-based protection issues within the camp (in the two weeks prior to data collection):



Most commonly reported issues:

- Early marriage (women below 16 years old) (86%)
- Restrictions on women and girls accessing services (20%)

### Child protection

Households reporting the presence of child protection issues within the camp (in the two weeks prior to data collection):



Most commonly reported issues:

- Child labour (94%)
- Early marriage (below 16 years old) (88%)

### Documentation

**51%** of households reported that all married individuals in the household were in possession of their **marriage certificate**. The main reason married individuals were not in possession of their marriage certificate was that **certificate services were unavailable**.

**19%** of children under five years old reportedly have **birth registration documentation**.

### Vulnerable groups

Proportion of total assessed population in vulnerable groups:<sup>5</sup>

Children at risk <sup>6</sup>	1.3%	People with psychosocial needs	0.4%
Elderly at risk <sup>6</sup>	40%	Single parents/caregivers	2.0%
Persons with disabilities	1.8%	Pregnant/lactating women <sup>6</sup>	27.4%
Chronically ill persons	2.4%	In female-headed households	15.9%

3. As reported by households themselves. Assessed symptoms included: persistent headaches, sleeplessness, and more aggressive behaviour than normal towards children or other household members.

4. As reported by households themselves. Changes in sleeping patterns, interactions with peers, attentiveness, or interest in other daily activities.

5. Self-reported by households and not verified through medical records. Children at risk are persons under 18 who are parents, separated from their immediate family, or not attending school, and persons under 16 who are married or working. Elderly people at risk are persons over the age of 65 who cannot take care of themselves or who are solely responsible for children under 18 or others who cannot take care of themselves.

6. Percentage is the proportion of the population subset who are reported as vulnerable.



# Camp Profile: Menbij East New



## EDUCATION



At the time of data collection, there were **2** educational facilities in the camp.

**Age groups:** 3-5, 6-11, 12-14  
**Service providers:** Camp management  
**Curricula on offer:** Government of Syria/UNICEF  
**Certification available:** Under discussion

### Availability of WASH facilities in educational facilities

**Gender-segregated latrines:** In no schools  
**Handwashing facilities:** In some schools  
**Safe drinking water:** In all schools

## WATER, SANITATION AND HYGIENE (WASH)

### Water



**Public tap/standpipe** was the primary source of water in the camp at the time of data collection. However, no data was available on the drinking water supplier or whether water was treated prior to distribution.

**8%** of households reported they spent at least two consecutive days without access to drinking water in the two weeks prior to data collection.

**100%** of households reported using a public tap/standpipe to access drinking water.

**Drinking water issues in the two weeks prior to data collection, by % of households reporting:**



No issues **72%**  
 Water tasted/smelled/looked bad **17%**  
 People got sick after drinking **17%**  
 Not sure **0%**

**5%** of households reported that they treated their drinking water.

**Households using negative strategies to cope with a lack of water in the two weeks prior to data collection:**



**Most commonly reported strategies:**

- Modify hygiene practices (bathe less, etc.) (100%)
- Rely on drinking water stored previously (43%)

**38%** of individuals reported having suffered from diarrhoea in the two weeks prior to data collection, with 15% suffering from respiratory illnesses and 22% from skin diseases.<sup>7</sup>

### Waste disposal



**Primary waste disposal system:** Garbage collection  
**Disposal location:** Official landfill  
**Sewage system:** septic tank, emptied monthly

**100%** of households reported that solid waste was collected more than once per week.


<sup>7</sup> In the two weeks prior to data collection, self-verified by household and not verified through medical records.

<sup>8</sup> The assessed hygiene items included: soap, sanitary pads, disposable diapers, washing powder, jerry cans/buckets, toothbrushes (for adults and children), toothpaste (for adults and children), shampoo (for adults and babies), cleaning liquid (for house), detergent for dishes, plastic garbage bags, washing lines, nail clippers, combs, and towels.

<sup>9</sup> Communal latrines and showers are shared by more than one household. Household latrines and showers are used only by one household. This may be an informal designation that is not officially enforced.

<sup>10</sup> Excluding households who selected not sure.

### Attendance



The proportion of children aged 6-11 who attended school increased from **58%** in May 2019 to **74%** at the time of data collection.

**Barriers to education:** of the **41%** of households with children aged 3-17 who reported that none of them went to school, **100%** reported that they faced barriers to education. The most commonly reported barriers were:

- Child does not want to attend (46%)
- No education for children of a certain age (31%)
- Classes are overcrowded (8%)

### Sanitation




**Number of latrines in camp:** **105** (May 2019: 76)

**Households using latrines:** **Communal<sup>9</sup> 100%** **Household<sup>9</sup> 0%**

**0%** of households reported practicing **open defecation** as main practice.

**1%** of households reported that some members could not access latrines, with girls (0-17) being most frequent (1% of households).

**Communal latrine characteristics, by % of households reporting:<sup>10</sup>**



**Communal latrine cleanliness, by % of households reporting:<sup>10</sup>**



**Very clean 11%**  
**Mostly clean 30%**  
**Somewhat unclear 31%**  
**Very unclear 28%**



**Number of showers in camp:** **20** (May 2019: 22)

**Households using showers<sup>7</sup>** **Communal<sup>9</sup> 0%** **Household<sup>9</sup> 4%**

Households without access to showers predominantly reported **bathing inside their shelters (97%)**.

### Hygiene

**Households that were able to access all assessed hygiene items:<sup>8</sup>**



The most commonly inaccessible items included **washing powder and disposable diapers**. Hygiene items were most commonly inaccessible because households **could not afford to buy them**.



# Camp Profile: Menbij East New



## HEALTH




Number of healthcare facilities: **0**  
Service providers: NA  
Types of facilities: NA

Households with members in the following categories:<sup>5</sup>

Person with serious injury		2%	
Person with chronic illness		2%	
Pregnant or lactating woman		40%	<div></div>

Access to treatment for one or more household members in the 30 days prior to data collection:



Of the households who required treatment in the 30 days prior to data collection, **86%** reported that they had faced **barriers accessing medical care**. The most commonly reported barriers were **cost of care/medicine being too high** (83%) and **high transport costs** (63%).

Households reporting that a member had given birth since living in the camp:




Where women delivered:

- At a health facility (92%)
- At home with non-professional assistance (4%)

## FOOD SECURITY

### Consumption


Percentage of households at each food consumption score level:<sup>2</sup>



The percentage of households with an acceptable food consumption score has increased from **80%** in May 2019 to **58%** in September 2019.

**97%** of households reported using food-related coping strategies in the week before data collection.

Top three reported food-related coping strategies:<sup>11</sup>




Most commonly reported main sources of food:<sup>12</sup>



### Distributions

Type of food assistance received<sup>14</sup>, by % of households reporting:



**0%** of the **7%** households who had not received a food basket, cash, or vouchers in the 30 days prior to data collection, had received at least one of these distributions in the preceding **three months**.

Top three food items households would like to receive more of:<sup>15</sup>



### Market access

**81%** of households reported that they were able to access markets inside the camp to buy food. However, **100%** of these households reportedly **did not have enough funds** to buy all the items they needed.

## LIVELIHOODS


### Livelihood Sources

**80%** of households reported having at least one financial livelihood source in the month prior to data collection.

Average monthly household income: **45,407 SYP** (70 USD)<sup>13</sup>


Households with members earning an income: **99%**

Top three reported primary income sources in the 30 days prior to data collection:<sup>15</sup>



### Coping strategies

Top three reported livelihoods-related coping strategies:<sup>15</sup>



**72%** of households reported that they had **bought goods on credit** in the 30 days prior to data collection; on average these households owed **26,016 SYP** (40 USD)<sup>13</sup>

11. Households were asked to report the number of days they employed each coping strategy, graph only shows the overall frequency with which a coping strategy was reported.

12. Households could select as many options as applied.

13. The effective exchange rate for Northeast Syria was reported to be 650 Syrian Pounds to the dollar in September 2019 (REACH Initiative, Market Monitoring Exercise Snapshot 21 October 2019).

14. In the 30 days before data collection.

15. Households could select up to three options.



# Camp Profile: Menbij East New



## SHELTER AND NON-FOOD ITEMS (NFIs)

### Shelter

**93%** of inhabited shelters were **family-sized tents**.


Average number of people per shelter: **5.0**

Average number of shelters per household: **1.2**

Average household size: **5.8** individuals



### Tent status<sup>16</sup>



Tent is new	73%
Minor wear and tear	19%
Tent is in poor condition	5%
Tent is worn/torn	4%

### Sources of light

Top three sources of light inside shelters:<sup>12</sup>



Light powered by camp generator	43%
Light powered by solar panels	41%
Cell phone light	24%

### NFI needs

Top three anticipated NFI needs for the next three months:<sup>15</sup>



Heating fuel	54%
Winter blankets	50%
Mattresses/sleeping mats	47%

### Shelter adequacy

**93%** of households reported that they faced shelter adequacy issues.

Top three most commonly reported shelter adequacy issues:<sup>15</sup>



Lack of privacy	83%
Safety (structural damage, etc.)	36%
No electricity	15%

Top three most commonly reported shelter item needs:<sup>15</sup>



Tarpaulins	45%
Plastic sheeting	27%
New/additional tents	20%

**0%** of respondents reported they had access to a kitchen space.

### Fire safety

Households reporting the presence of fire fighting systems that could be used to protect them:



Yes - fire extinguishers	86%
Yes - other	0%
Not sure	1%
No	13%



**56%** of respondents with access to a fire fighting system reported being familiar with **how to use it**. Camp management reported that actors in the camp **had** provided residents with **information on fire safety** in the three months prior to data collection.

## INFORMATION AND ACCOUNTABILITY

### Camp management and committees


**12%** of households reported that they did not know the camp management, with **30%** saying that they were not sure.

Committees reported by households to be present in camp:

<b>99%</b> Camp management	<b>62%</b> Youth committee
<b>29%</b> Women's committee	<b>72%</b> Maintenance committee
<b>66%</b> WASH committee	<b>72%</b> Distribution committee

### Complaints

Only **0%** of households who had made a complaint in the three months prior to data collection reported that action was taken as a result:



### About REACH Initiative

REACH Initiative facilitates the development of information tools and products that enhance the capacity of aid actors to make evidence-based decisions in emergency, recovery and development contexts. The methodologies used by REACH include primary data collection and in-depth analysis, and all activities are conducted through inter-agency aid coordination mechanisms. REACH is a joint initiative of IMPACT Initiatives, ACTED and the United Nations Institute for Training and Research - Operational Satellite Applications Programme (UNITAR-UNOSAT).

### Information Needs

Top three reported sources of information about distributions:<sup>12</sup>



Community leaders	97%
Word of mouth	49%
Community mobilisers	34%

Top three reported information needs:<sup>15</sup>



How to find job opportunities	80%
How to access assistance	33%
Sponsorship programmes	26%

16. Enumerators were asked to observe the state of the tent and select one of the options.