# Multi-Sector Needs Assessment: District Factsheets Uganda, August, 2018

#### Background

Due to its proximity to three major humanitarian emergencies in South Sudan, Burundi, and the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC), its progressive refugee hosting and settlement policies, and the ease of border crossings, Uganda has received a large number of refugees over the past 3 years.

With over 1 million refugees in Uganda<sup>1</sup>, humanitarian needs across the country are significant with little capacity for actors to clearly map the landscape of needs across refugee and host communities alike. UNHCR, with support from REACH, conducted a Multi-Sector Needs Assessment with the aim to address this information gap by providing evidence-based analysis to inform the Refugee Response Plan (RRP) for 2019-2020.

#### Assessed Locations

#### Methodology

Data collection was conducted from 2 April to 14 July 2018, in all 30 refugee settlements and eleven host community districts in Uganda. A total of 6,809 household (HH) level surveys were conducted.

Households were randomly sampled with a confidence level of 95% and 10% margin of error and generalisable at the settlement level for refugees and at the district level for the host communities. Findings have been disaggregated by population group at the district level and by settlement.



1) OPM RIMS statistics, June 2018, Uganda Comprehensive Refugee Response Portal.

For more information on this profile please contact: Inform bein and an Aid uganda@reach-initiative.org www.reachresourcecentre.info



# Multi-Sector Needs Assessment: Adjumani District Uganda, August, 2018

#### **Background & Methodology**

Due to its proximity to three major humanitarian emergencies in South Sudan, Burundi, and the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC), its progressive refugee hosting and settlement policies, and the ease of border crossings, Uganda has received a large number of refugees over the past 3 years.

With over 1 million refugees in Uganda<sup>1</sup>, humanitarian needs across the country are significant with little capacity for actors to clearly map the landscape of needs across refugee and host communities alike. UNHCR, with support from REACH, conducted a Multi-Sector Needs Assessment with the aim to address this information gap by providing evidence-based analysis to inform the Refugee Response Plan (RRP) for 2019-2020.

A total of 6,809 household (HH) level surveys were conducted across all 30 refugee settlements and 11 refugee hosting districts. Households were randomly sampled with a confidence level of 95% and 10% margin of error and generalisable at the settlement level for refugees and at the district level for the host communities.

**1,545** surveys were conducted in Adjumani District between 24 April and 9 June 2018.

### 🕷 Demographics

#### % of assessed HHs by area of origin:





#### % of refugee HHs that have lived in the settlement for:

% of refugee HHS that	nave lived	in the settle	ement to	or:
2 <mark>% 31%</mark>	<6 months	7 - 11 mor	nths	
2% 65%	1 - 2 years	> 2 year	S	
% of individuals by ag	e group:			
	Host co	mmunity	_ <b>∱</b> + I	Refugees
Girls (0-17)		29%		34%
Boys (0-17)		33%		37%
Adult females (18-59)		18%		16%
Adult males (18-59)		17%		9%
Elderly females (60+)	1	2%	1.1	3%
Elderly males (60+)	I.	1%	I.	1%
Average HH size: <sup>2</sup>	7.6 members		7.6 m	embers
	4			

Gender distribution of the head of the HHs:



#### Top 3 sectors with most reported HH needs:<sup>3</sup>

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Food	63%	Food	61%
Education	59%	Education	58%
Health and nutrition	47%	Shelter	43%

Note: For questions asked only to a subset of households, a lower confidence level and a wider margin of error may apply.





### Protection

#### % of HHs with at least one vulnerable member:

	Tr I	<b>∕</b> ,
Unaccompanied or separated children	52%	57%
Individuals with chronic illnesses	26%	33%
Individuals with disabilities	31%	32%
Pregnant and/or lactating women	56%	40%

**97%** of the refugee HHs reported being registered in a settlement in the district.<sup>4</sup>

% of HHs reporting at least one member with psychological distress:

10%

90%

Yes

No



**50%** of the host community HHs and **51%** of the refugee HHs reported that they had not received/were unable to receive psychological care.

### % of HHs that reported being reached by the following protection awareness campaigns:

· · ·	Τ	<b>//→</b>
SGBV	55%	80%
Child protection	57%	75%
Psycho-social	44%	64%

**24%** of the host community HHs and **46%** of the refugee HHs with at least one woman or girl of reproductive age reported that one or more women in the HH could not access sanitary pads.

 OPM RIMS statistics, June 2018, Uganda Comprehensive Refugee Response Portal.
 The MSNA found the average size of refugee and host community HHs to be larger than previous assessments conducted in Uganda. HH was defined as a group of members who regularly share resources, such as water, food, and living space.

3) Respondents could select multiple options.





Top 3 reported income source over the 30 days prior to data collection:<sup>1</sup>

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Agriculture	87%	Remittances	34%
Casual labour	39%	Small business	30%
Selling natural resource	39%	Casual labour	26%

% of HHs that had access to agricultural land in the most recent harvest season:



Top 3 reported ways HHs accessed land for agricultural purposes, for HHs that reported access to land:<sup>1</sup>

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Owns the land	96%	Free through OPM	69%
Free access	3%	Free access	15%
Rents the land	1%	Rents the land	13%

**72%** of refugee HHs and **21%** of host community HHs that had access to land reported that it did not provide sufficient food for the entire HH in the most recent harvest season.<sup>2</sup>

**3%** of refugee HHs and **1%** of host community HHs that had access to agricultural land did not cultivate or plant crops in the most recent harvest season.

Top 3 reported reasons why HHs did not cultivate or plant crops in the most recent harvest season, of HHs that reported no cultivation:<sup>1</sup>

👖 Host community		🔨 Refugees	
Lack of tools	67%	Lack of tools	43%
Lack of seeds	33%	Lack of seeds	32%
Poor cultivating season	33%	Poor cultivating season	33%

Top 3 reported livelihood coping strategies used by HHs over the 30 days prior to data collection:<sup>1</sup>

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Spent savings	56%	Sold assistance	41%
Borrowed money	42%	Spent savings	27%
Sold assets	26%	Borrowed money	25%

% of HHs with access to local markets within walking distance:

Yes

No



41% 59%



95% Yes 5% No

**23%** of refugee HHs and **69%** of host community HHs reported that they faced challenges accessing markets in the 30 days prior to data collection.



Funded by European Union Civil Protection an Humanitarian Aid % of HHs that reporting the following primary fuel sources:

Firewood	Host community 89%	Kefugees 87%
Charcoal	11%	12%

**73%** of refugee HHs and **69%** of host community HHs reported having an improved cook stove.<sup>2</sup>

### $\square$ Education

**5%** of refugee HHs with school-aged children and **12%** of host community HHs with school-aged children have at least one child not enrolled in school.

% of HHs with at least one school-aged child not enrolled in school, by age and gender:

Host co	mmunity		Refugees		
Ť	<b>†</b>	Age	Ť	Ť	
36%	35%	3 - 5	12%	12%	
4%	2%	6 - 12	2%	2%	
9%	8%	13 - 18	4%	7%	

% of HHs with at least one school aged children enrolled in school, by school type:

	Host community		🔨 Ref	ugees
ECD		13%		21%
Primary		67%		68%
Secondary		9%	•	6%
Other <sup>3</sup>		0%		0%
Not enrolled		12%	•	5%

Top 3 reported barriers to education for HHs with at least one school-aged child not enrolled in school:<sup>1</sup>

🛉 Host community		🖈 Refugees	
High costs	51%	The child is too young	43%
The school is too far	29%	High costs	28%
The child is too young	27%	New arrival	7%

Of the HHs that reported cost as a barrier to accessing education, **98%** of refugee households mentioned **tuition** while **100%** of the host community HHs reported **tuition** as the most commonly reported cost barrier.

1) Respondents could select multiple options.

2) Improved cooking stove or energy saving stoves are designed to consume less firewood and produce less fumes.

3) Other types of education include accelerated learning programme, non-formal skills training, and vocational training



Top 3 reported health issue among HH members during the 2 weeks prior to data collection:<sup>1</sup>

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Malaria	63%	Malaria	70%
Diarrhoea	26%	Diarrhoea	23%
Respiratory infection	14%	Respiratory infection	19%

Of the HHs that reported having a member with health issues in the past year and sought treatment, **38%** of refugee HHs and **52%** of host community reported facing challenges when they sought treatment.

#### Top 3 reported challenges in accessing health care:<sup>2</sup>

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
No medicine avai	lable 61%	No medicine available	57%
Distance	38%	High cost of medicine	23%
High cost of med	icine <b>32%</b>	Distance	22%

**6%** of the refugee HHs reported language barriers as a challenge when accessing health care.

## % of HHs with pregnant and/or lactating women that received the following services:

	T	<b>∧</b> →
Counselling on infant and young child feeding	<b>98%</b>	96%
Iron and folic acid supplements or micro-	92%	91%
nutrient supplements		
At least 2 doses of fansidar <sup>3</sup>	88%	89%

.

#### Of the HHs with children, % reporting:<sup>4</sup>

	Host community	🔥 Refugees
Polio vaccination		95%
Measles vaccination	76%	78%

#### % of HHs reporting owning mosquito nets:



#### Average number of HH members sleeping under nets:

Host community

5.6

1) Respondents could select multiple options.

2) The question was asked to HHs that had sought health care treatment in the past year.3) Fansidar is used to prevent and treat malaria. It can be used for pregnant women with less risks to the mother and fetus.

🔨 Refugees

3.5

4) Polio vaccination is given to children between 0-5 years old. Measles vaccination is given to children aged 15 or younger .

5) Basic HH needs include having enough water for drinking, cooking, bathing, etc.



### 🐂 Water, Sanitation & Hygiene

Top 3 reported sources of drinking water:

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Borehole	89%	Borehole	78%
Public tap	5%	Public tap	21%
Surface water	4%	Surface water	1%

#### % of HHs, by litres of water/person/day:

🛉 Host community	81%	16% <mark>3%</mark>	> 15 litres
Refugees	55%	31% <mark>14%</mark>	10 - 15 litres < 10 litres

Average litres of water/person/day is **18** for refugee HHs and **21** for the host community HHs.

**73%** of refugee HHs and **80%** of host community HHs reported not having enough water to cover the basic HH needs during the 7 days prior to data collection.<sup>5</sup>

### Top 3 reported strategies for coping with insufficient quantity of water during the 7 days prior to data collection:<sup>6</sup>

🛉 Host community		🖈 Refugees	
Fetch from further point	<b>78%</b>	Use less for bathing	55%
Use less for bathing	24%	Fetch from further point	54%
Use non-drinking water	2%	Use less for drinking	<b>9%</b>

#### % of HHs reported challenges to collecting water:

	🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Distance		20%		6%
Queuing		13%		30%
Distance and queuing		10%		13%
None		57%		50%

#### % of HHs with access to a functioning HH latrine:



**35%** of the refugee HHs and **21%** of the host community HH did not have soap during data collection.

### Top 3 most commonly reported reasons for HHs not to have soap in the $\rm HH{\rm :}^1$

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees
Soap is too expensive	45%	Soap is too expensive 84%
Other	24%	They prefer a substitute 10%
Market is too far away	16%	Waiting for distribution 3%







#### Food Assistance

Top 3 reported primary source of food during the 7 days prior to data collection:

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Own production	62%	Food distribution	70%
Bought with cash	38%	Bought with cash	25%
		Own production	2%

The refugee HHs that had been living in the settlement for less than one year relied less on humanitarian aid (65%) than refugee HHs that had lived there for one year or more (71%).1

% of HHs	with	the	following	Food	Consumption	Scores
(FC3).=						



HH average food consumption score:

Host community

Refugees

46

% of HHs FCS by time spent in the settlement:1

51

	<6 months	7 - 11 months	1 - 2 years	>2 years
Acceptable	74%	76%	80%	83%
Borderline	26%	24%	19%	16%
Poor	0%	0%	1%	1%

% of HHs who reported having access to sufficient food for all members over the 7 days prior to data collection:



% of HHs reported using food coping strategies during the 7 days prior to the data collection:

	🛉 Host community		👖 Host community 🔰 📌-		📌 Refu	gees
Reduce # meals / day		22%		51%		
Limit meal size		22%		40%		
Buy cheaper food		47%		35%		
Debt/Borrowing		5%		16%		
Skip days of eating	1	3%		5%		
Only children eat		0%		5%		
Exchange food	•	6%		8%		
None		28%		10%		

### **Shelter & NFIs**

% of HHs with the following shelter types:<sup>3</sup>



#### % of HHs that reported owning their shelter:



% of HHs reporting their shelters are vulnerable to leakage from rain:



15% of the host community HHs and 16% of the refugee HHs reported that their shelter experienced flooding in the year prior to data collection.

#### Top 3 most commonly reported NFI priorities:

🛉 Host community		<b>Arr</b> Acting Refugees Bedding	
Bedding	70%	Bedding	76%
Water storage	70%	Mosquito nets	60%
Kitchen tools	56%	Water storage	48%

1) Disaggregation by time spent in settlement only applies to refugee households, as host community households do not live in settlements.

2) The FCS is used as proxy for HH food security and is a composite score based on 1) Dietary diversity 2) Food frequency and 3) Relative nutritional importance of the various food groups consumed by HHs. The FCS is recorded from a 7-day recall and is based on 9 weighted food groups. The FCS is used to classify households into three groups: poor, borderline or acceptable food consumption. In the Ugandan context the thresholds used are as follows:  $\geq$  31 – Acceptable; 28 - 30 – Borderline;  $\leq$  27 - Poor.

3) Permanent shelters includes mudbrick, tukul and concrete brick. Temporary shelters includes emergency tent and makeshift shelter.

For more information on this profile please contact:

uganda@reach-initiative.org





### Multi-Sector Needs Assessment: Arua District Uganda, August, 2018

#### Background & Methodology

Due to its proximity to three major humanitarian emergencies in South Sudan, Burundi, and the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC), its progressive refugee hosting and settlement policies, and the ease of border crossings, Uganda has received a large number of refugees over the past 3 years.

With over 1 million refugees in Uganda<sup>1</sup>, humanitarian needs across the country are significant with little capacity for actors to clearly map the landscape of needs across refugee and host communities alike. UNHCR, with support from REACH, conducted a Multi-Sector Needs Assessment with the aim to address this information gap by providing evidence-based analysis to inform the Refugee Response Plan (RRP) for 2019-2020.

A total of 6,809 household (HH) level surveys were conducted across all 30 refugee settlements and 11 refugee hosting districts. Households were randomly sampled with a confidence level of 95% and 10% margin of error and generalisable at the settlement level for refugees and at the district level for the host communities.

1,295 surveys were conducted in Arua District between 9 April and 26 May 2018.

### **Demographics**

#### % of assessed HHs by area of origin:





% of refugee HHs that have lived in the settlement for:				
9% 44%	<6 months	7 - 11 mon	nths	
35% 12%	1 - 2 years	> 2 year	'S	
% of individuals by age	e group:			
	🛉 Host c	ommunity	R	lefugees
Girls (0-17)		28%		33%
Boys (0-17)		32%		37%
Adult females (18-59)		19%		15%
Adult males (18-59)		17%		12%
Elderly females (60+)	1	2%	1	2%
Elderly males (60+)	1	2%	I.	1%
Average HH size: <sup>2</sup>	7.8 mem	bers	7.6 m	embers

Gender distribution of the head of the HHs:



Top 3 sectors with most reported HH needs:<sup>3</sup>

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Food	72%	Food	74%
Livelihoods	44%	Health and nutrition	41%
Health and nutrition	40%	NFIs	40%

Note: For questions asked only to a subset of households, a lower confidence level and a wider margin of error may apply.







### Protection

#### % of HHs with at least one vulnerable member:

Unaccompanied or separated children	¶ 41%	<del>∕/→</del> 63%
Individuals with chronic illnesses	31%	31%
Individuals with disabilities	27%	20%
Pregnant and/or lactating women	52%	50%

97% of the refugee HHs reported being registered in a settlement in the district.4

Yes

No

% of HHs reporting at least one member with psychological distress:





Yes

No

76% of the host community HHs and 53% of the refugee HHs reported that they had not received/were unable to receive psychological care.

#### % of HHs that reported being reached by the following protection awareness campaigns: . .

	T	<b>∕</b> ,
SGBV	28%	78%
Child protection	29%	74%
Psycho-social	24%	64%

52% of the host community HHs and 39% of the refugee HHs with at least one woman or girl of reproductive age reported that one or more women in the HH could not access sanitary pads.

1) OPM RIMS statistics, June 2018, Uganda Comprehensive Refugee Response Portal. 2) The MSNA found the average size of refugee and host community HHs to be larger than previous assessments conducted in Uganda. HH was defined as a group of members who regularly share resources, such as water, food, and living space.

3) Respondents could select multiple options.







Top 3 reported income source over the 30 days prior to data collection:<sup>1</sup>

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Agriculture	80%	None	31%
Casual labour	46%	Agriculture	27%
Small business	33%	Small business	19%

% of HHs that had access to agricultural land in the most recent harvest season:



Top 3 reported ways HHs accessed land for agricultural purposes, for HHs that reported access to land:<sup>1</sup>

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Owns the land	90%	Free through OPM	88%
Rents the land	5%	Free access	6%
Free access	4%	Rents the land	3%

**78%** of refugee HHs and **56%** of host community HHs that had access to land reported that it did not provide sufficient food for the entire HH in the most recent harvest season.<sup>2</sup>

**19%** of refugee HHs and **5%** of host community HHs that had access to agricultural land did not cultivate or plant crops in the most recent harvest season.

Top 3 reported reasons why HHs did not cultivate or plant crops in the most recent harvest season, of HHs that reported no cultivation:<sup>1</sup>

🛉 Host community		🖈 Refugees	
Lack of seeds	100%	Lack of seeds	37%
Lack of tools	30%	Lack of tools	35%
Poor cultivating season	20%	Poor cultivating season	23%

Top 3 reported livelihood coping strategies used by HHs over the 30 days prior to data collection:<sup>1</sup>

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Spent savings	<b>48%</b>	Sold assistance	54%
Reduced spending	29%	Humanitarian aid	17%
Sold assets	21%	Spent savings	16%

% of HHs with access to local markets within walking distance:

Yes

No







Λ→ Refugees

76% Yes 24% No

**49%** of refugee HHs and **44%** of host community HHs reported that they faced challenges accessing markets in the 30 days prior to data collection.



Funded by European Union Civil Protection ar Humanitarian Aid % of HHs that reporting the following primary fuel sources:

Firewood	Host community 96%	Kefugees 98%
Charcoal	4%	2%

**29%** of refugee HHs and **6%** of host community HHs reported having an improved cook stove.<sup>2</sup>

### $\mathfrak{D}$ Education

**4%** of refugee HHs with school-aged children and **25%** of host community HHs with school-aged children have at least one child not enrolled in school.

% of HHs with at least one school-aged child not enrolled in school, by age and gender:

Host community			Refu	igees
Ń	<b>†</b>	Age	Ť	Ŷ
57%	45%	3 - 5	9%	10%
12%	14%	6 - 12	3%	3%
22%	32%	13 - 18	5%	7%

% of HHs with at least one school aged children enrolled in school, by school type:

		Host community		🔨 Refugees	
ECD		1	2%		21%
Primary			67%		67%
Secondary			5%		6%
Other <sup>3</sup>		1.0	3%		0%
Not enrolled			25%	1.1	4%

Top 3 reported barriers to education for HHs with at least one school-aged child not enrolled in school:<sup>1</sup>

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
High costs	44%	The child is too young	31%
The child is too young	26%	No reason	20%
The school is too far	17%	High costs	16%

Of the HHs that reported cost as a barrier to accessing education, **54%** of refugee households mentioned **school uniform** while **91%** of the host community HHs reported **school uniform** as the most commonly reported cost barrier.

1) Respondents could select multiple options.

2) Improved cooking stove or energy saving stoves are designed to consume less firewood and produce less fumes.

3) Other types of education include accelerated learning programme, non-formal skills training, and vocational training





Top 3 reported health issue among HH members during the 2 weeks prior to data collection:<sup>1</sup>

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Malaria	37%	Malaria	48%
Diarrhoea	<b>24%</b>	Diarrhoea	28%
Skin disease	17%	Skin	14%

Of the HHs that reported having a member with health issues in the past year and sought treatment, **65%** of refugee HHs and **72%** of host community reported facing challenges when they sought treatment.

#### Top 3 reported challenges in accessing health care:<sup>2</sup>

🛉 Host community		📌 Refugees	
High cost of treatment	40%	No medicine available	53%
No medicine available	36%	Distance	26%
Distance	32%	No treatment offered	17%

**15%** of the refugee HHs reported language barriers as a challenge when accessing health care.

### % of HHs with pregnant and/or lactating women that received the following services:

	<b>m</b>	<b>∧</b>
Counselling on infant and young child feeding	61%	88%
Iron and folic acid supplements or micro-	47%	83%
nutrient supplements		
At least 2 doses of fansidar <sup>3</sup>	46%	81%

#### Of the HHs with children, % reporting:<sup>4</sup>

	Host community	🔥 Refugees
Polio vaccination	<sup>"</sup> 91%	80%
Measles vaccination	51%	53%

#### % of HHs reporting owning mosquito nets:



#### Average number of HH members sleeping under nets:

Host community

4.9

1) Respondents could select multiple options.

2) The question was asked to HHs that had sought health care treatment in the past year.3) Fansidar is used to prevent and treat malaria. It can be used for pregnant women with less risks to the mother and fetus.

🔨 Refugees

2.1

4) Polio vaccination is given to children between 0-5 years old. Measles vaccination is given to children aged 15 or younger .

5) Basic HH needs include having enough water for drinking, cooking, bathing, etc.



### 🐂 Water, Sanitation & Hygiene

Top 3 reported sources of drinking water:

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Borehole	34%	Public tap	44%
Protected spring	24%	Water trucking	22%
Unprotected spring	17%	Protected rainwater tan	k <b>22%</b>

#### % of HHs, by litres of water/person/day:

🛉 Host community	54%	31% 16%	> 15 litres
 <b>A</b> Refugees	61%	25% 14%	10 - 15 litres < 10 litres
A Keingees			< to intres

Average litres of water/person/day is **19** for refugee HHs and **20** for the host community HHs.

**66%** of refugee HHs and **67%** of host community HHs reported not having enough water to cover the basic HH needs during the 7 days prior to data collection.<sup>5</sup>

### Top 3 reported strategies for coping with insufficient quantity of water during the 7 days prior to data collection:<sup>6</sup>

🛉 Host community		🖈 Refugees
Fetch from further point	51%	Use less for bathing 62%
Use less for bathing	49%	Fetch from further point 55%
Use less for drinking	28%	Use less for drinking 12%

#### % of HHs reported challenges to collecting water:

	🛉 Host community		🖈 Refugees	
Distance		13%		5%
Queuing		25%		41%
Distance and queuing		23%		20%
None		39%		34%

#### % of HHs with access to a functioning HH latrine:



**61%** of the refugee HHs and **35%** of the host community HH did not have soap during data collection.

### Top 3 most commonly reported reasons for HHs not to have soap in the $\rm HH{\rm :}^1$

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees
Soap is too expensive	<b>68%</b>	They prefer a substitute 42%
Soap isn't necessary	12%	Soap is too expensive 35%
They prefer a substitute	9%	Waiting for distribution 17%



### **Multi-Sector Needs Assessment: Arua District**



#### **Food Assistance**

Top 3 reported primary source of food during the 7 days prior to data collection:

İ	h Host community		🖈 Refugees	
	Own production	50%	Food distribution	93%
	Bought with cash	47%	Bought with cash	3%
	Gifts from family/friends	2%	Gifts from family/friends	1%

The refugee HHs that had been living in the settlement for less than one year relied less on humanitarian aid (92%) than refugee HHs that had lived there for one year or more (94%).<sup>1</sup>

% of HHs with the following Food Consumption Scores (FCS): $^2$ 



HH average food consumption score:

👖 Host community

**A** Refugees

43

% of HHs FCS by time spent in the settlement:1

53

	<6 months	7 - 11 months	1 - 2 years	>2 years
Acceptable	83%	66%	87%	92%
Borderline	17%	24%	11%	8%
Poor	0%	10%	2%	0%

% of HHs who reported having access to sufficient food for all members over the 7 days prior to data collection:



% of HHs reported using food coping strategies during the 7 days prior to the data collection:



### Shelter & NFIs

% of HHs with the following shelter types:<sup>3</sup>



#### % of HHs that reported owning their shelter:



% of HHs reporting their shelters are vulnerable to leakage from rain:



**21%** of the host community HHs and **21%** of the refugee HHs reported that their shelter experienced flooding in the year prior to data collection.

#### Top 3 most commonly reported NFI priorities:

🛉 Host community		<b>Arging</b> Refugees	
Bedding	73%	Bedding	73%
Water storage	50%	Mosquito nets	54%
Kitchen tools	49%	Water storage	51%

1) Disaggregation by time spent in settlement only applies to refugee households, as host community households do not live in settlements.

2) The FCS is used as proxy for HH food security and is a composite score based on 1) Dietary diversity 2) Food frequency and 3) Relative nutritional importance of the various food groups consumed by HHs. The FCS is recorded from a 7-day recall and is based on 9 weighted food groups. The FCS is used to classify households into three groups: poor, borderline or acceptable food consumption. In the Ugandan context the thresholds used are as follows:  $\geq$  31 – Acceptable; 28 - 30 – Borderline;  $\leq$  27 - Poor.

3) Permanent shelters includes mudbrick, tukul and concrete brick. Temporary shelters includes emergency tent and makeshift shelter.

For more information on this profile please contact:

uganda@reach-initiative.org





### Multi-Sector Needs Assessment: Hoima District Uganda, August, 2018

#### Background & Methodology

Due to its proximity to three major humanitarian emergencies in South Sudan, Burundi, and the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC), its progressive refugee hosting and settlement policies, and the ease of border crossings, Uganda has received a large number of refugees over the past 3 years.

With over 1 million refugees in Uganda<sup>1</sup>, humanitarian needs across the country are significant with little capacity for actors to clearly map the landscape of needs across refugee and host communities alike. UNHCR, with support from REACH, conducted a Multi-Sector Needs Assessment with the aim to address this information gap by providing evidence-based analysis to inform the Refugee Response Plan (RRP) for 2019-2020.

A total of 6,809 household (HH) level surveys were conducted across all 30 refugee settlements and 11 refugee hosting districts. Households were randomly sampled with a confidence level of 95% and 10% margin of error and generalisable at the settlement level for refugees and at the district level for the host communities.

326 surveys were conducted in Hoima District between 18 May and 8 June 2018.

### **Demographics**

#### % of assessed HHs by area of origin:



60% Uganda DRC South Sudan 2% Rwanda

#### % of refugee HHs that have lived in the settlement for:

14%_9%	<6 months	7 - 11 mor	nths	
3% 74%	1 - 2 years	> 2 year	rs	
% of individuals by	age group:			
-	Host c	ommunity	_ <b>∱</b> → I	Refugees
Girls (0-17)		32%		32%
Boys (0-17)		28%		30%
Adult females (18-59	)	19%		18%
Adult males (18-59)		18%		16%
Elderly females (60+	)	2%	1	2%
Elderly males (60+)	I.	1%	1	1%
Average HH size: <sup>2</sup>	6.4 mem	Ibers	5.5 m	embers

Gender distribution of the head of the HHs:



#### Top 3 sectors with most reported HH needs:<sup>3</sup>

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Education	<b>49%</b>	Food	<b>81%</b>
WASH	45%	Health and nutrition	53%
Health and nutrition	40%	Shelter	37%

Note: For questions asked only to a subset of households, a lower confidence level and a wider margin of error may apply.







### Protection

#### % of HHs with at least one vulnerable member:

	N.	<b>∧</b> →
Unaccompanied or separated children	27%	36%
Individuals with chronic illnesses	<b>28%</b>	48%
Individuals with disabilities	19%	30%
Pregnant and/or lactating women	49%	43%

98% of the refugee HHs reported being registered in a settlement in the district.4

No

% of HHs reporting at least one member with psychological distress:



🖕 Refugees 35% Yes 65% \*

Yes

No

68% of the host community HHs and 52% of the refugee HHs reported that they had not received/were unable to receive psychological care.

#### % of HHs that reported being reached by the following protection awareness campaigns: . ......

T	<b>八→</b>
64%	36%
62%	26%
49%	22%
	62%

36% of the host community HHs and 30% of the refugee HHs with at least one woman or girl of reproductive age reported that one or more women in the HH could not access sanitary pads.

1) OPM RIMS statistics, June 2018, Uganda Comprehensive Refugee Response Portal. 2) The MSNA found the average size of refugee and host community HHs to be larger than previous assessments conducted in Uganda. HH was defined as a group of members who regularly share resources, such as water, food, and living space.

3) Respondents could select multiple options.







Top 3 reported income source over the 30 days prior to data collection:<sup>1</sup>

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Agriculture	66%	Agriculture	<b>68%</b>
Fishing	14%	Casual labour	16%
Small business	13%	Livestock/None	10%

% of HHs that had access to agricultural land in the most recent harvest season:



Top 3 reported ways HHs accessed land for agricultural purposes, for HHs that reported access to land:<sup>1</sup>

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Owns the land	64%	Free through OPM	90%
Rents the land	<b>28%</b>	Rents the land	3%
Free access	7%	Free access	3%

**72%** of refugee HHs and **34%** of host community HHs that had access to land reported that it did not provide sufficient food for the entire HH in the most recent harvest season.<sup>2</sup>

**2%** of refugee HHs and **3%** of host community HHs that had access to agricultural land did not cultivate or plant crops in the most recent harvest season.

Top 3 reported reasons why HHs did not cultivate or plant crops in the most recent harvest season, of HHs that reported no cultivation:<sup>1</sup>

🛉 Host community		🖈 Refugees	
Not a regular activity	40%	Land is inaccessible	50%
Lack of seeds	20%	Other	50%
Land is inaccessible	20%		%

Top 3 reported livelihood coping strategies used by HHs over the 30 days prior to data collection:<sup>1</sup>

	🖈 Refugees	
36%	Humanitarian aid	42%
29%	Sold assets	15%
20%	Spent savings	14%
	29%	<ul> <li>36% Refugees</li> <li>36% Humanitarian aid</li> <li>29% Sold assets</li> <li>20% Spent savings</li> </ul>

% of HHs with access to local markets within walking distance:

Yes

No

Host community





Λ→ Refugees

54%

46%

Yes

No

**37%** of refugee HHs and **42%** of host community HHs reported that they faced challenges accessing markets in the 30 days prior to data collection.



% of HHs that reporting the following primary fuel sources:

<b>-</b> . ,	Host community	🖈 Refugees
Firewood	93%	90%
Charcoal	7%	10%

**26%** of refugee HHs and **22%** of host community HHs reported having an improved cook stove.<sup>2</sup>

### $\mathfrak{D}$ Education

**33%** of refugee HHs with school-aged children and **24%** of host community HHs with school-aged children have at least one child not enrolled in school.

% of HHs with at least one school-aged child not enrolled in school, by age and gender:

Host community			Refu	gees
Ń	<b>†</b>	Age	Ť	Ť
<b>49%</b>	48%	3 - 5	42%	36%
5%	11%	6 - 12	6%	12%
25%	38%	13 - 18	24%	26%

% of HHs with at least one school aged children enrolled in school, by school type:

	Host community		🔨 Refugees	
ECD		14%	•	8%
Primary		<b>52%</b>		67%
Secondary		11%	1	5%
Other <sup>3</sup>		1%		0%
Not enrolled		24%		33%

Top 3 reported barriers to education for HHs with at least one school-aged child not enrolled in school:<sup>1</sup>

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
The child is too young	<b>48%</b>	The child is too young	42%
High costs	34%	High costs	23%
Early marriage	10%	Children must work in the HH	12%

Of the HHs that reported cost as a barrier to accessing education, **89%** of refugee households mentioned **books** while **100%** of the host community HHs reported **books** as the most commonly reported cost barrier.

1) Respondents could select multiple options.

2) Improved cooking stove or energy saving stoves are designed to consume less firewood and produce less fumes.

3) Other types of education include accelerated learning programme, non-formal skills training, and vocational training



Top 3 reported health issue among HH members during the 2 weeks prior to data collection:<sup>1</sup>

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Malaria	<b>28%</b>	Malaria	<b>58%</b>
Diarrhoea	<b>24%</b>	Diarrhoea	32%
Skin disease	18%	Rapid weight loss	22%

Of the HHs that reported having a member with health issues in the past year and sought treatment, **54%** of refugee HHs and **40%** of host community reported facing challenges when they sought treatment.

#### Top 3 reported challenges in accessing health care:<sup>2</sup>

İ	Host community		📌 Refugees	
	High cost of treatment	35%	No medicine available	54%
	No medicine available	32%	High cost of medicine	20%
	High cost of medicine	29%	Distance	17%

**13%** of the refugee HHs reported language barriers as a challenge when accessing health care.

## % of HHs with pregnant and/or lactating women that received the following services:

	T	<b>∧</b>
Counselling on infant and young child feeding	62%	78%
Iron and folic acid supplements or micro-	<b>58%</b>	69%
nutrient supplements		
At least 2 doses of fansidar <sup>3</sup>	<b>58%</b>	67%

#### Of the HHs with children, % reporting:<sup>4</sup>

	Host community	🔥 Refugees
Polio vaccination	<sup>"</sup> 94%	82%
Measles vaccination	59%	44%

#### % of HHs reporting owning mosquito nets:



#### Average number of HH members sleeping under nets:

Host community

4.2

1) Respondents could select multiple options.

2) The question was asked to HHs that had sought health care treatment in the past year.3) Fansidar is used to prevent and treat malaria. It can be used for pregnant women with less risks to the mother and fetus.

🔨 Refugees

1.1

4) Polio vaccination is given to children between 0-5 years old. Measles vaccination is given to children aged 15 or younger .

5) Basic HH needs include having enough water for drinking, cooking, bathing, etc.



### 🐂 Water, Sanitation & Hygiene

Top 3 reported sources of drinking water:

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Surface water	38%	Borehole	56%
Borehole	18%	Protected rainwater tar	nk <b>18%</b>
Unprotected well	13%	Public tap	8%

#### % of HHs, by litres of water/person/day:

🛉 Host community	<b>45%</b> 31% <b>24%</b>	> 15 litres
 ∱→ Refugees	42% 22% 36%	10 - 15 litres < 10 litres

Average litres of water/person/day is **18** for refugee HHs and **17** for the host community HHs.

**63%** of refugee HHs and **80%** of host community HHs reported not having enough water to cover the basic HH needs during the 7 days prior to data collection.<sup>5</sup>

### Top 3 reported strategies for coping with insufficient quantity of water during the 7 days prior to data collection:<sup>6</sup>

🛉 Host community		📌 Refugees	
Use less for bathing	<b>49%</b>	Use less for bathing	59%
Fetch from further point	32%	Fetch from further point	30%
None	12%	None	15%

#### % of HHs reported challenges to collecting water:

	🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Distance		26%		10%
Queuing		14%		42%
Distance and queuing		10%		21%
None		50%		26%

#### % of HHs with access to a functioning HH latrine:



**50%** of the refugee HHs and **14%** of the host community HH did not have soap during data collection.

### Top 3 most commonly reported reasons for HHs not to have soap in the $\rm HH{\rm :}^1$

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Soap is too expensive	52%	Soap is too expensive	67%
Soap isn't necessary	38%	Waiting for distribution	22%
Other	7%	Soap isn't necessary	5%





### Food Assistance

Top 3 reported primary source of food during the 7 days prior to data collection:

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Own production	59%	Bought with cash	42%
Bought with cash	38%	Own production	26%
Local food charity	1%	Food distribution	22%

The refugee HHs that had been living in the settlement for less than one year relied more on humanitarian aid (50%) than refugee HHs that had lived there for one year or more (17%).1

% of HHs with the following Food Consumption Scores (FCS):<sup>2</sup>



HH average food consumption score:

Host community

Refugees

47

% of HHs FCS by time spent in the settlement:1

63

	<6 months	7 - 11 months	1 - 2 years	>2 years
Acceptable	89%	100%	82%	85%
Borderline	11%	0%	9%	13%
Poor	0%	0%	9%	2%

% of HHs who reported having access to sufficient food for all members over the 7 days prior to data collection:



% of HHs reported using food coping strategies during the 7 days prior to the data collection:

	host community		🔥 Refugees	
Reduce # meals / day		16%		27%
Limit meal size		25%		35%
Buy cheaper food		10%		28%
Debt/Borrowing	1	3%		6%
Skip days of eating	1	2%		7%
Only children eat	1	2%		6%
Exchange food		0%		7%
None		52%		10%

### **Shelter & NFIs**

% of HHs with the following shelter types:<sup>3</sup>



#### % of HHs that reported owning their shelter:



% of HHs reporting their shelters are vulnerable to leakage from rain:



15% of the host community HHs and 19% of the refugee HHs reported that their shelter experienced flooding in the year prior to data collection.

#### Top 3 most commonly reported NFI priorities:

🛉 Host community		<b>∱→ Refugees</b> Bedding	
Bedding	67%	Bedding	81%
Water storage	47%	Mosquito nets	49%
Kitchen tools	36%	Kitchen tools	49%

1) Disaggregation by time spent in settlement only applies to refugee households, as host community households do not live in settlements.

2) The FCS is used as proxy for HH food security and is a composite score based on 1) Dietary diversity 2) Food frequency and 3) Relative nutritional importance of the various food groups consumed by HHs. The FCS is recorded from a 7-day recall and is based on 9 weighted food groups. The FCS is used to classify households into three groups: poor, borderline or acceptable food consumption. In the Ugandan context the thresholds used are as follows:  $\geq$  31 – Acceptable; 28 - 30 – Borderline;  $\leq$  27 - Poor.

3) Permanent shelters includes mudbrick, tukul and concrete brick. Temporary shelters includes emergency tent and makeshift shelter.

> For more information on this profile please contact: uganda@reach-initiative.org





# Multi-Sector Needs Assessment: Isingiro District Uganda, August, 2018

#### **Background & Methodology**

Due to its proximity to three major humanitarian emergencies in South Sudan, Burundi, and the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC), its progressive refugee hosting and settlement policies, and the ease of border crossings, Uganda has received a large number of refugees over the past 3 years.

With over 1 million refugees in Uganda<sup>1</sup>, humanitarian needs across the country are significant with little capacity for actors to clearly map the landscape of needs across refugee and host communities alike. UNHCR, with support from REACH, conducted a Multi-Sector Needs Assessment with the aim to address this information gap by providing evidence-based analysis to inform the Refugee Response Plan (RRP) for 2019-2020.

A total of 6,809 household (HH) level surveys were conducted across all 30 refugee settlements and 11 refugee hosting districts. Households were randomly sampled with a confidence level of 95% and 10% margin of error and generalisable at the settlement level for refugees and at the district level for the host communities.

**591** surveys were conducted in Isingiro District between 24 May and 15 June 2018.

### 🕷 Demographics

#### % of assessed HHs by area of origin:

<b>36%</b> 33%	Uganda DRC
17% 14%	Burundi Rwanda

#### % of refugee HHs that have lived in the settlement for:

/0 01 1010	-gee mie mat			••••••	••
3% 8%		<6 months	7 - 11 mor	nths	
3%	86%	1 - 2 years	> 2 year	S	
% of ind	ividuals by ag	e group:			
		🛉 Host	community	<b>∱_</b> → F	lefugees
Girls (0-	17)	n en	28%		28%
Boys (0-	17)		29%		33%
Adult fer	nales (18-59)		21%		18%
Adult ma	les (18-59)		18%		17%
Elderly f	emales (60+)	1	2%	1	2%
Elderly r	nales (60+)	1	2%	I.	2%
Average	HH size: <sup>2</sup>	6.1 me	mbers	5.6 m	embers

Gender distribution of the head of the HHs:



#### Top 3 sectors with most reported HH needs:<sup>3</sup>

🛉 Host community		∱→ Refugees	
WASH	65%	Food	64%
Food	61%	Shelter	42%
Education	<b>58%</b>	Health and nutrition	39%

Note: For questions asked only to a subset of households, a lower confidence level and a wider margin of error may apply.





### Protection

#### % of HHs with at least one vulnerable member:

	N.	×→
Unaccompanied or separated children	30%	15%
Individuals with chronic illnesses	11%	30%
Individuals with disabilities	11%	36%
Pregnant and/or lactating women	33%	44%

**91%** of the refugee HHs reported being registered in a settlement in the district.<sup>4</sup>

% of HHs reporting at least one member with psychological distress:



Yes No

Yes

No

**38%** of the host community HHs and **34%** of the refugee HHs reported that they had not received/were unable to receive psychological care.

### % of HHs that reported being reached by the following protection awareness campaigns:

	ίπ'	<b>∕</b> ()→
SGBV	81%	79%
Child protection	83%	72%
Psycho-social	67%	74%

**46%** of the host community HHs and **30%** of the refugee HHs with at least one woman or girl of reproductive age reported that one or more women in the HH could not access sanitary pads.

 OPM RIMS statistics, June 2018, Uganda Comprehensive Refugee Response Portal.
 The MSNA found the average size of refugee and host community HHs to be larger than previous assessments conducted in Uganda. HH was defined as a group of members who regularly share resources, such as water, food, and living space.

3) Respondents could select multiple options.







Top 3 reported income source over the 30 days prior to data collection:<sup>1</sup>

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Agriculture	91%	Agriculture	72%
Casual labour	41%	Casual labour	65%
Small business	38%	Small business	23%

% of HHs that had access to agricultural land in the most recent harvest season:



Top 3 reported ways HHs accessed land for agricultural purposes, for HHs that reported access to land:<sup>1</sup>

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Owns the land	73%	Free through OPM	74%
Rents the land	<b>26%</b>	Rents the land	20%
Free access	0%	Owns the land	4%

**76%** of refugee HHs and **48%** of host community HHs that had access to land reported that it did not provide sufficient food for the entire HH in the most recent harvest season.<sup>2</sup>

**6%** of refugee HHs and **4%** of host community HHs that had access to agricultural land did not cultivate or plant crops in the most recent harvest season.

Top 3 reported reasons why HHs did not cultivate or plant crops in the most recent harvest season, of HHs that reported no cultivation:<sup>1</sup>

🛉 Host con	nmunity		🖈 Refugees	
Lack of	tools	71%	Lack of fertilizer	33%
Lack of	seeds	57%	Poor cultivating season	27%
Land is	inaccessible	43%	Lack of tools/seeds	22%

Top 3 reported livelihood coping strategies used by HHs over the 30 days prior to data collection:<sup>1</sup>

🛉 Host community		🖈 Refugees	
Spent savings	43%	Humanitarian aid	67%
Borrowed money	41%	Support from friends	34%
Support from friends	23%	Borrowed money	32%

% of HHs with access to local markets within walking distance:







Λ→ Refugees

64% Yes 36% No

**36%** of refugee HHs and **48%** of host community HHs reported that they faced challenges accessing markets in the 30 days prior to data collection.



% of HHs that reporting the following primary fuel sources:

	🛉 Host community 🛛	🖈 Refugees
Firewood	<sup></sup> 85%	83%
Charcoal	13%	17%

**27%** of refugee HHs and **22%** of host community HHs reported having an improved cook stove.<sup>2</sup>

### $\square$ Education

**26%** of refugee HHs with school-aged children and **8%** of host community HHs with school-aged children have at least one child not enrolled in school.

% of HHs with at least one school-aged child not enrolled in school, by age and gender:

Host co	mmunity		Refu	gees
Ť	<b>†</b>	Age	Ť	Ť
12%	22%	3 - 5	49%	51%
4%	1%	6 - 12	14%	15%
10%	10%	13 - 18	28%	36%

% of HHs with at least one school aged children enrolled in school, by school type:

	🖷 Host co	mmunity	🔨 Ref	ugees
ECD		21%		12%
Primary		<b>58%</b>		59%
Secondary		10%	•	5%
Other <sup>3</sup>	1	4%		0%
Not enrolled		8%		26%

Top 3 reported barriers to education for HHs with at least one school-aged child not enrolled in school:<sup>1</sup>

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
High costs	47%	High costs	<b>48%</b>
The child is too young	41%	The child is too young	43%
Poor conditions	9%	Children must work outside the HH	14%

Of the HHs that reported cost as a barrier to accessing education, **86%** of refugee households mentioned **tuition** while **100%** of the host community HHs reported **tuition** as the most commonly reported cost barrier.

1) Respondents could select multiple options.

2) Improved cooking stove or energy saving stoves are designed to consume less firewood and produce less fumes.

3) Other types of education include accelerated learning programme, non-formal skills training, and vocational training



Top 3 reported health issue among HH members during the 2 weeks prior to data collection:<sup>1</sup>

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Diarrhoea	31%	Malaria	42%
Malaria	30%	Diarrhoea	24%
Respiratory infection	9%	Stress	19%

Of the HHs that reported having a member with health issues in the past year and sought treatment, **58%** of refugee HHs and **43%** of host community reported facing challenges when they sought treatment.

#### Top 3 reported challenges in accessing health care:<sup>2</sup>

🛉 Host community		📌 Refugees	
No medicine available	46%	No medicine available	62%
Distance	37%	High cost of medicine	30%
High cost of medicine	28%	Unqualified staff	24%

**20%** of the refugee HHs reported language barriers as a challenge when accessing health care.

### % of HHs with pregnant and/or lactating women that received the following services:

	T	<b>/ / / /</b> →
Counselling on infant and young child feeding	92%	92%
Iron and folic acid supplements or micro-	87%	77%
nutrient supplements		
At least 2 doses of fansidar <sup>3</sup>	72%	69%

.

#### Of the HHs with children, % reporting:<sup>4</sup>

	🛉 Host community	🔥 Refugees
Polio vaccination	<sup>"</sup> 97%	97%
Measles vaccination	78%	67%

#### % of HHs reporting owning mosquito nets:



#### Average number of HH members sleeping under nets:

Host community	
5	

1) Respondents could select multiple options.

2) The question was asked to HHs that had sought health care treatment in the past year.3) Fansidar is used to prevent and treat malaria. It can be used for pregnant women with less risks to the mother and fetus.

🔨 Refugees

2.2

4) Polio vaccination is given to children between 0-5 years old. Measles vaccination is given to children aged 15 or younger .

5) Basic HH needs include having enough water for drinking, cooking, bathing, etc.



### 🐂 Water, Sanitation & Hygiene

Top 3 reported sources of drinking water:

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Surface water	28%	Public tap	64%
Protected rainwater tank	20%	Surface water	15%
Unprotected well	15%	Borehole	14%

#### % of HHs, by litres of water/person/day:

🛉 Host community	<b>30%</b> 24% <b>46%</b>	> 15 litres
 ★ Refugees	23% 25% 52%	10 - 15 litres < 10 litres
∧⇒ Keiugees		< to inces

Average litres of water/person/day is **12** for refugee HHs and **14** for the host community HHs.

**28%** of refugee HHs and **33%** of host community HHs reported not having enough water to cover the basic HH needs during the 7 days prior to data collection.<sup>5</sup>

### Top 3 reported strategies for coping with insufficient quantity of water during the 7 days prior to data collection:<sup>6</sup>

🛉 Host community		🖈 Refugees	
Use less for bathing	<b>53%</b>	Fetch from further point	68%
Fetch from further point	51%	Use less for bathing	54%
Purchase more water	20%	Drink water reserved	32%
		for other purposes	

#### % of HHs reported challenges to collecting water:

	🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Distance		54%		17%
Queuing	1	4%		44%
Distance and queuing		10%		21%
None		32%		18%

#### % of HHs with access to a functioning HH latrine:



**37%** of the refugee HHs and **21%** of the host community HH did not have soap during data collection.

### Top 3 most commonly reported reasons for HHs not to have soap in the $\rm HH{\rm :}^1$

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Soap is too expensive	55%	Soap is too expensive	<b>68%</b>
Soap isn't necessary	39%	Waiting for distribution	16%
They prefer a substitute	5%	Soap isn't necessary	13%





### Food Assistance

Top 3 reported primary source of food during the 7 days prior to data collection:

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Own production	77%	Own production	35%
Bought with cash	21%	Bought with cash	33%
		Food distribution	18%

The refugee HHs that had been living in the settlement for less than one year relied more on humanitarian aid (22%) than refugee HHs that had lived there for one year or more (17%).1

% of HH (FCS): <sup>2</sup>	s with	the	following	Food	Consumption	Scores
(1.00)						



HH average food consumption score:

Host community

Refugees

50

% of HHs FCS by time spent in the settlement:1

63

	<6 months	7 - 11 months	1 - 2 years	>2 years
Acceptable	100%	100%	73%	89%
Borderline	0%	0%	23%	9%
Poor	0%	0%	4%	2%

% of HHs who reported having access to sufficient food for all members over the 7 days prior to data collection:



% of HHs reported using food coping strategies during the 7 days prior to the data collection:



### **Shelter & NFIs**

% of HHs with the following shelter types:<sup>3</sup>



#### % of HHs that reported owning their shelter:



% of HHs reporting their shelters are vulnerable to leakage from rain:



9% of the host community HHs and 20% of the refugee HHs reported that their shelter experienced flooding in the year prior to data collection.

#### Top 3 most commonly reported NFI priorities:

Host community Bedding	71%	<b>∱→ Refugees</b> Water storage	72%
Water storage	67%	Kitchen tools	67%
Kitchen tools		Bedding	67%

1) Disaggregation by time spent in settlement only applies to refugee households, as host community households do not live in settlements.

2) The FCS is used as proxy for HH food security and is a composite score based on 1) Dietary diversity 2) Food frequency and 3) Relative nutritional importance of the various food groups consumed by HHs. The FCS is recorded from a 7-day recall and is based on 9 weighted food groups. The FCS is used to classify households into three groups: poor, borderline or acceptable food consumption. In the Ugandan context the thresholds used are as follows:  $\geq$  31 – Acceptable; 28 - 30 – Borderline;  $\leq$  27 - Poor.

3) Permanent shelters includes mudbrick, tukul and concrete brick. Temporary shelters includes emergency tent and makeshift shelter.

For more information on this profile please contact:

uganda@reach-initiative.org





# Multi-Sector Needs Assessment: Kamwenge District Uganda, August, 2018

#### **Background & Methodology**

Due to its proximity to three major humanitarian emergencies in South Sudan, Burundi, and the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC), its progressive refugee hosting and settlement policies, and the ease of border crossings, Uganda has received a large number of refugees over the past 3 years.

With over 1 million refugees in Uganda<sup>1</sup>, humanitarian needs across the country are significant with little capacity for actors to clearly map the landscape of needs across refugee and host communities alike. UNHCR, with support from REACH, conducted a Multi-Sector Needs Assessment with the aim to address this information gap by providing evidence-based analysis to inform the Refugee Response Plan (RRP) for 2019-2020.

A total of 6,809 household (HH) level surveys were conducted across all 30 refugee settlements and 11 refugee hosting districts. Households were randomly sampled with a confidence level of 95% and 10% margin of error and generalisable at the settlement level for refugees and at the district level for the host communities.

**322** surveys were conducted in Kamwenge District between 5 May and 19 May 2018.

### 🕅 Demographics

#### % of assessed HHs by area of origin:





% of refugee HHs that 3% 15% 10% 72%	have lived <6 months 1 - 2 years	in the settl 7 - 11 moi > 2 yea	nths	r:
% of individuals by ag	e group:			
	🛉 Host c	ommunity	<b>∕ ∧</b> R	efugees
Girls (0-17)		31%		29%
Boys (0-17)		28%		34%
Adult females (18-59)		19%		18%
Adult males (18-59)		18%		16%
Elderly females (60+)	I.	2%	1	2%
Elderly males (60+)	I.	2%	T	1%
Average HH size: <sup>2</sup>	5.9 men	nbers	5.8 me	mbers

Gender distribution of the head of the HHs:



#### Top 3 sectors with most reported HH needs:<sup>3</sup>

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Livelihoods	67%	Food	69%
Education	43%	Health and nutrition	53%
Health and nutrition	37%	Livelihoods	37%

Note: For questions asked only to a subset of households, a lower confidence level and a wider margin of error may apply.





### **Protection**

#### % of HHs with at least one vulnerable member:

	T.	<b>∧</b> →
Unaccompanied or separated children	28%	22%
Individuals with chronic illnesses	21%	23%
Individuals with disabilities	16%	30%
Pregnant and/or lactating women	<b>28%</b>	46%

**98%** of the refugee HHs reported being registered in a settlement in the district.<sup>4</sup>

% of HHs reporting at least one member with psychological distress:



Refugees 27% 73%

**49%** of the host community HHs and **48%** of the refugee HHs reported that they had not received/were unable to receive psychological care.

### % of HHs that reported being reached by the following protection awareness campaigns:

	Ϋ́Υ Ι	<b>X</b> →
SGBV	82%	68%
Child protection	84%	45%
Psycho-social	68%	43%

**31%** of the host community HHs and **61%** of the refugee HHs with at least one woman or girl of reproductive age reported that one or more women in the HH could not access sanitary pads.

 OPM RIMS statistics, June 2018, Uganda Comprehensive Refugee Response Portal.
 The MSNA found the average size of refugee and host community HHs to be larger than previous assessments conducted in Uganda. HH was defined as a group of members who regularly share resources, such as water, food, and living space.

3) Respondents could select multiple options.

4) Refugees are registered in settlements by Uganda's Office of the Prime Minister (OPM).





Å

Yes

No



Top 3 reported income source over the 30 days prior to data collection:1

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Agriculture	92%	Agriculture	78%
Casual labour	28%	Casual labour	39%
Livestock	27%	Livestock	13%

% of HHs that had access to agricultural land in the most recent harvest season:



Top 3 reported ways HHs accessed land for agricultural purposes, for HHs that reported access to land:1

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Owns the land	66%	Free through OPM	93%
Rents the land	30%	Rents the land	5%
Free access	4%	Owns the land	1%

57% of refugee HHs and 33% of host community HHs that had access to land reported that it did not provide sufficient food for the entire HH in the most recent harvest season.<sup>2</sup>

12% of refugee HHs and 0% of host community HHs that had access to agricultural land did not cultivate or plant crops in the most recent harvest season.

Top 3 reported reasons why HHs did not cultivate or plant crops in the most recent harvest season, of HHs that reported no cultivation:1

İ	Host community
	N/A

#### ★ Refugees Poor cultivating season 30% 30% Land is inaccessible Lack of fertilizer 10%

Top 3 reported livelihood coping strategies used by HHs over the 30 days prior to data collection:1

🛉 Host community		🖈 Refugees	
Sold assets	27%	Humanitarian aid	61%
Spent savings	27%	Borrowed money	41%
Spent savings	27%	Spent savings	25%

% of HHs with access to local markets within walking distance: Λ→ Refugees

Yes

No



79% 21%



66% Yes 34% No

22% of refugee HHs and 38% of host community HHs reported that they faced challenges accessing markets in the 30 days prior to data collection.



% of HHs that reporting the following primary fuel sources:

	Host community	🖈 Refugees
Firewood	95%	90%
Charcoal	5%	10%

38% of refugee HHs and 21% of host community HHs reported having an improved cook stove.2

### **Education**

32% of refugee HHs with school-aged children and 11% of host community HHs with school-aged children have at least one child not enrolled in school.

% of HHs with at least one school-aged child not enrolled in school, by age and gender:

Host community			Refu	gees
Ť	<b>†</b>	Age	Ť	<b>†</b>
24%	25%	3 - 5	64%	56%
4%	3%	6 - 12	7%	27%
14%	19%	13 - 18	33%	33%

% of HHs with at least one school aged children enrolled in school, by school type: .

	Host cor	nmunity	🔨 Refi	igees
ECD		16%		10%
Primary		61%		54%
Secondary		12%	1	3%
Other <sup>3</sup>		0%	1	1%
Not enrolled		11%		32%

Top 3 reported barriers to education for HHs with at least one school-aged child not enrolled in school:1

🛉 Host community		🖈 Refugees	
The child is too young	45%	High costs	56%
High costs	43%	The child is too young	35%
The school is too far	11%	The school is too far	17%

Of the HHs that reported cost as a barrier to accessing education, 81% of refugee households mentioned books while 100% of the host community HHs reported **books** as the most commonly reported cost barrier.

1) Respondents could select multiple options.

2) Improved cooking stove or energy saving stoves are designed to consume less firewood and produce less fumes.

3) Other types of education include accelerated learning programme, non-formal skills training, and vocational training



Top 3 reported health issue among HH members during the 2 weeks prior to data collection:<sup>1</sup>

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Malaria	37%	Malaria	55%
Diarrhoea	20%	Diarrhoea	35%
Skin disease	12%	Skin	20%

Of the HHs that reported having a member with health issues in the past year and sought treatment, **55%** of refugee HHs and **51%** of host community reported facing challenges when they sought treatment.

#### Top 3 reported challenges in accessing health care:<sup>2</sup>

İ	Host community		🖈 Refugees	
	No medicine available	52%	No medicine available	51%
	High cost of medicine	46%	High cost of medicine	51%
	High cost of treatment	41%	High cost of treatment	23%

**13%** of the refugee HHs reported language barriers as a challenge when accessing health care.

### % of HHs with pregnant and/or lactating women that received the following services:

	m la la la la la la la la la la la la la	<b>∕</b>
Counselling on infant and young child feeding	82%	72%
Iron and folic acid supplements or micro-	74%	91%
nutrient supplements		
At least 2 doses of fansidar <sup>3</sup>	<b>78%</b>	77%

#### Of the HHs with children, % reporting:<sup>4</sup>

	Host community	🔥 Refugees
Polio vaccination	<sup>"</sup> 97%	90%
Measles vaccination	72%	65%

#### % of HHs reporting owning mosquito nets:



#### Average number of HH members sleeping under nets:

Host community

4.9

1) Respondents could select multiple options.

2) The question was asked to HHs that had sought health care treatment in the past year.3) Fansidar is used to prevent and treat malaria. It can be used for pregnant women with less risks to the mother and fetus.

🔨 Refugees

1

4) Polio vaccination is given to children between 0-5 years old. Measles vaccination is given to children aged 15 or younger .

5) Basic HH needs include having enough water for drinking, cooking, bathing, etc.



### 🐂 Water, Sanitation & Hygiene

Top 3 reported sources of drinking water:

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Borehole	28%	Borehole	74%
Unprotected well	26%	Public tap	11%
Surface water	17%	Surface water	6%

#### % of HHs, by litres of water/person/day:

🛉 Host community	<b>30%</b> 39% <b>31%</b>	> 15 litres
Refugees	29% 20% 51%	10 - 15 litres < 10 litres

Average litres of water/person/day is **12** for refugee HHs and **14** for the host community HHs.

**53%** of refugee HHs and **81%** of host community HHs reported not having enough water to cover the basic HH needs during the 7 days prior to data collection.<sup>5</sup>

### Top 3 reported strategies for coping with insufficient quantity of water during the 7 days prior to data collection:<sup>6</sup>

🛉 Host community		🖈 Refugees	
Use less for bathing	57%	Use less for bathing	55%
Fetch from further point	45%	Fetch from further point	43%
Purchase more water	16%	Use less for drinking	11%

#### % of HHs reported challenges to collecting water:

	🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Distance		35%		5%
Queuing		19%		18%
Distance and queuing		11%		18%
None		35%		58%

#### % of HHs with access to a functioning HH latrine:



**43%** of the refugee HHs and **24%** of the host community HH did not have soap during data collection.

### Top 3 most commonly reported reasons for HHs not to have soap in the $\rm HH{\rm :}^1$

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees
Soap is too expensive	70%	Soap is too expensive 72%
Soap isn't necessary	22%	Waiting for distribution 15%
They prefer a substitute	6%	They prefer a substitute 5%



The UN Refugee Agency



### Food Assistance

Top 3 reported primary source of food during the 7 days prior to data collection:

İ	Host community		🔥 Refugees	
	Own production	80%	Food distribution	39%
	Bought with cash	17%	Bought with cash	16%
	Gifts from family/friends	2%	Own production	12%

The refugee HHs that had been living in the settlement for less than one year relied more on humanitarian aid (67%) than refugee HHs that had lived there for one year or more (35%).<sup>1</sup>

% of HHs with the following Food Consumption Scores (FCS):<sup>2</sup>



HH average food consumption score:

👖 Host community

🔨 Refugees

42

% of HHs FCS by time spent in the settlement:1

55

	<6 months	7 - 11 months	1 - 2 years	>2 years
Acceptable	33%	89%	93%	72%
Borderline	67%	11%	7%	27%
Poor	0%	0%	0%	1%

% of HHs who reported having access to sufficient food for all members over the 7 days prior to data collection:



% of HHs reported using food coping strategies during the 7 days prior to the data collection:

	🛉 Host community		📌 Refu	gees
Reduce # meals / day		22%		55%
Limit meal size		21%		32%
Buy cheaper food		30%		37%
Debt/Borrowing	1	4%		16%
Skip days of eating		0%		12%
Only children eat		0%		15%
Exchange food	1	2%	1	2%
None		35%	1	4%

### Shelter & NFIs

% of HHs with the following shelter types:<sup>3</sup>



#### % of HHs that reported owning their shelter:



% of HHs reporting their shelters are vulnerable to leakage from rain:



**10%** of the host community HHs and **33%** of the refugee HHs reported that their shelter experienced flooding in the year prior to data collection.

#### Top 3 most commonly reported NFI priorities:

	🔥 Refugees	
66%	Bedding	80%
<b>62%</b>	Mosquito nets	71%
49%	Water storage	52%
	62%	<ul> <li>66% Refugees</li> <li>66% Bedding</li> <li>62% Mosquito nets</li> <li>49% Water storage</li> </ul>

1) Disaggregation by time spent in settlement only applies to refugee households, as host community households do not live in settlements.

2) The FCS is used as proxy for HH food security and is a composite score based on 1) Dietary diversity 2) Food frequency and 3) Relative nutritional importance of the various food groups consumed by HHs. The FCS is recorded from a 7-day recall and is based on 9 weighted food groups. The FCS is used to classify households into three groups: poor, borderline or acceptable food consumption. In the Ugandan context the thresholds used are as follows:  $\geq$  31 – Acceptable; 28 - 30 – Borderline;  $\leq$  27 - Poor.

3) Permanent shelters includes mudbrick, tukul and concrete brick. Temporary shelters includes emergency tent and makeshift shelter.

For more information on this profile please contact:

uganda@reach-initiative.org



# Multi-Sector Needs Assessment: Kiryandongo District Uganda, August, 2018

#### **Background & Methodology**

Due to its proximity to three major humanitarian emergencies in South Sudan, Burundi, and the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC), its progressive refugee hosting and settlement policies, and the ease of border crossings, Uganda has received a large number of refugees over the past 3 years.

With over 1 million refugees in Uganda<sup>1</sup>, humanitarian needs across the country are significant with little capacity for actors to clearly map the landscape of needs across refugee and host communities alike. UNHCR, with support from REACH, conducted a Multi-Sector Needs Assessment with the aim to address this information gap by providing evidence-based analysis to inform the Refugee Response Plan (RRP) for 2019-2020.

A total of 6,809 household (HH) level surveys were conducted across all 30 refugee settlements and 11 refugee hosting districts. Households were randomly sampled with a confidence level of 95% and 10% margin of error and generalisable at the settlement level for refugees and at the district level for the host communities.

**357** surveys were conducted in Kiryandongo District between 24 April and 11 May 2018.

### 🕅 Demographics

#### % of assessed HHs by area of origin:



66% Uganda32% South Sudan2% Kenya

#### % of refugee HHs that have lived in the settlement for:

% of refugee HHs that have lived in the settlement for:					
4 <mark>% 29%</mark>	<6 months	7 - 11 mor	nths		
1% 66%	1 - 2 years	> 2 year	S		
% of individuals by ag	je group:				
	👖 Host c	ommunity	<b>∱_</b> → F	lefugees	
Girls (0-17)		30%		32%	
Boys (0-17)		34%		38%	
Adult females (18-59)		16%		16%	
Adult males (18-59)		17%		12%	
Elderly females (60+)	1	2%	1	1%	
Elderly males (60+)	I.	1%	I	1%	
Average HH size: <sup>2</sup>	8.5 men	nbers	9.3 m	embers	

Gender distribution of the head of the HHs:



#### Top 3 sectors with most reported HH needs:<sup>3</sup>

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Education	54%	Food	56%
Food	<b>49%</b>	Education	50%
WASH	47%	Shelter	48%

Note: For questions asked only to a subset of households, a lower confidence level and a wider margin of error may apply.





### Protection

#### % of HHs with at least one vulnerable member:

	N.	<b>/`→</b>
Unaccompanied or separated children	44%	62%
Individuals with chronic illnesses	34%	30%
Individuals with disabilities	30%	22%
Pregnant and/or lactating women	54%	45%

**98%** of the refugee HHs reported being registered in a settlement in the district.<sup>4</sup>

Yes

No

58%

% of HHs reporting at least one member with psychological distress:

Host community

Refugees 29% Yes 71% No

小

**58%** of the host community HHs and **77%** of the refugee HHs reported that they had not received/were unable to receive psychological care.

### % of HHs that reported being reached by the following protection awareness campaigns:

	W	<b>∕</b> ()→
SGBV	61%	59%
Child protection	55%	49%
Psycho-social	39%	45%

**61%** of the host community HHs and **33%** of the refugee HHs with at least one woman or girl of reproductive age reported that one or more women in the HH could not access sanitary pads.

 OPM RIMS statistics, June 2018, Uganda Comprehensive Refugee Response Portal.
 The MSNA found the average size of refugee and host community HHs to be larger than previous assessments conducted in Uganda. HH was defined as a group of members who regularly share resources, such as water, food, and living space.

3) Respondents could select multiple options.







Top 3 reported income source over the 30 days prior to data collection:1

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Agriculture	96%	Agriculture	69%
Small business	16%	Casual labour	32%
Casual labour	15%	Small business	20%

% of HHs that had access to agricultural land in the most recent harvest season:



Top 3 reported ways HHs accessed land for agricultural purposes, for HHs that reported access to land:1

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Owns the land	73%	Free through OPM	88%
Rents the land	21%	Owns the land	10%
Free access	5%	Free access	2%

52% of refugee HHs and 31% of host community HHs that had access to land reported that it did not provide sufficient food for the entire HH in the most recent harvest season.<sup>2</sup>

7% of refugee HHs and 2% of host community HHs that had access to agricultural land did not cultivate or plant crops in the most recent harvest season.

Top 3 reported reasons why HHs did not cultivate or plant crops in the most recent harvest season, of HHs that reported no cultivation:1

👖 Host community		🖈 Refugees	
Land is inaccessible	25%	Lack of seeds	67%
Other	75%	Poor cultivating season	33%
		Lack of tools	33%

Top 3 reported livelihood coping strategies used by HHs over the 30 days prior to data collection:1

🛉 Host community		🖈 Refugees	
Spent savings	<b>48%</b>	Support from friends	32%
Sold assets	27%	Humanitarian aid	29%
Borrowed money	21%	Sold assistance	28%

% of HHs with access to local markets within walking distance: Λ→ Refugees

Yes

No







66% Yes 34% No

43% of refugee HHs and 55% of host community HHs reported that they faced challenges accessing markets in the 30 days prior to data collection.



% of HHs that reporting the following primary fuel sources:

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Refugees
Firewood	99%	78%
Charcoal	1%	22%

24% of refugee HHs and 11% of host community HHs reported having an improved cook stove.2

### **Education**

5% of refugee HHs with school-aged children and 20% of host community HHs with school-aged children have at least one child not enrolled in school.

% of HHs with at least one school-aged child not enrolled in school, by age and gender:

Host community			Refu	igees
ń	<b>†</b>	Age	Ŕ	<b>†</b>
44%	33%	3 - 5	8%	4%
9%	7%	6 - 12	1%	6%
24%	35%	13 - 18	9%	13%

% of HHs with at least one school aged children enrolled in school, by school type: 

	Host community		🔨 Refugees	
ECD		15%		13%
Primary		52%		64%
Secondary		12%		18%
Other <sup>3</sup>		0%		0%
Not enrolled		20%	•	5%

Top 3 reported barriers to education for HHs with at least one school-aged child not enrolled in school:1

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
High costs	43%	High costs	68%
The school is too far	30%	Lack of space	11%
The child is too young	25%	Children must work outside the HH	5%

Of the HHs that reported cost as a barrier to accessing education, 69% of refugee households mentioned books while 96% of the host community HHs reported **books** as the most commonly reported cost barrier.

1) Respondents could select multiple options.

2) Improved cooking stove or energy saving stoves are designed to consume less firewood and produce less fumes.

3) Other types of education include accelerated learning programme, non-formal skills training, and vocational training

The UN Refugee Agence

### Health & Nutrition

Top 3 reported health issue among HH members during the 2 weeks prior to data collection:<sup>1</sup>

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Malaria	52%	Malaria	56%
Diarrhoea	39%	Diarrhoea	41%
Skin disease	21%	Skin	29%

Of the HHs that reported having a member with health issues in the past year and sought treatment, **67%** of refugee HHs and **69%** of host community reported facing challenges when they sought treatment.

#### Top 3 reported challenges in accessing health care:<sup>2</sup>

İ	Host community		🖈 Refugees	
	No medicine available	47%	No medicine available	47%
	High cost of treatment	34%	High cost of medicine	33%
	Distance	<b>26%</b>	Language barrier	29%

**29%** of the refugee HHs reported language barriers as a challenge when accessing health care.

# % of HHs with pregnant and/or lactating women that received the following services:

	T	<b>∧</b> →
Counselling on infant and young child feeding	73%	83%
Iron and folic acid supplements or micro-	64%	52%
nutrient supplements		
At least 2 doses of fansidar <sup>3</sup>	71%	63%

#### Of the HHs with children, % reporting:<sup>4</sup>

	Host community	🔥 Refugees
Polio vaccination		83%
Measles vaccination	79%	46%

#### % of HHs reporting owning mosquito nets:



#### Average number of HH members sleeping under nets:

Host community

5.5

1) Respondents could select multiple options.

2) The question was asked to HHs that had sought health care treatment in the past year.
 3) Fansidar is used to prevent and treat malaria. It can be used for pregnant women with less risks to the mother and fetus.

🔨 Refugees

1.3

4) Polio vaccination is given to children between 0-5 years old. Measles vaccination is given to children aged 15 or younger .

5) Basic HH needs include having enough water for drinking, cooking, bathing, etc.



### 🐂 Water, Sanitation & Hygiene

Top 3 reported sources of drinking water:

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Borehole	<b>81%</b>	Borehole	71%
Surface water	10%	Public tap	26%
Protected well	4%	Protected well	1%

#### % of HHs, by litres of water/person/day:

host community	52% 29% <mark>20%</mark>	> 15 litres
Refugees	<b>38%</b> 35% <b>27%</b>	10 - 15 litres < 10 litres

Average litres of water/person/day is **16** for refugee HHs and **17** for the host community HHs.

**31%** of refugee HHs and **64%** of host community HHs reported not having enough water to cover the basic HH needs during the 7 days prior to data collection.<sup>5</sup>

# Top 3 reported strategies for coping with insufficient quantity of water during the 7 days prior to data collection:<sup>6</sup>

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees
Fetch from further point	51%	Use less for bathing 70%
Use less for bathing	45%	Fetch from further point 54%
Purchase more water	12%	Purchase more water 15%

#### % of HHs reported challenges to collecting water:

	🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Distance		17%		4%
Queuing		34%		31%
Distance and queuing		33%		56%
None		16%		8%

#### % of HHs with access to a functioning HH latrine:



**49%** of the refugee HHs and **23%** of the host community HH did not have soap during data collection.

# Top 3 most commonly reported reasons for HHs not to have soap in the $\rm HH{\rm :}^1$

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Soap is too expensive	67%	Soap is too expensive	86%
They prefer a substitute	15%	Waiting for distribution	5%
Soap isn't necessary	9%	They prefer a substitute	e <b>3%</b>





### **Food Assistance**

Top 3 reported primary source of food during the 7 days prior to data collection:

🛉 Host community		🕂 Refugees	
Own production	75%	Food distribution	71%
Bought with cash	23%	Own production	20%
Gifts from family/friend	ds 1%	Bought with cash	4%

The refugee HHs that had been living in the settlement for less than one year relied more on humanitarian aid (100%) than refugee HHs that had lived there for one year or more (70%).<sup>1</sup>

% of HHs with the following Food Consumption Scores (FCS):<sup>2</sup>



Host community 63

🛶 Refugees

51

% of HHs FCS by time spent in the settlement:1

	<6 months	7 - 11 months	1 - 2 years	>2 years
Acceptable	100%	100%	94%	83%
Borderline	0%	0%	6%	16%
Poor	0%	0%	0%	1%

% of HHs who reported having access to sufficient food for all members over the 7 days prior to data collection:



% of HHs reported using food coping strategies during the 7 days prior to the data collection:

	Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Reduce # meals / day		16%		50%
Limit meal size		33%		38%
Buy cheaper food		31%		25%
Debt/Borrowing	1.1	4%		11%
Skip days of eating	1	2%		18%
Only children eat	1.11	3%		13%
Exchange food	1	1%	1.	3%
None		29%		9%

### Shelter & NFIs

% of HHs with the following shelter types:<sup>3</sup>





**A** Refugees

#### % of HHs that reported owning their shelter:



% of HHs reporting their shelters are vulnerable to leakage from rain:



**19%** of the host community HHs and **29%** of the refugee HHs reported that their shelter experienced flooding in the year prior to data collection.

#### Top 3 most commonly reported NFI priorities:

🛉 Host community		<b>Refugees</b> Water storage	
Bedding	66%	Water storage	61%
Water storage	55%	Bedding	61%
Kitchen tools	43%	Kitchen tools	53%

1) Disaggregation by time spent in settlement only applies to refugee households, as host community households do not live in settlements.

2) The FCS is used as proxy for HH food security and is a composite score based on 1) Dietary diversity 2) Food frequency and 3) Relative nutritional importance of the various food groups consumed by HHs. The FCS is recorded from a 7-day recall and is based on 9 weighted food groups. The FCS is used to classify households into three groups: poor, borderline or acceptable food consumption. In the Ugandan context the thresholds used are as follows:  $\geq$  31 – Acceptable; 28 - 30 – Borderline;  $\leq$  27 - Poor.

3) Permanent shelters includes mudbrick, tukul and concrete brick. Temporary shelters includes emergency tent and makeshift shelter.

For more information on this profile please contact:

uganda@reach-initiative.org



# Multi-Sector Needs Assessment: Koboko District Uganda, August, 2018

#### **Background & Methodology**

Due to its proximity to three major humanitarian emergencies in South Sudan, Burundi, and the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC), its progressive refugee hosting and settlement policies, and the ease of border crossings, Uganda has received a large number of refugees over the past 3 years.

With over 1 million refugees in Uganda<sup>1</sup>, humanitarian needs across the country are significant with little capacity for actors to clearly map the landscape of needs across refugee and host communities alike. UNHCR, with support from REACH, conducted a Multi-Sector Needs Assessment with the aim to address this information gap by providing evidence-based analysis to inform the Refugee Response Plan (RRP) for 2019-2020.

A total of 6,809 household (HH) level surveys were conducted across all 30 refugee settlements and 11 refugee hosting districts. Households were randomly sampled with a confidence level of 95% and 10% margin of error and generalisable at the settlement level for refugees and at the district level for the host communities.

**379** surveys were conducted in Koboko District between 9 April and 14 July 2018.

### 👬 Demographics

#### % of assessed HHs by area of origin:





#### % of refugee HHs that have lived in the settlement for:

% of refugee HHs that	t have lived	in the settle	ement fo	or:
0% 0%	<6 months	7 - 11 mon	ths	
0% 100%	1 - 2 years	> 2 year	s	
% of individuals by ag	ge group:			
	🛉 Host co	mmunity	<b>₹</b> , F	Refugees
Girls (0-17)		30%		37%
Boys (0-17)		33%		36%
Adult females (18-59)		17%		13%
Adult males (18-59)		17%		10%
Elderly females (60+)	1	2%	1	3%
Elderly males (60+)	I.	1%	I.	1%
Average HH size: <sup>2</sup>	8.7 mem	bers	7 men	nbers

Gender distribution of the head of the HHs:



#### Top 3 sectors with most reported HH needs:<sup>3</sup>

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Food	75%	Food	74%
Education	53%	Livelihoods	65%
Livelihoods	43%	NFIs	44%

Note: For questions asked only to a subset of households, a lower confidence level and a wider margin of error may apply.



Funded by European Union Civil Protection an Humanitarian Aid



### Protection

#### % of HHs with at least one vulnerable member:

	TY .	<b>\X</b> →
Unaccompanied or separated children	<b>42%</b>	56%
Individuals with chronic illnesses	27%	48%
Individuals with disabilities	31%	37%
Pregnant and/or lactating women	49%	43%

**100%** of the refugee HHs reported being registered in a settlement in the district.<sup>4</sup>

Yes

No

% of HHs reporting at least one member with psychological distress:



28%

72%

 Arefugees

 33%

 67%

Å

Yes

No

66% of the host community HHs and 15% of the refugee HHs reported that they had not received/were unable to receive psychological care.

### % of HHs that reported being reached by the following protection awareness campaigns:

	Ϋ́	<b>──</b> ( <b>八</b> →
SGBV	49%	96%
Child protection	44%	97%
Psycho-social	38%	88%

**50%** of the host community HHs and **19%** of the refugee HHs with at least one woman or girl of reproductive age reported that one or more women in the HH could not access sanitary pads.

 OPM RIMS statistics, June 2018, Uganda Comprehensive Refugee Response Portal.
 The MSNA found the average size of refugee and host community HHs to be larger than previous assessments conducted in Uganda. HH was defined as a group of members who regularly share resources, such as water, food, and living space.

3) Respondents could select multiple options.







Top 3 reported income source over the 30 days prior to data collection:<sup>1</sup>

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Agriculture	<b>86%</b>	Casual labour	60%
Small business	30%	Agriculture	44%
Casual labour	<b>28%</b>	Small business	20%

% of HHs that had access to agricultural land in the most recent harvest season:



Top 3 reported ways HHs accessed land for agricultural purposes, for HHs that reported access to land:<sup>1</sup>

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Owns the land	83%	Free through OPM	74%
Free access	9%	Rents the land	20%
Rents the land	8%	Owns the land	3%

**79%** of refugee HHs and **46%** of host community HHs that had access to land reported that it did not provide sufficient food for the entire HH in the most recent harvest season.<sup>2</sup>

**1%** of refugee HHs and **1%** of host community HHs that had access to agricultural land did not cultivate or plant crops in the most recent harvest season.

Top 3 reported reasons why HHs did not cultivate or plant crops in the most recent harvest season, of HHs that reported no cultivation:<sup>1</sup>

∱ н	ost community		🔨 Refugees	
L	ack of seeds	50%	Poor cultivating season	100%
Ρ	oor cultivating season	50%	Lack of tools	100%
L	ack of tools	50%		

Top 3 reported livelihood coping strategies used by HHs over the 30 days prior to data collection:<sup>1</sup>

🛉 Host community		🖈 Refugees	
Spent savings	36%	Borrowed money	54%
None	33%	Support from friends	27%
Sold assets	19%	Spent savings	22%

% of HHs with access to local markets within walking distance:

Yes

No







Λ→ Refugees

89% Yes 11% No

**32%** of refugee HHs and **55%** of host community HHs reported that they faced challenges accessing markets in the 30 days prior to data collection.



% of HHs that reporting the following primary fuel sources:

Í	Host community	🖈 Refugees
Firewood	82%	100%
Charcoal	18%	0%

**51%** of refugee HHs and **11%** of host community HHs reported having an improved cook stove.<sup>2</sup>

### $\square$ Education

**5%** of refugee HHs with school-aged children and **11%** of host community HHs with school-aged children have at least one child not enrolled in school.

% of HHs with at least one school-aged child not enrolled in school, by age and gender:

Host co	mmunity		Refugees		
Ť	<b>†</b>	Age	Ŕ	<b>†</b>	
19%	18%	3 - 5	0%	0%	
7%	4%	6 - 12	3%	1%	
15%	12%	13 - 18	12%	11%	

% of HHs with at least one school aged children enrolled in school, by school type:

	Host community		🔨 Refugees	
ECD		10%		21%
Primary		70%		68%
Secondary		9%		8%
Other <sup>3</sup>		0%		0%
Not enrolled		11%		5%

Top 3 reported barriers to education for HHs with at least one school-aged child not enrolled in school:<sup>1</sup>

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
High costs	52%	High costs	57%
The school is too far	19%	Early marriage	36%
The school is too far	19%	Schooling isn't necessary	21%

Of the HHs that reported cost as a barrier to accessing education, **100%** of refugee households mentioned **school uniform** while **91%** of the host community HHs reported **school uniform** as the most commonly reported cost barrier.

1) Respondents could select multiple options.

2) Improved cooking stove or energy saving stoves are designed to consume less firewood and produce less fumes.

3) Other types of education include accelerated learning programme, non-formal skills training, and vocational training





Top 3 reported health issue among HH members during the 2 weeks prior to data collection:<sup>1</sup>

	🔥 Refugees	
27%	Malaria	42%
22%	Diarrhoea	20%
8%	Skin	10%
	22%	<ul> <li>27% Refugees</li> <li>27% Malaria</li> <li>22% Diarrhoea</li> <li>8% Skin</li> </ul>

Of the HHs that reported having a member with health issues in the past year and sought treatment, **57%** of refugee HHs and **70%** of host community reported facing challenges when they sought treatment.

#### Top 3 reported challenges in accessing health care:<sup>2</sup>

İ	Host community		🖈 Refugees	
	No medicine available	64%	No medicine available	57%
	High cost of medicine	37%	High cost of medicine	39%
	Distance	21%	Language barrier	26%

**26%** of the refugee HHs reported language barriers as a challenge when accessing health care.

## % of HHs with pregnant and/or lactating women that received the following services:

	The second secon	<b>∕</b> ,
Counselling on infant and young child feeding	72%	94%
Iron and folic acid supplements or micro-	74%	91%
nutrient supplements		
At least 2 doses of fansidar <sup>3</sup>	70%	77%

.

#### Of the HHs with children, % reporting:<sup>4</sup>

	🛉 Host community	🔥 Refugees
Polio vaccination	<sup>"</sup> 95%	88%
Measles vaccination	82%	61%

#### % of HHs reporting owning mosquito nets:



#### Average number of HH members sleeping under nets:

Host community

6.1

1) Respondents could select multiple options.

2) The question was asked to HHs that had sought health care treatment in the past year.3) Fansidar is used to prevent and treat malaria. It can be used for pregnant women with less risks to the mother and fetus.

🔨 Refugees

3.9

4) Polio vaccination is given to children between 0-5 years old. Measles vaccination is given to children aged 15 or younger .

5) Basic HH needs include having enough water for drinking, cooking, bathing, etc.



#### Funded by European Union Civil Protection ar Humanitarian Aid

### 🐂 Water, Sanitation & Hygiene

Top 3 reported sources of drinking water:

🛉 Host community		🙏 Refugees	
Borehole	41%	Borehole	98%
Unprotected well	15%	Public tap	2%
Public tap	15%	Household connection	0%

#### % of HHs, by litres of water/person/day:

🛉 Host community	33% 26% 41%	> 15 litres
•	E00/ 070/ 1E	10 - 15 litres
🔨 Refugees	58% 27% 15	<pre>% &lt; 10 litres</pre>

Average litres of water/person/day is **19** for refugee HHs and **14** for the host community HHs.

**82%** of refugee HHs and **72%** of host community HHs reported not having enough water to cover the basic HH needs during the 7 days prior to data collection.<sup>5</sup>

### Top 3 reported strategies for coping with insufficient quantity of water during the 7 days prior to data collection:<sup>6</sup>

🛉 Host community		🖈 Refugees	
Fetch from further point	59%	Fetch from further poin	t <b>45%</b>
Use less for bathing	28%	Use less for bathing	41%
Purchase more water	13%	Use less for drinking	23%

#### % of HHs reported challenges to collecting water:

	🛉 Host community		🖈 Refugees	
Distance		14%	I.	3%
Queuing		28%		41%
Distance and queuing		24%		14%
None		35%		42%

#### % of HHs with access to a functioning HH latrine:



**65%** of the refugee HHs and **20%** of the host community HH did not have soap during data collection.

### Top 3 most commonly reported reasons for HHs not to have soap in the $\rm HH{\rm :}^1$

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Soap is too expensive	62%	Soap is too expensive	51%
Market is too far away	14%	They prefer a substitute	e 22%
		Waiting for distribution	21%







### Food Assistance

Top 3 reported primary source of food during the 7 days prior to data collection:

🛉 Host community		🕂 Refugees	
Own production	51%	Bought with cash	62%
Bought with cash	47%	Food distribution	20%
Other	1%	Own production	3%

The refugee HHs that had been living in the settlement for less than one year relied less on humanitarian aid (0%) than refugee HHs that had lived there for one year or more (20%).1

% of HHs with the following Food Consumption Scores (FCS):<sup>2</sup>



HH average food consumption score:

Host community

Refugees

54

% of HHs FCS by time spent in the settlement:1

53

	<6 months	7 - 11 months	1 - 2 years	>2 years
Acceptable	N/A	N/A	N/A	87%
Borderline	N/A	N/A	N/A	11%
Poor	N/A	N/A	N/A	2%

% of HHs who reported having access to sufficient food for all members over the 7 days prior to data collection:



% of HHs reported using food coping strategies during the 7 days prior to the data collection:



### **Shelter & NFIs**

% of HHs with the following shelter types:<sup>3</sup>



#### % of HHs that reported owning their shelter:



% of HHs reporting their shelters are vulnerable to leakage from rain:



15% of the host community HHs and 54% of the refugee HHs reported that their shelter experienced flooding in the year prior to data collection.

#### Top 3 most commonly reported NFI priorities:

	🔥 Refugees	
69%	Bedding	94%
55%	Kitchen tools	88%
55%	Water storage	53%
	55%	69%Refugees55%Kitchen tools55%Water storage

1) Disaggregation by time spent in settlement only applies to refugee households, as host community households do not live in settlements.

2) The FCS is used as proxy for HH food security and is a composite score based on 1) Dietary diversity 2) Food frequency and 3) Relative nutritional importance of the various food groups consumed by HHs. The FCS is recorded from a 7-day recall and is based on 9 weighted food groups. The FCS is used to classify households into three groups: poor, borderline or acceptable food consumption. In the Ugandan context the thresholds used are as follows:  $\geq$  31 – Acceptable; 28 - 30 – Borderline;  $\leq$  27 - Poor.

3) Permanent shelters includes mudbrick, tukul and concrete brick. Temporary shelters includes emergency tent and makeshift shelter.

For more information on this profile please contact:

uganda@reach-initiative.org





# Multi-Sector Needs Assessment: Kyegegwa District Uganda, August, 2018

#### **Background & Methodology**

Due to its proximity to three major humanitarian emergencies in South Sudan, Burundi, and the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC), its progressive refugee hosting and settlement policies, and the ease of border crossings, Uganda has received a large number of refugees over the past 3 years.

With over 1 million refugees in Uganda<sup>1</sup>, humanitarian needs across the country are significant with little capacity for actors to clearly map the landscape of needs across refugee and host communities alike. UNHCR, with support from REACH, conducted a Multi-Sector Needs Assessment with the aim to address this information gap by providing evidence-based analysis to inform the Refugee Response Plan (RRP) for 2019-2020.

A total of 6,809 household (HH) level surveys were conducted across all 30 refugee settlements and 11 refugee hosting districts. Households were randomly sampled with a confidence level of 95% and 10% margin of error and generalisable at the settlement level for refugees and at the district level for the host communities.

**339** surveys were conducted in Kyegegwa District between 5 June and 19 June 2018.

Uganda DRC Rwanda Burundi

### 🕷 Demographics

#### % of assessed HHs by area of origin:

67%
31%
1%
1%

#### % of refugee HHs that have lived in the settlement for:

49% 6%	<6 months 1 - 2 years	7 - 11 mor > 2 year		
3% 42%		2 year	3	
% of individuals by ag		community	<b>1</b>	Refugees
Girls (0-17)	n	28%		31%
Boys (0-17)		31%		32%
Adult females (18-59)		19%		17%
Adult males (18-59)		18%		16%
Elderly females (60+)	1.1	2%	1.1	3%
Elderly males (60+)	1.1	2%	I.	1%
Average HH size: <sup>2</sup>	6.6 me	embers	4.9 m	embers

Gender distribution of the head of the HHs:



#### Top 3 sectors with most reported HH needs:<sup>3</sup>

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Health and nutrition	53%	Food	83%
WASH	53%	Health and nutrition	39%
Education	40%	Livelihoods	38%

Note: For questions asked only to a subset of households, a lower confidence level and a wider margin of error may apply.



Funded by European Union Civil Protection an Humanitarian Aid



### Protection

#### % of HHs with at least one vulnerable member:

	T.	×.
Unaccompanied or separated children	37%	18%
Individuals with chronic illnesses	25%	23%
Individuals with disabilities	22%	22%
Pregnant and/or lactating women	38%	41%

**96%** of the refugee HHs reported being registered in a settlement in the district.<sup>4</sup>

Yes

No

67%

% of HHs reporting at least one member with psychological distress:



Refugees

Yes

No

**45%** of the host community HHs and **83%** of the refugee HHs reported that they had not received/were unable to receive psychological care.

### % of HHs that reported being reached by the following protection awareness campaigns:

	1	<b>∕</b>
SGBV	63%	23%
Child protection	58%	15%
Psycho-social	42%	13%

**40%** of the host community HHs and **66%** of the refugee HHs with at least one woman or girl of reproductive age reported that one or more women in the HH could not access sanitary pads.

 OPM RIMS statistics, June 2018, Uganda Comprehensive Refugee Response Portal.
 The MSNA found the average size of refugee and host community HHs to be larger than previous assessments conducted in Uganda. HH was defined as a group of members who regularly share resources, such as water, food, and living space.

3) Respondents could select multiple options.







Top 3 reported income source over the 30 days prior to data collection:<sup>1</sup>

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Agriculture	94%	Agriculture	39%
Livestock	<b>28%</b>	Casual labour	39%
Casual labour	24%	Remittances	22%

% of HHs that had access to agricultural land in the most recent harvest season:



Top 3 reported ways HHs accessed land for agricultural purposes, for HHs that reported access to land:<sup>1</sup>

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Owns the land	70%	Free through OPM	87%
Rents the land	<b>26%</b>	Owns the land	9%
Free access	3%	Rents the land	4%

**75%** of refugee HHs and **24%** of host community HHs that had access to land reported that it did not provide sufficient food for the entire HH in the most recent harvest season.<sup>2</sup>

**19%** of refugee HHs and **2%** of host community HHs that had access to agricultural land did not cultivate or plant crops in the most recent harvest season.

Top 3 reported reasons why HHs did not cultivate or plant crops in the most recent harvest season, of HHs that reported no cultivation:<sup>1</sup>

🛉 Host community		Refugees	
Not a regular activ	vity 50%	Lack of seeds	56%
Poor cultivating se	eason 25%	Lack of tools/fertilizer	11%
Other	25%	Poor cultivating season	aintl%
		Insecurity	

Top 3 reported livelihood coping strategies used by HHs over the 30 days prior to data collection:<sup>1</sup>

🛉 Host community		🖈 Refugees	
Spent savings	54%	Humanitarian aid	38%
Sold assets	44%	None	20%
Borrowed money	19%	Support from friends	18%

% of HHs with access to local markets within walking distance:







Λ→ Refugees

76% Yes 24% No

**36%** of refugee HHs and **59%** of host community HHs reported that they faced challenges accessing markets in the 30 days prior to data collection.



% of HHs that reporting the following primary fuel sources:

	•	Refugees
Firewood	99%	87%
Charcoal	1%	13%

**31%** of refugee HHs and **23%** of host community HHs reported having an improved cook stove.<sup>2</sup>

### $\mathfrak{D}$ Education

**46%** of refugee HHs with school-aged children and **15%** of host community HHs with school-aged children have at least one child not enrolled in school.

% of HHs with at least one school-aged child not enrolled in school, by age and gender:

Host community			Refu	gees
Ť	<b>†</b>	Age	Ť	<b>†</b>
26%	24%	3 - 5	62%	57%
10%	10%	6 - 12	28%	41%
16%	15%	13 - 18	48%	50%

% of HHs with at least one school aged children enrolled in school, by school type:

	Host community		🔨 Refugees	
ECD		17%	•	7%
Primary		55%		44%
Secondary		12%	1	3%
Other <sup>3</sup>		0%	1	1%
Not enrolled		15%		46%

Top 3 reported barriers to education for HHs with at least one school-aged child not enrolled in school:<sup>1</sup>

🛉 Host community		🖈 Refugees	
High costs	42%	High costs	51%
The child is too young	28%	The child is too young	37%
The school is too far	26%	New arrival	16%

Of the HHs that reported cost as a barrier to accessing education, **83%** of refugee households mentioned **tuition** while **83%** of the host community HHs reported **tuition** as the most commonly reported cost barrier.

1) Respondents could select multiple options.

2) Improved cooking stove or energy saving stoves are designed to consume less firewood and produce less fumes.

3) Other types of education include accelerated learning programme, non-formal skills training, and vocational training



Top 3 reported health issue among HH members during the 2 weeks prior to data collection:<sup>1</sup>

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Malaria	37%	Malaria	<b>46%</b>
Stress	20%	Diarrhoea	19%
Diarrhoea	17%	Rapid weight loss	19%

Of the HHs that reported having a member with health issues in the past year and sought treatment, **53%** of refugee HHs and **58%** of host community reported facing challenges when they sought treatment.

#### Top 3 reported challenges in accessing health care:<sup>2</sup>

İ	Host community		🛛 🔨 Refugees	
	High cost of treatment	54%	No medicine available	28%
	High cost of medicine	28%	Unqualified staff	<b>28%</b>
	No medicine available	26%	Distance	24%

**7%** of the refugee HHs reported language barriers as a challenge when accessing health care.

### % of HHs with pregnant and/or lactating women that received the following services:

	m la la la la la la la la la la la la la	<b>∧</b> →
Counselling on infant and young child feeding	57%	56%
Iron and folic acid supplements or micro-	32%	62%
nutrient supplements		
At least 2 doses of fansidar <sup>3</sup>	40%	62%

#### Of the HHs with children, % reporting:<sup>4</sup>

	🛉 Host community	🔥 Refugees
Polio vaccination	<sup>"</sup> 96%	78%
Measles vaccination	83%	43%

#### % of HHs reporting owning mosquito nets:



#### Average number of HH members sleeping under nets:

Host community

4.5

1) Respondents could select multiple options.

2) The question was asked to HHs that had sought health care treatment in the past year.3) Fansidar is used to prevent and treat malaria. It can be used for pregnant women with less risks to the mother and fetus.

1 Refugees

0.9

4) Polio vaccination is given to children between 0-5 years old. Measles vaccination is given to children aged 15 or younger .

5) Basic HH needs include having enough water for drinking, cooking, bathing, etc.



### 🐂 Water, Sanitation & Hygiene

Top 3 reported sources of drinking water:

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Unprotected well	53%	Borehole	59%
Borehole	22%	Protected rainwater ta	nk <b>15%</b>
Surface water	7%	Public tap	10%

#### % of HHs, by litres of water/person/day:

🛉 Host community	38% 25% 37%	> 15 litres
Refugees	34% 35% 31%	10 - 15 litres < 10 litres

Average litres of water/person/day is **14** for refugee HHs and **16** for the host community HHs.

**83%** of refugee HHs and **90%** of host community HHs reported not having enough water to cover the basic HH needs during the 7 days prior to data collection.<sup>5</sup>

### Top 3 reported strategies for coping with insufficient quantity of water during the 7 days prior to data collection:<sup>6</sup>

🛉 Host community		🖈 Refugees	
Fetch from further point	67%	Fetch from further poin	t <b>47%</b>
Use less for bathing	25%	Use less for bathing	32%
Use less for drinking	12%	Use less for drinking	11%

#### % of HHs reported challenges to collecting water:

	🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Distance		36%		26%
Queuing		9%		17%
Distance and queuing		18%		17%
None		37%		39%

#### % of HHs with access to a functioning HH latrine:



**42%** of the refugee HHs and **14%** of the host community HH did not have soap during data collection.

### Top 3 most commonly reported reasons for HHs not to have soap in the $\rm HH{\rm :}^1$

🛉 Host community		🖈 Refugees	
Soap is too expensive	53%	Soap is too expensive	61%
They prefer a substitute	19%	Waiting for distribution	17%
Soap isn't necessary	16%	Soap isn't necessary	11%





### Food Assistance

Top 3 reported primary source of food during the 7 days prior to data collection:

🛉 Host community		🖈 Refugees	
Own production	89%	Food distribution	39%
Bought with cash	9%	Bought with cash	37%
Local food charity	2%	Own production	15%

The refugee HHs that had been living in the settlement for less than one year relied more on humanitarian aid (71%) than refugee HHs that had lived there for one year or more (4%).1

% of HHs with the following Food Consumption Scores (FCS):<sup>2</sup>



HH average food consumption score:

Host community

Refugees

39

% of HHs FCS by time spent in the settlement:1

60

	<6 months	7 - 11 months	1 - 2 years	>2 years
Acceptable	60%	67%	71%	87%
Borderline	32%	0%	29%	11%
Poor	8%	33%	0%	2%

% of HHs who reported having access to sufficient food for all members over the 7 days prior to data collection:



% of HHs reported using food coping strategies during the 7 days prior to the data collection:

	🛉 Host community		🖈 Refi	igees
Reduce # meals / day		24%		27%
Limit meal size		31%		43%
Buy cheaper food		58%		35%
Debt/Borrowing		5%		6%
Skip days of eating		0%		11%
Only children eat	1	2%		7%
Exchange food	1.00	3%	1	4%
None		6%		7%

### **Shelter & NFIs**

% of HHs with the following shelter types:<sup>3</sup>





#### % of HHs that reported owning their shelter:



% of HHs reporting their shelters are vulnerable to leakage from rain:



7% of the host community HHs and 16% of the refugee HHs reported that their shelter experienced flooding in the year prior to data collection.

#### Top 3 most commonly reported NFI priorities:

🛉 Host community		<b>∱→ Refugees</b> Bedding	
Bedding	69%	Bedding	72%
Water storage	55%	Mosquito nets	53%
Kitchen tools	34%	Kitchen tools	41%

1) Disaggregation by time spent in settlement only applies to refugee households, as host community households do not live in settlements.

2) The FCS is used as proxy for HH food security and is a composite score based on 1) Dietary diversity 2) Food frequency and 3) Relative nutritional importance of the various food groups consumed by HHs. The FCS is recorded from a 7-day recall and is based on 9 weighted food groups. The FCS is used to classify households into three groups: poor, borderline or acceptable food consumption. In the Ugandan context the thresholds used are as follows:  $\geq$  31 – Acceptable; 28 - 30 – Borderline;  $\leq$  27 - Poor.

3) Permanent shelters includes mudbrick, tukul and concrete brick. Temporary shelters includes emergency tent and makeshift shelter.

> For more information on this profile please contact: uganda@reach-initiative.org





# Multi-Sector Needs Assessment: Lamwo District Uganda, August, 2018

#### **Background & Methodology**

Due to its proximity to three major humanitarian emergencies in South Sudan, Burundi, and the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC), its progressive refugee hosting and settlement policies, and the ease of border crossings, Uganda has received a large number of refugees over the past 3 years.

With over 1 million refugees in Uganda<sup>1</sup>, humanitarian needs across the country are significant with little capacity for actors to clearly map the landscape of needs across refugee and host communities alike. UNHCR, with support from REACH, conducted a Multi-Sector Needs Assessment with the aim to address this information gap by providing evidence-based analysis to inform the Refugee Response Plan (RRP) for 2019-2020.

A total of 6,809 household (HH) level surveys were conducted across all 30 refugee settlements and 11 refugee hosting districts. Households were randomly sampled with a confidence level of 95% and 10% margin of error and generalisable at the settlement level for refugees and at the district level for the host communities.

**337** surveys were conducted in Lamwo District between 29 May and 14 June 2018.

### 👬 Demographics

#### % of assessed HHs by area of origin:





#### % of refugee HHs that have lived in the settlement for:

<u>14% 62%</u>	<6 months	7 - 11 mor	nths	
24% 0%	1 - 2 years	> 2 year	rs	
% of individuals by a	ge group:			
	n Host co	mmunity	<b>/}</b> → I	Refugees
Girls (0-17)		30%		30%
Boys (0-17)		33%		40%
Adult females (18-59)		15%		17%
Adult males (18-59)		16%		10%
Elderly females (60+)	1.1	4%	1.1	2%
Elderly males (60+)	1.0	3%	I	1%
Average HH size: <sup>2</sup>	8.5 mem	bers	6.1 m	embers
<b>_</b>				

Gender distribution of the head of the HHs:



Top 3 sectors with most reported HH needs:<sup>3</sup>

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Education	61%	Food	80%
Food	56%	Health and nutrition	52%
Health and nutrition	50%	Livelihoods	48%

Note: For questions asked only to a subset of households, a lower confidence level and a wider margin of error may apply.





### Protection

#### % of HHs with at least one vulnerable member:

	W.	<b>X</b> →
Unaccompanied or separated children	55%	60%
Individuals with chronic illnesses	36%	25%
Individuals with disabilities	26%	36%
Pregnant and/or lactating women	51%	43%

**100%** of the refugee HHs reported being registered in a settlement in the district.<sup>4</sup>

Yes

No

% of HHs reporting at least one member with psychological distress:



 Refugees

 16%

 84%

Yes

No

**50%** of the host community HHs and **59%** of the refugee HHs reported that they had not received/were unable to receive psychological care.

### % of HHs that reported being reached by the following protection awareness campaigns:

	W.	××
SGBV	83%	71%
Child protection	86%	63%
Psycho-social	73%	62%

**57%** of the host community HHs and **59%** of the refugee HHs with at least one woman or girl of reproductive age reported that one or more women in the HH could not access sanitary pads.

 OPM RIMS statistics, June 2018, Uganda Comprehensive Refugee Response Portal.
 The MSNA found the average size of refugee and host community HHs to be larger than previous assessments conducted in Uganda. HH was defined as a group of members who regularly share resources, such as water, food, and living space.

3) Respondents could select multiple options.







Top 3 reported income source over the 30 days prior to data collection:<sup>1</sup>

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Agriculture	96%	Selling natural reso	urce <b>63%</b>
Casual labour	55%	Agriculture	<b>58%</b>
Small business	38%	Small business	51%

% of HHs that had access to agricultural land in the most recent harvest season:



Top 3 reported ways HHs accessed land for agricultural purposes, for HHs that reported access to land:<sup>1</sup>

🛉 Host community		🖈 Refugees	
Owns the land	93%	Free through OPM	<b>94%</b>
Free access	4%	Rents the land	4%
Rents the land	2%	Free access	2%

**81%** of refugee HHs and **25%** of host community HHs that had access to land reported that it did not provide sufficient food for the entire HH in the most recent harvest season.<sup>2</sup>

**5%** of refugee HHs and **7%** of host community HHs that had access to agricultural land did not cultivate or plant crops in the most recent harvest season.

Top 3 reported reasons why HHs did not cultivate or plant crops in the most recent harvest season, of HHs that reported no cultivation:<sup>1</sup>

👖 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Lack of tools	80%	Lack of seeds	100%
Lack of seeds	40%	Lack of tools	75%
Poor cultivating season	13%	Land is inaccessible	25%

Top 3 reported livelihood coping strategies used by HHs over the 30 days prior to data collection:<sup>1</sup>

🛉 Host community		🖈 Refugees	
Spent savings	52%	Sold assistance	50%
Sold assets	28%	Support from friends	37%
Borrowed money	26%	Borrowed money	32%

% of HHs with access to local markets within walking distance:

Yes

No







Λ→ Refugees

57% Yes 43% No

**65%** of refugee HHs and **69%** of host community HHs reported that they faced challenges accessing markets in the 30 days prior to data collection.



% of HHs that reporting the following primary fuel sources:

	🛉 Host community	🔥 Refugees
Firewood	97%	99%
Charcoal	3%	1%

**38%** of refugee HHs and **22%** of host community HHs reported having an improved cook stove.<sup>2</sup>

### $\mathfrak{D}$ Education

**9%** of refugee HHs with school-aged children and **18%** of host community HHs with school-aged children have at least one child not enrolled in school.

% of HHs with at least one school-aged child not enrolled in school, by age and gender:

Host community			Refu	gees
Ń	<b>†</b>	Age	Ť	Ť
52%	46%	3 - 5	11%	12%
9%	9%	6 - 12	6%	11%
1 <b>8%</b>	16%	13 - 18	11%	13%

% of HHs with at least one school aged children enrolled in school, by school type:

	🖷 Host co	mmunity	🔨 Ref	ugees
ECD		8%		24%
Primary		62%		64%
Secondary		9%	1.00	3%
Other <sup>3</sup>		1%		0%
Not enrolled		18%		9%

Top 3 reported barriers to education for HHs with at least one school-aged child not enrolled in school:<sup>1</sup>

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
High costs	68%	The child is too young	42%
The child is too young	32%	Early marriage	32%
The school is too far	22%	Lack of space	21%

Of the HHs that reported cost as a barrier to accessing education, **93%** of the host community HHs reported as the most commonly reported cost barrier.

1) Respondents could select multiple options.

2) Improved cooking stove or energy saving stoves are designed to consume less firewood and produce less fumes.

3) Other types of education include accelerated learning programme, non-formal skills training, and vocational training



Top 3 reported health issue among HH members during the 2 weeks prior to data collection:<sup>1</sup>

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Malaria	55%	Diarrhoea	48%
Diarrhoea	36%	Malaria	39%
Skin disease	15%	Respiratory infection	21%

Of the HHs that reported having a member with health issues in the past year and sought treatment, **51%** of refugee HHs and **59%** of host community reported facing challenges when they sought treatment.

#### Top 3 reported challenges in accessing health care:<sup>2</sup>

🛉 Host community		📌 Refugees	
No medicine available	60%	No medicine available	73%
High cost of medicine	41%	Unqualified staff	33%
Distance	33%	Distance	31%

**27%** of the refugee HHs reported language barriers as a challenge when accessing health care.

## % of HHs with pregnant and/or lactating women that received the following services:

	T	<b>∕</b> ,
Counselling on infant and young child feeding	81%	91%
Iron and folic acid supplements or micro-	71%	87%
nutrient supplements		
At least 2 doses of fansidar <sup>3</sup>	73%	73%

.

#### Of the HHs with children, % reporting:<sup>4</sup>

	🛉 Host community	🔥 Refugees
Polio vaccination	<sup>"</sup> 94%	86%
Measles vaccination	74%	64%

#### % of HHs reporting owning mosquito nets:



#### Average number of HH members sleeping under nets:

🛉 Host community	
5	

1) Respondents could select multiple options.

2) The question was asked to HHs that had sought health care treatment in the past year.3) Fansidar is used to prevent and treat malaria. It can be used for pregnant women with less risks to the mother and fetus.

🔨 Refugees

2.2

4) Polio vaccination is given to children between 0-5 years old. Measles vaccination is given to children aged 15 or younger .

5) Basic HH needs include having enough water for drinking, cooking, bathing, etc.



### 🐂 Water, Sanitation & Hygiene

Top 3 reported sources of drinking water:

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Borehole	89%	Borehole	<b>94%</b>
Surface water	7%	Public tap	6%
Protected spring	1%	Household connection	0%

#### % of HHs, by litres of water/person/day:

🛉 Host community	<b>39%</b> 23% <b>39%</b>	> 15 litres
Refugees	<b>49%</b> 13% <b>38%</b>	10 - 15 litres < 10 litres

Average litres of water/person/day is **16** for refugee HHs and **17** for the host community HHs.

**76%** of refugee HHs and **60%** of host community HHs reported not having enough water to cover the basic HH needs during the 7 days prior to data collection.<sup>5</sup>

### Top 3 reported strategies for coping with insufficient quantity of water during the 7 days prior to data collection:<sup>6</sup>

🛉 Host community		🖈 Refugees	
Use less for bathing	42%	Use less for drinking	64%
Fetch from further point	33%	Use less for bathing	60%
Use less for drinking	20%	Fetch from further poin	t <b>40%</b>

#### % of HHs reported challenges to collecting water:

	🛉 Host community		r 🕂 🕹 Re	fugees
Distance		33%		10%
Queuing		33%		40%
Distance and queuing		20%		17%
None		14%		33%

#### % of HHs with access to a functioning HH latrine:



**59%** of the refugee HHs and **25%** of the host community HH did not have soap during data collection.

### Top 3 most commonly reported reasons for HHs not to have soap in the $\rm HH{\rm :}^1$

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees
Soap is too expensive	64%	Soap is too expensive 52%
Market is too far away	17%	Waiting for distribution <b>30%</b>
Soap isn't necessary	14%	They prefer a substitute 16%





### **Food Assistance**

Top 3 reported primary source of food during the 7 days prior to data collection:

İ	Host community		🖈 Refugees	
	Own production	71%	Food distribution	82%
	Bought with cash	24%	Bought with cash	7%
	Gifts from family/friends	1%	Gifts from family/friends	5%

The refugee HHs that had been living in the settlement for less than one year relied more on humanitarian aid (85%) than refugee HHs that had lived there for one year or more (80%).<sup>1</sup>

% of HHs	with	the	following	Food	Consumption	Scores
(100).						



HH average food consumption score:

👖 Host community

**A**Refugees

45

% of HHs FCS by time spent in the settlement:1

50

	<6 months	7 - 11 months	1 - 2 years	>2 years
Acceptable	53%	80%	73%	76%
Borderline	40%	16%	27%	13%
Poor	7%	4%	0%	11%

% of HHs who reported having access to sufficient food for all members over the 7 days prior to data collection:



% of HHs reported using food coping strategies during the 7 days prior to the data collection:

	🛉 Host community		👖 Host community 🔰 🔥 Re		📌 Refi	igees
Reduce # meals / day		43%		68%		
Limit meal size		30%		46%		
Buy cheaper food		35%		48%		
Debt/Borrowing	1	3%		20%		
Skip days of eating	1	4%		11%		
Only children eat		8%	1.00	3%		
Exchange food	1	3%		7%		
None		7%		4%		

### Shelter & NFIs

% of HHs with the following shelter types:<sup>3</sup>





**A** Refugees



#### % of HHs that reported owning their shelter:



% of HHs reporting their shelters are vulnerable to leakage from rain:



**13%** of the host community HHs and **42%** of the refugee HHs reported that their shelter experienced flooding in the year prior to data collection.

#### Top 3 most commonly reported NFI priorities:

Host community Bedding	66%	<b>∱→ Refugees</b> Mosquito nets	69%
Kitchen tools	61%	Bedding	58%
Water storage		Kitchen tools	48%

1) Disaggregation by time spent in settlement only applies to refugee households, as host community households do not live in settlements.

2) The FCS is used as proxy for HH food security and is a composite score based on 1) Dietary diversity 2) Food frequency and 3) Relative nutritional importance of the various food groups consumed by HHs. The FCS is recorded from a 7-day recall and is based on 9 weighted food groups. The FCS is used to classify households into three groups: poor, borderline or acceptable food consumption. In the Ugandan context the thresholds used are as follows:  $\geq$  31 – Acceptable; 28 - 30 – Borderline;  $\leq$  27 - Poor.

3) Permanent shelters includes mudbrick, tukul and concrete brick. Temporary shelters includes emergency tent and makeshift shelter.

For more information on this profile please contact:

uganda@reach-initiative.org



### Multi-Sector Needs Assessment: Moyo District Uganda, August, 2018

#### Background & Methodology

Due to its proximity to three major humanitarian emergencies in South Sudan, Burundi, and the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC), its progressive refugee hosting and settlement policies, and the ease of border crossings, Uganda has received a large number of refugees over the past 3 years.

With over 1 million refugees in Uganda<sup>1</sup>, humanitarian needs across the country are significant with little capacity for actors to clearly map the landscape of needs across refugee and host communities alike. UNHCR, with support from REACH, conducted a Multi-Sector Needs Assessment with the aim to address this information gap by providing evidence-based analysis to inform the Refugee Response Plan (RRP) for 2019-2020.

A total of 6,809 household (HH) level surveys were conducted across all 30 refugee settlements and 11 refugee hosting districts. Households were randomly sampled with a confidence level of 95% and 10% margin of error and generalisable at the settlement level for refugees and at the district level for the host communities.

555 surveys were conducted in Moyo District between 24 April and 17 May 2018.

#### 11 **Demographics**

#### % of assessed HHs by area of origin:





% of refugee HHs that	have lived i	n the settle	ement for	r:
11% 82%	<6 months	7 - 11 mon	iths	
5% <b>2%</b>	1 - 2 years	> 2 year	S	
% of individuals by age	e group:			
	🛉 Host co	mmunity	R	efugees
Girls (0-17)		25%		30%
Boys (0-17)		31%		33%
Adult females (18-59)		19%		17%
Adult males (18-59)		19%		14%
Elderly females (60+)	1.00	3%	1.1	3%
Elderly males (60+)	1.00	3%	T	1%
Average HH size: <sup>2</sup>	8.2 mem	pers	6.3 me	mbers

Gender distribution of the head of the HHs:



#### Top 3 sectors with most reported HH needs:<sup>3</sup>

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Food	63%	Food	59%
Education	56%	Education	49%
Health and nutrition	55%	Shelter	47%

Note: For questions asked only to a subset of households, a lower confidence level and a wider margin of error may apply.





### Protection

#### % of HHs with at least one vulnerable member:

	N I	
Unaccompanied or separated children	53%	44%
Individuals with chronic illnesses	33%	19%
Individuals with disabilities	18%	27%
Pregnant and/or lactating women	41%	38%

98% of the refugee HHs reported being registered in a settlement in the district.4

% of HHs reporting at least one member with psychological distress:



🖕 Refugees Yes No



小

43% of the host community HHs and 74% of the refugee HHs reported that they had not received/were unable to receive psychological care.

#### % of HHs that reported being reached by the following protection awareness campaigns: \* \*

	T	<b>──</b> 八→
SGBV	41%	58%
Child protection	48%	45%
Psycho-social	38%	49%

35% of the host community HHs and 65% of the refugee HHs with at least one woman or girl of reproductive age reported that one or more women in the HH could not access sanitary pads.

1) OPM RIMS statistics, June 2018, Uganda Comprehensive Refugee Response Portal. 2) The MSNA found the average size of refugee and host community HHs to be larger than previous assessments conducted in Uganda. HH was defined as a group of members who regularly share resources, such as water, food, and living space.

3) Respondents could select multiple options.







Top 3 reported income source over the 30 days prior to data collection:1

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Agriculture	59%	Casual labour	31%
Casual labour	53%	None	26%
Small business	46%	Small business	23%

% of HHs that had access to agricultural land in the most recent harvest season:



Top 3 reported ways HHs accessed land for agricultural purposes, for HHs that reported access to land:1

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Owns the land	82%	Free through OPM	<b>8</b> 1%
Free access	12%	Rents the land	11%
Rents the land	5%	Free access	9%

86% of refugee HHs and 33% of host community HHs that had access to land reported that it did not provide sufficient food for the entire HH in the most recent harvest season.<sup>2</sup>

9% of refugee HHs and 8% of host community HHs that had access to agricultural land did not cultivate or plant crops in the most recent harvest season.

Top 3 reported reasons why HHs did not cultivate or plant crops in the most recent harvest season, of HHs that reported no cultivation:1

👖 Host community		🔨 Refugees	
Lack of seeds	69%	Lack of seeds	40%
Lack of tools	31%	Land is inaccessible	40%
Lack of fertilizer	19%	Insecurity	40%

Top 3 reported livelihood coping strategies used by HHs over the 30 days prior to data collection:1

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
None	32%	Sold assistance	52%
Spent savings	28%	Humanitarian aid	21%
Borrowed money	21%	Spent savings	8%

% of HHs with access to local markets within walking distance:

Yes

No

👖 Host community





88% Yes 12% No

31% of refugee HHs and 58% of host community HHs reported that they faced challenges accessing markets in the 30 days prior to data collection.



% of HHs that reporting the following primary fuel sources:

Firewood	Host community 76%	Kefugees 99%
Charcoal	24%	1%
onarooar	21/0	1,0

46% of refugee HHs and 60% of host community HHs reported having an improved cook stove.2

### **Education**

3% of refugee HHs with school-aged children and 15% of host community HHs with school-aged children have at least one child not enrolled in school.

% of HHs with at least one school-aged child not enrolled in school, by age and gender:

Host community			Refugees	
Ŕ	<b>†</b>	Age	Ť	Ť
44%	44%	3 - 5	6%	5%
6%	4%	6 - 12	1%	1%
6%	14%	13 - 18	5%	2%

% of HHs with at least one school aged children enrolled in school, by school type: .

•	Host community		🔨 Refugees	
ECD	•	8%		23%
Primary		65%		65%
Secondary		12%		9%
Other <sup>3</sup>		0%		0%
Not enrolled		15%	1	3%

Top 3 reported barriers to education for HHs with at least one school-aged child not enrolled in school:1

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
High costs	54%	High costs	45%
The child is too young	40%	Schooling isn't	15%
The school is too far	35%	necessary	

Of the HHs that reported cost as a barrier to accessing education, 89% of refugee households mentioned school uniform while 95% of the host community HHs reported school uniform as the most commonly reported cost barrier.

1) Respondents could select multiple options.

2) Improved cooking stove or energy saving stoves are designed to consume less firewood and produce less fumes.

3) Other types of education include accelerated learning programme, non-formal skills training, and vocational training





Top 3 reported health issue among HH members during the 2 weeks prior to data collection:<sup>1</sup>

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Malaria	36%	Malaria	22%
Diarrhoea	21%	Diarrhoea	20%
Skin disease	20%	Respiratory infection	9%

Of the HHs that reported having a member with health issues in the past year and sought treatment, **40%** of refugee HHs and **58%** of host community reported facing challenges when they sought treatment.

#### Top 3 reported challenges in accessing health care:<sup>2</sup>

🛉 Host community		📌 Refugees	
No medicine available	62%	No medicine available	63%
Distance	37%	Language barrier	12%
High cost of medicine	27%	High cost of treatment	10%

**12%** of the refugee HHs reported language barriers as a challenge when accessing health care.

### % of HHs with pregnant and/or lactating women that received the following services:

	The second secon	<b>∧</b> →
Counselling on infant and young child feeding	86%	89%
Iron and folic acid supplements or micro-	71%	91%
nutrient supplements		
At least 2 doses of fansidar <sup>3</sup>	61%	89%

#### Of the HHs with children, % reporting:<sup>4</sup>

	🛉 Host community	🔥 Refugees
Polio vaccination	<sup>"</sup> 89%	90%
Measles vaccination	60%	41%

#### % of HHs reporting owning mosquito nets:



#### Average number of HH members sleeping under nets:

Host community

6.5

1) Respondents could select multiple options.

2) The question was asked to HHs that had sought health care treatment in the past year.3) Fansidar is used to prevent and treat malaria. It can be used for pregnant women with less risks to the mother and fetus.

🔨 Refugees

3.1

4) Polio vaccination is given to children between 0-5 years old. Measles vaccination is given to children aged 15 or younger .

5) Basic HH needs include having enough water for drinking, cooking, bathing, etc.



### 🐂 Water, Sanitation & Hygiene

Top 3 reported sources of drinking water:

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Borehole	<b>68%</b>	Borehole	48%
Public tap	8%	Protected rainwater	tank <b>37%</b>
Surface water	7%	Public tap	14%

#### % of HHs, by litres of water/person/day:

🛉 Host community	50% 32% <mark>18%</mark>	> 15 litres
 ∱→ Refugees	<u>55%</u> 27%19%	10 - 15 litres < 10 litres

Average litres of water/person/day is **18** for refugee HHs and **17** for the host community HHs.

**54%** of refugee HHs and **57%** of host community HHs reported not having enough water to cover the basic HH needs during the 7 days prior to data collection.<sup>5</sup>

### Top 3 reported strategies for coping with insufficient quantity of water during the 7 days prior to data collection:<sup>6</sup>

🛉 Host community		📌 Refugees	
Fetch from further point	<b>52%</b>	Use less for bathing	66%
Use less for bathing	47%	Use less for drinking	26%
Use less for drinking	15%	Fetch from further point	22%

#### % of HHs reported challenges to collecting water:

	🛉 Host community		🖈 Refugees	
Distance		27%		13%
Queuing		18%		36%
Distance and queuing		30%		22%
None		25%		29%

#### % of HHs with access to a functioning HH latrine:



**48%** of the refugee HHs and **27%** of the host community HH did not have soap during data collection.

### Top 3 most commonly reported reasons for HHs not to have soap in the $\rm HH{\rm :}^1$

🛉 Host community		Refugees
Other	29%	Soap is too expensive 53%
Soap is too expensive	26%	They prefer a substitute 42%
They prefer a substitute	24%	Waiting for distribution 4%



### Multi-Sector Needs Assessment: Moyo District



### Food Assistance

Top 3 reported primary source of food during the 7 days prior to data collection:

🛉 Host community		🖈 Refugees	
Own production	54%	Food distribution	92%
Bought with cash	44%	Bought with cash	4%
	%	Gifts from family/friends <b>1%</b>	

The refugee HHs that had been living in the settlement for less than one year relied equally on humanitarian aid (92%) to refugee HHs that had lived there for one year or more (92%).1

% of HHs (FCS) <sup>2</sup>	with	the	following	Food	Consumption	Scores
(100).						



HH average food consumption score:

Host community

Refugees

46

% of HHs FCS by time spent in the settlement:1

56

	<6 months	7 - 11 months	1 - 2 years	>2 years
Acceptable	77%	88%	80%	100%
Borderline	20%	12%	17%	0%
Poor	3%	0%	3%	0%

% of HHs who reported having access to sufficient food for all members over the 7 days prior to data collection:



% of HHs reported using food coping strategies during the 7 days prior to the data collection:

	host community		🔥 Refugees	
Reduce # meals / day		38%		56%
Limit meal size		35%		42%
Buy cheaper food		34%		6%
Debt/Borrowing	T	1%		9%
Skip days of eating	1	2%	1.1	3%
Only children eat	1	2%	1.1	4%
Exchange food		11%	1.1	4%
None		9%		7%

### **Shelter & NFIs**

% of HHs with the following shelter types:<sup>3</sup>



#### % of HHs that reported owning their shelter:



% of HHs reporting their shelters are vulnerable to leakage from rain:



17% of the host community HHs and 29% of the refugee HHs reported that their shelter experienced flooding in the year prior to data collection.

#### Top 3 most commonly reported NFI priorities:

Host community Bedding	71%	<b>∱→ Refugees</b> Water storage	63%
Kitchen tools	67%	Bedding	56%
Water storage		Mosquito nets	43%

1) Disaggregation by time spent in settlement only applies to refugee households, as host community households do not live in settlements.

2) The FCS is used as proxy for HH food security and is a composite score based on 1) Dietary diversity 2) Food frequency and 3) Relative nutritional importance of the various food groups consumed by HHs. The FCS is recorded from a 7-day recall and is based on 9 weighted food groups. The FCS is used to classify households into three groups: poor, borderline or acceptable food consumption. In the Ugandan context the thresholds used are as follows:  $\geq$  31 – Acceptable; 28 - 30 – Borderline;  $\leq$  27 - Poor.

3) Permanent shelters includes mudbrick, tukul and concrete brick. Temporary shelters includes emergency tent and makeshift shelter.

For more information on this profile please contact:

uganda@reach-initiative.org





### Multi-Sector Needs Assessment: Yumbe District Uganda, August, 2018

#### Background & Methodology

Due to its proximity to three major humanitarian emergencies in South Sudan, Burundi, and the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC), its progressive refugee hosting and settlement policies, and the ease of border crossings, Uganda has received a large number of refugees over the past 3 years.

With over 1 million refugees in Uganda<sup>1</sup>, humanitarian needs across the country are significant with little capacity for actors to clearly map the landscape of needs across refugee and host communities alike. UNHCR, with support from REACH, conducted a Multi-Sector Needs Assessment with the aim to address this information gap by providing evidence-based analysis to inform the Refugee Response Plan (RRP) for 2019-2020.

A total of 6,809 household (HH) level surveys were conducted across all 30 refugee settlements and 11 refugee hosting districts. Households were randomly sampled with a confidence level of 95% and 10% margin of error and generalisable at the settlement level for refugees and at the district level for the host communities.

763 surveys were conducted in Yumbe District between 9 April and 21 June 2018.

#### í. **Demographics**

#### % of assessed HHs by area of origin:



72% South Sudan Uganda 1% Sudan

% of refugee HHs that have lived in the settlement for:				
<b>4%</b> 94%	<6 months	7 - 11 mon	iths	
2%	)% 1 - 2 years	> 2 year	S	
% of individuals b	y age group:			
	👖 Host ce	ommunity	<b>∕}_</b> → F	Refugees
Girls (0-17)		31%		34%
Boys (0-17)		34%		36%
Adult females (18-	59)	16%		15%
Adult males (18-59	) 🗖	13%		12%
Elderly females (60	)+)	3%	1	2%
Elderly males (60+	)	2%	I.	1%
Average HH size: <sup>2</sup>	10 mem	bers	6.9 m	embers

Gender distribution of the head of the HHs:



Top 3 sectors with most reported HH needs:<sup>3</sup>

🛉 Host community		🖈 Refugees	
Food	91%	Food	75%
WASH	57%	Livelihoods	46%
Health and nutrition	<b>48%</b>	Health and nutrition	40%

Note: For questions asked only to a subset of households, a lower confidence level and a wider margin of error may apply.





# Assessed district District boundary

### Protection

#### % of HHs with at least one vulnerable member:

	T	<b>∕`→</b>
Unaccompanied or separated children	54%	62%
Individuals with chronic illnesses	35%	38%
Individuals with disabilities	23%	17%
Pregnant and/or lactating women	63%	56%

99% of the refugee HHs reported being registered in a settlement in the district.4

Yes

No

81%

% of HHs reporting at least one member with psychological distress:

Host community 19%



小

Yes

No

68% of the host community HHs and 34% of the refugee HHs reported that they had not received/were unable to receive psychological care.

#### % of HHs that reported being reached by the following protection awareness campaigns: .

	Tr III	<b>X</b> →
SGBV	43%	82%
Child protection	40%	75%
Psycho-social	31%	<b>68%</b>

77% of the host community HHs and 38% of the refugee HHs with at least one woman or girl of reproductive age reported that one or more women in the HH could not access sanitary pads.

1) OPM RIMS statistics, June 2018, Uganda Comprehensive Refugee Response Portal. 2) The MSNA found the average size of refugee and host community HHs to be larger than previous assessments conducted in Uganda. HH was defined as a group of members who regularly share resources, such as water, food, and living space.

3) Respondents could select multiple options.







Top 3 reported income source over the 30 days prior to data collection:<sup>1</sup>

🛉 Host community		🖈 Refugees	
Agriculture	<b>85%</b>	Agriculture	35%
Casual labour	62%	Casual labour	24%
Small business	41%	Selling natural resour	ce <b>23%</b>

% of HHs that had access to agricultural land in the most recent harvest season:



Top 3 reported ways HHs accessed land for agricultural purposes, for HHs that reported access to land:<sup>1</sup>

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Owns the land	<b>86%</b>	Free through OPM	91%
Rents the land	8%	Free access	6%
Free access	4%	Owns the land	2%

**73%** of refugee HHs and **42%** of host community HHs that had access to land reported that it did not provide sufficient food for the entire HH in the most recent harvest season.<sup>2</sup>

**11%** of refugee HHs and **2%** of host community HHs that had access to agricultural land did not cultivate or plant crops in the most recent harvest season.

Top 3 reported reasons why HHs did not cultivate or plant crops in the most recent harvest season, of HHs that reported no cultivation:<sup>1</sup>

🛉 Host community		🖈 Refugees	
Lack of seeds	50%	Lack of seeds	53%
Land is inaccessible	25%	Lack of tools	45%
Lack of tools	25%	Poor cultivating season	33%

Top 3 reported livelihood coping strategies used by HHs over the 30 days prior to data collection:<sup>1</sup>

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Sold assets	51%	Sold assistance	47%
Spent savings	34%	Borrowed money	20%
Support from friends	30%	Support from friends	17%

% of HHs with access to local markets within walking distance:

Yes

No



55% 45%



Λ→ Refugees

84% Yes 16% No

**48%** of refugee HHs and **57%** of host community HHs reported that they faced challenges accessing markets in the 30 days prior to data collection.



Funded by European Union Civil Protection and Humanitarian Aid % of HHs that reporting the following primary fuel sources:

Firewood	Host community 95%	Kefugees 97%
Charcoal	4%	3%

**54%** of refugee HHs and **6%** of host community HHs reported having an improved cook stove.<sup>2</sup>

### $\square$ Education

**2%** of refugee HHs with school-aged children and **22%** of host community HHs with school-aged children have at least one child not enrolled in school.

% of HHs with at least one school-aged child not enrolled in school, by age and gender:

Host co	mmunity		Refugees		
Ń	<b>†</b>	Age	Ť	Ť	
34%	40%	3 - 5	2%	5%	
11%	10%	6 - 12	2%	2%	
26%	27%	13 - 18	2%	1%	

% of HHs with at least one school aged children enrolled in school, by school type:

			Host community		🔨 Refugees	
ECD		1.0	3%		25%	
Primary			68%		64%	
Secondary			7%		9%	
Other <sup>3</sup>			0%		0%	
Not enrolled			22%	1	2%	

Top 3 reported barriers to education for HHs with at least one school-aged child not enrolled in school:<sup>1</sup>

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
High costs	54%	The child is too young	28%
The child is too young	44%	Lack of space	22%
The school is too far	29%	High costs	19%

Of the HHs that reported cost as a barrier to accessing education, **67%** of refugee households mentioned **books** while **91%** of the host community HHs reported **books** as the most commonly reported cost barrier.

1) Respondents could select multiple options.

2) Improved cooking stove or energy saving stoves are designed to consume less firewood and produce less fumes.

3) Other types of education include accelerated learning programme, non-formal skills training, and vocational training



Top 3 reported health issue among HH members during the 2 weeks prior to data collection:<sup>1</sup>

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Diarrhoea	34%	Malaria	46%
Malaria	31%	Diarrhoea	36%
Skin disease	13%	Skin	16%

Of the HHs that reported having a member with health issues in the past year and sought treatment, **52%** of refugee HHs and **65%** of host community reported facing challenges when they sought treatment.

#### Top 3 reported challenges in accessing health care:<sup>2</sup>

İ	Host community		🖈 Refugees	
	High cost of medicine	67%	No medicine available	53%
	High cost of treatment	51%	Language barrier	25%
	No medicine available	46%	High cost of medicine	17%

**25%** of the refugee HHs reported language barriers as a challenge when accessing health care.

### % of HHs with pregnant and/or lactating women that received the following services:

	T	<b>∕</b> ,
Counselling on infant and young child feeding	67%	88%
Iron and folic acid supplements or micro-	80%	80%
nutrient supplements		
At least 2 doses of fansidar <sup>3</sup>	74%	77%

.

#### Of the HHs with children, % reporting:<sup>4</sup>

	Host community	🔥 Refugees
Polio vaccination	<sup>"</sup> 94%	87%
Measles vaccination	77%	60%

#### % of HHs reporting owning mosquito nets:



#### Average number of HH members sleeping under nets:

Host community

7.4

1) Respondents could select multiple options.

2) The question was asked to HHs that had sought health care treatment in the past year.3) Fansidar is used to prevent and treat malaria. It can be used for pregnant women with less risks to the mother and fetus.

🔨 Refugees

1.4

4) Polio vaccination is given to children between 0-5 years old. Measles vaccination is given to children aged 15 or younger .

5) Basic HH needs include having enough water for drinking, cooking, bathing, etc.



### 🐂 Water, Sanitation & Hygiene

Top 3 reported sources of drinking water:

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Borehole	67%	Public tap	61%
Surface water	21%	Borehole	23%
Unprotected well	9%	Protected rainwater	tank <b>15%</b>

#### % of HHs, by litres of water/person/day:

🛉 Host community	15% 38% 47%	> 15 litres
	<u>59% 26% 15</u> %	10 - 15 litres
🔨 Refugees	59% 26% 15%	< 10 litres

Average litres of water/person/day is **20** for refugee HHs and **12** for the host community HHs.

**56%** of refugee HHs and **42%** of host community HHs reported not having enough water to cover the basic HH needs during the 7 days prior to data collection.<sup>5</sup>

### Top 3 reported strategies for coping with insufficient quantity of water during the 7 days prior to data collection:<sup>6</sup>

🛉 Host community		📌 Refugees	
Use less for bathing	72%	Use less for bathing	54%
Fetch from further point	46%	Fetch from further point	47%
Use less for drinking	13%	Use less for drinking	30%

#### % of HHs reported challenges to collecting water:

	🛉 Host community		🕂 Refugees	
Distance		17%		8%
Queuing		20%		38%
Distance and queuing		32%		27%
None		31%		27%

#### % of HHs with access to a functioning HH latrine:



**52%** of the refugee HHs and **36%** of the host community HH did not have soap during data collection.

### Top 3 most commonly reported reasons for HHs not to have soap in the $\rm HH{\rm :}^1$

🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
Soap is too expensive	46%	Soap is too expensive	45%
They prefer a substitute	25%	Waiting for distribution	33%
Soap isn't necessary	22%	They prefer a substitute	e 16%





### **Food Assistance**

Top 3 reported primary source of food during the 7 days prior to data collection:

İ	🛉 Host community		🔥 Refugees	
	Own production	61%	Food distribution	87%
	Bought with cash	38%	Bought with cash	5%
	Gifts from family/friends	1%	Local food charity	2%

The refugee HHs that had been living in the settlement for less than one year relied more on humanitarian aid (94%) than refugee HHs that had lived there for one year or more (86%).<sup>1</sup>

% of HHs with the following Food Consumption Scores (FCS):^2



HH average food consumption score:

👖 Host community

★ Refugees

48

% of HHs FCS by time spent in the settlement:1

47

	<6 months	7 - 11 months	1 - 2 years	>2 years
Acceptable	64%	100%	85%	0%
Borderline	27%	0%	7%	100%
Poor	9%	0%	8%	0%

% of HHs who reported having access to sufficient food for all members over the 7 days prior to data collection:



% of HHs reported using food coping strategies during the 7 days prior to the data collection:

	🛉 Host community		🖈 Refugees	
Reduce # meals / day		44%		70%
Limit meal size		40%		57%
Buy cheaper food		45%		18%
Debt/Borrowing		7%		9%
Skip days of eating		8%		8%
Only children eat	1 C	5%		16%
Exchange food	1.00	4%		7%
None		14%	I	1%

### Shelter & NFIs

% of HHs with the following shelter types:<sup>3</sup>



#### % of HHs that reported owning their shelter:



% of HHs reporting their shelters are vulnerable to leakage from rain:



**5%** of the host community HHs and **28%** of the refugee HHs reported that their shelter experienced flooding in the year prior to data collection.

#### Top 3 most commonly reported NFI priorities:

Host community		🔥 Refugees	
<b>68%</b>	Bedding	82%	
67%	Water storage	64%	
44%	Kitchen tools	52%	
	67%	<ul> <li>68% Refugees</li> <li>68% Bedding</li> <li>67% Water storage</li> <li>44% Kitchen tools</li> </ul>	

1) Disaggregation by time spent in settlement only applies to refugee households, as host community households do not live in settlements.

2) The FCS is used as proxy for HH food security and is a composite score based on 1) Dietary diversity 2) Food frequency and 3) Relative nutritional importance of the various food groups consumed by HHs. The FCS is recorded from a 7-day recall and is based on 9 weighted food groups. The FCS is used to classify households into three groups: poor, borderline or acceptable food consumption. In the Ugandan context the thresholds used are as follows:  $\geq$  31 – Acceptable; 28 - 30 – Borderline;  $\leq$  27 - Poor.

3) Permanent shelters includes mudbrick, tukul and concrete brick. Temporary shelters includes emergency tent and makeshift shelter.

For more information on this profile please contact:

uganda@reach-initiative.org



