The Integrated Food Security Phase Classification (IPC), classified Marsabit in Phase 3 (Crisis) whilst Baringo, Garissa and Tana River were classified as Phase 2 (Stress) at the end of January 2024. Over the October-November-December (OND) 2023 ‘short rains’ season, the counties received above-average rainfall leading to flooding in most parts of the counties. The floods led to thousands of people and HHs being displaced, loss of lives, livestock washed away, and infrastructure and roads damaged. The death toll in Kenya from the El Nino-induced floods surged to 160, with nearly 6,000 HHs having been displaced as at the end of December.

**KEY MESSAGES**

- The average monthly expenditure (KES 19,542) was found to be higher than the average monthly income (KES 6,963). To cope, households (HHs) had to take debts as a means to access food, healthcare, education and shelter in the absence of a cash assistance. Among the HHs found to have debt (94%), the average debt at the time of the baseline data collection was KES 13,055.

- The reduced coping strategy index (rCSI) was found to be 20.68 in Marsabit, 16.93 in Baringo, 11.78 in Garissa, and 9.46 in Tana River County. A higher rCSI indicates that the HHs adopted more strategies or severe strategies, more frequently, to deal with the lack of access to food in the 7 days prior to data collection.

**ASSESSMENT COVERAGE**

In response to the humanitarian needs of the flood-affected HHs in Baringo, Garissa, Marsabit and Tana River counties, the Kenya Cash Consortium (KCC) will implement a multi-purpose cash response through mobile money unconditional cash transfers (UCTs). Baseline data was collected between the 21st and 27th of January 2024. This baseline sought to determine the HHs' income and expenditure patterns, food consumption, and coping strategies before the first cash transfer in February 2024.

**ASSessment overview**

A simple random sampling approach was used for a representative sample of the beneficiary HHs, with a 95% confidence level and a 5% margin of error. The sample size was 848 (Baringo-123 HHs, Garissa-286 HHs, Marsabit-236 HHs and Tana River-203 HHs). *For more information, please refer to page 7.*
DEMOGRAPHICS

% of HHs by Head of Household (HoHH) age and gender:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age¹</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>18-49</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>18-49</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50-69</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70+</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the demographic findings, there were more female respondents (53%) than male (47%).

HOUSEHOLD INCOME

The average reported income for the HHs that received income in the 30 days prior to data collection (n=844², 99%) was KES 6,963.

Average HH income (KES) in the 30 days prior to data collection, per county:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baringo</td>
<td>KES 4,309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garissa</td>
<td>KES 6,120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marsabit</td>
<td>KES 10,317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tana River</td>
<td>KES 5,751</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Top 3 reported primary sources of HH income in the 30 days prior to data collection in Baringo:³ (n=123², 100%)

- Sale of Natural Resources: 82%
- Livestock Rearing & Bee Keeping: 54%
- Allowances: 52%

Top 3 reported primary sources of HH income in the 30 days prior to data collection in Garissa:³ (n=286², 100%)

- Livestock Rearing & Bee Keeping: 63%
- Sale of Natural Resources: 44%
- Salaried Employment: 30%

Top 3 reported primary sources of HH income in the 30 days prior to data collection in Marsabit:³ (n=232², 98%)

- Casual Labour: 100%
- Agriculture: 41%
- Allowances: 35%

Top 3 reported primary sources of HH income in the 30 days prior to data collection in Tana River:³ (n=203², 100%)

- Sale of Natural Resources: 69%
- Salaried Employment: 35%
- Self-Employment: 25%

HOUSEHOLD EXPENDITURE

The average reported expenditure for HHs that had spent money in the 30 days prior to data collection (n=848², 100%) was KES 19,542 at the time of the baseline.

Average HH expenses (KES) in the 30 days prior to data collection, per county:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baringo</td>
<td>KES 12,643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garissa</td>
<td>KES 22,430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marsabit</td>
<td>KES 21,741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tana River</td>
<td>KES 13,086</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FOOD EXPENDITURE SHARE

% of expenditure spent on food in the 30 days prior to the baseline data collection.

- Baringo County: 62%
- Garissa County: 79%
- Marsabit County: 58%
- Tana River County: 76%
HOUSEHOLD DEBTS

Among the HHs that had incurred debt (n=794¹, 94%), the average amount of debt was KES 13,055 at the time of baseline data collection.

Average HH debt (KES) at the time of data collection, per county:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baringo</td>
<td>KES 2,740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garissa</td>
<td>KES 16,863</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marsabit</td>
<td>KES 12,333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tana River</td>
<td>KES 8,914</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Top 3 reported reasons for taking debt in Baringo:² (n=108¹, 88%)
- Accessing Food: 99%
- Paying for Education: 24%
- Paying for Healthcare: 23%

Top 3 reported reasons for taking debt in Garissa:² (n=266¹, 93%)
- Accessing Food: 99%
- Paying for Healthcare: 47%
- Paying for Other Basic Needs: 40%

Top 3 reported reasons for taking debt in Marsabit:² (n=224¹, 95%)
- Accessing Food: 100%
- Paying for Education: 71%
- Paying for Healthcare: 63%

Top 3 reported reasons for taking debt in Tana River:² (n=196¹, 97%)
- Accessing Food: 100%
- Paying for Healthcare: 47%
- Paying for Education: 38%

HOUSEHOLD SAVINGS

HHs, out of the total 848 HHs reported having savings.

At the time of the baseline data collection, the average amount of saving for HHs that reported having savings was KES 2,569.

DECISION MAKING

% of HHs in Baringo County by reported primary decision-maker on how to spend the HH’s income in the 30 days prior to the baseline data collection:
- 28% Jointly
- 45% Female
- 27% Male

% of HHs in Garissa County by reported primary decision-maker on how to spend the HH’s income in the 30 days prior to the baseline data collection:
- 69% Jointly
- 4% Female
- 27% Male

% of HHs in Marsabit County by reported primary decision-maker on how to spend the HH’s income in the 30 days prior to the baseline data collection:
- 48% Jointly
- 17% Female
- 35% Male

% of HHs in Tana River County by reported primary decision-maker on how to spend the HH’s income in the 30 days prior to the baseline data collection:
- 55% Jointly
- 15% Female
- 30% Male

HOUSEHOLD CONFLICT

Only one HH reported experiencing conflict on how to spend their HHs income in the 30 days prior to data collection in Garissa County. The HH reported experiencing physical violence as the nature of the conflict experienced.*

* Protection concerns are reported to the Complaints, Response and Feedback Mechanism (CRFM).
KEY INDICATORS ON FOOD SECURITY

FOOD GROUPS CONSUMED IN THE LAST 24 HOURS PRIOR TO DATA COLLECTION

In the last 24 hours, the average number of meals eaten in the HHs was found to be 2. Most HHs reportedly consumed cereals, as compared to the other food groups.

REDUCED COPING STRATEGY INDEX (RCSI)¹

The average rCSI for HHs was found to be 20.68 in Marsabit, 16.93 in Baringo, 11.78 in Garissa, and 9.46 in Tana River County. The HHs engaged in negative consumption-based coping strategies which erodes their coping capacity.

The average days utilizing the coping strategy reported in the 7 days prior to data collection:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coping Strategies employed</th>
<th>Baringo</th>
<th>Garissa</th>
<th>Marsabit</th>
<th>Tana River</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rely on less preferred food</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limit portion size at mealtime</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borrow food / rely on friends</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction in quantity consumed by adults for young children</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduce the number of meals eaten in a day</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HOUSEHOLD HUNGER SCORE (HHS)²

% of HHs by HHS category at the time of the baseline data collection:

1. Baringo
   Severe Hunger (4-5) 0%
   Moderate Hunger (2-3) 100%
   No or little hunger (0-1) 0%

2. Garissa
   Severe Hunger (4-5) 0%
   Moderate Hunger (2-3) 24%
   No or little hunger (0-1) 76%

3. Marsabit
   Severe Hunger (4-5) 7%
   Moderate Hunger (2-3) 74%
   No or little hunger (0-1) 19%

4. Tana River
   Severe Hunger (4-5) 8%
   Moderate Hunger (2-3) 48%
   No or little hunger (0-1) 44%

Marsabit County was found to have the highest proportion of HHs with severe or moderate hunger. This could have been because it was most affected during floods with many HHs displaced.

FLOODING IMPACT

Top 3 reported consequences faced by all the HHs assessed following the flooding in Baringo:³
- Displacement of People 29%
- Destruction of Shelter 17%
- Loss of Livestock 15%

Top 3 reported consequences faced by all the HHs assessed following the flooding in Garissa:³
- Destruction of Shelter 65%
- Destruction of Latrine 37%
- Destruction of HH Goods 36%

Top 3 reported consequences faced by all the HHs assessed following the flooding in Marsabit:³
- Destruction of Shelter 80%
- Loss of Livestock 71%
- Destruction of HH Goods 42%

Top 3 reported consequences faced by all the HHs assessed following the flooding in Tana River:³
- Destruction of Shelter 63%
- Destruction of Crops 29%
- Destruction of Latrine 25%

The type of support required following the flooding as reported by % of HHs per county:³

1. Baringo (n=123)⁴
   - Unconditional Cash Transfer 100%
   - Health/Medicine 91%
   - Food 83%

2. Garissa (n=286)⁴
   - Unconditional Cash Transfer 96%
   - Food 51%
   - Health/Medicine 27%
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3. Marsabit (n=236)\(^1,2\)
   - Unconditional Cash Transfer: 92%
   - Food: 66%
   - Health/Medicine: 17%

4. Tana River (n=203)\(^1,2\)
   - Unconditional Cash Transfer: 83%
   - Food: 65%
   - Health/Medicine: 25%

**ECONOMIC WELL-BEING**
% of HHs reporting the extent to which they are able to meet their basic needs as they define and prioritize them:

1. Baringo
   - All basic needs: 2%
   - Most basic needs: 0%
   - About half of my basic needs: 5%
   - Some (less than half) of my basic needs: 93%
   - None of my basic needs: 0%

2. Garissa
   - All basic needs: 9%
   - Most basic needs: 14%
   - About half of my basic needs: 31%
   - Some (less than half) of my basic needs: 41%
   - None of my basic needs: 5%

3. Marsabit
   - All basic needs: 0%
   - Most basic needs: 1%
   - About half of my basic needs: 14%
   - Some (less than half) of my basic needs: 85%
   - None of my basic needs: 0%

4. Tana River
   - All basic needs: 11%
   - Most basic needs: 5%
   - About half of my basic needs: 29%
   - Some (less than half) of my basic needs: 39%
   - None of my basic needs: 16%

The majority of the HHs (85%) were not able to meet all or most of their basic needs. The top four reported basic needs that the HHs were unable to fulfill were: Basic Food Needs (87%), Education Needs for Children (28%), Healthcare Needs of the HH members (27%) and Shelter/Housing Needs (20%).

**ACCESS TO MARKETS**
Reported average time taken by HHs to travel on foot to the nearest marketplace:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baringo</th>
<th>Garissa</th>
<th>Marsabit</th>
<th>Tana River</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than 15 minutes</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between 15 and 29 minutes</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between 30 and 59 minutes</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between 1 and 2 hours</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 2 hours</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PHYSICAL OR SOCIAL BARRIERS IN ACCESSING MARKETS**
The top 3 physical or social barriers to consistently accessing marketplaces in Baringo County:

- Marketplace is too far away: 26%
- Insecurity on the road: 26%
- Insecurity in the market: 11%

The top 3 physical or social barriers to consistently accessing marketplaces in Garissa County:

- Marketplace is too far away: 13%
- High cost of transport: 1%
- Damage to roads: 1%

The top 3 physical or social barriers to consistently accessing marketplaces in Marsabit County:

- Marketplace is too far away: 43%
- High cost of transport: 24%
- Damage to roads: 5%

The top 3 physical or social barriers to consistently accessing marketplaces in Tana River County:

- Marketplace is too far away: 56%
- High cost of transport: 18%
- Damage to roads: 15%
FINANCIAL BARRIERS IN ACCESSING MARKETS

Most HHs encountered financial difficulties when purchasing essential items in the marketplaces. The most commonly reported challenge faced was the high prices of the commodities (65%)\(^1\) and the unavailability of the items in the markets (31%)\(^1\).

**The top 3 financial barriers to consistently accessing marketplaces in Baringo County:**

- Items are too expensive: 93%
- Items are not available: 52%
- No means of payment: 5%

**The top 3 financial barriers to consistently accessing marketplaces in Garissa County:**

- Items are too expensive: 94%
- Items are not available: 61%
- No means of payment: 14%

**The top 3 financial barriers to consistently accessing marketplaces in Marsabit County:**

- Items are too expensive: 66%
- Items are not available: 38%
- No means of payment: 0%

**The top 3 financial barriers to consistently accessing marketplaces in Tana River County:**

- Items are too expensive: 73%
- Items are not available: 37%
- No means of payment: 0%

ACCOUNTABILITY TO AFFECTED POPULATIONS

The accountability to affected populations is measured through the use of Key Performance Indicators (KPIs). These KPIs have been put in place by the European Civil Protection and Humanitarian Aid Operations (ECHO). The aim is to ensure that humanitarian actors consider the safety, dignity and rights of individuals, groups and affected populations when carrying out humanitarian responses. Respondents were asked if they felt safe throughout the selection process, if they were treated with respect by the NGO staff during the intervention, and if they perceived that there were any HHs that were unfairly selected to receive cash assistance. Across all the counties, most HHs reported not being consulted by any NGO on what their needs were and how the NGO can best help. In Garissa County, 13% of the HHs reported not being aware of any option to consult the agency.

**Proportion of HHs reporting on key performance indicators (KPI):**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>KPI Description</th>
<th>Baringo</th>
<th>Garissa</th>
<th>Marsabit</th>
<th>Tana River</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Programming was safe</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The cash assistance was appropriate to the HH needs</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No coercion during registration</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Programming was respectful</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No unfair selection</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community was consulted</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average KPI Score</strong></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>96%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AWARENESS OF OPTIONS TO CONTACT THE AGENCY FOR QUESTIONS OR ANY PROBLEMS:

Awareness of options to contact the agency for questions or any problems by county:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option Description</th>
<th>Baringo</th>
<th>Garissa</th>
<th>Marsabit</th>
<th>Tana River</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NGO staff</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A dedicated NGO hotline</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A dedicated NGO desk</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not aware of any option</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
METHODOLOGY OVERVIEW

The baseline survey collected data on the HHs’ demographics, overall food security situation, income, expenditure, overall well-being, as well as their perceptions of whether the humanitarian assistance offered was delivered in a safe, accessible, accountable, and participatory manner. The targeted HHs were randomly selected from a list of registered beneficiaries. For sampling, a simple random sampling approach was used to have a representative sample of the beneficiary HHs, with a 95% confidence level and a 5% margin of error.

Out of the total 2,490 HHs (14,940 individuals), the intervention targeted 555 HHs in Tana River, 1,150 HHs in Garissa, 160 HHs in Baringo and 625 HHs in Marsabit. A sample of 848 HHs were interviewed; (Baringo-123 HHs, Garissa-286 HHs, Marsabit-236 HHs and Tana River-203 HHs). The methodology was quantitative and data was collected between 21st and 27th January 2024. The baseline survey was conducted remotely through mobile phone calls and data entered in open data kit (ODK). The data was then analysed using R software.

CHALLENGES AND LIMITATIONS

Data on HH expenditure was based on a 30-day recall period, a considerably long period of time over which to expect HHs to remember expenditures accurately.

Due to the length, complexity, and phone-based nature of the interview, respondents were prone to survey fatigue, which potentially affected the accuracy of their responses.

The Food Consumption Score (FCS) aggregates HH-level data on the diversity and frequency of food groups eaten over the past 7 days, which is then weighted according to the relative nutritional value. Due to data gaps, the FCS hasn’t been computed. The FCS will be computed at the time of the endline data collection to assess the food security status.
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### Annex 1: Breakdown of Key Indicators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Indicators</th>
<th>Baringo</th>
<th>Garissa</th>
<th>Marsabit</th>
<th>Tana River</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Household Hunger Score (HHS)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe Hunger (4-5)</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate Hunger (2-3)</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No or Little Hunger (0-1)</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Reduced Coping Strategy Index (rCSI)</td>
<td>16.93</td>
<td>11.78</td>
<td>20.68</td>
<td>9.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average HH income in the 30 days prior to data collection.</td>
<td>KES 4,309</td>
<td>KES 6,120</td>
<td>KES 10,317</td>
<td>KES 5,571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average HH expenditure in the 30 days prior to data collection.</td>
<td>KES 12,643</td>
<td>KES 22,430</td>
<td>KES 21,741</td>
<td>KES 13,086</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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