

Multi-sectoral needs assessment of newly displaced refugees in Nakivale and Rhino Camp: Preliminary findings

August 2022, v2

Objective of the assessment

To establish an **evidence-base** and **increase partners**
understanding of

- push/pull factors and movement intentions of new arrivals between countries of origin and Uganda, and between holding centres in Uganda and refugee settlements
 - most urgent needs of newly arrived refugees in Nakivale and Rhino Camp (particularly WASH, food security and energy related needs)
- medium-long term livelihoods related needs of newly arrived refugees in Nakivale and Rhino Camp
- gaps in current service provision to new arrivals residing in holding centres and Nakivale settlement and Rhino Camp

Methodology

Household survey

Simple random sampling in the Nakivale –Rhino Camp zones receiving new arrivals

95% confidence level and 10% margin of error

Only refugees settled in Nakivale and Rhino since January 2022

Sample size: Nakivale 107, Rhino 112

Key Informant interviews

5 KIIs in each settlement (OPM, UNHCR, NGOs + community leaders)

2-3 KIIs in the holding/reception centre (Nyakabande and Keri): UNHCR, NGOs + community leaders

Focus group discussions

2 in each settlements disaggregated by gender (community members)

Data collection time

Data collected between 26 July and 4 of August 2022

Disclaimer

The analysis of the data is still on-going. This presentation only reports a selection of key indicators resulting from the household survey, which haven't yet been contextualized with the qualitative analysis.


The full analysis and output can be expected by early September.




Demographics

Key demographic indicators 1

Respondent average age, by location



% of respondents by time of arrival in Uganda*, by location



* Only newly settled refugees (arrived since January 2022) have been interviewed



Demographics

Key demographic indicators 2

Average household size

Nakivale	3.9
Rhino Camp	7.4

Average # of children per household

Nakivale	2.3
Rhino Camp	4.9

% of households with at least a member with disabilities

Nakivale	34%
Rhino Camp	21%

% of households with at least one 60+ years old member


Nakivale	11%
Rhino Camp	8%




Movements

Movements key indicators 1

% of respondents by main reason for leaving home country, by location*



% of respondent by changes that would enable return, by location**



* Single choice ** Multiple choice





Movements

Movements key indicators 2

% of respondents by reasons of movement from holding centre to settlement, by location**

% of respondent by main intention to move from settlement, by location*



■ Nakivale ■ Rhino Camp

■ Nakivale ■ Rhino Camp


* Single choice ** Up to 3 choices




Food security

Food security key indicators 1

% of respondent by reported change in food intake, by location*



% of respondent by # of meals per day, by location*




* Single choice




Food security

Food security key indicators 2

% of respondents by source of food, by location*



% of respondent by type of strategy adopted for coping with lack of food, by location**




* Single choice ** Multiple choice




WASH

Access to water: sources and quantity

% of respondent by water source, by location*



Average amount of water collected per person in the last day water was collected, by location **



* Up to 3 choices ** Calculated indirectly: (# jerry cans' capacity * times filled per day) / hh size


REACH Informing more effective humanitarian action



WASH

Access to water: barriers

% of respondents by barrier in accessing water, by location*




* Multiple choice




WASH

Access to WASH facilities

% of respondents by type of toilet accessed, by location*



% of respondents by barrier in accessing WASH facilities, by location**




* Single choice ** Multiple choice




Energy

Energy: access to cooking fuel

% of respondents accessing cooking fuel, by location



% of respondents by barrier in accessing cooking fuel, by location**



% of respondent by cooking fuel used*

	Charcoal	Firewood	Other
Nakivale	11%	83%	6%
Rhino Camp	10%	90%	0%


* Question asked only to those accessing cooking fuel, other includes mix of energy sources and crops residual; ** Multiple choice




Energy

Energy: access to electricity

% of respondents by type of source of electricity, by location*



% of respondents by distance to energy source, by location*




* Single choice,
** Includes solar lamp, dry cell



Energy: access to electricity 2

% of respondents by barrier in accessing electricity, by location*




* Multiple choice
 ** Other includes no access at all



MHSSP

Mental health services received

% of respondents by type of MHSSP services accessed in the past 3 months, by location*



* Multiple choice



Non-food items

Access to hygiene and menstrual kits

% of respondents by type of NFIs received, by location*




* Multiple choice




Shelter material and conditions

% of respondents by shelter conditions, by location*



% of respondents by main shelter material*




* Observation of enumerators



**Peaceful
coexistence**

Security concerns

% of respondents by type of security concern, by location*




* Multiple choice




Humanitarian assistance

Access to humanitarian assistance


% of respondents accessing humanitarian assistance, by location



% of respondents experiencing assistance access issues, by location



% of respondents by type of assistance received, by location*




* Multiple choice



Humanitarian assistance

Assistance access issues experienced


% of respondents by issue reported in accessing assistance, by location*, **



■ Nakivale ■ Rhino Camp

* Multiple choice, ** small sample size, asked only to those reporting issues in accessing assistance (24% Nakivale, 42% Rhino Camp) – **indicative findings only**

Next steps



**Finalizing
qualitative
analysis**

End of August

**Production of final
output**

Early September

**Presentation of
findings**

During September



Nsambya Estate Road, Kampala,
Uganda



Giulia.montisci@impact-initiatives.org



+256 (0)707745042

This assessment was funded by the Alliance 2015 New Initiatives Fund (ANIF) and implemented through the support of A2015 members operating in Uganda:



ACTED



cesvi



welt
hunger
hilfe