

ASSESSMENT OF HARD-TO-REACH AREAS: WATER, SANITATION AND HYGIENE (WASH) & HEALTH


Adamawa and Yobe, Northeast Nigeria

April-June 2022

INTRODUCTION

The continuation of conflict in Northeast Nigeria has created a complex humanitarian crisis, rendering sections of Yobe and Adamawa states as hard to reach. To address information gaps facing the humanitarian response and inform humanitarian actors on the demographics of households in hard-to-reach areas of Northeast Nigeria, as well as to identify their needs, access to services, and movement intentions, REACH has been conducting monthly assessments of hard-to-reach areas in Northeast Nigeria since November 2018.¹

Proportion of settlements assessed, April - June 2022.



of key informant interviews: **1562**
of assessed settlements: **882**
of assessed LGAs: **14**
of assessed LGAs with sufficient coverage²: **10**

Number of assessed settlements per LGA

STATE	LGA	# of assessed settlements
ADAMAWA	Madagali	63
	Maiha	77
	Michika	156
	Mubi North	70
	Mubi South	66
YOBE	Geidam	95
	Gujba	77
	Gulani	61
	Tarmua	111
	Yunusari	106

METHODOLOGY


Using the Area of Knowledge (AoK) methodology, REACH remotely monitors the situation in hard-to-reach areas through monthly multi-sector interviews in accessible Local Government Area (LGA) capitals with key informants (KIs) who are either (1) newly arrived internally displaced persons (IDPs) who have left a hard-to-reach settlement in the last month or (2) KIs who have had contact with someone living or having been in a hard-to-reach settlement in the last month (traders, migrants, family members, etc.).

If not stated otherwise, the recall period for each question is set to one month prior to the last information the KI has had from the hard-to-reach area. Selected KIs are purposively sampled and are interviewed on settlement-wide circumstances in hard-to-reach areas, rather than their individual experiences. Responses from KIs reporting on the same settlement are then aggregated to the settlement level. The most common response provided by the greatest number of KIs is reported for each settlement. When no most common response could be identified, the response is considered as 'no consensus'. While included in the calculations, the percentage of settlements for which no consensus was reached is not displayed in the results below.

Results presented in this factsheet, unless otherwise specified, represent the proportion of settlements assessed within an LGA. Findings are only reported on LGAs where at least 5% of populated settlements and at least 5 settlements in the respective LGA have been assessed. **The findings presented are indicative of broader trends in assessed settlements in April, May, & June 2022, and are not statistically generalisable.**³ Due to precautions related to the COVID-19 outbreak, data was collected remotely through phone interviews with assistance from local stakeholders. Data collection took place from 11th April 2022 to 30th June 2022..

Safe water access

Proportion of assessed settlements where it was reported that safety concerns prevented at least some of the population from accessing the preferred water source:



¹ REACH H2R sectoral factsheets from [November 2020 to April 2022](#).

² The most recent dataset on grid3.gov.ng/datasets has been used as the reference for settlement names and locations, and adjusted to account for deserted villages based on information shared by OCHA.

³ Due to changes in migration patterns, the specific settlements assessed within each LGA vary each month. Changes in results reported in this factsheet, compared to previous factsheets, may therefore be due to variations in the assessed settlements instead of changes over time.




Funded by
European Union
Humanitarian Aid



USAID
FROM THE AMERICAN PEOPLE

REACH

Informing
more effective
humanitarian action




ASSESSMENT OF HARD-TO-REACH AREAS: WATER, SANITATION AND HYGIENE (WASH) & HEALTH

Adamawa and Yobe, Northeast Nigeria


April-June 2022

Water sources

Proportion of assessed settlements where it was reported that the main source of drinking water was an improved water source (e.g. protected well, tap stand):




Most commonly reported main source of drinking water in the settlement, by % of assessed settlement




Functional boreholes were reportedly present in **38%** of assessed settlements.

Most commonly reported main problems people faced collecting water, by % of assessed settlements:





Proportion of assessed settlements where it was reported that the main source of drinking water was an unimproved water source (e.g. surface water, unprotected well):



Hand-washing practices

Main hand-washing materials used by most people in the settlement, by % of assessed settlements:






ASSESSMENT OF HARD-TO-REACH AREAS: WATER, SANITATION AND HYGIENE (WASH) & HEALTH

Adamawa and Yobe, Northeast Nigeria

April-June 2022


Latrine use and access

Proportion of assessed settlements where it was reported that **no community members** were using latrines:




In **31%** of assessed settlements, at least some people were reportedly using latrines.

In those settlements, the estimated proportion of the population using latrines:




In **69%** of assessed settlement, at least some people were reportedly not using latrines.

In those settlements, the most commonly reported main reasons for **not** using latrines were:




Access to health services

Proportion of assessed settlements where it was reported that there was **no functional healthcare service** that the population could reach and return from in one day:



Barriers to accessing healthcare services were reported in **78%** of assessed settlements.

In those settlements, the most reported main barriers were:



⁴ Physical environment may prevent construction of latrines (e.g., rocky or sandy surface)