


Education Needs Assessment Camp 1E - Cox's Bazar, Bangladesh

Background and Methodology


Since August 2017, more than 700,000 Rohingya refugees have arrived in Bangladesh's Cox's Bazar District from Myanmar. As of February 28, 2019, approximately 460,000 Rohingya children remain heavily reliant on international and national non-governmental organisations (NGOs) as providers of informal education under the coordination of the Cox's Bazar Education Sector. Within the camps, access to learning environments is generally limited to NGO-run learning centres (LCs), mainly providing educational services for children age 6 to 14 (with many also offering early childhood development opportunities to children aged 3 to 5). These have been complemented more recently by the roll-out of other alternative learning modalities (ALMs) such as home-based learning or non-religious learning at madrassas.

At the start of 2019, REACH implemented a Joint Education Needs Assessment on behalf of and in collaboration with the Cox's Bazar Education Sector, with financial support from UNICEF. The assessment consisted of a household survey with primary caregivers in households with at least one member between the ages of 3-24 years, in addition to facility assessments at LCs, and focus groups with parents and staff. The study's survey component was conducted throughout 33 refugee sites from 3 February to 14 March, 2019, covering a total of 4,397 households. Results of this assessment are generalizable to the camp level with 95% confidence level and 10% margin of error. This factsheet presents an analysis of data collected in Camp 1E, where 157 households were assessed.

Data on individual attendance at learning spaces is reported overall for all age groups, and by gender only for the 6-14 age group; the number of children and youth in other age groups covered by assessment within each camp was too small to meet a minimum acceptable threshold of statistical significance to display data by gender. More in-depth findings are presented in the study's final report.



M Demographics



Enrolment and Attendance


Learning Centres (LCs)

% of children reported as attending LCs in the 30 days prior to data collection, by age


 3 to 5 years old
 57

 6 to 14 years old
 66

 15 to 18 years old
 74



% of children aged 6-14 reported as attending, dropped out, and never having attended LCs, by gender



Top 3 reasons for LC non-attendance most commonly reported for out-of-school children aged 6-14, by gender¹



1. Respondents could select multiple answers





REACH Informing more effective humanitarian action

Camp 1E

Alternative Learning Modalities (ALMs) Boys Girls of children aged 6-14 reported as attending any 13% 8% type of ALM at least once in Boys week prior to data collection % of children aged 6-14 reported as attending different types of ALMs at least once in the week prior to data collection³ NGO-provided lessons at own home 9% NGO-provided lessons at someone else's home 2% NGO-provided lessons at a madrassa 3% % of children aged 6-14 reported as having attended LCs and ALMs at least once in the week prior to data collection 58% LC only 4% ALM only 7% Both LC and ALM 31% Neither LC nor ALM Madrassas Girls Boys of children aged 6-14 attending madrassas for religious 83% 77% learning at least once in the week prior to data collection % of children aged 6-14 reported as having attended LCs and madrassas at least once in the week prior to data collection 4% TLCs only 18% Madrassa only 62% Both TLC and madrassa 16% Neither TLC nor madrassa **Community Participation** of caregivers reporting they have taken part in 47% activities that have helped them to support their child's education while at home² % of caregivers reporting interest in participating in activities to support their child's education, by activity³

Parent's Committees

56%

39%

Committees to support education



Perceptions and Preferences

% of caregivers reporting that LCs are safe spaces for children, by gender





Education Needs Assessment

of caregivers reporting they would like to see 92% changes in the buildings or classrooms at LCs.

Top three most commonly reported priorities for change:³

0	More learning materials	61%
2	More safety measures	51%
B	More classrooms	35%

of caregivers reporting they would like to 64% see changes in the subjects and information taught at TLCs.

Top three most commonly reported priorities for change:3,4

- More diverse subjects 46% 36% Language of instruction 31%
- Separation by ability



of caregivers reporting they would like to see changes in the teachers/instructors in TLCs.

Top three most commonly reported priorities for change:³

More training for teachers 36% More Rohingya teachers 27% 27% More Bangladeshi teachers

2. For this indicator, the survey question was phrased as follows: "Since you arrived in Bangladesh, have you taken part in any activities (for example training, parent's groups) that have tried to help you support your children's education when they are at home?' 3. Respondents could select multiple answers, denominator for this indicator is all surveyed

caregivers 4. For respondents selecting 'More diverse subjects', the top 3 requested subjects in order of

priority were Arabic, Bangla, and Burmese.








Education Needs Assessment

% of caregivers reporting perceived changes to the education situation in the camps over the past 12 months



ABOUT REACH INITIATIVE

REACH Initiative facilitates the development of information tools and products that enhance the capacity of aid actors to make evidencebased decisions in emergency, recovery and development contexts. The methodologies used by REACH include primary data collection and in-depth analysis, and all activities are conducted through interagency aid coordination mechanisms. REACH is a joint initiative of IMPACT Initiatives, ACTED and the United Nations Institute for Training and Research - Operational Satellite Applications Programme (UNITAR-UNOSAT).





Cox's Bazar Education Sector

REACH Informing more effective humanitarian action