Research Terms of Reference

Earthquake Post-Distribution Monitoring Assessment AFG2309

Afghanistan

September 2023 V.1.1



1. Executive Summary

| Country of intervention | Afg | Afghanistan | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|---|--------|--------|---|---------|--|
| Type of Emergency | Χ | Natural disaster | | Con | flict | | Other (specify) |
| Type of Crisis | | Sudden onset | | | v onset | | (|
| Mandating Body/ | Uni | ted Nations High Commissioner | for R | efuge | es (UNHCR)/ E | merger | cy Shelter and Non- |
| Agency | | od Items (ES/NFI) cluster | | J | , | J | • |
| IMPACT Project Code | 02 <i>A</i> | AZR | | | | | |
| Overall Research | | | | | | | |
| Timeframe (from | 01/08/2023 to 31/12/2023 | | | | | | |
| research design to final | | | | | | | |
| outputs / M&E) | | | | | | | |
| Research Timeframe | | Pilot/ training: 08/11/2023 | | | • | • | ntation: 28/12/2023 |
| Add planned deadlines | | Start collect data: 12/11/2023 | | | • | | alidation: 08/01/2024 |
| (for first cycle if more than | | Data collected: 30/11/2023 | | | 8. Outputs pu | blished | : 28/12/2012 |
| 1) | | 4. Data analysed: 10/12/2023 | | | | | |
| | | 5. Data sent for validation: 11/12/2023 | | | | | |
| Number of | Х | X Single assessment (one cycle) | | | | | |
| assessments | | Multi assessment (more than o | | | | | |
| | | [Describe here the frequency of | of the | cycle] | | | |
| Humanitarian | Mile | estone | | | Deadline | | |
| milestones | | Donor plan/strategy | | | | _ | |
| Specify what will the | | Inter-cluster plan/strategy | | | | _ | |
| assessment inform and when | Х | Cluster plan/strategy | | | UNHCR / ES/NFI cluster will use this data | | |
| e.g. The shelter cluster | | , | | | to inform the | | |
| will use this data to draft | | | | | programmati | ic resp | onse |
| its Revised Flash Appeal; | | NGO platform plan/strategy | | | | _ | |
| | | Other (Specify): | | | | | |
| Audience Type & | | dience type | | | Dissemination | | 1.11. / 11. 1100 |
| Dissemination Specify | | Strategic | | | | | lailing (e.g. mail to NGO ticipants; Donors) |
| who will the assessment | XF | Programmatic | | | • | • | . , |
| inform and how you will disseminate to inform the | ХC | perational | | | X Cluster Ma | | S/NFI) and lings at the next |
| audience | _ [| Other, Specify] | | | cluster meet | | ings at the next |
| | | | | | X Presentati meeting) | on of f | indings (e.g. at cluster |

| l | | | | Website Disse ACH Resourc | | nation (Relief Web & entre)] | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Detailed | Х | Yes | | No | | | | | |
| dissemination plan | | | | | | | | | |
| required | | | | | | | | | |
| General Objective | In c | coordination with the ES/NFI cluster, RE | EACH wil | conduct a pos | t-di: | stribution monitoring | | | |
| - | ass | essment approximately one year after | the June | 2022 earthqua | ke t | hat struck Paktika, | | | |
| | Pak | Paktia, and Khost. This assessment will cover 6 districts across Paktika, and Khost, aiming | | | | | | | |
| | to u | inderstand the effectiveness of the various shelter assistance modalities that were | | | | | | | |
| | deli | vered as part of the coordinated huma | nitarian re | esponse and de | evel | op some best practices | | | |
| | in d | lisaster response. Doing so will inform | UNHCR a | and the ES/NFI | clu | ster's implementation | | | |
| | | rarious shelter assistance modalities as | s part of tl | ne response as | we | II as practices and | | | |
| | pre | paredness for future emergencies. | | | | | | | |
| Specific Objective(s) | 1. | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | | |
| | | provided by the ES/NFI cluster's pro- | grammes | in response to | the | June 2022 | | | |
| | | earthquake. | | =0.01 | | | | | |
| | 2. | | • | | | • | | | |
| | | by identifying best practices based o | n the Jur | ie 2022 eartnqt | Jake | e response. | | | |
| Research Questions | 1. | What are the demographic profile an have been assisted by ES/NFI clus | | | | | | | |
| | | response? | or parti | oro do part or | | ourio 2022 ourinquano | | | |
| | 2. | What is the overall satisfaction and | | | | | | | |
| | | ES/NFI partners in affected regions a | | | | | | | |
| | 3. | What were the successes and challe what are the best practices for future | • | | ea | rthquake response, and | | | |
| | | · | uisastei | -iespolises: | | | | | |
| Geographic Coverage | | istricts across 2 provinces: | 0.5 | . (5 . (11 .) . 0 | | (5.141) | | | |
| | | amal (Khost), Tani (Khost) , Spera (Kho | ost), Barn | nal (Paktika), G | ıyar | n (Paktika), and Ziruk | | | |
| | , | ktika). | | | 000 | | | | |
| Secondary Data | | • | | • | UNOCHA, Afghanistan: Humanitarian Needs Overview, January 2023 | | | | |
| Sources | | - | NOCHA, Afghanistan: Earthquake Response Situation Report No. 4, October 2022 | | | | | | |
| | UNHCR, Afghanistan: PDM for Emergency Shelter, June 2019 | | | | | | | | |
| | | - | y Shelter | June 2019 | <u>o. 4</u> | , October 2022 | | | |
| | UNI | HCR, Afghanistan External Earthquake | y Shelter e Update | <u>, June 2019</u> #4 – 7 August : | 0. 4 202 | , October 2022 | | | |
| | UNI ES/ | HCR, Afghanistan External Earthquake /NFI, Afghanistan: Post Distribution Mo | y Shelter e Update enitoring F | , <u>June 2019</u> #4 – 7 August : form, July 2022 | <u>0. 4</u> 202 | October 2022 2 | | | |
| | UNI ES/ Glo | HCR, Afghanistan External Earthquake NFI, Afghanistan: Post Distribution Mo bal Shelter Cluster Information Manage | y Shelter e Update unitoring F ement an | <u>, June 2019</u> #4 – 7 August : form, July 2022 d Assessment | 0. 4 202 Too | , October 2022 2 <u> kit</u> | | | |
| | UNI ES/ Glo RE/ | HCR, Afghanistan External Earthquake /NFI, Afghanistan: Post Distribution Mo bal Shelter Cluster Information Manage ACH, Afghanistan: Paktika Earthquake | y Shelter e Update nitoring F ement an Damage | June 2019 #4 – 7 August : form, July 2022 d Assessment Assessment, . | 0. 4 202 Too July | , October 2022 2 <u>lkit</u> 2022 | | | |
| | UNI ES/ Glo RE/ | HCR, Afghanistan External Earthquake NFI, Afghanistan: Post Distribution Mo bal Shelter Cluster Information Manage ACH, Afghanistan: Paktika Earthquake ACH, Afghanistan: Earthquake Damag | y Shelter e Update nitoring F ement an Damage | June 2019 #4 – 7 August : form, July 2022 d Assessment Assessment, . | 0. 4 202 Too July | , October 2022 2 <u>lkit</u> 2022 | | | |
| | UNI ES/ Glo RE/ Afgl | HCR, Afghanistan External Earthquake //NFI, Afghanistan: Post Distribution Mobal Shelter Cluster Information Manage ACH, Afghanistan: Paktika Earthquake ACH, Afghanistan: Earthquake Damaghanistan, July 2022 | y Shelter e Update initoring F ement an Damage e Assess | June 2019 #4 – 7 August : form, July 2022 d Assessment Assessment, a ment Khost, Pa | 202 Too July | 2 A Section 2022 A Se | | | |
| | UNI ES/ Glo RE/ Afgl RE/ | HCR, Afghanistan External Earthquake /NFI, Afghanistan: Post Distribution Mo bal Shelter Cluster Information Manage ACH, Afghanistan: Paktika Earthquake ACH, Afghanistan: Earthquake Damage hanistan, July 2022 ACH, Afghanistan: ES/NFI Post Distrib | y Shelter e Update initoring F ement an e Damage e Assess ution Mor | June 2019 #4 – 7 August form, July 2022 d Assessment Assessment, ament Khost, Panitoring (PDM) | o. 4 202 E Too July Ass | 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | | | |
| | UNI ES/ Glo RE/ Afgl RE/ | HCR, Afghanistan External Earthquake /NFI, Afghanistan: Post Distribution Mobal Shelter Cluster Information Manage ACH, Afghanistan: Paktika Earthquake ACH, Afghanistan: Earthquake Damaghanistan, July 2022 ACH, Afghanistan: ES/NFI Post Distrib ACH, Afghanistan: Rapid Assessment | y Shelter e Update initoring F ement an e Damage e Assess ution Mor Mechanis | June 2019 #4 – 7 August form, July 2022 d Assessment Assessment, Assessment Khost, Panitoring (PDM) om (RAM), May | 202 Too July Aktik | 2 Ilkit 2022 2a, Paktya Provinces essment, January 2023 | | | |
| Population(s) | UNI ES/ Glo RE/ Afgl RE/ | HCR, Afghanistan External Earthquake /NFI, Afghanistan: Post Distribution Mo bal Shelter Cluster Information Manage ACH, Afghanistan: Paktika Earthquake ACH, Afghanistan: Earthquake Damage hanistan, July 2022 ACH, Afghanistan: ES/NFI Post Distrib | y Shelter e Update initoring F ement an e Damage e Assess ution Mor Mechanis | June 2019 #4 – 7 August form, July 2022 d Assessment Assessment, Assessment Khost, Panitoring (PDM) om (RAM), May | 202 Too July Aktik | lkit 2022 a, Paktya Provinces essment, January 2023 22 022 Dataset | | | |
| Population(s) Select all that apply | UNI ES/ Glo RE/ Afgl RE/ RE/ | HCR, Afghanistan External Earthquake (NFI, Afghanistan: Post Distribution Mobal Shelter Cluster Information Manage ACH, Afghanistan: Paktika Earthquake ACH, Afghanistan: Earthquake Damaghanistan, July 2022 ACH, Afghanistan: ES/NFI Post Distrib ACH, Afghanistan: Rapid Assessment ACH, Afghanistan: Khost Earthquake DACH, Afghanistan: Khost Earthquake DACH | y Shelter e Update initoring F ement an e Damage e Assess ution Mor Mechanis Damage A | June 2019 #4 – 7 August form, July 2022 d Assessment, Assessment, Ament Khost, Panitoring (PDM) om (RAM), May Assessment, Juli IDPs in info | 202 Too July Ass 203 Iy 2 | 2 Ilkit 2022 2a, Paktya Provinces essment, January 2023 22 022 Dataset Il sites | | | |
| . , | UNI ES/ Glo RE/ Afgl RE/ RE/ | HCR, Afghanistan External Earthquake /NFI, Afghanistan: Post Distribution Mobal Shelter Cluster Information Manage ACH, Afghanistan: Paktika Earthquake ACH, Afghanistan: Earthquake Damaghanistan, July 2022 ACH, Afghanistan: ES/NFI Post Distrib ACH, Afghanistan: Rapid Assessment ACH, Afghanistan: Khost Earthquake DIDPs in camp IDPs in host communities | y Shelter e Update initoring F ement an Damage e Assess ution Mor Mechanis Damage A | June 2019 #4 – 7 August form, July 2022 d Assessment, Assessment, Assessment, Ament Khost, Panitoring (PDM) om (RAM), May assessment, Juli IDPs in info | 202 E Too July Aktik Ass 202 Ily 2 | lkit 2022 2a, Paktya Provinces essment, January 2023 22 022 Dataset Il sites | | | |
| • | UNI ES/ Glo RE/ Afgl RE/ RE/ X | HCR, Afghanistan External Earthquake /NFI, Afghanistan: Post Distribution Mobal Shelter Cluster Information Manage ACH, Afghanistan: Paktika Earthquake ACH, Afghanistan: Earthquake Damaghanistan, July 2022 ACH, Afghanistan: ES/NFI Post Distrib ACH, Afghanistan: Rapid Assessment ACH, Afghanistan: Khost Earthquake DIDPs in camp IDPs in host communities Refugees in camp | y Shelter e Update initoring F ement an e Damage e Assess ution Mor Mechanis Damage A X X | June 2019 #4 – 7 August form, July 2022 d Assessment, Assessment, Assessment, Ament Khost, Paritoring (PDM) am (RAM), May Assessment, Juli IDPs in info IDPs [Other, American Refugees in | 202 E Too July Ass 200 Inf | 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | | | |
| • | UNI ES/ Glo RE/ Afgl RE/ RE/ X | HCR, Afghanistan External Earthquake /NFI, Afghanistan: Post Distribution Mobal Shelter Cluster Information Manage ACH, Afghanistan: Paktika Earthquake ACH, Afghanistan: Earthquake Damaghanistan, July 2022 ACH, Afghanistan: ES/NFI Post Distrib ACH, Afghanistan: Rapid Assessment ACH, Afghanistan: Khost Earthquake DIDPs in camp IDPs in host communities | y Shelter e Update initoring F ement an Damage e Assess ution Mor Mechanis Damage A X X X | June 2019 #4 – 7 August form, July 2022 d Assessment, Assessment, Assessment, Ament Khost, Panitoring (PDM) om (RAM), May assessment, Juli IDPs in info | 202 E Too July Ass 200 Inf | 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | | | |
| • | UNI ES/ Glo RE/ RE/ Afgl RE/ RE/ X | HCR, Afghanistan External Earthquake /NFI, Afghanistan: Post Distribution Mobal Shelter Cluster Information Manage ACH, Afghanistan: Paktika Earthquake ACH, Afghanistan: Earthquake Damaghanistan, July 2022 ACH, Afghanistan: ES/NFI Post Distrib ACH, Afghanistan: Rapid Assessment ACH, Afghanistan: Khost Earthquake DIDPs in camp IDPs in host communities Refugees in camp Refugees in host communities | y Shelter e Update initoring F ement an Damage e Assess ution Mor Mechanis Damage A X X X | June 2019 #4 – 7 August form, July 2022 d Assessment, Assessment, Assessment, American (PDM) am (RAM), May Assessment, July 1DPs in info IDPs [Other, American Refugees in Refugees [Other, American Ref | 202 E Too July Ass 200 Inf | lkit 2022 ta, Paktya Provinces essment, January 2023 22 022 Dataset Il sites cify] ormal sites Specify] | | | |

| Select type(s) and enter number of strata | | (Paktika), Giyan (Paktika), and Ziruk (Paktika). Population size per strata is known? X Yes □ No (hos Population size per strata is known? X Yes □ No | | oulation size per ta is known? res X No | | | 1) Cash-based shelter response 2) Cash-based NFI response 3) In-kind shelter response 4) In-kind NFI response 5) Multi-purpose cash 6) Dignity kit 7) Mixed Modality Population size per strata is known? X Yes □ No | | |
|--|----------------------------|--|---|---|--|---|---|-------------------------|--|
| Data collection tool(s) | X | Structured (Quantitative) | | | X | | tured (Qualitative) | | |
| | Sar | mpling method | | | Dat | a collection | met | nod | |
| Structured data collection tool # 1 Select sampling and data collection method and | _ I | X Purposive (for qualitative)□ Probability / Simple randomX Probability / Stratified simple random | | | X Key informant interview (Target #): 10 to 15 Group discussion (Target #): | | | | |
| specify target # interviews | (for | r quantitative) | | | | X Household interview (Target #): 1,128 | | | |
| | | □ Probability / Cluster sampling | | | _ I | ndividual inte | erviev | v (Target #): | |
| | | Probability / Stratified cluster sa | mpline | , , , , | | | | , , | |
| | | • | | , | □ [Other, Specify] (Target #): | | | | |
| | | Other, Specify] | | | □ [| Other, Speci | ıy] (ı | aigei#) | |
| Target level of precision if probability sampling | 95 | % level of confidence | | | 7+/- | - % margin of | f erro | r | |
| Data management platform(s) | Х | REACH | | | □ UNHCR | | | | |
| | | [Other, Specify] | | | | | | | |
| Expected ouput type(s) | | Situation overview #: | | Rep | ort #: | | | Profile #: | |
| | | Presentation (Preliminary findings) #: | X | | | tion #: 01 | X | | |
| | | Interactive dashboard #:_ | | | • | #: | | Map #: | |
| | X | Quantitative Post-Distribution | | | ing (F | PDM) Kobo T | ool | #: 01 | |
| | X | Qualitative Key Informant 1 | <u>ool</u> #: | 01 | | | | | |
| | X | Cleaned Dataset #: 01 | | | | | | | |
| | X Tabulated Analysis #: 01 | | | | | | | | |
| Aconse | X | Key Findings Note #: 01 Public (available on REACH) | rocour | 00.00* | ntor c | nd other hum | anita | rian platforms) | |
| Access | | ` | | | | | | · , | |
| | | on REACH or other platforms | ted (bilateral dissemination only upon agreed dissemination list, no publication ACH or other platforms) | | | | | on list, no publication | |
| | | ACH, ES/NFI cluster | | | | | | | |
| | Do | nor: UNHCR | | | | | | | |

| Visibility Specify which | Coordination Framework: ES/NFI cluster System |
|--------------------------|---|
| logos should be on | Partners: N/A |
| outputs | |

2. Rationale

2.1 Background

Despite a reduction in active conflict following the change of authorities in August 2021, Afghanistan remains one of the most complex humanitarian crisis in the world. Following over 40 years of conflict across the country, recurrent natural disasters, and endemic economic structural challenges, much of the population faces a lack of key services and challenges to meet their basic needs. According to the 2023 Humanitarian Needs Overview (HNO), 28.3 million people are projected to be in humanitarian need, up from 18.4 million people just before the change in authorities, at the start of 2021. The number of people in need of Emergency Shelter and Non-Food Items (ES/NFI) has similarly increased since then, with 29% of the rural population considered to be facing extreme needs in 2023. This is critical, particularly in the extreme Afghan winters, in which many households struggle to have sufficient heating.

According to the Whole of Afghanistan Assessment 2022,² endemic poverty and decades of conflict have converged with COVID-19, natural disasters, and political developments to generate desperate needs and vulnerabilities, with drought and economic shocks as the most frequently reported shocks by households. Households from all population groups continue to prioritize food, which remains the foremost reported need, followed by livelihoods and healthcare, although variations exist across population groups. For example, shelter needs are the highest for Internally Displaced People (IDP) and refugees (46% and 48% respectively). Additionally, according to the International Organization for Migration (IOM) report, there were more than four hundred and thirty thousand individuals who came into Afghanistan from neighbouring countries between December 2022 and March 2023.³

In addition to responding to needs in both areas of displacement and areas of origin and return, the ongoing crisis in Afghanistan has led to an increase in emergency needs, requiring a response in both areas of displacement, such as ISETs, and areas of origin and return, as identified by the Co-PROSPER programme. Whilst the specific type and nature of needs may differ by status and geography, ES/NFI assistance needs were found to be high across the country, with 9.7 million people in need in 2023, including 690,800 new IDPs and 1.1 million new returnees.⁴ The 2023 HNO report found that of these 9.7 million people with emergency shelter needs, 71% of rural households and 85% of urban households were in severe need of ES/NFI support, while the remaining 29% of rural households and 12% of urban households were in extreme need. The most recent REACH Whole of Afghanistan Assessment 2022 reflected these concerns, with 82% of IDP households and 77% of non-displaced households in severe need or greater of shelter assistance, and 64% of IDP households and 61% of non-displaced households in severe need or greater of NFI assistance. A further 79% of households reported inadequate heating and 7% reported living in inadequate shelters.⁵ Along with other multi-sectoral needs, ES/NFI is likely to remain a critical component of the response for the coming years.

Natural disasters and sudden-onset shocks continue to compound the effects of the above needs. Following the June 2022 earthquake that struck Paktika, Paktya, and Khost, an IOM joint assessment found that of the affected households (over 100,000 people), 33% required reconstruction as they had been destroyed, 57% were repairable, and only 10% of undercover living spaces were still habitable.⁶ As per OCHA's latest Earthquake Response Situation Report, 14,777 houses were affected by the earthquake in 9 districts (Shamal, Tani, Spera, Barmal, Giyan, Neka, Urgun, Ziruk and Waza Zadran) across 3 provinces (Khost, Paktika and Paktya) and the response is expected to reach 100,000 beneficiaries.

Given the above needs in the context of shocks, the importance of coordination between ES/NFI partners to correctly target and prioritize assistance is critical, particularly when considering the planned reach of the cluster. In order to do this, REACH

¹ OCHA, Afghanistan Humanitarian Needs Overview 2023

² REACH, Annual Whole of Afghanistan Assessment 2022, October 2022

³ IOM, Afghanistan — Movement in and out of Afghanistan Snapshot (1-31 Mar 2023)

⁴ UNOCHA, Humanitarian Needs Overview: Afghanistan, January 2023

⁵ REACH, Whole of Afghanistan Assessment: Key Sectoral Findings Factsheet, October 2022

⁶ UNOCHA, Afghanistan: Earthquake Response Situation Report No. 4, October 2022

will conduct a Post-Distribution Monitoring assessment covering beneficiaries targeted by and responded to by ES/NFI cluster partners for intervention. The PDM conducted by REACH will attempt to capture a representative snapshot from the beneficiaries for the ES/NFI interventions and will be done at a 95% confidence and 7% margin of error, with 1,128 household interviews drawn from a beneficiary list shared by partners. Households will be interviewed approximately a year after the beginning of the humanitarian response.

2.2 Intended Impact

Building on experience in conducting both in-depth data collection exercises across the country within larger data management frameworks, as well as previous assessments for the ES/NFI cluster, this project will provide information management support to the ES/NFI cluster and REACH's broader scope of work in Afghanistan with the intended impact of improving the integration of data driven approaches in UNHCR and the ES/NFI cluster's regular annual programming. Doing so will help to inform strategic, programmatic, and operational priorities in Afghanistan. Specifically, the PDM assessment will improve the understanding of the effectiveness of the various shelter assistance modalities that were delivered as part of the coordinated humanitarian response and assess successes and challenges to develop some best practices for future disaster response.

3. Methodology

3.1 Methodology Overview

A quantitative and qualitative methodology will be applied for PDM in 6 districts across 2 provinces in Afghanistan. It will include the following:

- a) An extensive secondary data review will be done to understand the situation.
- b) Appropriate quantitative and qualitative tools will be developed for this PDM cycle. The quantitative component will involve an independent, population-representative assessment of the ES/NFI earthquake response. The qualitative component will involve KIIs with humanitarian professionals involved in the earthquake response.
- c) REACH will conduct PDM in 6 districts using beneficiary data shared by the ES/NFI cluster and stratified across the cluster's seven main modalities of assistance: 1) Cash-based shelter response, 2) Cash-based NFI response, 3) In-kind shelter response, 4) In-kind NFI response, and 5) Mixed Modality, 6) Multi-purpose Cash, and 7) Dignity kit.

3.2 Population of interest

The PDM includes the households who received assistance from ES/NFI cluster partners in the aftermath of the June 2022 earthquake-affected households in Paktika, and Khost. REACH will collect the beneficiary households' information from all ES/NFI cluster partners which is expected to include about 8,300 beneficiaries. The beneficiary information consists of seven types of assistance which are 1) Cash-based shelter response, 2) Cash-based NFI response, 3) In-kind shelter response, 4) In-kind NFI response, and 5) Mixed Modality, 6) Multi-purpose Cash, and 7) Dignity kit. The information on the population and calculated sample sizes are presented in the table below:

| Population | Cash-based | Cash- | In-kind | In-kind | Mixed | Dignity | Multi- | Total |
|------------|------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|---------|---------|-------|
| / Sample | shelter | based NFI | shelter | NFI | Modality | kit | purpose | |
| | response | response | response | response | | | Cash | |
| Population | 2581 | 1,452 | 437 | 488 | 1,432 | 1,200 | 798 | 8388 |
| Sample | 182 | 173 | 135 | 140 | 173 | 168 | 157 | 1,128 |

3.3 Secondary data review

REACH will review humanitarian reports and check PDM tools used by REACH and ES/NFI cluster in Afghanistan as a basis for the development of a harmonized PDM tool. To understand each above-mentioned modality of intervention of assistance, a comprehensive secondary data review will be conducted.

Table 1: Data sources for secondary data review.

| Source | Document |
|------------------------|--|
| UNOCHA | UNOCHA, Afghanistan: Humanitarian Needs Overview, January 2023 |
| UNOCHA | UNOCHA, Afghanistan: Earthquake Response Situation Report No. 4, October 2022 |
| UNHCR | UNHCR, Afghanistan: PDM for Emergency Shelter, June 2019 |
| UNHCR | UNHCR, Afghanistan: External Earthquake Update #4 – 7 August 2022 |
| ES/NFI | ES/NFI, Afghanistan: Post Distribution Monitoring Form, July 2022 |
| Global Shelter Cluster | Global Shelter Cluster Information Management and Assessment Toolkit |
| REACH | REACH, Afghanistan: Paktika Earthquake Damage Assessment, July 2022 |
| REACH | REACH, Afghanistan: Earthquake Damage Assessment Khost, Paktika, Paktya Provinces Afghanistan, July 2022 |
| REACH | REACH, Afghanistan: ES/NFI Post-Distribution Monitoring (PDM) Assessment, January 2023 |
| REACH | REACH, Afghanistan: Rapid Assessment Mechanism (RAM), May 2022 |
| REACH | REACH, Afghanistan: Khost Earthquake Damage Assessment, July 2022 Dataset |

3.4 Primary Data Collection

Comprehensive and appropriate tools qualitative (1), and quantitative (1) will be developed as informed by the secondary data review. The tools to be adapted will address the 7 different modalities intended to assess: 1) Cash-based shelter response, 2) Cash-based NFI response, 3) In-kind shelter response, 4) In-kind NFI response, and 5) Mixed Modality, 6) Multi-purpose Cash, and 7) Dignity kit. The full research cycle will be coordinated by REACH from drafting the ToR, data collection, data cleaning, data analysis, and dissemination phase.

The tools after development will be reviewed and validated by the ES/NFI cluster and IMPACT headquarters. Once the tools are finalised, implementation of the nationwide PDM will be kicked off. Based on beneficiary lists provided by the ES/NFI cluster partners; for quantitative component a stratified random sampling will be adapted. Data will be representative at a 95% confidence level and a 7% margin of error. The qualitative component will involve 10 to 15 KI interviews with humanitarian professionals involved in the earthquake response. The tools will answer the following research questions:

- 1) What is the demographic profile and overall shelter needs of ES/NFI beneficiaries that have been assisted by ES/NFI cluster partners as part of the June 2022 earthquake response?
- 2) What is the overall satisfaction and long-term impact of ES/NFI aid provided by ES/NFI partners in affected regions and how was the aid used by beneficiaries?
- 3) What were the successes and challenges in implementing the earthquake response, and what are the best practices for future disaster-responses?

To generate the sampling frame, REACH's assessment team will work through the beneficiary lists of the ES/NFI cluster partners. These lists of beneficiaries will consist of households who received assistance in each modality of assistance by ES/NFI cluster partners after June 2022 earthquake. The assessment will use a quantitative data collection approach through a representative stratified random sample of the beneficiaries. The strata will be the modality of intervention, and the lists of beneficiaries within each of those modalities will be picked randomly. Interviews will be conducted remotely through telephone with beneficiaries having access to a telephone network and for the rest enumerators will visit beneficiaries house. The responses will be recorded digitally on smartphones using the Kobo Collect application via smartphones. No respondents younger than age 18 will be interviewed. An assortment of enumerators will be trained by REACH on how to conduct interviews with targeted beneficiaries. Training of enumerators will last for 2 days and includes half day for of the piloting of the quantitative tool.

Along with quantitative data collection, a qualitative KI tool will be developed to conduct 10 to 15 key informant interviews with humanitarian professionals involved in the earthquake response. The KII will be conducted remotely by the REACH assessment officers using Teams or Google Meet as per the convenience of the KII participants.

Based on collected PDM data, REACH will clean and process a dataset to develop a tabulated analysis based on quantitative assessment, a key findings note will be derived from a data saturation analysis grid based on qualitative assessment, and a factsheet will be developed combining both qualitative and quantitative findings. After endorsement by ES/NFI cluster and IMPACT HQ, the outputs will be published online in the REACH resource center. Those outputs will inform programmatic, and operational priorities of ES/NFI cluster and its partners' activities by answering the above research questions.

3.5 Data Processing & Analysis

The data collected by enumerators will be cleaned and analysed on daily basis by data and operation teams that will check for consistency, outliers, and logic in responses provided and will provide feedback to team leaders and enumerators for additional clarification according to IMPACT Data Cleaning Minimum Standards Checklist. Data will be stored on IMPACT Kobo accounts during data collection. The data analysis team will share anonymized raw and cleaned data along with the value cleaning log to IMPACT HQ for validation.

Quantitative data analysis will be done using coding in R. The findings from HH data collection will be disaggregated by assistance modality with a 95% confidence level and 7% margin of error, and will be aggregated at overall level.

Qualitative data will be processed and analyzed using a data saturation analysis grid (DSAG). This will be disaggregated according to the assistance modalities specified in the executive summary.

4. Key Ethical Considerations and Related Risks

The proposed research design meets / does not meet the following criteria:

| The proposed research design | Yes/ No | Details if no (including mitigation) |
|--|---------|--------------------------------------|
| Has been coordinated with relevant stakeholders to avoid unnecessary duplication of data collection efforts? | Yes | |
| Respects respondents, their rights and dignity (specifically by: seeking informed consent, designing length of survey/ discussion while being considerate of participants' time, ensuring accurate reporting of information provided)? | Yes | |
| Does not expose data collectors to any risks as a direct result of participation in data collection? | Yes | |
| Does not expose respondents/their communities to any risks as a direct result of participation in data collection? | Yes | |
| Does not expose female respondents/their communities to any risks as a direct result of participation in data collection? | Yes | |
| Does not involve collecting information on specific topics which may be stressful and/or re-traumatising for research participants (both respondents and data collectors)? | Yes | |
| Does not involve data collection with minors i.e. anyone less than 18 years old? | Yes | |

| Does not involve data collection with other vulnerable groups | Yes | |
|---|-----|--|
| e.g. persons with disabilities, victims/survivors of protection | | |
| incidents, etc.? | | |
| | | |
| Follows IMPACT SOPs for management of personally | Yes | |
| identifiable information? | | |
| | | |

5. Roles and Responsibilities

Table 3: Description of Roles and Responsibilities

| Task Description | Responsible | Accountable | Consulted | Informed |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|
| Research design | Assessment Officer | Research Manager | GVA Research Design & Data Unit | ES/NFI cluster |
| Supervising data collection | Senior Project Officer | Programme Manager | Data Base Officer and Assessment Officer | Research Manager |
| Data processing (checking, cleaning) | Senior Project Officer | Data Base Manager | Assessment Officer | Research Manager |
| Data analysis | Data Base Officer | Data Base Manager | Assessment Officer | GVA Research Design& Data Unit |
| Output production | Assessment Officer | Research Manager | GVA Research and Reporting Unit | Deputy Country Coordinator |
| Dissemination | Assessment Officer | Research Manager | ES/NFI cluster | Country Coordinator |
| Monitoring & Evaluation | Research Manager | Country Coordinator | GVA Research Design& Data Unit | UNHCR Programmes |
| Lessons learned | Assessment Officer | Research Manager | Country Coordinator | GVA Research Design& Data Unit |

Responsible: the person(s) who executes the task

Accountable: the person who validates the completion of the task and is accountable of the final output or milestone

Consulted: the person(s) who must be consulted when the task is implemented **Informed:** the person(s) who need to be informed when the task is completed

6. Data Analysis Plan

Please look at the data analysis plan (DAP) through this link.

7. Monitoring & Evaluation Plan

| IMPACT Objective | External M&E Indicator | Internal M&E Indicator | Focal point | Tool | Will indicator be tracked? |
|--|---|--|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| | | # of downloads of x product from Resource Center | Country request to HQ | | X Yes |
| | Number of humanitarian | # of downloads of x product from Relief Web | Country request to HQ | | X Yes |
| Humanitarian stakeholders are | organisations accessing IMPACT services/products | # of downloads of x product from Country level platforms | Country team | | □ Yes |
| accessing IMPACT products | Number of individuals accessing IMPACT | # of page clicks on x product from REACH global newsletter | Country request to HQ | User_log | X Yes |
| | services/products | # of page clicks on x product from country newsletter, sendingBlue, bit.ly | Country team | | □ Yes |
| | | # of visits to x webmap/x dashboard | Country request to HQ | | □ Yes |
| IMPACT activities contribute to better | | # references in HPC documents (HNO, SRP, Flash appeals, cluster/sector strategies) | | | |
| program implementation and coordination of the humanitarian response | Number of humanitarian organisations utilizing IMPACT services/products | # references in single agency documents | Country team | Reference_I og | ES/NFI cluster documents |
| % . | Humanitarian actors use IMPACT evidence/products as a | Perceived relevance of IMPACT country-programs | | | [Outline here the usage survey to be implemented for this research cycle |
| Humanitarian stakeholders are | basis for decision making, aid planning and delivery | Perceived usefulness and influence of IMPACT outputs | Country | Usage_Feed back and Usage_Surv | E.g. Usage survey to be conducted in November 2017, |
| using IMPACT products | Number of humanitarian | Recommendations to strengthen IMPACT programs | team | ey template | following the release of x outputs, targeting at least 10 partners |
| | documents (HNO, HRP, cluster/agency strategic | Perceived capacity of IMPACT staff Perceived quality of outputs/programs | _ | | E.g. Usage survey to be conducted at the end of the |

Post-Distribution Monitoring, September 2023

| | plans, etc.) directly informed by IMPACT products | Recommendations to strengthen IMPACT programs | | | research cycle related to all outputs, targeting at least 20 partners] |
|---|---|---|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| Humanitarian stakeholders are | Number and/or percentage of humanitarian organizations directly | # of organisations providing resources (i.e.staff, vehicles, meeting space, budget, etc.) for activity implementation | | Engagement _log | X Yes |
| engaged in IMPACT programs throughout the | contributing to IMPACT programs (providing resources, participating to presentations, etc.) | # of organisations/clusters inputting in research design and joint analysis | Country team | | X Yes |
| research cycle | | # of organisations/clusters attending briefings on findings; | | | X Yes |