

Settlement and Protection Profiling

Camp 11 Ukhia, Cox's Bazar, Bangladesh

Nov / Dec 2018

December and April 2018 trend comparison


Background and methodology

This profile provides a multi-sector overview of conditions in the Rohingya sites in Cox's Bazar District, Bangladesh to offer insights on the evolving protection needs of refugees living in the camps. Data for the findings was collected in 33 camps from 25 November - 17 December, 2018 for the fourth round of Settlement and Protection Profiling (SPP) funded by UNHCR and implemented by REACH. Key indicators provide contextual and operational findings at the household level. Findings are compared to those of SPP Round 3 which took place from 7-27 March and 15-20 April, 2018¹. Translators Without Borders supported with translating the form into Rohingya.

Primary data was collected through household surveys randomly sampled from shelters located within 33 settlement boundaries. Results of this assessment are generalizable with 95% confidence level and 10% margin of error at the camp level. This factsheet presents an analysis of data collected in Camp 11, where 101 households were surveyed.

The Round 3 form was translated into Bangla then verbally translated into Rohingya by enumerators, while the Round 4 form was translated directly into Rohingya. It is therefore possible that potential differences in communicating questions between Round 3 and 4 may affect comparisons where relevant. Data collection began one week after an attempted repatriation to Myanmar, thus some eligible households chose not to participate out of fear of sharing detailed information. This fear may have created a bias towards those respondents who were willing to participate in this assessment. The non-response rate was 5%.

November/December 2018 data is presented in dark blue, and March/April 2018 data is presented in light blue.



Key Camp Information

Camp Management Agency RRRC


Site Management Support Agency IOM / Action Aid Bangladesh

Population (individuals)³31,164Population (families)³7,069Camp Area0.47 km²

Population density 66,873 individuals/km²

†∤† Demographics

Household composition by gender and age





56% of individuals are under 18


77% of individuals are women and children

Period of arrival3

84% of households arrived 25 August 2017 or later

Household size

Number of individuals per household:



There is an average of **5.4** individuals reported per household


Persons with Specific Needs (PWSN)

% of families with Persons with Specific Needs (PWSN), by need³

70 of farmines with 1 ersons with opecinic needs (1 word), by need				
	Separated children	2%	Unaccompanied children	1%
	Older person at risk	4%	Person with disability	4%
	Older person at risk and children	2%	Single male parent with infants	1%
	Serious medical condition	3%	Single female parent	15%
	Families with PWSN	28%		

Protection

% of households reporting their perception of the current security situation in the camp⁴:



- 1. UNHCR, Bangladesh: UNHCR Camp Settlement and Protection Profiling Round 3, Apr 2018. http://bit.ly/2LRI49D 2.The boundaries and names used on this map do not imply official endorsement or acceptance by the United Nations
- 3. RRRC/UNHCR population data and key demographical indicators (15 Nov 2018 dataset)
- 4. Round 4 data only. This indicator was not present in SPP Round 3





Very Good

Good

Neutral

Very Bad

Prefer not to answer

Bad



Settlement and Protection Profiling - Camp 11

December and April 2018 trend comparison

Top five interventions reported as needing to change or improve in order to improve the sense of safety and security in the camps⁵:

Dec 2018				Apr 2018
65%	Improved roads/paths	0	Site improvement	34%
57%	Advice from UN/NGOs	2	Warning systems	33%
52%	Better camp management	B	Locks	26%
30%	Locks for shelters	4	More police / military	25%
27%	Disaster warning systems	5	Nothing	19%

Top 3 main risks reported to be faced by adult men and women in the camp^{5,6,7}:

	Men 🛉		♣ Women	
47%	Kidnapping	0	Natural disasters	37%
44%	Violence within community	2	No issues	36%
42%	Natural disasters	3	Kidnapping	34%

Top 3 preferred sources of help reported for various forms of security incidents^{6,8}:

	Involving so family with po inside the ca	ersons	Involving self or family with persons outside the camp		Witness to security incident within the camps	
0	Mahji	96%	Mahji	88%	Mahji	92%
2	CiC	60%	CiC	72%	CiC	72%
B	Army	50%	Army	68%	Army	59%

Food Security

Food assistance

Dec 2018 Apr 2018

of households reported accessing food

98% assistance in the 30 days prior to data collection. 100%

Of these, the most common sources were⁸:

/		
WFP / Humanitarian	98%	
actors	100%	
Developed all and a many	2%	I
Bangladesh army	2%	L
Deixoto donotiono	0%	
Private donations	N/A	
Othor	0%	
Other	N/A	

^{5.} Respondents could give up to three answers

Consumption-based coping strategies

Top 3 most commonly reported consumption-based coping strategies8:

Dec 2018				Apr 2018
Borrow food	94%	0	65%	Borrow food
Limit portion size	75%	2	9%	Reduce number of meals
Eat less preferred food	71%	3	7%	Eat less preferred food

Infant nutrition

Dec 2018		Apr 2018
88%	of households with children under 5 reported receiving a supplementary feeding ration for children under 5 in the 30 days prior to data collection	58%
20%	of households reported receiving a breast-milk substitute donation since arriving in Bangladesh ⁹	17%

Water Sanitation and Hygiene

Water treatment

Dec 2018		Apr 2018
56%	of households reported treating water	9%
34%	of households reported using chlorination tablets (Aquatabs)	6%

Water sources

Dec 2018

% of households reporting their main source of drinking water:

99%	Tubewell/borenole	96%	
1%	Piped water	1%	
0%	Tanker truck	1%	
0%	Rainwater	0%	
0%	Surface water	N/A	
0%	Protected dugwell	N/A	
0%	Unprotected dugwell	N/A	
0%	Protected spring	N/A	
0%	Water tank	N/A	
0%	Cart w small drum	N/A	

Tubowall/barabala 08%

Hygiene practices

, 9		
Dec 2018		Apr 2018
40%	of households reported having access to soap	66%
66%	of households reported using public latrines as the usual facility for defecation	70%

^{7.} These results are based on the respondent's subjective perception of risks in the camp, and not the actual number of security incidents





Apr 2018

^{6.} Round 4 data only. This indicator was not present in SPP Round 3

^{8.} Respondents could select multiple options

^{9.} In April data collection, this was asked to every household. In December data collection, this was asked only to households reporting children under 5 in the household.



Settlement and Protection Profiling - Camp 11

December and April 2018 trend comparison

Latrines

Top three reported issues with latrines¹⁰:

Dec 2018 Apr 2018

Too many people

Not enough

Not clean 46% 32% No problem

No gender separation

26% Lack of water

Priority Needs 1.2.3

Top 3 reported first, second and third priority needs^{11,12}:


	First priority need		Second prio need	Second priority need		Third priority need	
0	Fuel	46%	Household/ cooking items	23%	Household/ cooking items	22%	
2	Access to food	32%	Clothing	23%	Clothing	21%	
8	Safe latrines	9%	Fuel	20%	Fuel	16%	

	Shelter	
Dec 2018		Apr 2018
75 %	of households reported living in shelters made of bamboo and plastic sheeting	83%
67%	of households reported living in lockable shelters	59%
8%	of households reported living in shared shelters	59%

Non-Food Items (NFIs)

Fuel

% of households reporting their main source of fuel:



Dec 2018 Apr 2018 of households reported cooking inside their shelter 97% 100%

of households reported receiving NFI kits since 70% 77% arriving in Bangladesh

11. Respondents could give up to three answers

12. Round 4 data only. This indicator was not present in SPP Round 3.

Top 3 most important forms of assistance reported as needed to address household shelter needs11,12:

0	Fuel	90%
2	Cooking items	66%

Clothing 56%

Health

Top 3 reported concerns about healthcare in the camp^{11,12}:

0	Supplies unavailable	59%
2	Crowded	29%
B	None	27%

Communication with Communities

Sources of information

Top 3 reported preferred methods of communication¹²:

0	Face-to-face	98%	
	Loudspeakers	86%	
2	Loudspeakers	73%	Dec 2018
	Face to face	82%	
3	Phone call	50%	Apr 2018
	Phone call	25%	

Site Management 鄃

of households reported they face barriers in accessing assistance 8% in the camps¹⁰. Of these households, the most common assistance types that households face barriers accessing are 10,12:

Food 75% 50% **NFIs** Health 25%

Education

of households reported they are satisfied with the education 74% available in the camps¹¹

Top 3 education priorities for children^{10,12}:

O	Better teachers	50%
2	Improved curriculum	47%
3	Vocational skills training	29%





^{10.} Respondents could select multiple options