


## **Background and Methodology**

Since August 2017, an estimated 728,306 Rohingya refugees have arrived in Bangladesh's Cox's Bazar district from Myanmar, bringing the total number of refugees residing in Cox's Bazar, to approximately 906,527. The rapid and massive increase of the refugee population, concentrated in the south of the district in Ukhia and Teknaf, has reportedly had an substantial impact on Bangladeshi host communities' food security, economic vulnerability, market access, labour opportunities and environment.

Based on an identified data gap regarding the needs of the host community population after August 2017, a multi-sector needs assessment (MSNA) was conducted under the coordination of ISCG and facilitated by REACH, in partnership with NPM-ACAPS Analysis Hub, and Translators Without Borders in consultation with Union Nirbahi Officers (UNO).<sup>2</sup> The MSNA targeted the Bangladeshi host community population living in 11 unions across two Upazilas: Ukhia (5 unions) and Teknaf (6 unions). This series of factsheets (14 in total) presents the findings at the Union level (11), the Upazila level (2), and the overall level (1). This factsheet presents the findings for Teknaf Paurashava. A household survey was conducted using a stratified random sample to produce results for Teknaf Paurashava where 259 households, that comprised of 1,497 individuals, were surveyed. The results are generalisable to 95% confidence level and 6% margin of error for Teknaf Paurashava. Data for this assessment was collected between 11 November - 6 December 2018. The assessment aimed for a 50/50 balance between male and female respondents.



### Population<sup>3</sup>

Households - 4,752 Individuals - 25.056

## Demographics

35.1 Average age of respondent

5.8 Average household size




**62%** female respondents


38% male respondents

### Composition of surveyed households
















## 🏶 Health





- As reported by UNHCR in the population data and key demographical indicators (31 Dec 2018)
  In Bangladesh the Upazila Nirbahi Officer often abbreviated UNO, is the chief executive of an Upazila (sub-district) and a mid-level officer of the Bangladesh Civil Service (Administration
- 3. As reported by Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics in -"District Statistics 2011 Cox's Bazar". See link: http://www.bbs.gov.bd/site/page/2888a55d-d686-4736-bad0-54b70462afda/-







**19%** of households with children under 5 reported the presence of at least one child under 5 ill with diarrhoea in the two weeks prior to data collection



26% of households reported facing challenges in accessing medical clinics

% of households reporting facing challenges in accessing medical clinics, by challenges<sup>4</sup>

Services are too expensive	17%
Services are too far	16%
Services are overcrowded	7%
Required treatment unavailable	5%



20% of households reported the presence of individuals with an illness serious enough to require medical treatment in the 30 days prior to data collection

Of individuals reported to have had an illness serious enough to require medical treatment in the 30 days prior to data collection, **93%** individuals sought treatment for the illness<sup>5</sup>

Of individuals who sought treatment, % accessing different treatment sources<sup>6</sup>

N	lale		Fer	male	
55%	Private clininc	0	Private clininc	48%	
28%	Government clinic	2	Pharmacy	34%	
27%	Pharmacy	8	Government clinic	27%	



88% of households did not seek health services from facilities built in response to the Rohingya influx in 2017

Of 88% households not using facilities built for Rohingya influx, % reporting reasons for non-use<sup>7</sup>

Don't know about these services	47%	
Prefer the services that already exist	31%	
Services are too far	30%	
Services are not available to host community	21%	

### **Education**

% of individuals, by highest grade achieved

% of individuals aged 12-24 reported to have completed primary school (graduated from grade 5)



% of individuals aged 18-24 reported to have completed secondary school (graduated from grade 12)




% of children and youth reported to be attending formal education during the 2018 academic year<sup>8</sup>



% of children and youth reported to be attending nonformal education during the 2018 academic year<sup>9</sup>



% of households reporting barriers accessing primary and secondary education for boys and girls<sup>10</sup>



- 4. Four most common challenges accessing medical clinics are shown, and respondents could select more than one option.
- 5. Sample size male (n=112) and female (n=147)
- 6. Three most common treatment sources are shown.
- 7. Respondents could select more than one option.
- 8. Formal education includes government-run schools, Aliah madrassahs (madrassahs teaching government-certified curriculum), and private schools.
- Nonformal education includes NGO schools, madrassahs other than Aliah madrassahs (and hence not government certified), and vocational training courses.
- 10. This question was only asked if respondent household contained boys/girls of primary (5-11) and secondary (12-17) school age.





% of households reporting barriers accessing primary and secondary education for boys and girls, by barrier<sup>11</sup>

	Primary		Secondary	
	Boys	Girls	Boys	Girls
Services are too expensive	5%	6%	6%	8%
Facilities are too far	3%	6%	5%	7%
Safety concern at or on the way to facilities	2%	2%	0%	5%



6% of households reported receiving awareness training on child rights in the 6 months prior to data collection



7% of households reported receiving awareness training on importance of education in the 6 months prior to data collection



8% of households with children aged 5-11 reported receiving aid distribution from formal schools in the 6 months prior to data collection



6% of households with children aged 12-17 reported receiving aid distribution from formal schools in the 6 months prior to data collection


% of households reporting receiving aid distributions from formal schools in the 6 months prior to data collection, by type of distribution received<sup>12</sup>

Aid	Aged 5-11	Aged 12-17
Health and Hygiene/WASH kit	1%	1%
Winterization kit	1%	1%
School supply	6%	6%



## **Shelter & Non-Food Items**

% of households by shelter type<sup>13</sup>



% of households reporting use of different fuels as their primary fuel for cooking<sup>14</sup>

Firewood	51%
LPG/gas cylinder	48%
Dried leaf hay	1%



90% of households reported being connected to the electricity grid

Of households that reported being connected to the grid, % that reported average electricity availability per day in the 30 days prior to data collection<sup>15</sup>

More than 6 hours	89%
Less than 6 hours	10%



**10%** of households reported receiving training on how to protect their shelter from strong wind/cyclone.



**8%** of households reported receiving training on how to protect their shelter from flood

% of households reporting the NFIs most urgently needed for their shelter<sup>16</sup>

Blanket	49%	
Kitchen set	44%	
Solar lamp	38%	
Cooking stove	37%	
Mosquito net	17%	

- 11. Three most common education barriers are shown, and respondents could select more than one option. Sample size aged 5-11 boys (n=119) and girls (n=135) and aged 12-17 boys (n=142) and girls (n=110)
- 12. Respondents could select more than one option. The option of food was not included in the types of aid. Sample size for household with children aged 5-11 (n=35) and children aged 12-17 (n=51)
- 13. In Bangladesh, housing is classified into four categories according to structure type and the materials used:
  - 1) Jhuprie (temporary): are shacks made from branches, bags, tarpaulin, jute, etc.
  - Kutcha (temporary): made of mud, bamboo, wood and corrugated iron sheets (CIS) as roofs.
  - Semi-pucca (semi-permanent): where walls are made partially of bricks, floors are made from cement, and roofs from corrugated iron sheets.
  - 4) Pucca (permanent): with walls of bricks and roofs of concrete.
- 14. Three most common primary fuels for cooking are shown.
- 15. This question was only asked to the 90% of respondents who reported their households were connected to the grid.
- 16. Five most common items are shown, and respondents could select up to three options.







13% of households reported having a solar light

### Security of tenure

% of households reporting ownership of their plot of land and/ or house

Yes, I own the land	80%
No, I do not own the land	18%
Land is co-owned	2%



Of households who reported ownership of their plot of land or house, **91%** reported holding the deed to it

% of households who reported renting or being hosted on their plot of land or house<sup>17</sup>

Renting	13%	
Hosted	5%	



### WASH

#### ▲ Water

% of households with access to improved drinking water sources

Primary drinking water sources	
✓ Improved water sources	99%
Piped water tap/tapstand into settlement site	12%
Tubewells/borehole/handpump	71%
Protected dug well	12%
Cart with small tank or drum	4%
<b>★</b> Unimproved water sources	1%
Surface water (river, dam, lake, pond, stream, canal)	1%


Of households reporting access to an improved drinking water source, % that reported different levels of reliability on availability of water<sup>18</sup>

Always/year-round	84%	
Intermittently (predictable)	13%	
Intermittently (unpredictable)	3%	I .



**79%** of households reported having enough water for drinking, cooking, washing and bathing

% of households by time required to travel in both directions and queuing at the water source





34% of households reported having problems collecting water

% of households reporting problems collecting water, by problem<sup>19</sup>

•	Water source is too far	22%
2	Water tastes bad	12%
3	The source is only available certain times of the day	7%



25% of households reported treating water before drinking

% of households reporting use of different water treatment practices<sup>20</sup>


Household filters	14%
Cloth filters	10%
Boiling	1%

- 17. This guestion was asked to households that reported not owning land.
- 18. This question was asked only to the 99% respondents who reported improved sources of water as their primary water source.
- Three most common problems of collecting water are shown, and respondents could select more than one option.
- Three most common water treatment methods are shown, and respondent could select more than one option.



### **Sanitation**

% of households by reported usual defecation location





19% of households reported facing problems accessing latrines

% of households reporting problems accessing latrines, by problem<sup>20</sup>

0	Too many people using it	8%
2	Latrine is not clean	6%
3	Latrine smells bad	5%

#### **Environmental sanitation**

% of households reporting visible presence of solid waste, stagnant water or human faeces within 30 metres of their shelter during the 30 days prior to data collection<sup>21</sup>

Trash/ solid waste	49%	
Stagnant water	35%	
Human faeces	19%	

### 🦫 Hygiene



58% respondents were able to name at least 3 of the 5 critical times for handwashing

% of households where respondent named different handwashing times<sup>22</sup>

Critical times	
After defecation	93%
Before eating	92%
Before cooking	57%
After cleaning a child's bottom	15%
Before feeding children	15%



25% of households reported women facing problems with accessing menstrual hygiene materials<sup>23</sup>

% of households reporting problems accessing menstrual hygiene material, by problem<sup>23,24</sup>

Too expensive	15%	
Other needs are prioritized	14%	
Not enough available in market	4%	
Preferred type not available	3%	

## Food Security and Livelihood

% of households reporting primary food source

Market	98%	
Own production	1%	
Other	1%	

% of households falling into different food consumption groups based on household Food Consumption Score<sup>25</sup>

Acceptable	64%
Borderline	29%
Poor	7%

- 21. Three most common problems accessing latrine are shown, and respondents could select more than one option.
- 22. Respondents were asked about solid waste, stagnant water and human faeces in three separate questions.
- 23. Respondents could select more than one option.
- 24. Questions on menstrual hygiene management were only asked to female respondents, by female enumerators
- 25. The frequency weighted diet diversity score or "Food Consumption Score" is a score calculated using the frequency of consumption of 9 different food groups consumed by a household/individual during the 7 days before data collection. Based on the score they receive, households are categorised into food consumption groups indicating different levels of dietary diversity. Bangladesh-specific thresholds were used to make these calculations. See link: https://www.wfp.org/content/coping-strategies-index-field-methodsmanual-2nd-edition





Average household Coping Strategy Index (CSI) was 8 (out of a possible 56)<sup>26</sup>

% of household reporting use of different consumption based coping strategy<sup>27</sup>

Rely on less preferred and less expensive food	73%
Borrow food, or rely on help from a friend or relative	37%
Limit portion size at mealtimes	26%
Restrict consumption by adults in order for small children to eat	20%
Reduce number of meals eaten in a day	20%

% of households reporting three main sources of income sustaining their household in the 30 days prior to data collection<sup>28</sup>

Small business	35%
Skilled wage labour	31%
Large business	12%
Domestic work	10%
Non-agricultural casual labour	10%

% of households reporting changes in their economic status in the 12 months prior to data collection

% of households reporting changes in cost of living in the 12 months prior to data collection

Significantly increased	30%
Somewhat increased	<b>50</b> %
Not changed	15%
Somewhat decreased	4%
Significantly decreased	1%



### **Nutrition**

% of households where women of reproductive age were reported to have awareness of different sources of support for infant and young child feeding<sup>29</sup>

Doctors	81%
Older relatives	22%
Midwife/nurse	20%



### Protection



**31%** of females aged 20-25 years were reported to have married before age 18<sup>30</sup>

% of households reporting the presence of community based protection mechanisms<sup>31</sup>

U	None	61%
2	Health	18%
3	Safety/security	16%



**83%** of households reported feeling secure in their current location.

Perceived attitudes and experiences regarding Rohingya refugees

% of households reporting different levels of interaction with Rohingya refugees

Never	61%	
Once a week	15%	
Once a month	14%	
Everyday	10%	

- 26. This assessment used the "reduced" CSI (rCSI), which measures coping behaviours in the 7 days prior to the data collection in response to inadequate food or insufficient money to buy food.
- 27. Respondents where asked on each coping strategy and how many day in the past 7 days did they use this strategy.
- 28. Five most common main sources of income are shown, and respondents could select up to three options.
- 29. This question was asked to female respondents, by female enumerators. Respondents could select more than one option. The results are generalisable to 95% confidence level and 10% margin of error.
- 30.This question was only asked for women/men between the age of 20-25, who reported to be married. Sample size male (n=34) and female (n=95)
- 31. Three most common responses are shown, and respondents could select more than one option. Question was framed as follows: "Are you aware of any groups or committees of community members in your location that are working on any of the following issues?"



# **Multi-Sector Needs Assessment - Host Community**

# Teknaf Paurashava Union, Teknaf Upazila, Cox's Bazar, Bangladesh



Of households who reported different levels of interaction with Rohingya refugees in the 30 days prior to data collection, % reporting different types of interaction<sup>32</sup>


Male respondents			Fen	nale respo	ondents	
	32%	Casual interactions	0	Buying goods and services	33%	
İ	15%	Hiring them for work	2	Casual interactions	13%	
N	120/	Buying	8	Hiring them	<b>10</b> / <sub>2</sub>	II

% of households reporting types of relationships with Rohingya refugees

goods and


services

12%



for work

% of households reporting attitudes towards the presence of Rohingya refugees in their communities



Of 39% households who reported being unhappy or very unhappy with the presence of Rohingya refugees in their communities, % who gave different reasons33

0	Competition for services and utilities	67%
2	Competition for resources	57%
3	Threat of crime	51%

<sup>32.</sup> Three most common interactions are shown, and respondents could select more than one



### **Child Protection**



14% of households reported the presence of at-risk children<sup>34</sup>

Children involved in child labour	10%	
Children at risk of early marriage <sup>35</sup>	3%	
Separated children <sup>36</sup>	2%	I
Unaccompanied children <sup>36</sup>	0%	

% of households reporting the presence of safety risks to boys and girls in their communities<sup>37</sup>

Risk for boys		Risk for girls				
	35%	Risk of detention	0	Chlid marriage	46%	
İ	32%	Risk of recruitment by armed groups	2	Risk of sexual abuse/violence	46%	
	27%	Do not know	8	Risk of kidnapping	40%	



39% of households reported the presence of children exhibiting at least one behaviour relating to symptoms of distress in the 30 days prior to data collection<sup>38</sup>

% of households who report the presence of children exhibiting behaviours that relate to symptoms of distress in the 30 days prior to data collection, by type of behaviour<sup>39</sup>

1 Headaches		18%
2	Change of appetite	12%
3	Nightmares	10%

- 34. Households were deemed to contain at-risk children if they reported the presence of at least one child that was separated, unaccompanied, at risk of early marriage, or involved in child labour.
- 35. For children at risk of early marriage, respondents were asked if there was anybody in the household under the age of 18 who was married or about to get married.
- 36. For separated and unaccompanied children, respondents were asked if any new members under the age of 18 had joined the household in the past 6 months (excluding births and marriages), and if so what their relationship to the head of household was. If children were related to the head of the household, they were categorised as separated; if not, they were categorised as unaccompanied.
- 37. Three most common safety risk for boys and girls are shown, and respondents could select more than one option.
- 38. Respondents could select more than one option.
- 39. Three most common syptoms of distress are shown. There were 14 other options including "none". 61% reported none. Question was framed as follows: "Within the past 30 days, have any children in this householdexperienced any of the following signs of distress?"; options were read out to respondents; respondents could select more than one option.



<sup>33.</sup> Respondents could select more than one option.

# **Multi-Sector Needs Assessment - Host Community**







# Gender-Based Violence

% of women with final say on specified household

### Who has a final say on whether or not you should work to earn money?

Husband/partner	44%	
Respondent and husband/partner jointly	24%	
Decision not made/not applicable	17%	
Respondent	10%	
Respondent and someone else jointly	3%	I
Someone else	1%	1

### Who has the final say on whether or not to use a method to avoid having children?


Respondent and husband/partner jointly	54%	
Husband/partner	25%	
Decision not made/not applicable	12%	
Respondent	8%	
Someone else	1%	I
Respondent and someone else jointly	0%	


### % of women that reported controlling the money needed to buy specified items40

Items	Yes	No	Don't buy
Vegetables or fruits	48%	29%	22%
Clothes for yourself	42%	40%	18%
Any kind of medicine for yourself	32%	48%	20%
Toiletries for yourself	37%	41%	22%

#### Freedom of movement for women<sup>40</sup>

% of women who reported they are allowed to move to specified places





% of men with specified attitude on gender roles in family life<sup>41</sup>

	Agree	Disagree	Depends	
The important decisions in the family should be made only by the men of the family.	58%	39%	3%	
If the wife is working outside the home, then the husband should help her with household chores.	69%	27%	4%	
A married woman should be allowed to work outside the home if she wants.	15%	68%	16%	
The wife has a right to express her opinion even when she disagrees with what her husband is saying.	15%	71%	24%	
A wife should tolerate being beaten by her husband in order to keep the family together.	35%	54%	11%	
It is better to send a son to school than it is to send a daughter.	18%	79%	3%	
Women should have a say in important decisions in the community.	28%	53%	19%	
10. These questions were only asked to female respondents, by female enumerators				

<sup>40.</sup> These questions were only asked to female respondents, by female enumerators. Respondents were asked for consent prior to discussing these topics. The results are generalisable to 95% confidence level and 10% margin of error.



<sup>41.</sup> These questions were only asked to male respondents, by male enumerators. Respondents were asked for consent prior to discussing these topics. The results are generalisable to 95% confidence level and 10% margin of error.

# Multi-Sector Needs Assessment - Host Community

# Teknaf Paurashava Union, Teknaf Upazila, Cox's Bazar, Bangladesh





# **Communication with Communities**

### Early warning mechanism for cyclones



**88%** of households reported receiving early warning messages prior to the arrival of Cyclone Mora in May 2017



**70%** of households reported mosque loudspeaker as the most preferred way of receiving early warning sign in future

% of households reporting access to different means of communication/information sources in the 30 days prior to data collection<sup>42</sup>

Face to face conversation	75%
Television	40%
Mobile phone call	39%

% of households reporting most preferred ways of providing feedback about services in their area<sup>43</sup>

Speak face to face with community leader	68%
At a community meeting	33%
Speak face to face with service provider	26%

#### % of households reporting different information needs44

How to get more money/financial support	24%
None	19%
How to get water	17%
How to find work	17%
How to get healthcare/medical attention	15%

<sup>44.</sup> Five most common different information needs are shown, and respondents could select more than one option.



<sup>42.</sup> Three most common main ways of accessing information are shown, and respondents could select more than one option. Question was framed as follows: "In the last 30 days, what were the main ways you got information about what is happening here?"

<sup>43.</sup> Three most common preferred ways of providing feedback about services are shown, and respondents could select more than one option.