

## Background

REACH Initiative support the Camp Coordination and Camp Management (CCCM) Cluster by conducting Quarterly IDP Camp Profiling in order to provide regular and updated information on developments, needs and gaps in all accessible IDP camps across Iraq.

In addition to household level assessments, REACH also conducts thematic mapping to visualise key sectoral concerns. Previous thematic mapping activities have included road typologies, WASH facilities and market assessments in all assessed camps.

This thematic assessment, which is part of the Quarterly IDP Camp Profiling (round VII), focused on the conditions of tents, as many of them have exceeded the recommended two-year life-span. In support of the Shelter/NFI Cluster, findings can provide a strategic overview of key areas of concern within the camps as well as a comparison across governorates. The indicators and questionnaire for this assessment were developed in collaboration with the Shelter/NFI Cluster.



## Methodology

Household data collection took place between 21 December 2016 and 26 January 2017. In total, 5,380 households were assessed across 56 IDP camps. Of these, 3,760 households were residing in tents and were subsequently assessed on their tent conditions.


All household-level sampling was conducted with a 95% level of confidence and a 10% margin of error at the camp level. Assessed households were selected through point-based sampling: a grid of points was generated across the camp, from which points were randomly selected using GIS technology. Based on these generated sampling maps, trained enumerators selected the nearest household to each point to be interviewed and assessed.

This exercise covered camps located in the governorates of Anbar, Baghdad, Dahuk, Diyala, Erbil, Kirkuk, Ninewa, Salah al-Din and Sulaymaniyah. For further information on the assessed camps, please see the [Quarterly IDP Camp Directory](#) and the [dataset](#).


For links to individual tent conditions maps, please see the comparative matrix on the following page.




### Percentage of shelters who have experienced flooding, by governorate




### Percentage of shelters without secondary covers, by governorate



### Percentage of shelters without cement bases, by governorate



### Households reporting an intention to move in next three months, by governorate



# Iraq: Tent Conditions



**REACH** An initiative of  
IMPACT Initiatives  
ACTED and UNOSAT



Shelter Cluster - Iraq  
sheltercluster.org  
Coordinating Humanitarian Shelter

	Total Household population	Tents without cement bases	Tents without secondary covers	Tents which experienced flooding within 3 months prior to assessment	% of households reporting an intention to move in next three months
<b>Anbar*</b>	<b>21,022</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>4%</b>
Al Khalidiya Central Camp	10,343	96%	75%	33%	5%
Al Khalidiya Markazi Camp	3,368	98%	71%	24%	5%
Al Qasir	778	100%	95%	0%	0%
Amriyat Al Fallujah	3,329	99%	77%	13%	5%
Bezabize Central Camp	1,171	74%	56%	11%	4%
Habbaniya Tourist City Camp	2,033	92%	65%	10%	0%
<b>Baghdad*</b>	<b>717</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>20%</b>
Al Salam	525	76%	10%	61%	20%
Scout Camp	192	78%	28%	57%	21%
<b>Dahuk</b>	<b>21,229</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>0%</b>
Bajed Kandala	1,661	0%	5%	15%	0%
Bersive 1	1,909	1%	32%	16%	0%
Bersive 2	2,060	0%	4%	10%	0%
Chamishku	2,406	0%	63%	14%	0%
Kabarto 1	2,421	1%	51%	15%	1%
Kabarto 2	2,850	0%	63%	8%	0%
Khanke	3,183	0%	11%	14%	0%
Shariya	4,739	0%	45%	17%	0%
<b>Diyala</b>	<b>730</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>2%</b>
Alwand 2	323	22%	2%	18%	3%
Qoratu	407	0%	0%	10%	0%
<b>Erbil</b>	<b>2,569</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>4%</b>
Baharka	835	0%	5%	63%	3%
Debaga 2	1,213	99%	40%	28%	3%
Debaga Stadium	521	99%	4%	49%	11%

	Total Household population	Tents without cement bases	Tents without secondary covers	Tents which experienced flooding within 3 months prior to assessment	% of households reporting an intention to move in next three months
<b>Kirkuk</b>	<b>6,695</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>9%</b>
Daquq	1,600	1%	1%	12%	11%
Laylan 2	1,729	0%	1%	3%	4%
Laylan IDP	932	4%	7%	10%	9%
Nazrawa	1,833	0%	14%	22%	12%
Yahyawa	601	7%	38%	23%	7%
<b>Ninewa</b>	<b>17,393</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>29%</b>
Bardarash	916	0%	34%	15%	6%
Essian	950	1%	48%	15%	0%
Garmawa	1,210	5%	21%	11%	6%
Hasansham U3	2,349	99%	9%	41%	71%
Khazer M1	2,717	100%	58%	59%	47%
Hasansham M2	830	100%	60%	45%	63%
Mamilian	1,660	0%	11%	19%	28%
Qaymawa	2,008	88%	2%	16%	23%
Sheikhan	5,963	0%	13%	35%	1%
<b>Salah al-Din*</b>	<b>953</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>28%</b>
Al Alam	500	51%	6%	17%	7%
Al Alam 2	273	99%	3%	21%	13%
Al Hardania	180	94%	1%	100%	52%
<b>Sulaymaniyah</b>	<b>2,701</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>1%</b>
Ashti IDP	1,260	0%	6%	22%	1%

0%- 39%	40% - 79%	90% - 100%
---------	-----------	------------

\*Capturing GPS coordinates in the Centre-South was not possible due to authorization issues. Tent condition maps subsequently could not be created.

## Notes:

- Camp names highlighted in blue in the table are hyperlinked to its corresponding individual tent conditions map
- The maps can be also found on (<https://www.reachresourcecentre.com>)
- Governorate level findings have been weighted according to the population sizes of the camps