

Assessment of Hard-to-Reach Areas in Northeast Nigeria

### May 2021

## Introduction

Niger

Yobe

## **Methodology**

The continuation of conflict in Northeast Nigeria has created a complex humanitarian crisis, rendering sections of Borno and Adamawa states as hard to reach. To address information gaps facing the humanitarian response and inform humanitarian actors on the demographics of households in hard-to-reach areas of Northeast Nigeria, as well as to identify their needs, access to services and movement intentions, REACH has been conducting monthly assessments of hard-to-reach areas in Northeast Nigeria since November 2018.

#### Proportion of settlements assessed, May 2021

Adamawa

# of assessed LGAs with sufficient coverage: 14

Using the Area of Knowledge (AoK) methodology, REACH remotely monitors the situation in hard-to-reach areas through monthly multi-sector interviews in accessible Local Government Area (LGA) capitals with key informants (KIs) who are either (1) newly arrived internally displaced persons (IDPs) who have left a hard-to-reach settlement in the last month or (2) KIs who have had contact with someone living or having been in a hard-to-reach settlement in the last month (traders, migrants, family members, etc.).

If not stated otherwise, the recall period for each question is set to one month prior to the last information the KI has had from the hard-to-reach area. Selected KIs are purposively sampled and are interviewed on settlement-wide circumstances in hard-to-reach areas, rather than their individual experiences. Responses from KIs reporting on the same settlement are then aggregated to the settlement level. The most common response provided by the greatest number of KIs is reported for each settlement. When no most common response could be identified, the response is considered as 'no consensus'. While included in the calculations, the percentage of settlements for which no consensus was reached is not displayed in the results below.

Results presented in this factsheet, unless otherwise specified, represent the proportion of settlements assessed within an LGA. Findings are only reported on LGAs where at least 5% of populated settlements and at least 5 settlements in the respective LGA have been assessed. The findings presented are indicative of broader trends in assessed settlements in May 2021, and are not statistically generalisable<sup>2</sup>. Due to precautions related to the COVID-19 outbreak, data was collected remotely through phone based interviews with assistance from local stakeholders. Data collection took place from May 3rd to May 31st

## **Shelter situation**

Mobba

Magumeri

Damb

Gubio

Proportion of assessed settlements where it was reported that at least one shelter had been damaged or destroyed by conflict in the month prior to data collection: LGA boundary

Kukawa

Abadam

Mongu

Guza

Nganzai

Kondua

Askira/Uba

Most commonly reported main shelter types [by % of assessed settlements1:

Makeshift shelter Permanent house/shelter



Most commonly reported main shelter locations of people in assessed settlements [by % of assessed settlements]:

People's original home	55%	
A makeshift shelter in their settlement	26%	
In the bush	15%	

#### Most commonly reported NFI needs [by % of assessed settlements]:

Cooking pot	97%
Sleeping mat	82%
Clothes	60%
Bucket	52%
Jerry cans	44%

The most recent dataset on grid3.gov.ng/datasets has been used as the reference for settlement names and locations, and adjusted to account for deserted villages based on information shared by OCHA

Funded by

European Union

. Humanitarian Aid

<sup>2</sup>Due to changes in migration patterns, the specific settlements assessed within each LGA vary each month. Changes in results reported in this factsheet, compared to previous factsheets, may therefore be due to variations in the assessed settlements instead of changes over time.

25

Gwoza



# of key informant interviews: 377 # of assessed settlements: 307

# of assessed LGAs: 17



Chad

Cameroon

State boundary

LGA boundary Under 5% or less than 5 settlements 5 - 10%

11 - 20 %

21 - 50% 51 - 100% Assessed Settlement 0 % 1 - 20%

21 - 40% 41 - 60% 61 - 80% 81 - 100%

· Assessed Settlement



For more information on this factsheet please contact: RFACH reach.nigeria@reach-initiative.org

Ngala

Bama

Kala/Ba

# Informing more effective

humanitarian action