Assessment of Hard-to-Reach Areas in South Sudan

South Sudan Displacement Crisis

April 2017

#### **Overview**

Conflict in Jonglei State broke out in late December 2013, only days after the current conflict began in Juba. Since then, the state has been one of the worst affected by the conflict, and currently hosts the second highest reported numbers of internally displaced persons (IDPs) in the country. Many areas in Jonglei are largely inaccessible to humanitarian actors due to insecurity and logistical constraints. As a result, only limited information is available on the humanitarian situation outside major displacement sites.

In order to fill such information gaps and facilitate humanitarian planning, in late 2015, REACH piloted its Area of Origin (AoO) methodology, which takes a territory-based approach that may cover several bomas, to collect data in hard-to-reach areas of Unity State. The pilot was expanded to Jonglei State in March 2016, with data collected in Bor Town, Bor PoC and Akobo in Jonglei State. Data is also collected from Mingkaman in Lakes State and the PoCs in Juba.

In December 2016, REACH decided to refine the methodology, moving from the AoO to the Area of Knowledge (AoK) methodology, an approach collecting information at the settlement level. The most recent OCHA Common Operational Dataset (COD) released in February 2016 has been used as the reference for settlement names and locations. Through AoK, REACH

collects data from a network of Key Informants (KIs) who have sector specific knowledge and gain information from regular direct or indirect contact, or recent displacement.

Data collected is aggregated to the settlement level and all percentiles presented in this factsheet, unless otherwise specified, represent percent of settlements within Jonglei with that specific response. The displacement section on page 2 refers to the proportion of assessed KIs arrived within the previous month (newly arrived IDPs).

Although current AoK coverage is still limited and its findings not statistically significant, it provides an indicative understanding of the needs and current humanitarian situation in assessed areas of Jonglei State.

### **Assessment coverage**

759 Key Informants assessed

274 Settlements assessed

### **Contact with Area of Knowledge**


17% KIs reported to be newly arrived IDPs.

KIs reported to have visited the AoK within the last month.

KIs reported to be in contact with someone living in the AoK within

the last month.

### **Assessment coverage**



### **Reached villages**

County	Assessed settlements	OCHA (COD) settlements	Cover percentage
Akobo	21	169	12%
Ayod	29	241	12%
Bor South	65	390	17%
Canal	0	128	0%
Duk	31	120	26%
Fangak	21	205	10%
Nyirol	21	217	10%
Pibor	0	354	0%
Pochalla	0	75	0%
Twic East	73	216	34%
Uror	13	202	6%
Total	274	2,317	16%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Counties with under 5% of settlement coverage are not disaggregated to the county level, but are included in state-level analysis.





Assessment of Hard-to-Reach Areas in South Sudan

### **New arrivals**



### **Push factors**

Top three reported reasons newly arrived IDPs left their previous location:<sup>2</sup>



- 33% 1 Insecurity
- 23% Lack of food
- 3 Cattle raids 15%

Percent of settlements

Insufficient data

0 - 25%

26 - 50%

51 - 75% 76 - 100%

reporting presence of IDPs:

### **Pull factors**

Top three reported reasons newly arrived IDPs came to their current location:2



- 34% 1 Security
- 24% Access to food
- 18% 3 Access to water

### **Previous location**

Top three reported most recent long-term locations for newly arrived IDPs:

1	Akobo County	45%
2	Nyrol County	30%
3	Uror County	18%

### **Displacement**

Reported time of first displacement for newly arrived IDPs:



### **Displacement**




### **Demographic composition**

Reported gender ratio of IDPs in assessed settlements:

More women than men	88%
About equal	11%
All/almost all men	1%
All/almost all women	1%
More men than women	0%





POCHALLA

# **Demographic composition**

Reported gender ratio of local community remaining in assessed settlements:

More women than men	60%
More men than women	28%
About equal	10%
All/almost all men	2%
All/almost all women	0%

### Reported age ratio of IDPs in assessed settlements:

More children than adults	73%
More adults than children	13%
About equal	10%
All/almost all adults	4%
All/almost all children	0%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Most frequently cited as first, second and third most important

### Reported age ratio of local community remaining in assessed settlements:

More children than adults	42%
More adults than children	35%
All/almost all adults	10%
About equal	7%
All/almost all older people	4%








Assessment of Hard-to-Reach Areas in South Sudan

**April 2017** 









### **Health concerns**

Most commonly reported heath concerns in the assessed settlements:3

1 Malaria	72%
2 Typhoid	45%
3 Cholera	36%
4 Diarrhea	30%
5 Malnutrition	27%

### Health distance

Reported distance of the nearest health care facilities from the assessed settlements:

1 hour to under half a day	41%
30 minutes to under 1 hour	36%
Half a day	12%
Under 30 minutes	10%
More than half a day	1%
No answer	0%


## NFI

Reported number of people sharing a shelter in assessed settlements:

1 to 5	75%
6 to 10	25%
11 to 15	0%
More than 15	0%



Shelter/NFI




### Health unavailability

Top three reported reasons why health care facilities are not available from the assessed settlements:4



### **Feeding programmes**

Reported availability of feeding programmes that provide Plumpy Sup, CSB++ or other nutrition supplements in the assessed settlements:



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Rank three most common health concerns normalized.

### **Shelter sharing**

Reported proportion of the local community sharing shelters with IDPs:

Around half	52%
Less than half	21%
More than half	16%
None	10%
No answer	1%
All	0%





<sup>4</sup> Rank two reasons health facilities are not available

Assessment of Hard-to-Reach Areas in South Sudan

South Sudan Displacement Crisis

April 2017


### **Food Security**


CANAL

AYOD

TWIC ST







POCHALLA

### **Market distance**

Reported distance of the nearest market from the assessed settlements:

30 minutes to under 1 hour	42%
1 hour to under half a day	31%
Under 30 minutes	15%
Half a day	11%
More than half a day	1%
No answer	0%

### Food unavailability

Top three reported reasons why people cannot access enough food in the assessed settlements:<sup>5</sup>



### Water distance

Percent of settlements

drinking water:

Insufficient data

0 - 25%

26 - 50%

51 - 75%

POCHALLA

76 - 100%

reporting access to clean

Reported distance of the nearest safe water source from the assessed settlements:

52%
41%
7%
0%
0%
0%

### Borehole usage

99%

of assessed settlements with safe drinking water reported boreholes as the primary source.

## Coping strategies

The average number of reported coping strategies used in the assessed settlements:

1.3 coping strategies reported on average

### Land availability

Reported availability of land for agriculture in the assessed settlements:



### **Market availability**

Reported availability of a functioning market accessible from the assessed settlements:



### **Sanitation**


**WASH** 

fangåk •

AYOD

Reported usage of sanitation facilities in comparison with open defecation in assessed settlements:

All	0%
More than half	2% I
Around half	<b>3%</b>
Less than half	19%
None	77%
No answer	0%



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Rank three reasons adequate food is not available.








Assessment of Hard-to-Reach Areas in South Sudan

**South Sudan Displacement Crisis April 2017** 

### Education





### **Education availability**

Reported available education services in the assessed settlements:6

None	44%
Pre-primary	0%
Primary	55%
Secondary	1%
ALP <sup>7</sup>	0%

### **Education attendance and availability**

Top two reported reasons why children are not attending school in the assessed settlements:

1	High fees	36%	
2	Lack of supplies	33%	

Top two reported reasons why education services are not available in the assessed settlements:



### School attendance

Reported proportion of 6-17 year old girls and 6-17 year old boys attending school in assessed settlements:



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Key informants could choose more than one answer. <sup>7</sup> Accelerated learning programmes

### **Protection**

#### Women

Reported primary protection concerns for women in the assessed settlements:

1	Killing/injury other community	63%
2	Sexual violence	16%
3	Domestic violence	10%
4	Killing/injury same community	5%
5	Family separation	3%

### Men

Reported primary protection concerns for men in the assessed settlements:

1	Killing/injury other community	83%
2	Cattle raiding	9%
3	Killing/injury same community	4%
4	Forced recruitment	2%
5	None	2%

#### Children

Reported primary protection concerns for children in the assessed settlements:

Killing/injury other community	83%	1	Abduction	72%
Cattle raiding	9%	2	Early marriage	6%
Killing/injury same community	4%	3	None	6%
Forced recruitment	2%	4	Domestic violence	5%
None	2%	5	Family separation	4%

### **Community relations**

Reported relationships between IDPs, returnees8 and local community in the assessed settlements:

Very Good	24%
Good	71%
Neutral	<b>5%</b>
Poor	0%
Very poor	0%
No answer	0%

### **Land disputes**

Reported presence of disputes over land ownership in the assessed settlements:



5% Yes

### **About REACH**

REACH facilitates the development of information tools and products that enhance the capacity of aid actors to make evidence-based decisions in emergency, recovery and development contexts. All REACH activities are conducted through inter-agency aid coordination mechanisms. For more information, you can write to our in-country office: south.sudan@reach-initiative.org or to our global office: geneva@reach-initiative.org.

Visit www.reach-initiative.org and follow us @REACH\_info.





<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Local community displaced and returned home, reported in 47% of assessed settlements.