

# Research Terms of Reference

Assessing Movement Intentions in IDP Sites in Syria

SYR2504

Syria

August 2025

V2

**REACH** Informing more effective humanitarian action

## 1. Executive Summary

<b>Country of intervention</b>	Syria					
<b>Type of Emergency</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Natural disaster	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Conflict		
<b>Type of Crisis</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Sudden onset	<input type="checkbox"/>	Slow onset	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Protracted
<b>Mandating Body/ Agency</b>	UNHCR					
<b>Project Code</b>						
<b>Overall Research Timeframe</b> (from research design to final outputs / M&E)	11 August 2025 to 30 October 2025					
<b>Research Timeframe</b>	1. Start collect data: 7 Sept 2025			5. Preliminary presentation: NQ		
<i>Add planned deadlines (for first cycle if more than 1)</i>	2. Data collected: 25 Sept 2025			6. Outputs sent for validation: 21 Oct 2025		
	3. Data analysed: 09 Oct 2025			7. Outputs shared: 31 Oct 2025		
	4. Data sent for validation: 12 Oct 2025			8. Final presentation: 2 Nov 2025		
<b>Number of assessments</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Single assessment (one cycle)				
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Multi assessment (more than one cycle) Ad hoc, as access and resources allow				
<b>Humanitarian milestones</b> <i>Specify what will the assessment inform and when</i> e.g. The shelter cluster will use this data to draft its Revised Flash Appeal;	<b>Milestone</b>		<b>Deadline</b>			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Donor plan/strategy	January			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Inter-cluster plan/strategy	Mid November			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Cluster plan/strategy	Mid November			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	NGO platform plan/strategy	_ / _ / _ _ _			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (Specify):	_ / _ / _ _ _				
<b>Audience Type &amp; Dissemination</b> <i>Specify who will the assessment inform and how you will disseminate to inform the audience</i>	<b>Audience type</b>			<b>Dissemination</b>		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Strategic		<input type="checkbox"/> General Product Mailing (e.g. mail to NGO consortium; HCT participants; Donors)		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Programmatic		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cluster Mailing (Education, Shelter and WASH) and presentation of findings at next cluster meeting			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Operational		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Presentation of findings (e.g. at HCT meeting; Cluster meeting)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	[Other, Specify]		<input type="checkbox"/> Website Dissemination (Relief Web & REACH Resource Centre)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	[Other, Specify]		<input type="checkbox"/> [Other, Specify]			
<b>Detailed dissemination plan required</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	

<b>General Objective</b>	The primary objective of this assessment is to evaluate the preferences and intentions of internally displaced persons (IDPs) living in sites in Syria. It aims to understand their anticipated timelines for movement, key factors influencing their decisions, and the barriers they face in achieving their desired outcomes. This includes identifying obstacles to sustainable reintegration for those intending to return, or relocate and challenges to sustainable local integration for those planning to remain. The findings will offer valuable insights to support humanitarian planning, policy development, and the creation of targeted interventions tailored to the diverse needs and aspirations of IDPs in both current displacement sites and potential destinations.			
<b>Specific Objective(s)</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Determine the likelihood of IDPs staying, relocating, or returning to areas of origin, and the timeline for their decision</li> <li>Assess barriers to sustainable return or integration in current location and enabling factors that influence movement decisions.</li> <li>Provide actionable data to inform humanitarian strategies, resource allocation, and assistance programs for IDPs.</li> </ol>			
<b>Research Questions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>What are the movement intentions of IDPs in Syria, including their plans to stay, relocate, or return to areas of origin, and what timelines are associated with these decisions?</li> <li>What factors influence IDP movement decisions, including barriers to return, enabling conditions, access to services, security concerns, and living standards in both current locations and areas of origin?</li> </ul>			
<b>Geographic Coverage</b>	IDP sites across Syria.			
<b>Secondary data sources</b>	CCCM Cluster ISIMM data (June 2025 update)			
<b>Population(s)</b> <i>Select all that apply</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	IDPs in informal and planned camps	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	IDPs in informal settlements and collective centres
	<input type="checkbox"/>	IDPs in host communities	<input type="checkbox"/>	IDPs [Other, Specify]
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Refugees in camp	<input type="checkbox"/>	Refugees in informal sites
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Refugees in host communities	<input type="checkbox"/>	Refugees [Other, Specify]
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Host communities	<input type="checkbox"/>	[Other, Specify]
<b>Stratification</b> <i>Select type(s) and enter number of strata</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Geographical #: Total Population size per strata is known? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/>	Group #: ___ Population size per strata is known? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
			<input type="checkbox"/>	[Other Specify] #: ___ Population size per strata is known? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Data collection tools</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Structured (Quantitative)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Semi-structured (Qualitative)
	<b>Sampling method</b>		<b>Data collection method</b>	
<b>Structured data collection tool # 1</b> <i>Household interviews</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Purposive <input type="checkbox"/> Probability / Simple random <input type="checkbox"/> Probability / Stratified simple random <input type="checkbox"/> Probability / Cluster sampling <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Probability / Stratified cluster sampling <input type="checkbox"/> [Other, Specify]		<input type="checkbox"/> Key informant interview (Target #): Total <input type="checkbox"/> Group discussion (Target #): _____ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Household interview (Target #): 5,395 <input type="checkbox"/> Individual interview (Target #): _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Direct observations (Target #): _____ <input type="checkbox"/> [Other, Specify] (Target #): _____	
<b>Target level of precision if probability sampling</b>	Northeast Syria: 90% level of confidence, 5% buffer  Rest of Syria: 95% level of confidence, 10% buffer  As-Sweida: 95% level of confidence, 10% MoE		Northeast Syria: 10% margin of error  Rest of Syria: 10 % margin of error	

<b>Data management platform(s)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	IMPACT	x	UNHCR		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	[Other, Specify]				
<b>Expected output type(s)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Situation overview #: __	<input type="checkbox"/>	Report #: __	<input type="checkbox"/>	Profile #: __
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Presentation (Preliminary findings) #: __	X	Presentation (Final) #: 1	X	Factsheet #: 1
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Interactive dashboard #: 1	<input type="checkbox"/>	Webmap #: __	<input type="checkbox"/>	Map #:
	<input type="checkbox"/>	[Other, Specify] #: __				
<b>Access</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Public (available on REACH resource centre and other humanitarian platforms)				
	X	Restricted (bilateral dissemination only upon agreed dissemination list, no publication on REACH or other platforms): The final product will be owned and shared by the CCCM Cluster				
<b>Visibility</b> Specify which logos should be on outputs		REACH				
		UNHCR				
		CCCM Cluster				

## 2. Rationale

### 2.1. Rationale

As of September 2025, [approximately 1.5 million IDPs](#) remained in 1,373 CCCM-registered camps and informal sites across Syria. Following the regime change in December 2024, humanitarian actors observed a wave of voluntary returns. [By mid-August 2025](#), 1.69 million IDPs had returned overall, including 828,841 returning from displacement sites, and 779,473 refugees re-entering via formal border crossings.

However, the fragile gains have been severely destabilized by escalating violence in As-Sweida. Since mid-July 2025, intense clashes between local Druze factions and Bedouin militias fueled by military interventions and sectarian tensions have triggered a new wave of displacement. [Within a matter of weeks](#), up to 184,000 people were displaced; while an estimated 13,800 have returned, overall stability remains elusive.

The [February 2025 intentions survey](#) offered valuable insights at a time when optimism around return was growing. Yet, the subsequent combination of large-scale returns and new, conflict-driven displacement has significantly reshaped the displacement landscape. With patterns of movement now highly fluid, the humanitarian community requires an updated, nationwide evidence base to anticipate shifts and adapt programming accordingly. REACH's intervention, aligning with CCCM and UNHCR guidance, will provide timely and representative data necessary to inform planning, strategy, and operational prioritisation under rapidly changing conditions.

## 3. Methodology

### 3.1. Methodology overview

A quantitative approach using structured household surveys will be employed to gather data on IDP movement intentions, barriers, and enabling factors. Data will be collected through face-to-face interviews using a stratified cluster sampling methodology, with IDP sites serving as the primary sampling units. Sampling will be designed for each round and annexed to the ToR, allowing flexibility to reflect the evolving displacement landscape.

The original target sample size for each round was approximately 5,219 household interviews nationwide, distributed across all regions of Syria in proportion to displaced populations. Due to the escalation of conflict in As-Sweida governorate in July 2025<sup>1</sup>, 3 additional subdistricts (As-Sweida, Shahba, Salkhad) have been included in the coverage, after data collection had begun, to capture new displacement dynamics. The deteriorating security situation has led to increased population movements both within the governorate and toward neighbouring regions. Expanding data collection to these areas ensures that emerging displacement patterns and humanitarian needs resulting from the conflict are adequately reflected in the survey findings. In the September 2025 round, this translates to a total of 5,395 across 505 sites, with REACH directly conducting 868 surveys across 64 sites in the northeast, where CCCM partner coverage is limited.

The survey tool is developed jointly with CCCM and UNHCR, with REACH responsible for training, supervision, and quality assurance. Data collection will be conducted by CCCM cluster partners wherever possible, complemented by REACH teams where capacity is low. All data will be uploaded securely to UNHCR's KoBo server, with cleaning, analysis, and reporting led by REACH in close coordination with CCCM and UNHCR.

Outputs will consist of a national intentions' factsheet, a final presentation, and a cleaned dataset (restricted circulation under CCCM ownership).

### Population of interest

This assessment focuses on IDPs living in IDP sites across Syria. The assessment targets households, with primary respondents including heads of households or available adult members.

### 2.2. Primary Data Collection

Data for this assessment will be collected through structured household surveys conducted with a representative sample of IDP households living in IDP across Syria. Data collection will be conducted using Kobo Toolbox to gather data to assess the movement intentions of IDPs, including their plans to stay, relocate, or return to areas of origin, as well as the factors influencing these decisions.

### Survey Design and Content:

The household surveys will use structured questionnaires designed to capture the following key areas:

---

<sup>1</sup> [Conflict in As-Sweida, Syria](#)

- **Movement Intentions:** Plans to stay, relocate, or return, along with associated timelines.
  - **Barriers to preferred solution:** Security concerns, housing conditions, and lack of services in areas of origin.
  - **Enabling Factors:** Conditions or resources that could facilitate movement or return, such as improved security, livelihoods, and access to basic services.
  - **Current Living Conditions:** Access to shelter, water, sanitation, healthcare, and education in displacement sites.
  - **Assistance Needs:** Types of support required to facilitate movement or improve current conditions.
- More details on the different indicators can be found in the DAP.

## **Sampling Methodology**

To ensure that survey results are reliable, while at the same time limiting the logistical constraints associated with visiting too many sites, the assessment will adopt a cluster sampling approach with sites as the primary sampling units, and stratification at sub-district level. The survey aims to achieve a 90% confidence level with a margin of error of 10% in North-East Syria and 95% confidence level with a margin of error of 10% in the rest of Syria (including As-Sweida). The design accounts for clustering effects, with an intra cluster correlation coefficient (ICC) estimated at 0.06. A 5% buffer is incorporated into the sample size to account for potential nonresponses or logistical challenges during data collection. The target sample size for each round will be determined according to the most recent CCCM population data and annexed to the ToR for transparency. For the September 2025 round, the final sample has been set at 5,395 household surveys across 505 sites nationwide.

This methodology involves a 2-step approach in selecting the sample: 1) random selection of sites across Syria, 2) random selection of HHHs to be surveyed in selected sites. Within each site, a minimum of 3 surveys will be conducted. The sampling methodology was designed to gather representative data at sub-district level, ensuring that findings can be generalized to all IDPs residing in sites within each district. Please see Annex 1 for the final sample size, at sub-district level, Annex 2 for final sample at district level.

## **Training**

Trainings will be conducted with REACH enumerators and focal points of partner organizations by REACH assessment team before data collection. Following the training, enumerators will pilot the tool prior to data collection to ensure that enumerators are familiar with the tool, that the indicators are able to capture the desired information, and that no final adjustments are necessary. Following each day of data collection, enumerators will upload all surveys to the designated KoBo server.

## **Data collection**

The data collection will be conducted by enumerators from REACH and partner NGOs of the CCCM Cluster. The final sample includes the allocation of sites to different partners, as well as the number of surveys for each site. Please see Annex 1,2,3 for the September 2025 round allocation of sites and number of surveys per organisation.

Enumerators will be organized geographically and will be assigned to specific sites and households, ensuring no overlapping data collection. Each enumerator will submit one form per assessed household. Data collection will be conducted using a questionnaire developed by REACH in collaboration with CCCM and UNHCR. All data will be securely uploaded and hosted on UNHCR's server.

### Timeline

Task	Start Date	End Date	Stakeholders
Questionnaire design	13-Aug-25	19-Aug-25	REACH
Coverage Plan	14-Aug-25		CCCM & Partners
Training of Trainers (for partners)	3-Sep-25	3-Sep-25	REACH, CCCM
Pilot Data Collection	4-Sep-25	4-Sep-25	REACH, partners
Data Collection	7-Sep-25	15-Sep-25	CCCM cluster partner members, REACH.
Data Collection Follow-Up	16-Sep-25	16-Sep-25	CCCM Partner members.
Data Analysis & Final report	17-Sep-25	30-Oct-25	REACH, CCCM, UNHCR.

### 3.3. Data Processing & Analysis

To maintain high-quality standards throughout data collection, regular data cleaning will be conducted. REACH will be responsible for all cleaning and follow-up tasks. A pre-developed R script will be utilized to flag potential issues such as unusually large household sizes, logical inconsistencies, contradictory or unlikely responses, or unusual enumerator behavior, in accordance with the IMPACT Data Cleaning Minimum Standards Checklist. Daily GIS checks will also be conducted to verify the geographic accuracy of collected data. This process ensures that household locations align with site boundaries and that enumerators adhere to their assigned sampling areas. Any discrepancies identified during GIS checks will be flagged and corrected by field teams in coordination with field focal points.

The assessment team, in coordination with the Data Officer, will provide field focal points with corrected forms on a daily basis during data collection. Once the field teams submit their feedback and corrections, REACH's assessment team will incorporate the changes using standard cleaning logs before sending the updated forms back to the Data Officer. Detailed cleaning logs will be kept with information on the original information, changes made, and the reasons why data was changed. If information is determined to be inconsistent but it is not possible to determine what the correct entry should be, data points may be deleted altogether.

The analysis will focus on displacement history, movement intentions, barriers to return, and enabling factors. Descriptive statistics, cross-tabulations, and geospatial mapping will be used to identify movement intention patterns. Outputs will

include a cleaned dataset along with a factsheet summarizing key findings.

### 3.4. Limitations

One key limitation is the potential for variations in data quality due to the involvement of multiple partners, including REACH, and CCCM Cluster partners. Differences in interview techniques, and interpretation of survey questions may cause some inconsistencies in responses. To address this, standardized training sessions, pilot testing of tools, and daily supervision will be implemented, alongside real-time monitoring and data cleaning logs to track and correct errors. Another significant limitation is the absence of clearly defined site boundaries in many locations. This can lead to challenges in ensuring geographic diversity and proportional representation within sites, as enumerators may not have precise guidance on the extent of their assigned areas. In addition, household numbers within sites may be inconsistent due to movement frequency, leading to discrepancies in the household numbers per site. To mitigate this, a flexible GPS-based approach will be used, with data collectors navigating from central GPS points or key landmarks to distribute interviews evenly across different parts of the site.

## 4. Key ethical considerations and related risks

The proposed research design meets the following criteria:

<i>The proposed research design...</i>	<i>Yes/ No</i>	<i>Details if no (including mitigation)</i>
... Has been coordinated with relevant stakeholders to <b>avoid unnecessary duplication</b> of data collection efforts?	Yes	
... <b>Respects respondents, their rights and dignity</b> ( <i>specifically by: seeking informed consent, designing length of survey/ discussion while being considerate of participants' time, ensuring accurate reporting of information provided</i> )?	Yes	
... Does not <b>expose data collectors to any risks as a direct result</b> of participation in data collection?	Yes	
... Does not <b>expose respondents / their communities to any risks as a direct result</b> of participation in data collection?	Yes	
... Does not involve <b>collecting information on specific topics which may be stressful and/ or re-traumatising</b> for research participants (both respondents and data collectors)?	Yes	
... Does not involve <b>data collection with minors</b> i.e. anyone less than 18 years old?	Yes	
... Does not involve <b>data collection with other vulnerable groups</b> e.g. persons with disabilities, victims/ survivors of protection incidents, etc.?	No	While the sampling method does not specifically target vulnerable groups, it is possible that they may be included unintentionally. However, no data is being collected specifically on their vulnerabilities, and all ethical

		safeguards (informed consent, confidentiality, and referral mechanisms) are in place to mitigate risks.
... Follows IMPACT SOPs for management of <b>personally identifiable information</b> ?	Yes	

## 5. Roles and responsibilities

<i>Task Description</i>	<i>Responsible</i>	<i>Accountable</i>	<i>Consulted</i>	<i>Informed</i>
<i>Research design</i>	Senior Assessment Officer	Senior Assessment Officer	CCCM Cluster, Local authorities, REACH Country Coordinator, Senior RM, GIS Specialist, IMPACT HQ MEALC Design and MEL	REACH Country Coordinator
<i>Supervising data collection</i>	Field Managers, Field Officers	Senior Assessment Officer	Senior RM	REACH Country Coordinator
<i>Data processing (checking, cleaning)</i>	Senior Assessment Officer, Senior Data Officer	Senior Assessment Officer	GIS Specialist, Field Manager, Field Officers, IMPACT HQ MEALC Design	Senior RM, REACH Country Coordinator
<i>Data analysis</i>	Senior Assessment Officer, Data Officer	Senior Assessment Officer	GIS Specialist, Field Manager, Field Officers, IMPACT HQ MEALC Design	Senior RM, REACH Country Coordinator
<i>Output production</i>	Senior Assessment Officer	Senior Assessment Officer	Senior RM, GIS Specialist, IMPACT HQ RRU, CCCM Cluster	REACH Country Coordinator
<i>Dissemination</i>	Senior Assessment Officer	Senior Assessment Officer	Senior RM, IMPACT HQ Comms Department, CCCM Cluster	REACH Country Coordinator
<i>Monitoring &amp; Evaluation</i>	REACH PD Officer	Senior Assessment Officer	IMPACT HQ Research Department	IMPACT HQ
<i>Lessons learned</i>	Senior Assessment Officer	Senior Assessment Officer	Senior RM, Field manager, Field officers, ACTED, IMPACT HQ Research Department	IMPACT HQ

## 5. Data Analysis Plan

[available upon request]

## 6. Monitoring & Evaluation Plan

IMPACT Objective	External M&E Indicator	Internal M&E Indicator	Focal point	Tool	Will indicator be tracked?
Humanitarian stakeholders are accessing IMPACT products	Number of humanitarian organisations accessing IMPACT services/products	# of downloads of x product from Resource Center	Country request to HQ	User_log	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
		# of downloads of x product from Relief Web	Country request to HQ		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
		# of downloads of x product from Country level platforms	Country team		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
	Number of individuals accessing IMPACT services/products	# of page clicks on x product from REACH global newsletter	Country request to HQ		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
		# of page clicks on x product from country newsletter, sendingBlue, bit.ly	Country team		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
		# of visits to x webmap/x dashboard	Country request to HQ		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
IMPACT activities contribute to better program implementation and coordination of the humanitarian response	Number of humanitarian organisations utilizing IMPACT services/products	# references in HPC documents (HNO, SRP, Flash appeals, Cluster/sector strategies)	Country team	Reference_log	CCCM Cluster quarterly updates CCCM Cluster Strategy
		# references in single agency documents			
Humanitarian stakeholders are using IMPACT products	Humanitarian actors use IMPACT evidence/products as a basis for decision making, aid planning and delivery	Perceived relevance of IMPACT country-programs	Country team	Usage_Feedback and Usage_Survey template	Usage feedback to be collected during meetings with relevant stakeholders and in relevant fora
		Perceived usefulness and influence of IMPACT outputs			
		Recommendations to strengthen IMPACT programs			
	Number of humanitarian documents (HNO, HRP, cluster/agency strategic plans, etc.) directly informed by IMPACT products	Perceived capacity of IMPACT staff			
		Perceived quality of outputs/programs			
		Recommendations to strengthen IMPACT programs			
Humanitarian	Number and/or percentage of	# of organisations providing resources (i.e. staff, vehicles,	Country team		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes

<b>stakeholders are engaged in IMPACT programs throughout the research cycle</b>	humanitarian organizations directly contributing to IMPACT programs ( <i>providing resources, participating to presentations, etc.</i> )	meeting space, budget, etc.) for activity implementation		Engagement_log	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
		# of organisations/clusters inputting in research design and joint analysis			
		# of organisations/clusters attending briefings on findings;			

**Annex 1: September 2025 – Final Sample Size, at Sub-District Level**

<b>Sub-District Code</b>	<b>Count of Site Name</b>	<b>Assigned Number of interviews</b>
SY020001	19	125
SY020004	5	84
SY020200	19	125
SY020206	2	103
SY020300	19	124
SY020302	19	123
SY020304	18	116
SY020306	4	89
SY020400	19	125
SY020401	11	122
SY020403	2	72
SY020405	7	122
SY020500	19	191
SY020600	6	72
SY020800	18	123
SY020801	7	119
SY030102	15	115
SY070000	10	115
SY070002	2	68
SY070005	19	125
SY070300	15	123
SY070301	19	125
SY070302	15	120
SY070303	2	84
SY070304	12	122
SY070305	12	111

SYR2504: Assessing Movement Intentions in IDP Sites in Syria, August 2025

SY070401	19	124
SY070402	15	116
SY070403	14	119
SY070502	3	73
SY080000	11	80
SY080001	10	77
SY080005	1	78
SY080200	10	75
SY080202	3	50
SY080203	2	55
SY080300	1	43
SY080301	2	79
SY080401	1	62
SY090100	4	78
SY090101	6	79
SY090102	3	24
SY090105	2	34
SY110100	10	80
SY110300	10	78
SY110301	2	20
SY110302	1	72
SY120000	2	50
SY120001	8	105
SY120002	5	84
SY120004	2	55
SY120007	10	112
SY120200	2	66

SY120300	4	110
SY120302	17	119
SY120304	2	79
SY130000	3	58
SY130300	3	69
SY130200	2	49
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>505</b>	<b>5395</b>

**Annex 2: September 2025 – Final Sample Size, at District Level**

<b>District Code</b>	<b>Count of Sites</b>	<b>Sum Assigned Number of interviews</b>
SY0200	24	209
SY0202	21	228
SY0203	60	452
SY0204	39	441
SY0205	19	191
SY0206	6	72
SY0208	25	242
SY0301	15	115
SY0700	31	308
SY0703	75	685
SY0704	48	359
SY0705	3	73
SY0800	22	235
SY0802	15	180
SY0803	3	122
SY0804	1	62
SY0901	15	215
SY1101	10	80
SY1103	13	170
SY1200	27	406
SY1202	2	66
SY1203	23	308
SY1300	3	58
SY1302	2	49
SY1303	3	69
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>505</b>	<b>5395</b>

**Annex 3: September 2025 – Allocation of Sites and Number of Surveys**

<b>Organisation</b>	<b>Count of Sites</b>	<b>Assigned Number of Interviews</b>
ACTED	31	225
ACU	14	100
Archa Nova	10	82
ATAA	2	13
Care	8	55
Concern	7	56
HA	4	27
IYD	3	20
Muzun	307	3317
NRC	2	79
PIN	15	158
REACH	64	868
Shafak	9	74
SI	5	40
WVI	16	105
BACC	8	176
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>505</b>	<b>5395</b>