Settlement and Protection Profiling

Camp 10 Ukhiya, Cox's Bazar, Bangladesh

July 2019 and November 2019 comparison


Round 6
November 2019

Background and methodology

Since August 2017, an estimated 744,400 Rohingya refugees have fled from Myanmar into Cox's Bazar, Bangladesh, increasing the total number to around 914,998.¹ The majority are reliant on humanitarian assistance, services, and resources including shelter, food, clean water, and sanitation. Information on Rohingya households, particularly in relation to protection and access to services, is in need of regular updating due to the variation in service provision across settlements, challenges presented by the monsoon and cyclone seasons, and the evolving social and contextual dynamics within each settlement. Therefore, REACH in partnership with UNHCR conducted periodic Settlement and Protection Profiling in order to support evidence-based monitoring and analysis of cross-cutting protection issues in Rohingya refugee settlements.

The sixth round of SPP was conducted in 33 out of 34 refugee camps and settlements in Cox's Bazar District from 28 October - 28 November, 2019. Surveys were conducted with households selected through simple random sampling of shelter footprints. Translators Without Borders supported with translating the form into Rohingya. Results of this assessment are generalisable to the population of each camp with a 95% confidence level and 10% margin of error. This factsheet presents an analysis of data collected in Camp 10, where 102 households were surveyed.

Where relevent, findings are compared to those of SPP Round 5 which took place from 25 June - 5 August, 2019.² November 2019 data is presented in dark blue, and July 2019 data is presented in light blue.



■■ Key Camp Information

| Camp Management | RRRC |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Site Management Support | IOM / IOM |
| Population (individuals) ¹ | 32,953 |
| Population (families) ¹ | 7,651 |
| Camp Area | 0.5 km ² |
| | |

Population density 65,842 individuals/km²

******** Demographics

Household composition by gender and age:



53% of individuals are under 18

77% of individuals are women and children

There is an average of 5 individuals reported per household

9% of households reported the presence of members with disabilities⁴

From UNHCR Key Demographic Indicators dataset¹

Families with PSN 32%

% of families with Persons with Specific Needs (PSN), by need³

| | | , , , , | |
|---------------------------------------|----|---------------------------------|-----|
| Separated child(ren) | 2% | Unaccompanied child(ren) | 1% |
| Older person(s) at risk | 4% | Person(s) with disability | 5% |
| Older person(s) at risk with children | 3% | Single male parent with infants | 1% |
| Serious medical condition(s) | 7% | Single female parent | 14% |

92% of households arrived on 25 August 2017 or later

Protection

Five most frequently reported interventions needed to improve the sense of safety and security in the camps⁵:

| November 2019 | | | J | uly 2019 |
|---------------|----------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|----------|
| 54% | Improved paths and roads | 0 | Improved paths and roads | 74% |
| 39% | Increased community watch groups | 2 | Advice about safety issues | 45% |
| 29% | Advice about safety issues | 3 | Better camp management | 36% |
| 29% | Better camp management | 4 | Natural disaster warning system | 27% |
| 26% | More lighting | 6 | Increased community watch groups | 22% |

- 1. RRRC/UNHCR population data and key demographical indicators, 30 September 2019. https://data2.unhcr.org/en/documents/details/71792
- 2. UNHCR, Bangladesh: UNHCR Camp Settlement and Protection Profiling Round 4, December 2018. https://data2.unhcr.org/en/documents/details/71873
- 3. For definitions of key terms relating to special needs and disability, refer to UNHCR "Guidance on the Use of Standardized Specific Needs Codes" https://bit.ly/2GnJE0h.
- 4. For this round of data collection, disability was measured at the household level using the Washington Group Short Set of questions on disability. According to this methodology, 'disability' is determined as anyone in the household having at least 'a lot of difficulty' following six domains: walking, seeing, hearing, cognition, self-care, and communication.
- 5. Respondents could give up to three answers.





July 2019

24%

24%



Settlement and Protection Profiling Camp 10

Three most frequently reported perceived risks faced by individuals in the camp^{6,7}:

| Novem | ber 2019 | Men | | July 2040 |
|-------------|----------------------|----------|--------------------|-----------|
| Novem | per 2019 | 1 | | July 2019 |
| 37% | No issues | 0 | No issues | 49% |
| 26% | Fear of kidnapping | 2 | Fear of kidnapping | 22% |
| 25% | Risk of detention | 3 | Natural hazards | 17% |
| | † | Womer | 1 | |
| 36% | No issues | 1 | No issues | 48% |
| 25 % | Natural hazards | 2 | Natural hazards | 18% |
| 20% | Violence in the home | 3 | Fear of kidnapping | 17% |
| | 1 | Boys | | |
| 34% | Fear of kidnapping | • | No issues | 39% |
| 26% | Road accident | 2 | Fear of kidnapping | 31% |
| 26% | No issues | B | Natural hazards | 23% |
| | | Girls | | |
| 37% | Fear of kidnapping | 0 | Road accident | 29% |
| 34% | Road accident | 2 | Fear of kidnapping | 28% |
| 30% | Fear of trafficking | 3 | No issues | 28% |
| | | | | |

Three most frequently reported preferred sources of support for various forms of security incidents⁸:


| | family, wit | g self or h persons e camps | Involving self or family, with persons outside the camp | | Witness to incident v can | vithin the |
|---|--------------------|-----------------------------------|---|--------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|
| | November 2019 | July 2019 | November 2019 | July 2019 | November 2019 | July 2019 |
| 0 | Mahji | Mahji | Mahji | Mahji | Mahji | Mahji |
| 2 | Camp-in- Charge | Camp-in- Charge | Camp-in- Charge | Camp-in- Charge | Camp-in- Charge | Camp-in- Charge |
| 3 | Army | Army | Army | Army | Army | Army |

| 99% | of households reported feeling safe in their shelter | 96% |
|-----|--|-----|
|-----|--|-----|


| | of households reported being satisfied or very | |
|-----|--|------|
| 99% | satisfied with the community watch groups in their | 100% |
| | area of the camp ⁹ | |

6. Respondents could give up to three answers.

8. Respondents could give multiple answers.







Three most frequently reported consumption coping strategies8:

November 2019

5%

| 43% | Eat less preferred food | 0 | Borrow food from friends or relatives | 40% |
|--------|---------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|-----------|
| 30% | Borrow food from friends or relatives | 2 | Eat less preferred food | 35% |
| 24% | Limit portion size | 3 | Limit portion size | 25% |
| Novemb | per 2019 | | | July 2019 |
| 050/ | | | en under 5, reported | 000/ |

65% receiving a supplementary feeding ration in the 30 days prior to data collection¹⁰

of households reported receiving a breast-milk substitute since arriving in Bangladesh

Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene

Three most frequently reported perceived issues with latrines for men and women^{8,11}:

| | Men 🛉 | * Women | |
|-------------|-------------------------|--|-------------|
| | Novemb | er 2019 | |
| 70% | Too many people | Too many people | 75 % |
| 51% | Latrine is not clean | No gender seperation | 51 % |
| 38% | Latrine is full | No lighting | 43% |
| | July | 2019 | |
| 51% | Too many people 1 | Too many people | 58 % |
| 41% | Unsafe route to latrine | No gender seperation | 45% |
| 37 % | Too far away | Too far away | 42% |
| Novembe | er 2019 | J | uly 2019 |
| 74% | • | sing public latrines as the for defecation | 59% |

| 200/ | of households reported that there was not enough | |
|-------------|--|--|
| 29 % | light at night for members to safely access latrines | |





^{7.} These results are based on the respondent's subjective perception of risks in the camp, and not the actual number of security incidents.

^{9.} This question was asked to a subset of 73 households that reported a community watch group in their area.


^{10.} This question was asked to a subset of 66 households that contained children under 5.

^{11.} Findings on specific issues are reported as a percentage of households who reported any issues accessing latrines.

Settlement and Protection Profiling Camp 10

Shelter and Non-Food Items (NFIs)

% of households reported their main source of fuel:



| 100% | of households reported cooking inside their shelter | 100% |
|------|---|------|

| | of households reported having a lock either inside or outside of their shelter | 71% |
|--|--|-----|
|--|--|-----|

79% of households reported having a lock both inside and outside of their shelter

Three most frequently reported items needed to address household shelter and NFI needs¹³:

| November | 2019 | | | July 2019 |
|----------|-------------|---|-------------------|-----------|
| 61% | Blanket | • | Solar light | 57% |
| 48% | Solar light | 2 | Cooking items | 44% |
| 48% | Mat | 3 | Shelter materials | 38% |

Health

| Novemb | er 2019 | July 2019 |
|--------|---|-----------|
| 26% | of household members were reported to have an illness serious enough to require medical treatment in the 30 days prior to data collection ¹⁴ | 35% |
| 54% | households reported being visited by a community health worker in the two weeks prior to data collection | 32% |

Three most frequently reported challenges in accessing NGO clinics in the camps¹⁵:

| Novembe | er 2019 | | | July 2019 |
|---------|----------------------|---|----------------------|-----------|
| 64% | Crowded | 0 | Crowded | 67% |
| 49% | Supplies unavailable | 2 | Supplies unavailable | 29% |
| 24% | Clinic too far away | 8 | Clinic too far away | 23% |

^{13.} Respondents could give up to three answers.

Education

| November 2 | 2019 | July 2019 |
|------------|--|-----------|
| 100% | of households reported being satisfied or very satisfied with the education available in the camps ¹⁶ | 98% |

Three most frequently reported education priorities for children^{14,16}

| 46% | Supplies | 0 | Supplies | 76% |
|-------|---------------------|---|---------------------|------|
| 38% | Money for education | 2 | Better teachers | 43% |
| 2/10/ | Retter teachers | • | Policious education | 210/ |

"<u>1</u>" 🖍 CwC and Site Management

Three most frequently reported preferred methods of receiving information¹⁵:

| Novembe | er 2019 | | Ji | uly 2019 |
|---------|--|----------|------------|-------------------|
| 84% | Face to face | 1 Face | e to face | 87% |
| 80% | Loudspeakers | 2 Louds | speakers | 78% |
| 19% | Printed leaflet | 3 Printe | ed leaflet | 5% |
| 42% | of households reported wanting to have community representation in their camps | | | 70% |
| 83% | of households reported knowing how to access available assistance | | | 66% |
| Novembe | r 2019 | | J | luly 20 19 |
| 4% | of households reported facing barriers in accessing assistance in the camps | | 4% | |
| 90% | of households reported feeling that assistance providers listen to their opinion | | 88% | |
| | | | | |

Three most frequently reported sources of assistance to complain or raise a problem related to assistance in camps¹⁵:

| 81% | Mahji | 0 | Mahji | 96% |
|------------|-----------------------------------|---|------------------------------|-------------|
| 75% | Camp In Charge | 2 | Camp In Charge | 59 % |
| 15% | Site Management Support agency | 3 | Government authorities/ army | 14% |

¥ Priority Needs

Three most frequently reported priority needs:

| 0 | Access to food Access to food | 49% 35% |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|
| 2 | Clothing Shelter materials | 16% 29% |
| 8 | Electricity/solar Solar | 20% |
| ■ November 2019 ■ July 2019 | | |





^{14.} Respondents were asked to report information for each individual in their household. The denominator for this indicator is all individuals.

Respondents could give multiple responses.

^{16.} In July, this question was asked to households with children under the age of 18. In November 2019, it was asked to all households reporting the presence of members aged between 3 and 18 years old.