

Rapid Response Mechanism (RRM) **Rapid Needs Assessment (RNA)**

Nangade Sede (Alert: SI_NAN_111124) Nangade District - Cabo Delgado, Mozambigue 23 November 2024

KEY MESSAGES


ACTION

- Food security was reported as the top priority need by the respondents (100% of assessed households). Out of the 94% of assessed households that had difficulties accessing food, 100% cited the lack of financial resources as the main barrier.
- Both guantitative and gualitative findings suggested NFIs as a priority need. Essential NFIs were the second most preferred type of humanitarian assistance (82% of assessed households), and none of the assessed households owned stoves, lamps, soap, or cooking utensils.
- Shelter was reported as a priority need by the respondents (73%) of assessed households.) Although the host community has been very welcoming, 100% of assessed households either live with host families or in homes borrowed from host families.

73%

of assessed displaced households **do not** intend on returning to their place of origin, with lack of security (22/22 respondents) cited as the most common barrier to return.

Map 1: RNA location and places of origin of the affected population



CONTEXT & RATIONALE

ON NOVEMBER 4TH, 2024, an unknown number of non-state armed group (NSAG) members attacked the village of Quinto Congresso. The assault on the civilian population involved shooting, and the looting and destruction of shops. Although no houses were burned down and no civilian lives were lost, the attack triggered fear among nearby communities, resulting in a mass displacement of residents from the villages of Litingina, Chibau, Nalegue, Ngangolo, and Chicuaia. An estimated 355 households have moved to Nangade Sede, mostly residing in the Chitunda neighborhood.¹

In response to these events, a Rapid Response Mechanism (RRM) alert was issued, and a Rapid Needs Assessment (RNA) was conducted by the RRM team of Solidarités International to identify the most urgent needs of the displaced population. This document presents the key findings of the assessment.


ASSESSMENT OVERVIEW

This assessment utilized a mixedmethod approach. The quantitative element consisted of 33 household surveys conducted on November 23rd with displaced families living in Nangade Sede in the Nangade district.

The quantitative findings were supplemented with a qualitative component consisting of observations, community leader engagement, and insights from the data collection team. Results are indicative.

Please refer to the Methodology Overview and Limitations section at the end of the document for further detail.





FOOD SECURITY, LIVELIHOODS & MARKETS

% of assessed households that reported having problems accessing food at the time of data collection

94%


Average number of meals consumed per assessed household member per day

1.91

% of assessed households per each Reduced Coping Strategy Index (RCSI) category ³		
Low	Medium	High
3%	48.5%	48.5%

IT SHELTER & NFIS


Most commonly reported condition of current shelter, by % of assessed households



Top 3 most commonly reported barriers to food access, by % of assessed households*

- **100%** Lack of financial resources
- 29% Lack of cooking utensils
- 16% Lack of access to land

Most commonly reported types of products available at the market, by % of assessed households*



Top 3 most commonly reported primary livelihood activities, by % of assessed households

- 39% Daily work
- 27% None
- 27% Subsistence farming

67% had access to a market nearby.



Most commonly reported type of living arrangement, by % of assessed households

73%	ln a	borrowed	house
-----	------	----------	-------

27% With host family

Qualitative observations suggested that the host community has been very supportive in providing shelter to displaced families.

% of assessed households by most commonly reported missing essential NFI

Essential NFI	% of HH
Stove	100%
Lamps	100%
Cooking utensils	100%
Soap	100%
Beds/sleeping mats	97%
Blankets/sheets	97%
Pots	76%
Water buckets	70%




WATER, SANITATION, AND HYGIENE

77%


38%

Most commonly reported primary source of drinking water, by % of assessed households



Qualitative observations supported the quantitative findings that distance was a critical barrier to accessing water - it took approximately an hour to collect water from the traditional wells located in the Zona Baixa of Nangade Sede. Furthermore, the water from the traditional wells was untreated, increasing the risk of water-borne diseases.

Top 3 most commonly reported barriers to accessing clean water by % of assessed households*



- 76% Drinking needs
 - 64% Cooking needs
 - 21% Hygiene needs

HEALTH

Lack of containers to

store water Distance

resources


Lack of financial



of assessed households 45% reported an adult member who was sick during the past 2 weeks, with body pain (9), diarrhea (3), and malaria (3) being most the commonly reported conditions.

of assessed households with **7/13** at least one child under age 5 reported having **at least one** child who was sick during the past 2 weeks, with fever (3) and malaria (2), as the most commonly reported symptoms.

Most commonly reported barriers to healthcare, by % of assessed households*



Most commonly reported distance to the nearest health facility, by % of assessed households

15%	0-30 minutes
52%	30-60 minutes
33%	More than 60 minutes

Qualitative findings suggested that there was a well equipped health center in the Nangade Sede with sufficient staff and medicines.

of assessed households reported using a non-**97%** hygienic sanitation facility (open pit latrine) at the time of data collection.

of assessed households 45% reported having problems related to sanitation facilities (toilet/latrine).

Top 4 most commonly reported barriers to a hygienic sanitation facility* from the 15 households that reported having sanitation facilities issues

6⁄15	Facilities were shared amongst too many people
⁶ ⁄15	Facilities were not functional
4⁄15	Facilities were not private
4⁄15	Facilities were damaged

EDUCATION



of assessed households with at least one child aged 5-17 reported having at least one child who was not attending school at the time of data **collection**, with conflict (8), destruction (5), and lack of documentation (5) as the most commonly reported barriers.

Most commonly reported distance to the nearest school, by number of assessed households

- 14/27 0-30 minutes 11/27 30-60 minutes
 - $\frac{2}{27}$ More than 60 minutes

Qualitative findings suggested that while the school was functional. there were not enough desks for students.



3

PROTECTION

70% of assessed households reported at least one member that was missing their identity documents.


9% of assessed households were concerned about violence in their community at the time of data collection, with fears of gender-based violence (1), child marriage (1), and minors left unaccompanied (1).

assessed households with at least one child under age 18 reported having at least one child not residing in the household at the time of data collection. Out of 9 reports, 2 were due to child marriage and none were due to separation during displacement.

ACCOUNTABILITY TO AFFECTED POPULATIONS

52% of assessed households **reported to have received some type of assistance during the past 2 weeks** (from humanitarian actors, government, host community, or religious organizations).

Preferred modalities of assistance, by % of assessed households*



METHODOLOGY OVERVIEW AND LIMITATIONS

The Rapid Response Mechanism (RRM) team from Solidarités International (SI) conducted 33 structured, face-to-face household surveys with displaced families now residing in Nangade Sede located in the Nangade district of Cabo Delgado. The survey tool, deployed via KoBo software, targeted primarily displaced households, which were selected using an on-site purposive sampling method. The household surveys were complemented by a qualitative, semi-structured team leader feedback form, which included observations, engagement with community leaders and local authorities, as well as insights from the data collection team. This qualitative data helped to contextualize the shock, triangulate information, and provide detailed observations and descriptions of the sites and affected populations.

The scope of the RNA is limited by the rapid response requirements of the RRM and the need to operate within the resources available from partners. As a result, the quantitative findings should be considered indicative only. Additionally, the questionnaire was designed to include only the most essential indicators for each sector, which constrains the depth of the data collected. While the survey captures general living conditions across households, it does not explore differences between individual members or intra-household dynamics, including power relations related to gender, age, or disability. This lack of detailed insight limits the assessment's ability to fully address nuanced vulnerabilities within households. Please refer to the Terms of Reference and the Dataset and Analysis for more details.

ENDNOTES

1 RRM Mozambique: Alert SI_RRM_NAN_11112024. November 2024.

2 This is an estimate based on information gained by key informants in Alert SI_RRM_NAN_11112024. However, this number is subject to change as more families register with local authorities in Nangade Sede.

3 The RCSI is a proxy indicator of household food insecurity that is based on a list of behaviors (coping strategies) that people do to manage their food insecurity situation. The higher the score, the more extensive the use of negative coping strategies and hence potentially increased food insecurity.

RRM CONSORTIUM MEMBERS:

FUNDED BY:



ABOUT THE RRM

The Rapid Response Mechanism (RRM) in Northern Mozambique is a consortium composed of Solidarités International (SI), Action Contre la Faim (ACF), a Fundação para o Desenvolvimento da Comunidade (FDC), Acted, and IMPACT Initiatives, that provides emergency assistance to populations affected by conflict, epidemics, or located in a newly accessible area.

ABOUT REACH

REACH Initiative facilitates the development of information tools and products that enhance the capacity of aid actors to make evidence-based decisions in emergency, recovery and development contexts. The methodologies used by REACH include primary data collection and in-depth analysis, and all activities are conducted through inter-agency aid coordination mechanisms. REACH is a joint initiative of IMPACT Initiatives, ACTED and the United Nations Institute for Training and Research - Operational Satellite Applications Programme (UNITAR-UNOSAT).

