



# IDP Camp Profile - Al Najaf RHU

Najaf, Iraq  
January 2018

Management agency: Ali Alsistanni Office  
Manager/Focal point: Mohammed Majid Saleh  
Registration actor: Ali Alsistanni Office

## Summary

This profile provides an overview of conditions in Najaf RHU camp. Primary data was collected through household surveys on 30/12/2017. Households were randomly sampled to a 95% confidence level and a 10% margin of error, based on population figures provided by CCCM. In some cases, additional information from camp managers has been used to support findings.

## Camp Overview


# of individuals: 1600  
# of households: 260  
Date opened: 7/15/2016  
Occupied shelters: 250  
Planned shelters: 300  
Ongoing extension: no  
Camp area: 13588m<sup>2</sup>

## Demographics

50% male / 50% female

3%	Over 60	3%
15%	18-59	16%
14%	12-17	15%
10%	6-11	8%
9%	0-5	7%

## Location Map



## IDP Camp Map - Al Najaf RHU

Lat. 32° 8' 42.62" N Long. 44° 19' 46.59" E

### Camp Infrastructure

- Camp Delineation
- Sector
- Entrance
- Camp Management
- Latrine + Shower
- Water Purification
- Water Tank
- Garbage



Satellite Imagery: WorldView-2 from 17/4/2017  
Copyright: DigitalGlobe, Inc.  
Source: US Department of State, Humanitarian Information Unit/WorldView License

Scale: 1:400  
0 15 30 Meters

## Sectoral Minimum Standards

		Target	Previous Round	Current Round	Achievement
CCCM	Average open area per household	Min. 30m <sup>2</sup>	23 m <sup>2</sup>	31 m <sup>2</sup>	●
Protection	% of IDPs registered on an individual basis (MODM/DDM)	100%	98%	100%	●
WASH	# of persons per latrine	Max. 20	7	5	●
	# of persons per shower	Max. 20	7	5	●
	Frequency of solid waste disposal	Min. weekly	Every week	Every week	●
Shelter	Average area covered per person	Min. 3.5m <sup>2</sup>	3 m <sup>2</sup>	3 m <sup>2</sup>	●
	Average number of individuals per shelter	Max. 5	9	7	●
Food	% households accessed Family Food Parcel or equivalent in previous month	100%	n/a*	94%	●
Health	Health services available on-site or within walking distance	Yes	yes	yes	●
Education	% of children aged 6-11 attending formal school	100%	72%	83%	●
	% of children aged 12-17 attending formal school	100%	77%	72%	●

\*Method of calculation for food distribution has changed from previous rounds

Targets based on minimum standards agreed with the CCCM Cluster, Iraq. Findings based on household-level data, enumerator field observations, and camp management documentation  
● Minimum standard reached, ● 50-99% of minimum standard reached, ● Less than 50% of minimum standard reached or not at all





# IDP Camp Profile - Al Najaf RHU

Najaf, Iraq  
January 2018



## Protection

### Vulnerable groups

Pregnant / lactating women	2%	
Chronically ill individual(s)	5%	■
Disabled individual(s)	0%	
Female headed households	12%	■

### Intentions

37%	planned to move to a different location in next 3 months
43%	reported needing financial support to return and restart in order to return safely to their area of origin

### Restrictions

100%	reported being able to leave the camp temporarily (e.g. to go to the market, for livelihood opportunities)
------	--

### Documentation

1%	reported that one or more member is missing one or more documents
n/a**	reported that at least one of the members missing documents is an adult (18+)

### Top three missing documents reported by those households\*

n/a  
n/a  
n/a

### Top two reasons for not attending education\*

(of households with school-aged children not attending school)

n/a  
n/a



## Access to information

### Top three information sources regarding area of origin\*

From others who visited	72%	■
Others who have not visited	23%	■
Personally visited	5%	■

### Top three information needs\*

Livelihood sources	71%	■
Basic services	64%	■
Security situation	58%	■



## Livelihoods

32% reported not having an income source

### Top three income sources\*

Unskilled labour	31%	■
Low skilled service	30%	■
Social care	17%	■

### Top three reported livelihood coping strategies\*

None	93%	■
Take on debts	6%	■
Support from friends	2%	



## Priority Needs

### Top 3 reported priority needs\*

Employment	98%	■
Clothing	89%	■
Food	67%	■



## WASH

### Latrines

0%	reported access to public or communal latrines. Of those:
n/a	reported latrines were lockable from the inside
n/a	reported latrines had functioning lighting
n/a	reported latrines were gender segregated

### Top two most reported methods of waste removal

Collected	100%	■
n/a		

### Primary drinking water sources

90%	indoor water source
7%	bottled water from the shop

### Access to drinking water

1%	reported at least 24 consecutive hours without access to water in the month prior to the assessment
----	---



## Shelter

0% reported flooding in their shelter in the previous year

### Top observed shelter type

Caravan	100%	■
---------	------	---

### Top reported needed basic items\*

Heating fuel	8%	■
Fuel storage	6%	■
Light	1%	

### Top reported needed winter item\*

Kerosene heater	100%	■
-----------------	------	---

### Electricity access

Average number of hours of electricity per day	11
--	----



## Food Security

### Reported access to food assistance

94%	reported having received Public Distribution System (PDS) assistance in the month prior to the assessment
99%	reported access to a market within walking distance

### Top two food consumption coping strategies\*

Buy less expensive food	98%	■
Reduce food intake for males	2%	

\*Respondents could select multiple responses  
\*\*Insufficient sample size; fewer than five respondents

