

Introduction

In support of the CCCM Cluster, REACH Initiative and IOM initiated the sixth round of the Informal IDP Site Assessment to **identify and assess all IDP informal sites (hosting five families or more) across Iraq**.¹ Data collection was completed between 3 February and 23 April 2017.

Overview

# informal IDP sites ²	1,419
# individuals	127,138
# families	22,381
Boys under 18	24%
Girls under 18	25%
Males 18 or older	25%
Women 18 or older	26%


Red-Flag findings

This round of the site assessment integrated a red-flagging mechanism, based on 20 agreed upon indicators. More information on district-level red-flags is available on pages 2 to 4.


Top 5 reported red-flag concerns:

Evidence of overcrowding	60%
Female head of household present in the site	54%
Less than 25% of households have access to reliable income	52%
Majority of residents planning to leave site in next three months	46%
Insufficient and unreliable access to food	41%

Active Informal IDP Sites




Informal IDP Site Caseload (Individuals)



Key Findings


Site residents intentions for next 3 months³



Top 5 reported shelter typologies

Unfinished Building	43%
Religious building	14%
Abandoned Building	11%
School	10%
Other shelter types	9%

Type of rental agreement⁴



¹ Informal sites in Kirkuk governorate were excluded in this assessment

² Sites still occupied with less than 5 families are not included in the overview, hence some additional sites are still inhabited.

³ Three month period starting from date of assessment

⁴ Only applicable to sites reporting to have a rental agreement

⁵ No sites reported written agreements with owner of site

* Three month period starting from date of assessment



CCCM CLUSTER

SUPPORTING DISPLACED COMMUNITIES

CCCM RASP INFORMAL SITE ASSESSMENT Red Flag Comparative Dashboard

Round VI
March - April 2017
Iraq

Overview		Shelter			Protection					WASH					Food	Livelihoods	Intentions				
Total Number of sites	Number of red-flags	Exposure to Elements	Evidence of Overcrowding	People at risk of falling	Item falling hazard	Damage to Shelter	Access to electricity (shortages)	Presence of Mines/UXOs	Female Headed Households	Child HoH	Unaccompanied children	Areas where women and girls do not feel safe	Threat of eviction	Water Shortages	Water infas- tructure	Open Defecation visible at site	Solid Waste	Latrines lockable from inside	Sites reporting families without sufficient and reliable access to food	Sites where less than 25% of residents have access to reliable income	Majority of IDPs intend to leave site in next three months*
Diyala	56	4	7%	23%	0%	0%	14%	0%	46%	0%	0%	13%	52%	11%	11%	4%	43%	27%	82%	70%	89%
Baladroz	5	5	20%	40%	0%	20%	40%	0%	60%	0%	0%	20%	0%	40%	40%	0%	80%	20%	40%	60%	80%
Ba'quba	2	5	0%	0%	0%	50%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	100%	100%
Khalis	1	4	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%	100%
Khanaqin	36	4	8%	22%	0%	8%	11%	0%	53%	0%	0%	8%	64%	11%	11%	3%	42%	33%	92%	69%	94%
Kifri	4	3	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	25%	0%	0%	100%	100%	100%
Muqdadiya	8	3	0%	25%	0%	0%	25%	0%	25%	0%	0%	25%	25%	0%	0%	0%	38%	25%	75%	50%	63%
Erbil	4	5	25%	75%	0%	0%	75%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	50%	25%	50%	0%	25%	100%	25%	0%
Erbil	4	5	25%	75%	0%	0%	75%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	50%	25%	50%	0%	25%	100%	25%	0%
Kerbala	155	2	1%	15%	0%	1%	14%	0%	12%	0%	0%	0%	2%	15%	1%	1%	0%	1%	50%	48%	25%
Hindiya	144	2	1%	14%	0%	1%	15%	0%	11%	0%	0%	0%	1%	17%	1%	1%	0%	1%	50%	49%	26%
Kerbala	11	3	0%	36%	0%	0%	0%	0%	27%	0%	0%	0%	18%	0%	0%	9%	0%	0%	55%	45%	9%
Missan	8	3	0%	38%	0%	0%	25%	0%	50%	0%	0%	13%	38%	25%	13%	0%	0%	13%	88%	63%	13%
Amara	8	3	0%	38%	0%	0%	25%	0%	50%	0%	0%	13%	38%	25%	13%	0%	0%	13%	88%	63%	13%
Najaf	266	3	12%	62%	0%	9%	20%	0%	32%	0%	0%	2%	36%	14%	4%	2%	1%	22%	97%	17%	52%
Kufa	31	3	3%	61%	0%	0%	3%	0%	55%	0%	0%	0%	39%	13%	3%	3%	0%	10%	97%	32%	32%
Manathara	15	2	0%	80%	0%	0%	0%	0%	80%	0%	0%	7%	0%	0%	0%	20%	0%	7%	100%	33%	7%
Najaf	220	3	14%	60%	0%	11%	23%	0%	25%	0%	0%	1%	38%	15%	5%	0%	1%	25%	97%	13%	57%
Ninewa	15	4	20%	87%	7%	33%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	7%	7%	13%	0%	13%	0%	47%	87%	40%	13%
Akre	2	4	0%	50%	0%	50%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	50%	0%	0%	50%	100%	0%
Hamdaniya	3	6	33%	100%	33%	67%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	33%	0%	67%	67%	100%	0%
Shikhan	10	4	20%	90%	30%	20%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	10%	10%	20%	0%	0%	0%	50%	100%	10%	20%
Qadisiya	7	2	0%	57%	0%	0%	14%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	29%	14%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%
Diwaniya	5	2	0%	60%	0%	0%	20%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	40%	20%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%
Shamiya	2	2	0%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%

* Three month period starting from date of assessment



CCCM CLUSTER

SUPPORTING DISPLACED COMMUNITIES

CCCM RASP INFORMAL SITE ASSESSMENT Red Flag Comparative Dashboard

Round VI
March - April 2017
Iraq

Overview		Shelter			Protection					WASH					Food	Livelihoods	Intentions
	Number of red-flags	Average number of red-flags per site	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Total Number of sites	Total Number of Sites	336	2	4	75	13	19	2	202	19	11	4	3	2	3	
Salah al-Din	Access to electricity (shortages)	Half of more residents experiencing shortages	57%	50%	25%	25%	38%	53%	50%	72%	53%	45%	33%	50%	33%	33%	
	Damage to Shelter	Half or more sites reporting damage to primary buildings	26%	0%	25%	12%	46%	5%	0%	35%	0%	9%	33%	0%	33%	33%	
	Item falling hazard	Sites reporting severe item falling hazards	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	People at risk of falling	Sites reporting severe persons falling hazards	13%	0%	25%	4%	0%	0%	0%	20%	0%	9%	0%	50%	0%	0%	
	Evidence of Overcrowding	Sites observed to have evidence of overcrowding	64%	0%	75%	83%	62%	26%	100%	59%	79%	45%	100%	0%	50%	0%	
	Exposure to Elements	Half or more shelters exposed to elements	46%	0%	25%	21%	38%	42%	50%	60%	16%	18%	67%	0%	0%	0%	
	Sulaymaniyah																
	Chamchamal																
	Pshdar																
	Sharbazher																
Thi-Qar	Sulaymaniyah																
	Thi-Qar																
	Suq Al-Shoyokh																
	Wassit																
	Azezia																
	Badra																
	Hai																
	Kut																
	Na'maniya																
	Suwaiba																

* Three month period starting from date of assessment



All information on this dashboard can be explored in more detail via the [CCCM RASP Portal](#) where the user can:

- Locate every informal IDP site
- Access individual informal IDP site profiles