Multi-Sector Needs Assessment II Camp 25 / Ali Khali Teknaf, Cox's Bazar, Bangladesh



July 2018 and January 2019 comparison


Background and Methodology

An estimated 738,000 Rohingya refugees have fled violence in Myanmar's Rakhine state since August 25, 2017¹. Most of the refugees rely on humanitarian assistance, having left with few possessions and exhausted their financial resources on the journey. The cyclone and monsoon seasons, stretching from May to October, further threatened the living conditions of the vast majority of refugees who are living in makeshift shelters and settlements highly vulnerable to floods and landsides.

To understand the evolving priority needs of the refugees, and to understand change over time, this Multi-Sector Needs Assessment (MSNA), coordinated by the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) and with technical support from REACH, was conducted in 33 refugee sites using a household survey methodology. It is a follow up assessment to the baseline MSNA conducted in July 2018.


Results of this MSNA are generalizable to the camp level with 95% confidence level and 10% margin of error. This factsheet presents an analysis of data collected in Camp 25 / Ali Khali where 92 households were surveyed between 8 and 26 January 2019. Support for questionnaire translation and enumerator language training was provided by Translators Without Borders.

January 2019 data is presented in red, and July 2018 data is presented in beige.



The UN Refugee Agency

M Demographics³



3. January 2019 data only




Multi-Sector Needs Assessment II Camp 25 / Ali Khali July 2018 and January 2019 comparison

Protection

% of households reporting safety concerns (read: *fears*) for boys and girls (aged under $18)^{4,5,6}$

Jan 2019			ј В	oys		Jul 2018
71%	Kidnap	oping	0	Kidna	apping	37%
54%	Violence comm		2	Natural	disaster	37%
40%	Armed recruit		B	Dete	ention	21%
			🛉 G	iirls		
57%	Kidnap	oping	0	Kidna	apping	35%
51%	Sexual v	iolence	2	Natural	disaster	34%
32%	Violence comm		ß	Do no	t know	17%
J	an 2019				Jul 2	018
C	3%	least one hazardou days prior of house	e child i us labou r to data	eporting at nvolved in ir in the 30 a collection, ith children 8 ⁷	1%	0
C	51%	presence	e of a yo	porting the outh group a during the	77%	0
% of children reported to be attending activities at an						

% of children reported to be attending activities at an NGO-run safe space for children in the 7 days prior to data collection⁸



% of females reported to be attending activities at an NGO-run space where only women and girls are allowed in the 7 days prior to data collection⁹



% of households reporting areas in the camp where men, women, boys, and girls do not feel $safe^{4,10}$

Jan 2019		Me	n	Jul 2018
45%	No area unsafe	0	No area unsafe	85%
42%	Distribution points	2	Market	6%
41%	Market	В	Latrines	6%
		Wo	omen	
39%	Bathing areas	0	No area unsafe	64%
38%	Latrines	2	Bathing areas	25%
34%	No area unsafe	З	Latrines	23%
		🛉 Во	ys	
49%	Market	0	No area unsafe	77%
48%	Distribution points	2	Market	10%
34%	Firewood collection sites	B	Latrines	10%
		🛉 Gir	ls	
47%	Latrines	0	No area unsafe	62%
37%	Market	2	Latrines	25%
37%	Bathing areas	3	Bathing areas	23%

4. Respondents could select multiple options

5. Three most common safety concerns for boys and girls are shown

These results are based on the respondent's subjective perception of risks in the camp, and not the actual number of security incidents

7. In this assessment hazardous labour was defined as: working with heavy machinery or lifting heavy objects, exposure to harmful chemicals, exposure to extreme heat (eg. furnace, bakery), working more than

40 hours per week, working at night (between 8 pm and 6 am), and using sharp objects 8. Respondents were asked to report information for each child in their household. This indicator shows the

proportion of all individual children reported 9. Respondents were asked to report information for each woman in their household. This indicator shows the proportion of all individual women reported

REACH


An initiative of IMPACT Initiatives

ACTED and UNOSAT

10. Three most common areas where men, women, boys and girls do not feel safe are shown



Multi-Sector Needs Assessment II Camp 25 / Ali Khali July 2018 and January 2019 comparison



Respondents were asked to report information for each child in their household. This indicator shows the proportion of all individual children reported

REACH

An initiative of IMPACT Initiatives

ACTED and UNOSAT

14. Three most common sources of treatment are shown



July 2018 and January 2019 comparison

% of households reporting challenges in accessing NGO run clinics since arriving in the camp^{15,16}

Jan 2019				Jul 2018
40%	Treatment unavailable	0	No challenge	63%
38%	Supplies unavailable	2	Crowded	16%
36%	No challenge	B	Supplies unavailable	15%
16%	Crowded	4	Clinic too far	13%
15%	Clinic too far	6	Treatment unavailable	11%

Food Security




of households reporting possession of a ration card



Jul 2018

% of households reporting receiving food assistance in the 30 days prior to data collection, by source¹⁵



0% 0% Do not know

+30 min

23%

15. Respondents could select multiple options


16. Five most common health care access challenges are shown

26%


17. Respondents were asked to report information for each child in their household. This indicator shows the proportion of all individual children reported

Education m

% of children reported to be attending NGO-run learning centres in the 7 days prior to data collection¹⁷



% of children reported to be attending Madrassas in the 7 days prior to data collection¹⁷



Shelter & Non-Food Items



of households reporting sharing their shelter with other households



% of households reporting destruction or damage of their shelter in the 30 days prior to data collection

Jan 2019		Jul 2018
21%	Roof damaged	2%
15%	Roof destroyed	0%
5%	Wall damaged	1%
10%	Wall destroyed	1%



July 2018 and January 2019 comparison


% of households reporting using different materials for the frame and walls of the household shelter¹⁸

Bamboo frame with external mud walls	2% 1%
Bamboo frame with bamboo matting walls	35% 29%
Bamboo frame with lattice walls covered in plastic sheeting	74% 87%
Bamboo frame with combination of bamboo lattice and mud walls	0% 4% ■
Bricks and cement	0% 1% I
Other	3% ∎ 0%
J an 2019	Jul 2018


% of households reporting using different materials for the roof of the household shelter¹⁸

Tarpaulin		<mark>98%</mark> 98%	
Bamboo		<mark>89%</mark> 99%	_
Sheet metal		1% 1%	
Bricks and cement		1% 0%	L
Other		1% 1%	
	📕 Jan 2019	Jul 2	2018


% of shelters with windows, by number of openings



% of shelters with ventilation mesh, by number of openings



% of households reporting ownership of the following non-food items at the time of data collection



% of households reporting most urgently needed non-food items^{19,20}

Jan 2019				Jul 2018
59%	Solar lamp	0	Fuel	85%
59%	Cooking stove	2	Cooking stove	73%
49%	Fuel	B	Solar lamp	58%
42%	Blanket	4	Kitchen set	46%
37%	Kitchen set	6	Portable lamp	13%
14%	Floor mat	6	Floor mat	9%
11%	Portable lamp	7	Umbrella	8%

18. Respondents could select multiple options

19. Respondents could select up to 3 options

20. Seven most common non-food item needs are shown



UN Refugee Agency

July 2018 and January 2019 comparison

Site Management	% of feedb
Jan 2019 Jul 2018	Jan 201
65% of households reporting being aware of the role of Cyclone Preparedness Program volunteers ²¹ 50%	Un
% of households reporting steps they would take to prepare for an approaching cyclone ^{22,23}	% of
Jan 2019 Jul 2018	in the
Secure shelter 77% 1 71% Secure shelter	
Secure valuables 60% 2 67% Stay in shelter	
Secure food supplies 40% B 37% Evacuate shelter	
Communication with Communities	
Jan 2019 Jul 2018	
71% of households reporting being aware of a complaint/ feedback mechanism within their camp	
% of households reporting use of a complaint/feedback mechanism in the 30 days prior to data collection ^{22,24} Jan 2019 Jul 2018	ē
None used 77% 1 87% None used	
Mahji <mark>21%</mark> 2 11% Mahji	
NGO staff 7% 3 1% Community leader	
Jan 2019 Jul 2018	% of
 86% 86% 86% 86% 86% 86% 86% 86% 86% 89% 89% 89% 	incon
21. CPP is a joint community-based disaster risk reduction initiative of the Government of	(

21. CPP is a joint community-based disaster risk reduction initiative of the Government of Bangladesh and the Bangladesh Red Crescent Society. It trains volunteers to deliver cyclone warnings to communities, assist with shelter and rescue, and provide medical attention 22. Respondents could select multiple answers


- 23. Three most common steps for cyclone preparedness are shown
- 24. Three most common complaint mechanisms used are shown
- 25. Three most common barriers to accessing complaint mechanisms are shown
- 26. Income from employment, remittances, cash assistance and new debts
- 27. 1 USD = 83.4 BDT (as of 28 January 2019). Source: www.xe.com

ne UN Refugee Agency

households reporting barriers to using complaint/ back mechanisms^{22,25}

Jan 2019				Jul 2018
No barriers	74%	0	82%	No barriers
Unsure how to use	10%	2	17%	Unaware of mechanisms
Unaware of mechanisms	10%	ß	3%	Unsure how to use

households reporting use of information channels e 7 days prior to data collection²²



Livelihoods




of households reporting at least one member working to earn an income in the 30 days prior to data collection Jul 2018

individuals reported to be working to earn an me in the 30 days prior to data collection

Men	ħ	24% 46%	Women	Ť	1% 6%
Boys (<18 yrs)	Ń	<mark>3%</mark> 7%	Girls (<18 yrs)	Ť	0% 0%
		Jan 2019	Jul 2018		
Jan 2019 800		Median housel Bangladeshi T			Jul 2018 2,000

July 2018 and January 2019 comparison

% of households reporting income from different sources in the 30 days prior to data collection²⁸



% of households reporting income from different types of employment in the 30 days prior to data collection^{28, 29}

Jan 2019				Jul 2018
9%	NGO volunteer	0	Restaurant	27%
5%	Construction / Manual labour	2	Agriculture or livestock	14%
3%	Agriculture or livestock	B	NGO volunteer	5%
3%	Domestic work	4	Domestic work	4%
3%	Restaurant	6	Other	3%
Jan 20	19		JI	ul 2018

0	4%	of households reporting at least one individual engaging in work paid by an NGO ("cash for work") in the 30 days prior to data collection ³⁰	1%	0
O	62%	of households reporting taking on new debts since arriving in Bangladesh	76%	0
Jan 2019 6,000	Med	dian household debt in Banglades Taka (BDT) ³¹	shi	Jul 2018 2,250

- 28. Respondents could select multiple answers
- 29. Five most common employment sectors are shown

30. Only households reporting that an individual had worked Construction / Manual labour in the 30 days prior to data collection were asked if this work was 'Cash for Work'. The finding is a proportion of the entire camp population

31. 1 USD = 84.1 BDT (as of 5 March 2019). Source: www.xe.com

32. Data from only January 2019 presented, as WASH indicators were not included in the July 2018 MSNA


33. Top three sources of drinking water are shown

34. Top three locations for defecation shown

Water Sanitation and Hygiene³²

% of households reporting main source of drinking water³³

- A Tubewell / Borehole
- Water tank Tapstand B





of households reporting witnessing someone treating water with chlorine the last time they were at a water point, of households using tubewells / boreholes as a main source of drinking water



Average litres of potable water collected per person per day for each household

Water collection - % of households reporting:

at least 3L of drinking water per person per day	at least 10L of protected water storage capacity per person	at least 15L of water for all domestic uses per person per day
90%	27%	64%

% of households reporting where households members usually go to defecate^{28, 34}

0	Communal latrine	84%
2	Household latrine	17%
B	Open defecation	0%


59%

of households reporting having soap in their shelter

% of households reporting the most common method of solid waste disposal

4

1



32%	Designated waste pit
49%	Undesignated waste pit
16%	Stream or water source
3%	Burn it
0%	Bury it

- 0% Other
- 0% Do not know



UN Refugee Agency