IDP Hosting Sites in Sa'dah

April 2022

CCCM CLUSTER SUPPORTING DISPLACED COMMUNITIES

REACH Informing more effective humanitarian action

Context & Methodology

As part of their regular programming, the CCCM Cluster and partners, with the support of REACH, are implementing the Site Report to build a profile of IDP hosting sites in Yemen. This activity is carried out to inform a more targeted, evidence-based humanitarian response. The findings presented here provide an overview of basic information on population demographics, site conditions, service access, site threats and community needs. A total of **31 IDP hosting sites out of 46 IDP hosting sites in** Sa'dah governorate were surveyed, with a total population of 28,392 individuals out 45,731 individuals. Data was received between February 2022 - April 2022 through key informant interviews with community representatives in each site. The findings presented should be generally read as the proportion of assessed sites as reported by key informants. Findings should be considered as both indicative and incomplete. All information is for humanitarian use only.

IDP Site Number Trends

Source: CCCM IDP Hosting Site Master List (January 2021-May 2022)

Site Population Trends

- Total IDP Population in Sites
- Number of IDP Households in Sites

Source: CCCM IDP Hosting Site Master List (January 2021-May 2022)

## Sa'dah assessment coverage: Districts assessed through the Site Report

Number of Sites per Subdistrict
- 0
- 1 - 5
- 6 - 10
- 11 - 15
- 16 - 20
- > 20

0 5 10   20 Km

Site overview

Land ownership	Proportion of sites	Proportion of individuals
Private	26%	55%
Public	0%	0%
Owner not known	74%	45%

Type of site	Proportion of sites	Proportion of individuals
Spontaneous settlement	87%	78%
Collective Centre	3%	1%
Location	0%	0%
Urban displaced IDP location	0%	0%
Camp	10%	21%

Tenancy agreement

Proportion of assessed sites with a tenancy agreement

3% ■ Tenancy agreement
97% ■ No tenancy agreement

**Proportion of assessed sites with a tenancy agreement reportedly facing eviction threat**

16% ■ Eviction threat
84% ■ No eviction threat

Displacement

Most common governorates of origin of displaced households, by proportion of assessed sites

Sa'dah	94%
Ibb	3%
Hajjah	3%

Most common districts of origin of displaced households, by proportion of assessed sites

|	
Kitaf wa Al Boqa	33%
Baqim	23%
Haydan	17%
Razih	7%
Ibb	3%
Monabbih	3%

* Additionally, Ghamr, Adh Dhahir, Majz districts were also reported as most common districts of origin in 3% of assessed sites.

Most common reason for displaced households to leave their place of origin, by proportion of assessed sites*

Security concerns / War	100%
Evicted from Property	0%
House/livelihood assets destroyed/occupied	0%
Lack of basic services	19%
Evacuated for protection	0%
Lack of commodities	0%
Lack of employment	0%
Natural disaster	0%

*Respondents could select multiple options for these questions, and therefore overall figures may not add up to 100%.

Most common movement intention of displaced households for the coming three months, by proportion of assessed sites

79% ■ Stay in the site
21% ■ Return to origin
0% ■ Move elsewhere

Demographics

Proportion of assessed sites with presence of High-Risk Groups*

Child-headed households	61%
Older persons	71%
Female-headed households	84%
Marginalized people / Minorities	16%
Persons with chronic diseases	71%
Persons with disabilities	68%
Pregnant and lactating women	81%
Unaccompanied / separated children	61%

Proportion of assessed sites with population groups other than IDPs*

Host community	97%
Migrants	0%
Refugees	0%
None - only IDPs present	3%
Not known	0%

*Respondents could select multiple options for these questions, and therefore overall figures may not add up to 100%.

Infrastructure/Resources

Proportion of assessed sites with cooking fuel in site / close proximity

- 32% Available
- 68% Not available

Proportion of assessed sites with electricity / solar power

- 13% Available
- 87% Not available

Proportion assessed of sites with markets in site / close proximity

- 68% Available
- 32% Not available

Access to Services

Proportion of assessed sites by adequacy of services, per service type

	Adequate	Inadequate	Non-existent
RRM distributions	10%	32%	58%
Shelter / maintenance services	7%	39%	55%
NFI distributions	3%	45%	52%
Food distributions	10%	77%	13%
Cash distributions (multi-purpose)	0%	29%	71%
WASH services	0%	23%	77%
Healthcare services	0%	26%	74%
Education services	0%	48%	52%
Livelihood services	3%	29%	68%
Protection services	0%	23%	77%
Nutrition services	0%	32%	68%
Waste disposal services	3%	16%	81%

Priority Needs

Proportion of assessed sites per priority needs

	First	Second	Third
Cash assistance	13%	7%	0%
Education	0%	3%	0%
Food	58%	0%	10%
Water	3%	0%	0%
Legal services	0%	0%	0%
Livelihood assistance	0%	0%	23%
Medical assistance	0%	0%	7%
Non-food items	0%	58%	3%
Protection services	10%	10%	0%
Sanitation services	7%	0%	7%
Shelter / maintenance	10%	23%	42%
Nutrition services	0%	0%	10%

CCCM CLUSTER
SUPPORTING DISPLACED COMMUNITIES

REACH
Informing more effective humanitarian action

Primary Shelter Type

Proportion of sites per primary shelter type

Own house / apartment	0%
Makeshift shelter	42%
Host family house / apartment	13%
Emergency shelter	7%
Rented house / apartment	0%
Transitional shelter	39%
Public building	0%
Open air (no shelter)	0%

Primary Latrine Type

Proportion of sites per primary latrine type

Flush latrine to tank / sewage system pit	16%
Flush latrine to the open	10%
Pit latrine - covered	16%
Pit latrine - open	13%
Open defecation	45%

Primary Water Source

Proportion of sites per primary water source

Borehole	23%
Bottled water	19%
Illegal connection to piped network	13%
Public tap	3%
Protected rainwater tank	13%
Surface water	10%
Unprotected rainwater tank	16%
Water trucking	3%

Site Threats

Most common threats to sites by proportion of assessed sites*

Conflict-related incidents / War	13%
Eviction	16%
Fire-related incidents	3%
Flooding	55%
Friction between communities	0%
Infectious diseases	48%
Water contamination	26%

Fire Safety Measures

Most common fire safety measures adopted in the sites, by proportion of assessed sites*

Fire points	7%
Fire wardens	0%
Fire breaks	0%
Escape routes	0%
None	94%

Data Collection Partners

The following **CCCM partners** supported the data collection for the CCCM Site Report in Sa'dah governorate from February 2022 - April 2022:

National Foundation for Development & Humanitarian Response (NFDHR), Yemen Development Foundation (YDF)

*Respondents could select multiple options for these questions, and therefore overall figures may not add up to 100%.