
IDP Hosting Sites in AI Mahwit

May 2022

Context & Methodology

As part of their regular programming, the CCCM Cluster and partners, with the support of REACH, are implementing the Site Report to build a profile of IDP hosting sites in Yemen. This activity is carried out to inform a more targeted, evidence-based humanitarian response. The findings presented here provide an overview of basic information on population demographics, site conditions, service access, site threats and community needs. A total of 3 IDP hosting sites out of 9 IDP hosting sites in Al Mahwit governorate were surveyed, with a total population of 4.470 individuals out 7.098 individuals. Data was received in May 2022 through key informant interviews with community representatives in each site. The findings presented should be generally read as the proportion of assessed sites as reported by key informants. Findings should be considered as both indicative and incomplete. All information is for humanitarian use only.

IDP Site Number Trends




Source: CCCM IDP Hosting Site Master List (January 2021-May 2022)

Site Population Trends



Number of IDP Households in Sites

Al Mahwit assessment coverage: Districts assessed through the Site Report





Site overview

Land ownership Private Public Owner not known

Type of site

Spontaneous settlement **Collective Centre** Location Urban displaced IDP location Camp

Proportion of sites

Proportion of sites

100%

0%

0%

100%

0%

0%

0%

0%

Proportion of individuals

Proportion of individuals

-		
•	100%	
	0%	
	0%	
	0%	1
	0%	

100%

0%

0%

Source: CCCM IDP Hosting Site Master List (January 2021-May 2022)

Tenancy agreement

Proportion of assessed sites with a tenancy agreement



A→ Displacement

Most common governorates of origin of displaced households, by proportion of assessed sites

Hajjah

100%

Most common districts of origin of displaced households, by proportion of assessed sites


Harad Abs 67%

Most common reason for displaced households to leave their place of origin, by proportion of assessed sites*

Security concerns / War	100%	
Evicted from Property	67%	
House/livelihood assets destroyed/occupied	0%	
Lack of basic services	0%	
Evacuated for protection	0%	
Lack of commodities	33%	
Lack of employment	0%	
Natural disaster	0%	

*Respondents could select multiple options for these questions, and therefore overall figures may not add up to 100%.

Most common movement intention of displaced households for the coming three months, by proportion of assessed sites



Demographics

Proportion of assessed sites with presence of High-Risk Groups*

Child-headed households	0%		
Older persons	100%		
Female-headed households	100%		
Marginalized people / Minorities	0%	_	
Persons with chronic diseases	100%		
Persons with disabilities	100%		
Pregnant and lactating women	100%		
Unaccompanied / separated children	0%		


Proportion of assessed sites with population groups other than IDPs*

Host community	100%	•
Migrants	0%	
Refugees	0%	
None - only IDPs present	0%	
Not known	0%	

*Respondents could select multiple options for these questions, and therefore overall figures may not add up to 100%.

Infrastructure/Resources

Proportion of assessed sites with cooking fuel in site / close proximity



Access to Services

Proportion of assessed sites by adequacy of services, per service type

	Adequate	Inadequate	Non-existent
RRM distributions	0%	33%	67%
Shelter / maintenance services	0%	33%	67%
NFI distributions	0%	0%	100%
Food distributions	0%	100%	0%
Cash distributions (multi-purpose)	67%	0%	33%
WASH services	0%	0%	100%
Healthcare services	0%	33%	67%
Education services	0%	0%	100%
Livelihood services	0%	0%	100%
Protection services	100%	0%	0%
Nutrition services	0%	0%	100%
Waste disposal services	0%	0%	100%

Priority Needs

Proportion of assessed sites per priority needs

	First	Second	Third
Cash assistance	0%	0%	0%
Education	0%	0%	0%
Food	67%	0%	0%
Water	33%	67%	0%
Legal services	0%	0%	0%
Livelihood assistance	0%	0%	33%
Medical assistance	0%	0%	0%
Non-food items	0%	0%	33%
Protection services	0%	0%	0%
Sanitation services	0%	33%	33%
Shelter / maintenance	0%	0%	0%
Nutrition services	0%	0%	0%

REACH Informing more effective humanitarian action

Primary Shelter Type

Proportion of sites per primary shelter type

Own house / apartment	0%
Makeshift shelter	100%
Host family house / apartment	0%
Emergency shelter	0%
Rented house / apartment	0%
Transitional shelter	0%
Public building	0%
Open air (no shelter)	0%

Primary Latrine Type

Proportion of sites per primary latrine type

Flush latrine to tank / sewage system pit	0%
Flush latrine to the open	0%
Pit latrine - covered	33%
Pit latrine - open	67%
Open defecation	0%

Primary Water Source

Proportion of sites per primary water source

Borehole	0%
Bottled water	0%
Illegal connection to piped network	0%
Public tap	0%
Protected rainwater tank	0%
Surface water	67%
Unprotected rainwater tank	0%
Water trucking	33%

Site Threats

Most common threats to sites by proportion of assessed sites*

0%
0%
0%
0%
0%
0%
0%

Fire Safety Measures

Most common fire safety measures adopted in the sites, by proportion of assessed sites*

Fire points	0%
Fire wardens	0%
Fire breaks	0%
Escape routes	0%
None	100%



The following data collection for CCCM supported partner the CCCM Site Report in Al Mahwit governorate in May 2022: the Jeel Albena Association for Humanitarian Development (JAAHD)

*Respondents could select multiple options for these questions, and therefore overall figures may not add up to 100%