



Site Monitoring Tool (SMT)

Round 2 – Presentation of Findings

April 2023 – REACH Yemen



Contents

01 General overview

02 Selected Findings



01

General Overview

Background

- CCCM Cluster, partners & REACH successfully implemented **Site Report** for 3 years to profile Internally Displaced Person (IDP) hosting sites in Yemen (since October 2019).
- In 2023, Site Report data collection is shifting to differ between managed and non-managed sites (**Twin-Track approach**).
 - ✓ Need for more regular and detailed, sectoral information in managed sites
 - ✓ High number of IDP sites in Yemen & inability to cover all sites equally
 - ✓ Improve collaboration and service coordination with other sectors (i.e. shelter, WASH, food)
 - ✓ Facilitate an improved evidence-based CCCM response



Site Monitoring Tool (SMT)

- In 2022, the CCCM Cluster with support from REACH, SAG and other Clusters developed the new **Site Monitoring Tool (SMT)**.
- **Pilot** data collection through the SMT was conducted in June/July 2022.
- **Round 1** SMT data collection was conducted in January 2023.


Table 1. SRT / SMT Twin-Track Approach

Site Reporting Tool (SRT)	Site Monitoring Tool (SMT)
Data collection in non-managed sites with light response modalities	Data collection in managed sites with static/mobile/remote response modalities
Quarterly or bi-annual data collection**	Monthly data collection**
Information collected by enumerators from Key Informants <u>in site</u>	Information self-reported by Site Managers <u>in site or remotely</u>
Light tool to gather basic data on IDP sites demographics, threats and service access	Detailed tool that provides an overview of each sector, CCCM activities, demographics, safety threats, natural hazards, gaps & needs

** Exact data collection timelines & frequency may be adjusted as needed.

SMT Round 2: General overview

Percentage of submissions per governorate




- SMT Data collection across 8 governorates in IRG-controlled areas (Marib not included in R2)
- Data collected for 177 sites
- Most site profiles were collected in Al-Hodeidah (25%), which ranked 5th in R1
- R2 Data submission: 1 - 15 March 2023 (11 working days)
- R2 data covers site status in February 2023, while R1 covered December 2022/January 2023.

Data Collection Partners

- Data was collected by **site managers / site management teams** by self-reporting on their managed sites
- Reporting on sites could be done **on site or remotely**
- **9 CCCM** data collection partners
- **ACTED** provided **37%** of submissions (66 sites)
- **REACH** is cleaning, analysing & visualizing information

Percentage of submissions per partner compared to total submission (n=177)





02

Selected Findings


NOTE: This presentation of findings covers only a few select indicators. Overall, the SMT is an extensive tool that provides information on Site Access/Safety/Hazards, Demographics, Displacement, CCCM, WASH, Cash & Markets, FSL, Health, Protection, Shelter, NFI, Education, Service Access/Needs and AAP. For additional information on the dataset or other outputs, please reach out to the CCCM Cluster or impact.yemen@impact-initiatives.org.

Safety threats & security hazards

Safety & security threats




25% of assessed IDP sites faced fire-related incidents as a safety & security threat (versus 39% in R1) and 83% in Abyan.



Natural & endomorphic hazards



21% of assessed IDP sites faced windstorm as a natural hazard (versus 57% facing wind as hazard in R1).



Shocks: Flooding, Fire & Deaths



0

assessed IDP sites with medium /
high / very high flood hazard (n=4)
reported **experienced flooding** in
the past month (7% in R1)



3

assessed IDP sites with medium /
high / very high flood hazard (n=4)
had no flood contingency plans



66%

of assessed sites (n=12) with known
deaths (n=30) reported **diseases** as
a cause of death (75% in R1)



9%


of assessed sites reported **fire** in the
past month (6% in R1)

Protection incidents reported in or near the site in the past month

Protection incidents were reported in **9%** (same as R1) of 177 assessed IDP sites in the past month. From these sites, 50% faced forced eviction.



Percentage of assessed sites with reported protection incidents in or near sites per incident




Gaps & Needs ↔

Percentage of assessed sites with households in need of assistance per sector and category

Sector	All/almost all households (86 – 100%) in need of assistance per sector	Majority of households (61 – 85%) in need of assistance per sector
RRM (Rapid Response Mechanism)	21%	12%
Shelter	27%	23%
Food	29%	31%
Nutrition	31%	13%
NFIs	38%	25%
Protection	32%	21%
Health	33%	23%
WASH (Water, Sanitation & Hygiene)	31%	21%
Education	37%	24%
Livelihoods	58%	21%
Cash	54%	27%
Waste disposal services	47%	15%
Safety, security & Disaster Risk Reduction (DRR)	33%	16%
Site maintenance	35%	21%

Livelihoods support (58%) and Cash distributions (54%) were the activities with the highest percentage of assessed sites with **all/almost all households in need of assistance** (similar to Round 1).



Sectoral Response Capacity


Percentage of assessed sites' sectoral response capacity per sector and category

Top 3 sectors with lowest (none) response capacity across assessed sites - **Livelihoods** (73%), **Safety & Security** (63%) and **Site Maintenance** (57%).


Sector	None	Low	Moderate	Good	Very Good
Camp Management	0%	1%	2%	15%	82%
RRRM	48%	19%	20%	6%	7%
Shelter	45%	21%	15%	11%	7%
Food	30%	25%	31%	11%	3%
Nutrition	27%	26%	27%	15%	5%
NFIs	45%	28%	18%	3%	6%
Protection	29%	26%	18%	23%	4%
Health	29%	23%	29%	12%	7%
WASH	39%	16%	13%	19%	13%
Education	32%	24%	18%	21%	5%
Livelihoods	73%	14%	8%	3%	1%
Cash	44%	26%	8%	10%	11%
Safety & Security	63%	19%	10%	5%	3%
Site Maintenance	57%	11%	16%	11%	6%

Snapshot: Livelihoods & Cash

Barriers to accessing sufficient cash*




In the past month, for 76% of assessed sites, no income was the most widely reported barrier to accessing cash required to purchase essential items (68% in R1).



Challenges in pursuing livelihoods & earning a reasonable income*



80% of assessed sites reported no livelihood opportunities in the site (74% in R1). In 36% the income obtained through livelihood opportunities was insufficient (26% in R1).




*Site managers were able to select more than one option. Therefore, results may add up >100%.

Snapshot: Food


Sources of food for site residents in the past month*

In the past month, in **72% of assessed sites** that reported on food sources (n= 145), **residents were able to obtain food at nearby markets**. However, there was also a **large dependency on NGO assistance, charity, debt and gifts**.



Proportion of site residents able to access food

76% of assessed sites that reported on food access (n= 161) - cited **economic causes** as a main factor prohibiting residents from accessing food. Furthermore, **54%** reported **insufficient humanitarian aid**.



*Site managers were able to select more than one option. Therefore, results may add up >100%.

Thank you for your attention



Impact.yemen@impact-initiatives.org



REACH Informing
more effective
humanitarian action

Annex I. Coordination System for data collection in managed sites

National CCCM Cluster Coordination Team

- Ensure proper implementation of IM system & partner coordination
- Provide technical support during planning & implementation
- Ensure approval of tools by authorities & provide support with negotiations with authorities
- Conduct trainings, if needed

CCCM Sub-National Cluster Coordinators

- Ensure all CCCM partners in their area provide information for managed sites on a regular basis
- Coordinate with and support hub CCCM partners in planning & implementation
- Support with training in country

REACH

- Train CCCM Partners
- Support drafting & improving tools
- Conduct data checks, cleaning & analysis
- Produce outputs

CCCM Partner Focal Points (FPs)

- Ensure all Site Managers submit reports for their managed sites on a monthly basis
- Correspondence with CCCM & REACH

Site Manager

- Coordinate with SMT to collect all necessary data on a monthly basis
- Train Site Management Team on tool, if necessary
- Conduct quality control of data before submission