# **Research Terms of Reference**

**Support to the Emergency Telecommunications Cluster in Niger GLO2103** 

Niger

June 2021 v1



# 1. Executive Summary

Country of intervention	Niger								
Type of Emergency	Х	Natural disaster	Χ	Con	flict			Other (specify)	
Type of Crisis		Sudden onset		Slov	onset /	>	<	Protracted	
Mandating Body/	World	Food Programme for Emerg	geno	y Tel	ecommunicat	tions Clu	ısi	ter	
Agency									
IMPACT Project Code	30AQ	NU							
Overall Research									
Timeframe (from research design to final outputs / M&E)	2021-	-05-01 – 2021-12-31							
Research Timeframe	1. Pilo	ot/ training: 2021-06-28			6. Prelimina	ry prese	nt	ation: 2021-09-29	
Add planned deadlines	2. Sta	art collect data: 2021-07-09			7. Outputs s	ent for v	al	lidation: 2021-10-01	
(for first cycle if more than	3. Da	ta collected: 2021-08-31			8. Outputs published: 2021-10-15				
1)	4. Da	ta analysed: 2021-09-15			9. Final presentation: 2021-12-20				
	5. Da	ta sent for validation: 2021-0	9-2 <sup>′</sup>						
Number of	Х	Single assessment (one cy	cle)						
assessments		Multi assessment (more tha	an c	ne cy	cle)				
Humanitarian	Miles	tone			Deadline				
milestones	Х	ETC project pilot in Diffa se	lec	ed	2021-07-31				
Specify <b>what</b> will the	Х	ETC project in Tilaberi and			2021-10-01				
assessment inform and		Tahoua selected							
when									
e.g. The shelter cluster will use this data to draft									
its Revised Flash Appeal;									
Audience Type &	Audie	ence type			Disseminat	ion			
Dissemination Specify	□ Str				□ General Pr	oduct Ma		ng (e.g. mail to NGO	
<b>who</b> will the assessment	X Pro	ogrammatic			consortium; HCT participants; Donors)			pants; Donors)	
inform and <b>how</b> you will disseminate to inform the	Х Оре	erational			X Cluster Mailing (ETC) and presentation of findings at next cluster meeting				
audience	□ [Ot	her, Specify]			X Presentatio Cluster meeti		ng	gs (e.g. at HCT meeting;	
	l							n (Relief Web & REACH	

		□ [Other, Specify]
Detailed dissemination plan required	□ Yes	X No
General Objective	Toolkit, this assessment aims to sare the most suitable at which loca	connectivity Needs and Usage Assessment (CoNUA) support the ETC in identifying which intervention forms tions through collecting quantitative and qualitative data connectivity and communications technology in selected llaberi regions in Niger.
Specific Objective(s)	Informations and Commu  2. To identify community in services  3. To identify the challeng services) that communities  - Energy services  - Telephone services  - Connectivity services  4. To better understand what communities	ommunities' needs and usage habits when it comes to nication Technology (ICT). nembers' knowledge gaps in relation to connectivity es (ranging from availability to affordability of such as face to access:  at trainings in ICT that should be conducted among the local market of ICT products and services
Research Questions	<ul> <li>What kind of phone, if any</li> <li>To what extent can commed it?</li> <li>What barriers, if any, would</li> </ul>	nd usage habits when it comes to ICT?  y, do community members own?  nunity members afford to top it up with credit when they  lld prevent community members from doing so?  nat might prevent community members in accessing
	What is the level of digital community members?  - What are the community ICT tools and services (e. 3. Would community members be  - What training would they  - What would they like to le	
	electricity on the local market?  - Are technology products a internet, electricity available.  - Which services and products available and needed	and services such as mobile phones, phone credit, ble on the local market?  ucts are the most commonly used, and which ones are

	-	To what extent are they a			by	different types of	f populations based on
Geographic Coverage	5 cito	displacement status or go			161	pacific sites: Site	y urhanicá (Diffa)
Geographic Coverage		5 sites per each of 3 Régions of Niger: Diffa (specific sites: Site urbanisé (Diffa), N'Guigmi, Gagamari, Boulangou Yaskou, Kindjandi), Tahoua (specific sites TBC),					
		péri (specific sites TBC)	asr	iou, r	iiiuj	janui), Tanoua (s	specific sites TDC),
Secondary data		CH 2020 MSNA					
sources		A Mobile Connectivity Index					
3001003		ès au numérique, besoins en	cor	nmun	icat	tion et pratiques	communautaires
Population(s)	X	IDPs in camp					
Select all that apply	Χ	IDPs in host communities				IDPs [Other, Sp	pecify]
	Χ	Refugees in camp					**
	Χ	Refugees in host communi	ties			Refugees [Othe	er, Specify]
	Χ	Host communities				[Other, Specify]	
Stratification	Х	Geographical #: 15		Gro	up #	‡: 2 ¹	□ [Other Specify] #: _
		communities		Pop	ulat	ion size per	Population size per
Not really a stratification,		Population size per strata		strat	a is	known?	strata is known?
as we are not looking to		is known? □ Yes X No		□ Y	es X	( No	□ Yes □ No
have regionally- representative data, but 5							
independent samples							
Data collection tool(s)	Х	Structured (Quantitative)			Χ	Semi-structure	d (Qualitative)
	Sam	pling method				ata collection m	, ,
Structured data	_ Du	rposive				Key informant into	erview (Target #):
collection tool # 1		bbability / Simple random					i (Target #):
0 NUA T 14		obability / Stratified simple rando	m				iew (Target #):
CoNUA Tool 1: end user survey		•	)				
Survey		obability / Cluster sampling					ew (Target #):_1500 <sup>2</sup>
		obability / Stratified cluster samp	ling			Direct observation	ns (Target #):
	□ [Ot	ther, Specify]					
Structured data	X Pu	rposive				Key informant into	erview (Target #):
collection tool # 2	□ Pro	obability / Simple random				Group discussion	
CoNUA Tool 8: signal	□ Pro	obability / Stratified simple rando	m				iew (Target #):
strength mapping		obability / Cluster sampling					ew (Target #):
		obability / Stratified cluster samp	lina				ns (Target #):_ 60 <sup>3</sup>
		obability / Stratilled cluster same	ıllıy		^	Direct observation	iis (Taiget #) 00°
Semi-structured data	У Ри	rposive				Key informant into	 erview (Target #):
collection tool (s) # 1		owballing				•	erview(ranget #): ew (Target #):
	U 311	owballing					
<b>. .</b>		than Charlet			\/	Facus aresus d'es	/Taract 4). C1
CoNUA Tool 2: FGD 0	□ [Ot	ther, Specify]					ussion (Target #):_ 6 <sup>4</sup> Target #):

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> only qualitative data will be disaggregated by population group.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 3 regions x 5 sites per region x ~100 interviews per site = 1500 interviews total <sup>3</sup> 3 regions x 5 sites x 4 networks per site = 60 observations (possibly a few more for Nigerian and Chadian networks in sites near the

<sup>4 2</sup> groups (1x host community and 1x displaced community) x 3 regions = 6 discussion groups

Semi-structured data	ХРι	ırposive				Key informant in	terv	iew (Target #):
collection tool (s) # 2	□ Snowballing				□ Individual interview (Target #):			
CoNUA Tool 2: FGD 1	□ [Other, Specify]				X Focus group discussion (Target #):_ 60 <sup>5</sup>			
						[Other, Specify]	(Tai	rget #):
Semi-structured data	X Pı	ırposive			Χ	Key Informant in	nter	view (Target #): 30-60 <sup>6</sup>
collection tool (s) # 3		nowballing				Individual intervi	ew	(Target #):
CoNUA Tool 5: merchant		ther, Specify]				Focus group dis	cus	sion (Target #):
in-depth interview	_ [0	, epec,1				[Other, Specify]	(Tai	rget #):
Semi-structured data	ХРι	ırposive			Χ	Key informant in	terv	iew (Target #): depends
collection tool (s) # 4	□ Sr	nowballing			on	site		
CoNUA Tool 7: market	_ [O	ther, Specify]				Individual intervi	ew	(Target #):
assesment						Focus group dis	cus	sion (Target #):
					□ [Other, Specify] (Target #):			
Target level of	95% level of confidence				10+/- % margin of error			
precision if	30% level of confidence				. •	, ,,,		
probability sampling		140.07						
Data management platform(s)	X	IMPACT				UNHCR		
plationii(s)		[Other, Specify]						
Expected ouput		Situation overview #:	Х	Repo	ort i	#: 1	X	Profile #: 15
type(s)								
	Χ	Presentation (Preliminary	Х		ent	ation (Final)		Factsheet #:
		findings) #: 1		#: 1				
		Interactive dashboard #:_		Web	ma	p #:		Map #:
A		[Other, Specify] #:	U =-	00/:55		antar and ath	h	manitarian platfarms
Access	Х	Public (available on REAC						• ,
		Restricted (bilateral dissempublication on REACH or o					sse	mination list, no
N sesVisibility Specify	REA			F 2.2.4		1		
which logos should be on	Don	or: ETC/WFP, Luxembourg						
outputs	Coo	rdination Framework: ETC						
	Part	ners: N/						

# 2. Rationale

# 2.1 Background

The recent humanitarian crisis in the Central Sahel, underpinned by escalating violence and insecurity in parts of Burkina Faso, Mali and Niger, has exacerbated the long-term development challenges. Across Burkina Faso, Mali and Niger, only 16%, 13% and 5% of the population use the Internet according to 2019 data from the International Telecommunication Union. In order to address those issues, which perpetuate the poverty cycle, the Emergency Telecommunications Cluster

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> 3 regions x 5 sites x 4 groups per site = 60 discussion groups

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> 3 regions x 5 sites x 2-4 interviews per site = 30-60 interviews

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> ITU 2019, https://www.itu.int/net4/ITU-D/icteye/#/topics/2001

(ETC), aims at supporting the humanitarian response in the Sahel by strengthening the resilience of affected populations across the region through increasing access to technology.

## 2.2 Intended impact

Through donor support and in collaboration with partners, the ETC proposes to formalize a multi-year, multi-country programme to set up sustainable communications technology infrastructure and related services in Niger, Burkina Faso, and Mali. The scope of the interventions falls within the Cluster's humanitarian response mandate and meets the international call to action to "provide humanitarian assistance for an immediate coordinated response to the needs of the population while helping to strengthen the resilience of communities." In order to provide a solid evidence base for the design, monitoring and evaluation of the intervention, REACH will support a series of assessment and M&E activities throughout the intervention implementation lifespan.

# 3. Methodology

## 3.1 Methodology overview

Sites meeting the intervention's initial suitability criteria (non-isolated sites with a stable population of displaced individuals in proximity to existing urban centres) will be selected for the assessment based on REACH site mapping exercise<sup>8</sup>. The sites do not need to be representative of the local situation, but rather from these sampled sites the data will show which is the most suitable for ETC's intervention. The assessment will provide quantitative and qualitative data gathered via a mix of methods, and the design is guided by the ETC-endorsed Connectivity Needs and Usage Assessment (CoNUA) Toolkit. The ETC will select the sites for actual intervention based on the assessment data.

## 3.2 Population of interest

The assessment will take place in Diffa, Tahoua and Tillaberi regions of Niger due to the presence of sites where a mix of refugee, displaced, and host population individuals reside. Diffa is a region particularly touched by the refugee crisis, and the high number of refugee locations allows for selecting sites that meet the ETC project's criteria. The data will be collected at the individual level to accurately reflect personal situation on issues such as access, barriers, skills, and preferences of technology use.

For Diffa, the sites are selected as	fο	llows:
--------------------------------------	----	--------

Commune:	Site:
Diffa	Site urbanisé (Diffa)
N'Guigmi	N'Guigmi
Chétimari	Gagamari
Diffa	Boulangou Yaskou
Gueskerou	Kindjandi

For the Tahoua and Tillaberi, the site selection will be done at a later stage, and informed by the results from Diffa.

## 3.3 Secondary data review

The SDR will rely on 2020 REACH MSNA for subnational quantitative data. National level domain specific data on mobile phones is provided by the GSMA. Additional qualitative context will be provided by the following study "Accès au numérique, besoins en communication et pratiques communautaires."

### 3.4 Primary Data Collection

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> REACH, <u>Profilage des sites de déplacés</u>, August 2020

<sup>9</sup> UNHCR, Accès au numérique, besoins en communication et pratiques communautaires, March 2021

#### Methods

Individual interviews, FGDs, direct observation, and market assessment methods will be used to collect the data using standardised tools. The tools will be drawn from the CoNUA Toolkit, which has been endorsed by the ETC and specifically requested for this assessment. The Toolkit will be localised to suit Niger, with all the questions and response selection options tweaked to correspond to the context. Only the end user survey interviews are meant to be representative – other tools deliver indicative and qualitative data. Two of the CoNUA tools will be used to gather regional level indicative data: the FGD 0, and the Market Assessment, while the others will be used at the site level.

More detail on each tool is available in the CoNUA documentation.

#### Tool description

#### FGD 0 (Tool 2.0)

This tool is a semi-structured focus group discussion that furthers general understanding of the context in which the crisis takes place, and so it does not need to be implemented in every site. The groups should be separated between displaced and host communities. It will take place in the most conveniently accessible of the sites in each region. The participant selection, will also be done in line with the country standards: each group will comprise of 6-8 individuals, selected in consultation with the community, site, or camp chiefs.

There will be 2 groups (1x host community and 1x displaced community) x 3 regions = 6 discussion groups

#### Market Assessment (Tool 7)

As the FGD 0, this tool also applies at the regional level. Data is collected through a mix of observations, interviews with merchants and shopkeepers in the largest and most accessible of the sites in each region, and supplemented through a secondary data review. The interviewees will be selected with a snowball methodology, whereby enumerators start in a site's market area, ask around to identify the nearest merchant or merchants, and then continue asking for the further ones. As the data is aimed to be indicative, and no sampling frame is available, the number of interviews to be conducted will be determined at the field level, with interviews stopped once they no longer supply new information, though at least 4 merchants of each category per site (phone merchant, phone booth/"taxi phone", WiFi hotspot, cybercafé) should be interviewed.

#### End user survey (Tool 1)

This tool is an individual-level survey based on a structured questionnaire aimed to deliver representative data at the site level with 95% confidence level and 10% margin of error. The population sizes are unknown, so the simple random sample with no stratification in each site is n=96, additionally, a 10% will be collected for a total of 106 interviews per site. For each site a random sample of residents will be selected in line with standards used by the country team: sampling will be done using the "spin a pen" technique: in the centre of each site, each enumerator will randomly choose a direction in which to go by spinning a pen. They will follow the direction until the site boundary, and count shelters (if the site is small) or minutes it takes to walk (if the site is large) along the way. This number will be divided by the target number of interviews to conduct. The resulting number X will be used to select an interviewee each X-th shelter when walking back towards the site centre. Within each shelter, the interviewee will be randomly selected from shelter inhabitants by random enumeration – the method for this is built into the assessment tool itself.

The total target number of interviews is 3 regions x 5 sites per region x ~100 interviews per site = 1500 interviews total

#### FGD 1 (Tool 2.1)

The more substantial of the two FGD tools, this semi-structured tool asks specific questions on the population's needs and therefore is separated between distinct population subgroups (displaced women, displaced men, host community

women, host community men). These are all conducted for each site, and the participant selection, will also be done in line with the country standards: each group will comprise of 6-8 individuals, selected in consultation with the community, site, or camp chiefs. This will allow to ensure that different populations are represented in the groups as far as age, socio-cultural background, and occupation is concerned. As far as possible, people living with disabilities (PLWD) will be included in the groups.

The target number of FGD to be conducted is 3 regions x 5 sites x 4 groups per site = 60 discussion groups

#### Merchant In-depth Interviews (Tool 5)

While the end user tools (survey and FGDs) look at the "demand" side of connectivity services, this Key Informant semistructured tool focuses on the supply side of connectivity technologies and electricity. The market is expected to be developed to varying levels between all the sites, with, on one end of the spectrum, a regional capital and on the other, a small rural site. This tool will allow for understanding the market differences between them. The KIs will be selected as a convenience sample with a snowball methodology, beginning in each site's market or central area.

The target number of interviews to be conducted is 3 regions x 5 sites x 2-4 interviews per site = 30-60 interviews

#### Signal Strength Measurement (Tool 8)

This tool directly measures the parameters of networks that are present in Niger. The direct observation ODK/Kobo checklist needs to be used only once per site per each network so in each case the sample size is one. The 4 networks assessed will be

- Airtel (Bharti Airtel)
- Moov (Maroc Telecom)
- Zamani Telecom (formerly Orange)
- SahelCom (Sonitel)

The number of observations is 3 regions x 5 sites x 4 networks per site = 60 observations (possibly a few more for Nigerian and Chadian networks in sites near the border)

#### Sampling

Sampling is done at the site level, so that the assessed sites can be compared to one another. There will not be a comparison of various population groups within each site, and due to the purposive site selection the data will not be representative at the region level.

The exception to this are the FGD0 and Market assessment tools, which are used at the region level.

#### **Enumerator training**

Prior to data collection, enumerators will be trained during 5 days (including piloting the tools) by field coordinators at the regional level.

### Triangulation / briefing and debriefing of enumerators

When possible, data will be compared with the national baseline. Based on the feedback provided by the database officer, field officers will brief and debrief enumerators on the findings daily, and there will be follow up of any mistakes identified during the cleaning.

The data collection will be done by field teams in person, with respect of applicable COVID-19 safety guidelines.

### **Photographs**

In parallel with the quantitative and qualitative data collection, photographic site documentation will be produced. Photos taken will show:

- what the site access looks like from the road
- what the market area looks like (if possible view in all sides, 360 degrees)
- what the site "centre", if there is one, looks like (if possible view in all sides, 360 degrees)

There will be at least clear photos per area.

For communication purposes, pictures of enumerators during operations will also be taken if appropriate to do so.

## 3.5 Data Processing & Analysis

### Data entry and cleaning process

The data will be collected via the <u>CoNUA tools</u>, with the quantitative tools collected via ODK/Kobo platform. Qualitative tool responses will be recorded either via ODK/Kobo tool (if a dedicated note-taker is available), or on paper and entered after return from the field. Templates for this are part of the CoNUA Toolkit. If possible, the discussions will be also recorded. The data will be cleaned in line with IMPACT standards.

#### Data analysis process

The data (except for FGD 0 and market assessment) will be aggregated at site level, which is also the main analysis unit. Quantitative data will be aggregated and tabulated for site-level statistics and qualitative data will supply context and background information. Data saturation grid will be used for the qualitative data so that common themes can be systematically extracted from the transcripts.

# 4. Key ethical considerations and related risks

The proposed research design meets / does not meet the following criteria:

The proposed research design	Yes/ No	Details if no (including mitigation)
Has been coordinated with relevant stakeholders to avoid	Yes	
unnecessary duplication of data collection efforts?		
Respects respondents, their rights and dignity (specifically by: seeking informed consent, designing length of survey/ discussion while being considerate of participants' time, ensuring accurate reporting of information provided)?	Yes	
Does not expose data collectors to any risks as a direct result of participation in data collection?	No	Security situation in Niger is always risky. REACH country mission will take all the necessary steps to avoid any risks. Specifically, sites where there are known risks of threats are excluded from the sample, and security plan is prepared before movements in the field are authorised.
Does not expose respondents / their communities to any risks as a direct result of participation in data collection?	No	There is always an ongoing risk of the COVID-19 pandemic, with best

		prevention practices applied during the data collection as mitigation measures.
Does not involve <b>collecting information on specific topics which may be stressful and/ or re-traumatising</b> for research participants (both respondents and data collectors)?	Yes	
Does not involve <b>data collection with minors</b> i.e. anyone less than 18 years old?	Yes	
Does not involve data collection with other vulnerable groups e.g. persons with disabilities, victims/ survivors of protection incidents, etc.?	No	Persons with disabilities, victims/ survivors of protection incidents, etc. may happen to be included in random samples, but are not specifically targeted by the assessment
Follows IMPACT SOPs for management of <b>personally</b> identifiable information?	Yes	

# 5. Roles and responsibilities

Table 3: Description of roles and responsibilities

Task Description	Responsible	Accountable	Consulted	Informed
Research design	Assessment Specialist	Research dept	Assessment Officer (Diffa) (AO), Research Design Unit	In-country ETC cluster
Supervising data collection	Field Coordinator	Assessment Officer (Diffa)	Assessment Specialist	In-country ETC cluster
Data processing (checking, cleaning)	Assessment Officer (Diffa)	Assessment Specialist	Field Coordinator	In-country ETC cluster
Data analysis	Assessment Officer (Diffa)	Assessment Specialist	Research Data Unit	In-country ETC cluster
Output production	Assessment Specialist	Reporting dept	Assessment Officer (Diffa), Field Coordinator, Reporting Unit	In-country ETC cluster
Dissemination	Assessment Specialist		Reporting Unit	In-country ETC cluster
Monitoring & Evaluation	Assessment Officer (Diffa)	Assessment Specialist	Field Coordinator	In-country ETC cluster
Lessons learned	Assessment Specialist	Research dept	Assessment Officer (Diffa), Field Coordinator, Research Design Unit	In-country ETC cluster

Responsible: the person(s) who executes the task

Accountable: the person who validates the completion of the task and is accountable of the final output or milestone

**Consulted:** the person(s) who must be consulted when the task is implemented **Informed:** the person(s) who need to be informed when the task is completed

# 6. Data Analysis Plan

Research questions	Sub- question	Questionnaire QUESTION	Probes	Data collection method
	Telephone access	1. What kind of phone do you personally own?	Basic phone - has no apps, social media or internet access (no Facebook, WhatsApp, etc). Small screen, small numerical keypad  Feature phone - has social media and internet access, some apps already on the phone (has Facebook, WhatsApp, etc), but can't download new apps. Small screen, small numerical keypad.  Smartphone - has social media and internet access (has or can get Facebook, WhatsApp, etc), can download new apps. Large touchscreen, no keypad.	Individual- level interview
What are communities' needs and usage habits when it comes to ICT?		2. Do you have access to someone else's mobile phone?	No phone Yes/no	
	Who has	Whose name is registered with your main phone number?  4. Do you have network coverage	Mine Other household member Friend, neighbour NGO Mobile agent, sales assistant Don't know Prefer not to answer Other, specify yes/no/don't know/refuse	
	access to network and connectivity services and where	to make calls and send text messages (SMS) with your mobile phone at home?	to answer	

	5. Do you have mobile Internet (data) coverage on your phone for apps and websites like Facebook, WhatsApp, Messenger etc. at home?	yes/no/don't know/refuse to answer
Who has energy access	6. Can you charge your phone's battery at home?	yes/no/don't know/refuse to answer
What are the barriers to access these services	7. Which of the following reasons prevent you from owning a mobile phone? (select multiple)  (skip if respondent has a phone)	The cost of buying airtime is too high for me The cost of buying a mobile phone is too high for me I do not have the necessary registration or ID documents to buy a SIM card My family do not approve of me using a mobile phone It is hard to find a mobile phone agent to buy airtime There is limited or no network coverage in my area I am concerned that I would receive unwanted calls or messages Owning or using a mobile phone may put my physical safety at risk, such as theft or mugging Charging the battery of a mobile is too difficult or expensive I am concerned that my identity or other private information will be stolen or misused A mobile phone is not relevant or interesting for me I don't know how to use a mobile phone Other, specify

?

	websites like WhatsApp, Messenger, Facebook, <other locally="" relevant="">, etc)?  (question asked if interviewee has a phone but does not use internet)</other>	approve of me using the internet It is hard to find a mobile agent to buy mobile internet data There is limited or no coverage to access the internet in my area There is not enough content in my own language on the internet Using the internet on my mobile phone uses too much battery I am concerned that I would receive unwanted contact from people online I am concerned that it might expose myself or my family to harmful content I am concerned that my identity or other private information will be stolen or misused I do not find the Internet relevant or interesting for me I find it difficult to use a mobile phone in general The cost of buying a mobile phone that can access the Internet is too high for me The cost of buying data is too high for me The Internet on my phone is too slow I do not have time to learn how to use the Internet on a mobile phone There is nobody to teach	
		There is nobody to teach or help me to use mobile Internet	
What is the level of education reached by different members of the community?	9. What is your highest achieved level of education (formal or equivalent)?	Primary education Secondary education (first cycle) Secondary education (second cycle) Higher education	Individual interviews

What are the community members' knowledge gaps when it comes to the use of ICT tools and services (e.g. a computer, a smartphone, the internet, etc.)?	8 (second response set). Which of the following reasons prevent you from using mobile Internet (social media, apps, and websites like WhatsApp, Messenger, Facebook, <other locally="" relevant="">, etc)?</other>	I do not know how to use the internet by myself I do not have time to learn how to use the Internet on a mobile phone There is nobody to teach or help me to use mobile Internet	Individual interviews
Would community members be interested in receiving training on the use of ICT tools and services?	For each of the specific prompts [below] please discuss:  10. Do you use your phone for this, and how?  11. If not, would you like to? What are the difficulties, or reasons preventing you from using the phone this way?	Phone calls SMS Whatsapp, Facebook Messenger, <relevant instant="" local="" message="" option=""> Facebook, Twitter, Instagram, <relevant local="" network="" option="" social=""> Facebook Free Basics</relevant></relevant>	FGD1
What kind of training would they like to receive? What would they like to learn?	12. Can you suggest ways in which humanitarian organisations could help you address these difficulties?	Youtube Websites such as <locally list="" relevant=""> Emails Talking or messaging with family, friends, other people close to you Reading or watching the news Search for specific information using Google or <locally engine="" relevant="" search=""> Learning about the security situation Weather forecast and severe weather alerts Information about humanitarian aid Providing feedback to humanitarian agencies about humanitarian aid Torch (flashlight) Online education Health information online Games, entertainment and music Taking photos and video Maps and navigation (e.g. Google Maps) Mobile money Mobile voucher (e.g. received via text message and redeemed for cash at an agent or used at a eligible merchants)</locally></locally>	

What is the availability and cost for connectivity tools and services, mobile phones, and electricity on the local market?	13. What prepaid/postpaid options are there? 14. What are the CFA prices per minute, SMS, megabyte?  15. Are there services that allow to place a call or send message (phone booths, phone rental)?  16. Are there cybercafés or other similar services available? 17. How much do they cost, what services do they offer?  18. Are there WiFi hotspots or other similar services available? 19. How much do they cost, what services do they offer?	Market assessment tool (KI, SDR)
What is the availability and cost for connectivity tools and services, mobile phones, and electricity on the local market?	20. What is the electricity access like? Are there household-level connections, or shared public points? Is electricity delivered constantly, or intermittently? What is the cost?  21. Are there private charging stations or points? How much does it cost to charge a phone there?  22. What kind of energy-related products are available on the local market? Are they popular? What is the cost?  - battery/personal bat	rger/lamp

# 7. Data Management Plan

The Data Management Plan is available upon request.

# 8. Monitoring & Evaluation Plan

IMPACT Objective	External M&E Indicator	Internal M&E Indicator	Focal point	Tool	Will indicator be tracked?
		# of downloads of x product from Resource Center	Country request to HQ	User_log	X Yes
	Number of humanitarian	# of downloads of x product from Relief Web	Country request to HQ		X Yes
Humanitarian stakeholders are	organisations accessing IMPACT services/products	# of downloads of x product from Country level platforms	Country team		□ Yes
accessing IMPACT products	Number of individuals accessing IMPACT	# of page clicks on x product from REACH global newsletter	Country request to HQ		□ Yes
	services/products	# of page clicks on x product from country newsletter, sendingBlue, bit.ly	Country team		X Yes
		# of visits to x webmap/x dashboard	Country request to HQ		□ Yes
IMPACT activities contribute to better program implementation and	Number of humanitarian organisations utilizing	# references in HPC documents (HNO, SRP, Flash appeals, Cluster/sector strategies)	Country team	Reference_I	Utilisation of the survey results by the ETC to inform the implementation of their project.
coordination of the humanitarian response		# references in single agency documents	team	og	Tracking done via direct communication
	Humanitarian actors use IMPACT	Perceived relevance of IMPACT country-programs	Country team	Usage_Feed back and Usage_Surv ey template	Feedback gathered from ETC cluster & implementation partners
Humanitarian stakeholders are	evidence/products as a basis for decision making,	Perceived usefulness and influence of IMPACT outputs			
using IMPACT products	aid planning and delivery	Recommendations to strengthen IMPACT programs  Perceived capacity of IMPACT staff			
	Number of humanitarian	Perceived quality of outputs/programs			

		documents (HNO, HRP, cluster/agency strategic plans, etc.) directly informed by IMPACT products	Recommendations to strengthen IMPACT programs			
stakel engag progr through	of humanitarian  takeholders are  organizations directly	Number and/or percentage of humanitarian organizations directly	# of organisations providing resources (i.e.staff, vehicles, meeting space, budget, etc.) for activity implementation	Country team	Engagement _log	X Yes
	engaged in IMPACT programs throughout the	contributing to IMPACT programs (providing resources, participating to presentations, etc.)	# of organisations/clusters inputting in research design and joint analysis			X Yes
	research cycle		# of organisations/clusters attending briefings on findings;			X Yes