Research Terms of Reference

Sudan IDP – Movement Intentions Assessment SDN 2401

Sudan

January 09th 2024 Version 1



1. Executive Summary

Type of Emergency □ Natural disaster X Conflict □ Other (specify) Type of Crisis X Sudden onset □ Slow onset □ Protracted Mandating Body/ Agency IMPACT Project Code 23AZX Overall Research Timeframe (from research design to final outputs / M&E) Research Timeframe Add planned deadlines (for first cycle if more than 1) All Pilot/ training: 25/02/2024 □ 7. Outputs sent for validation: 09/04/2024 24/03/2024									
Type of Crisis X Sudden onset □ Slow onset □ Protracted Mandating Body/ Agency IMPACT Project Code 23AZX Overall Research Timeframe (from research design to final outputs / M&E) Research Timeframe Add planned deadlines (for first cycle if more than 1) 2. Data collection / Cleaning: 28/02/2024 - 7. Outputs sent for validation: 09/04/2024									
Mandating Body/ Agency IMPACT Project Code Overall Research Timeframe (from research design to final outputs / M&E) Research Timeframe Add planned deadlines (for first cycle if more than 1) January BHA O9/01/2024 to 30/06/2024 09/01/2024 to 30/06/2024 09/01/2024 to 30/06/2024 6. Preliminary presentation: 04/04/2024 2. Data collection / Cleaning: 28/02/2024 - 7. Outputs sent for validation: 09/04/2024									
Agency IMPACT Project Code 23AZX Overall Research Timeframe (from research design to final outputs / M&E) Research Timeframe Add planned deadlines (for first cycle if more than 1) 2. Data collection / Cleaning: 28/02/2024 - 7. Outputs sent for validation: 09/04/2024									
IMPACT Project Code 23AZX Overall Research Timeframe (from research design to final outputs / M&E) Research Timeframe Add planned deadlines (for first cycle if more than 1) 23AZX 09/01/2024 to 30/06/2024 09/01/2024 to 30/06/2024 6. Preliminary presentation: 04/04/2024 2. Data collection / Cleaning: 28/02/2024 - 7. Outputs sent for validation: 09/04/2024									
Overall Research Timeframe (from research design to final outputs / M&E) Research Timeframe Add planned deadlines (for first cycle if more than 1) 2. Data collection / Cleaning: 28/02/2024 - 7. Outputs sent for validation: 09/04/2024									
Timeframe (from research design to final outputs / M&E) Research Timeframe Add planned deadlines (for first cycle if more than 1) Dilot/ training: 25/02/2024 - 26/02/2024 - 7. Outputs sent for validation: 09/04/2024	3AZX								
Add planned deadlines (for first cycle if more than 1) 2. Data collection / Cleaning: 28/02/2024 - 7. Outputs sent for validation: 09/04/2024									
first cycle if more than 1) 2. Data collection? Cleaning, 26/02/2024 - 7. Outputs sent for validation, 09/04/2024									
24/00/2024									
3. Data collected: 24/03/2024 8. Outputs published: 14/04/2024									
4. Data analysed: 25/03/2024 – 31/03/2024 9. Final presentation: 18/04/2024									
5. Data sent for validation: 01/04/2024									
Number of Single assessment (one cycle)									
assessments X Multi assessment (more than one cycle)									
[Conduct two cycles in different locations]									
Humanitarian Milestone Deadline (can be tentative)	Deadline (can be tentative)								
milestones Specify what will the Donor plan/strategy /_/									
assessment inform and Inter-cluster plan/strategy/									
when e.g. The shelter cluster will X Cluster plan/strategy									
use this data to draft its Revised Flash Appeal; X NGO platform plan/strategy//									
□ Other (Specify):/									
Audience Type & Dissemination									
Dissemination Specify Strategic General Product Mailing (e.g. mail to NGO consparticipants; Donors)	ortium; HCT								
Wild will the assessment X Programmatic	and								
disseminate to inform the Cluster Mailing (Education, Shelter and WASH)	□ Cluster Mailing (Education, Shelter and WASH) and presentation of findings at next cluster meeting								
audience ☐ [Other, Specify] X Presentation of findings (e.g. at HCT meeting; C meeting)	uster								
	esource								
□ Website Dissemination (Relief Web & REACH R Centre)									

Stakeholder mapping Has a detailed stakeholder mapping been conducted during research design to identify all actors that could contribute to and/or benefit from the research?		Yes		X	No			
General Objective	of the	The main objective of this assessment is to inform humanitarian actors, particularly the site management clust of the intentions of Internally Displaced Populations in three cities, Kosti, Rabak & Aj Jabalayn in the White N state. The completion of the assessment in White Nile will precede the confirmation of Merowe.						
Specific Objective(s)		 Understand movement intentions of internally displaced people (IDPs) in Kosti, Rabak & Aj Jabalayn in White Nile State. Identify where IDPs in Kosti, Rabak & Aj Jabalayn, White Nile State and Merowe, Northern State are most likely to move to and why the choice of these destinations. 						
Research Questions	3	 How long do IDPs plan to stay in their current location? What are the primary factors influencing movement intentions of IDPs in Kosti, Rabak and Aj Jabalayn - White Nile? Where are the IDPs most likely to move to? What are the reasons behind the preferred destinations? What factors affect IDP returns to Area of Origin (AoO)? What are the priority needs of the IDPs? What are the assistance preferences of the IDPs? 						
Geographic Coverage	Kosti.	Rabak, Aj Jabalayn - White Nile Sta	te.					
Secondary data								
sources								
Population(s)	Х	IDPs in camp		Х	X IDPs in informal sites			
Select all that apply		IDPs in host communities			□ IDPs [Other, Specify]			
		Refugees in camp			Refugees in informal sites			
		Refugees in host communities			Refugees [Other, Specify]			
		Host communities			[Other, Specify]			
Stratification Select type(s) and enter number of strata		Geographical #: Population size per strata is known? □ Yes □ No	Po kn	oup #: pulation own? Yes □ 1		□ [Other Specify] #: Population size per strata is known? □ Yes □ No		
Data collection tool(s)	Х	Structured (Quantitative)			Semi-structured (0	Qualitative)		
	Sampl	ing method		Da	a collection metho	d		
Structured data collection tool # 1 Select sampling and data collection method and specify target # interviews	X Prob	ability / Two-stage random sampling		840	X Household interview (Target #): 840 Households: 210 each from Kosti & Rabak, and 210 each from two sites in Aj Jabalayn.			
Target level of precision if probability sampling	95% lev	vel of confidence		(+/-)5 % margin of error			
Disaggregation by gender and age	Head o	f Household Gender		He	ad of Household Age	9		
Are you planning to conduct sex/age disaggregated	Х	Yes		Х	Yes			
analysis?		No			No			
Data management platform(s)	Х	IMPACT			UNHCR			
		[Other, Specify]		•	•			

Expected ouput type(s)	□ Situation overview #:			Report #: <u>1</u>		Profile #:				
	Χ	Presentation (Preliminary	Χ	Presentation (Final) #: 1	Χ	Factsheet #: 1				
		findings) #: <u>1</u>								
		Interactive dashboard #:_	Map #:							
		[Other, Specify] #:								
Access	Х	Public (available on REACH reso	Public (available on REACH resource center and other humanitarian platforms)							
		Restricted (bilateral disseminatio other platforms)	n only	/ upon agreed dissemination lis	t, no p	oublication on REACH or				
Visibility Specify which	REAC	Н								
logos should be on outputs	Donor	: BHA								
	Coord	ination Framework: NA								
	Partne	ers: Acted								

2. Rationale

2.1 Background

As of February 2024, conflict persists between the Sudanese Armed Forces (SAF) and the Rapid Support Forces (RSF) in Sudan, exacerbating the humanitarian situation. With the battle for control intensifying in various parts of the country, particularly in Darfur, humanitarian access has been impeded. Repeatedly, owing to security concerns, transportation of humanitarian aid to some areas, for example to Darfur and Kordofan States, has been delayed. In some instances, such security concerns for humanitarian actors have proven fatal as exemplified by the attack, in December, on an ICRC convoy in Khartoum killing two and injuring seven¹. The convoy was evacuating 100 civilians from Khartoum to Wad Madani, and because of the attack, the ICRC cancelled the evacuation. The possibility of all-out war in some areas, such as El Fasher is high as hitherto neutral forces join SAF².

In addition, approximately 4.9 million people are classified under Integrated Food Security Phase Classification (IPC) phase 4 (emergency), and in over 25 areas, food insecurity has deteriorated from phase 3 (Acute) to phase 4³. The International Organization for Migration (IOM) Displacement Tracking Matrix has reported a doubling of the number of internally displaced people (IDPs), with ongoing increases.

Against this backdrop, the likelihood of the conflict spreading to other relatively stable or peaceful areas, notably those hosting a significant number of internally displaced persons (IDPs) and closer to conflict hotspots, such as White Nile and the Northern State, is high. Consequently, these security concerns may lead to mass movements of IDPs, providing the rationale for this assessment.

2.2 Intended impact

This assessment aims to provide important insights on the movement intentions of IDPs in Kosti & Rabak, White Nile State, and Merowe, Northern State to humanitarian actors, particularly the site management cluster. It seeks to understand the factors influencing IDP movements, identify potential destinations, and recognize barriers to return to inform the operational and programmatic strategies of humanitarian partners. It also seeks to identify key IDP priority needs and the Accountability of Humanitarian Actors to the Affected Population (AAP). The data collected and assessment therefrom will identify gaps in terms of IDP needs, and facilitate proactive planning for aid provision, such as long-term support in stable areas, mobile responses for displaced populations, or preparations for potential large-scale movements.

In essence, the goal of the assessment is to improve the impact and adaptability of humanitarian initiatives in meeting the changing needs of IDPs in the designated states impacted by the ongoing conflict in Sudan. The assessment will be presented to the site management cluster, and it would inform partners on the assistance preferences of IDPs.

Timely information on the movement intentions of the target population is critical at this stage of the response as it will inform whether IDPs plan to stay longer within White Nile State localities or plan to move to other locations. The findings from this assessment will also support identifying potential triggers for IDPs movements to other locations.

¹ Attack on ICRC Convoy in Khartoum kills two, injures seven.

² Aljazeera

³ Sudan Crisis Situational Analysis: 18 December 2023

3. Methodology

3.1 Methodology overview

The intentions assessment will use two approaches. Based on the relatively volatile situation, the assessment in White Nile will follow a strictly quantitative approach with structured household interviews (standardized closed questions) to collect data from the population of interest. Given the volatile situation in the White Nile, it is advisable to mitigate the potential risks associated with prolonged data collection. Quantitative surveys offer a swift to execution, allowing for paid collection and analysis of data. The statistical rigor of quantitative methods provides reliable and easily interpretable findings, facilitating timely decision-making. This approach ensures the prompt analysis and dissemination of relevant findings, enabling humanitarian actors to respond effectively to the rapidly evolving humanitarian situation in the state.

Prior to data collection, the assessment staff would conduct an extensive secondary data review on the relevant literature derived from a systematic search pertaining to the unfolding situation on the ground.

3.2 Population of interest

The population of interest for the intentions assessment includes IDPs in gathering sites in Kosti, Rabak and Aj Jabalayn, White Nile State. Participants should ideally be those living in IDP sites in Kosti & Rabak, and UNHCR camps in Aj Jabalayn.

In White Nile State, the assessment will target IDP sites within the urban settlements of Kosti & Rabak, where we have access and where KIs have reported the largest group of people displaced from Madani and Khartoum reside, as well as IDPs in UNHCR camps in Aj Jabalayn. There is a possibility of also including displaced populations on the peripheries of established South Sudanese refugee camps, who are reportedly largely people of South Sudanese origin intending to return to Sudan, however this will depend on successful access negotiations.

3.3 Secondary data review

Secondary source	Purpose of source
IOM DTM	- Contextual understanding
<u>OCHA</u>	- Population movements
	- Contextual understanding

3.4 Primary Data Collection

Prior to data collection, the enumerators will receive training on how to administer both qualitative and quantitative questionnaires They will as well receive training on the data collection tool, basic principles in protection and conflict sensitivity during data collection.

Data collection will be carried out by trained enumerators in White Nile State. The total number of enumerators is detailed in the Data Collection Plan. The tool to use for the data collection will be developed by REACH and consist of a structured questionnaire for a household survey primarily focused on movement intentions of IDPs. The questionnaire will be administered in Arabic.

A 2-stage random sampling approach would be employed to select the sites. Two sites would be randomly selected in Kosti, two in Rabak, and two UNHCR camps in Aj Jabalayn. From Kosti and Rabak 210 households each would be interviewed, and 210 households from each UNHCR camp in Aj Jabalayn. At each site, a rough estimate of the total household number will be generated through collaboration with the local authorities/stakeholders. The exact households to be selected for the assessment will be determined by calculating a sampling interval from which the households should be selected. With this approach, a segmentation of the site is required to ensure all areas are touched.

3.5 Data Processing & Analysis

During the quantitative data collection, incoming data will be monitored daily using IMPACT Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) for data monitoring. Incoming data will be monitored both for errors in the design of the research tool, as well as for potentially faulty data entries. The observations of concern will be shared with the field team for clarification, and data will be cleaned daily based on the input of the field team and the decision of the Assessment Officer. After data collection, the analysis will be conducted based on the Data Analysis Plan (DAP) (see below). The DAP reflects the indicators developed by REACH Sudan, in consultation with the global site management cluster in the interest for the assessment. The data will be analyzed using R. The analysis output will consist of frequency tables in Excel, reporting proportions and means for categorical and numerical data indicators respectively. Results of the analysis will be provided at two various levels of (dis-)aggregation, where necessary and weighted for specific sub-group population size. Personal information will be removed before analysis, as well as survey location and site names to protect the privacy and security of respondents.

3.6 Limitations

In the White Nile, the quantitative data alone may not provide a deep understanding of the contextual nuances and underlying reasons for movement intentions. Qualitative insights would have engendered a better interpretation of the quantitative findings within the cultural, social, and rapidly changing political context. Also, the qualitative component is more flexible in capturing unexpected factors that arise during this conflict and which cannot be captured by the predefined variables and questions of the quantitative survey.

It is also worth noting that the findings from this assessment, given random sampling, is representative. It is representative at 95% confidence level and ±5% margin of Error however, it would apply only to IDPs in accessible sites in White Nile, and Northern State. Hence there may be significant differences for IDPs in the Host Communities (HC) / in inaccessible sites. Results should therefore be complemented with other relevant information, particularly insights from the secondary data review before making any extrapolation.

4. Key ethical considerations and related risks

The proposed research design meets / does not meet the following criteria:

The proposed research design	Yes/ No	Details if no (including mitigation)
Has been coordinated with relevant stakeholders to avoid unnecessary duplication of data collection efforts?	Yes	
Respects respondents, their rights and dignity (specifically by: seeking informed consent, designing length of survey/ discussion while being considerate of participants' time, ensuring accurate reporting of information provided)?	Yes	
Does not expose data collectors to any risks as a direct result of participation in data collection?	yes	
Does not expose respondents / their communities to any risks as a direct result of participation in data collection?	yes	
Does not involve collecting information on specific topics which may be stressful and/ or re-traumatising for research participants (both respondents and data collectors)?	No	The training of enumerators would cover approaches to handling these sensitive topics. If there are signs of distress while administering the survey, enumerators are required to stop immediately.
Does not involve data collection with minors i.e. anyone less than 18 years old?	yes	
Does not involve data collection with other vulnerable groups e.g. persons with disabilities, victims/ survivors of protection incidents, etc.?	No	There will not be any direct questions on protection needs.
Follows IMPACT SOPs for management of personally identifiable information ?	yes	

5. Roles and responsibilities

Task Description	Responsible Accountable		Consulted	Informed
Research design	Senior Assessment Officer (SAO)	RM	Country Coordinator (CC) / HQ Research Design & Data	Cluster Coordinator / HQ
Supervising data collection	SAO	RM	Country Coordinator (CC)	
Data processing (checking, cleaning)	RM/Data Officer	RM	SAO / HQ Research Design & Data	

Data analysis	Data Officer	RM/SAO/CC	SAO / HQ Research Design & Data	
Output production	SAO	RM	CC / HQ Reporting	Site management
Dissemination	SAO	SAO	HQ Communication & Disemmination	
Monitoring & Evaluation	SAO	SAO/CC	HQ MEL Unit	
Lessons learned	SAO	SAO/CC		

Responsible: the person(s) who executes the task

Accountable: the person who validates the completion of the task and is accountable of the final output or milestone **Consulted:** the person(s) who must be consulted when the task is implemented: HQ and Site Management Cluster

Informed: the person(s) who need to be informed when the task is completed

6. Data Analysis Plan

1:

Research questions	IN#	Data collection method	Indicator group / sector	Indicator / Variable	Questionnaire Question	Instructions	Questionnaire Responses	Data collection level
N/A (Demographic Profile)	1	HH Interview	Key characteristics	Enumerator ID	Please record your enumerator number	Enter number	Enumerator names	HH
	2	HH Interview	Key characteristics	Locality	In which Locality is the site located?	Select one	final list of SDs, constraint: only the ones for the state should be visible	НН
	3	HH Interview	Key characteristics	Site name	What is the name of the site?	Etc.	final list of sites	НН
	4	HH Interview	Key characteristics	Consent	Hello, my name is "" and I work for ACTED. We are conducting interviews to inform the humanitarian response in Sudan. This interview will take around 40 minutes, and your answers will remain anonymous, and you are free to withdraw at any moment during the survey. The information you provide us will be used in reports and factsheets and	Select one	YN	НН

				shared with humanitarian decision-makers in Sudan. Do you agree to participate?			
5	HH Interview	Key characteristics	Respondent age?	What is the age of the respondent?	Enter number	Integer	HH
6	HH Interview	Key characteristics	Respondent relationship to HHH	What is your relationship to the HHH?	Select One	Head Spouse Child Grandchild Other family member Not a family member	HH
7	HH Interview	Demographics	Age of HHH	What is the age of the HHH? (If respondent is not HHH)	Enter Number	Integer	HH
8	HH Interview	Demographics	Gender of HHH	What is the Gender of the HHH? (If respondent is not HHH)	Enter one	Male / Female	НН
9	HH Interview	Key characteristics	Respondent willing to answer	Are you willing and able to respond to the questions on behalf of the household?	Select one	Yes / No	НН
10	HH Interview	Key characteristics	Respondent gender	What is the gender of the respondent?	Select one	Male / Female	HH
11a	HH Interview	Key characteristics	Interview setting	Observe: if the respondent is female, are there male members of the family present during the interview?	Select one	Yes / No	HH

11b	HH Interview	Key characteristics	Interview setting	If 11a is "Yes", Are you comfortable taking the answering these questions here?	Select one	Yes / No	НН
11c	HH Interview	Key characteristics	Interview setting	If 11b is "No", where do you suggest we do the interview?	Select One	In a more private setting A few steps away Others	HH
12	HH Interview	Demographics	Frequency distribution of arrival dates at the site	When did you or your HH first arrive at this site?	Enter year	A month ago Two months ago Since April 2023 Before Apil 2023	НН
13	HH Interview	Demographics	State of Origin	Which state were you or your HH living before moving here?	Select One	Khartoum North Kordofan Northern Kasala Blue Nile North Dafur South Dafur South Kordofan Gezira White Nile River Nile Red Sea Al Qadarif Sennar West dafur Central Dafur East Dafur West Kordofan	НН
14	HH Interview	Demographics	City / District of Origin	What district was your HH before moving here?	Select	List of Districts	НН

15	HH Interview	Demographics	Nationality	What is your nationality?	Select one	Sudanese South Sudanese Other (Name)	НН
16	HH Interview	Demographics	Reason for this Location	Why did you move to this site?	Select All that applies	Relative Security and Safety Access to basic services Proximity to my home state Shelter Legal protection	НН
17a	HH Interview	Demographics	Travel group	Were there any people from your household or community who wanted to travel here but could not?	Select one	Yes No Not Sure	НН
17b	HH Interview	Demographics	Travel group	If yes in 17a, why were they unable to move?	Select one	Security concerns Restrictions on Movement Lack of resources Limited access to information	
18	HH Interview	Demographics	Household composition - Number, age group, and gender of HH members	How many members of the following groups are part of your HH living in this site, including yourself?	Enter integer for all groups Hint: "A household is a group of people who regularly share meals, income, and expenditures together. Members must acknowledge the authority of one person as	Males 18 and above Females 18 and above Boys aged 12-17 Girls aged 12-17 Boys aged 6-11 Girls aged 6-11 Children below the age of 6	HH

						head of household and that person must actually live with the rest of the household members. For polygamous households, each wife is treated as a distinct household if the wives lived in different houses, cooked separately, and take decisions independently."		
	19	HH Interview	Demographics	Household composition - Relationships of HH members to HHH	Who are these members?	Enter number for each category - total must be equal to HH size	Head of household Spouse of head of household Child of head Sibling of head Parent or parent-in-law of head Grandchild of head Other family member / friend / guest	HH
ay	20a.	HH Interview	Movement Intentions	Movement	Are you planning to stay in this location?	Select One	Yes / No	НН
	20b	HH Interview	Movement Intentions	Movement	If 20a is Yes! How long?	Select one	Short Term - < than 1 month Mid-Terms 1-3 months Long Term Over 3 months Undecided/Unknown	HH

How long do IDPs plan to stay in their current location?
 (Movement Intentions)

		20c	HH Interview	Movement Intentions	Movement	If 20a is Yes, why?	Select One	Relative security and safety Community support and Networks Access to basic services Livelihood opportunities Gov't or (I)NGO Assistance	HH
2.	What are the primary factors influencing movement intentions of IDPs in Kosti & Rabak, White Nile and Merowe, Northern State? a) Where are the IDPs	21a	HH Interview	Movement Intentions	Movement	If 20a is No, short or mid-term, where do you intend to move to?	Select one	Another Location in-State Another Location out of State Another Location – Outside Sudan Do not Know – Still to decide.	HH
	most likely to move to?								
		21b	HH Interview	Movement Intentions	Movement	If 20a is No, short or mid-term, where do you plan to live when you move?	Select one	A gathering site. Rent a location. With Relatives	
		21c	HH Interview	Movement Intentions	Why Movement from current location?	If 20a is No, short or mid-term, why do you/your HH want to move from here?	Select one	Spread of Insecurity or violence Persecution or discrimination Insufficient humanitarian Assistance Distance from family Eviction from site	НН
		22	HH Interview	Movement Intentions	Information needed for movement	What information would you need to inform your decision to move?	Select one	Security and Stability Humanitarian Assistance Proximity to my family Availability of basic needs (Food, shelter, healthcare Others)	НН

b)	What are the reasons behind the preferred destinations?	23	HH Interview	Movement Intentions	Movement/Why the intended location	What informs the choice of your intended location?	Select one	Security and Stability Humanitarian Assistance Proximity to my family Availability of basic needs (Food, shelter, healthcare Others)	НН
		24a	HH Interview	Movement Intentions	Movement / Possible Change of Mind	Would anything make you change your mind about moving?	Select one	Yes / No	HH
		24b	HH Interview	Movement Intentions	Movement / Possible Change of Mind	If 24a is Yes! What?	Select one	Deterioration of security in intended movement destination. Improved humanitarian services in current location? Improved Security in current location Psychosocial Support Protection services in current Legal/Admin Barriers to Movement	HH
c)	What factors affect IDP returns to Area of Origin (AoO)?	25	HH Interview	Movement Intentions	Decision to Return	Have you and your HH attempted to return to from where you came, since you got here?	Select one	Yes / No	HH
		26	HH Interview	Movement Intentions	Decision to Return	Why did you not return?	Select all that apply	Security concerns (Fear/trauma associated with returning to place of origin) Security concerns: Lack of security forces Security concerns: Presence of explosive hazards (mines, bombs, IEDs)	

	Security concerns: Fear of
	discrimination or rejection
	from the community in AoO
	Ongoing community tensions
	Movement restrictions by
	armed or security groups
	Lack of safety and security
	for women and girls
	In need of civil
	documentation (including
	personal IDs, marriage or
	divorce certificates, death
	certificates, inheritance
	documents)
	In need of Security
	Clearance
	No transportation available to
	return home
	No financial means to return
	and restart
	Lack of livelihood/income
	generating activities in AoO
	House/land in AoO is
	damaged/destroyed or
	occupied, or assets have
	been stolen
	Local markets are not
	functioning Basic services in
	the area of origin are not
	enough/available (electricity,
	water)
	Lack of education
	opportunities for children in
	the area of origin Lack of
	healthcare services that
	cover our household needs
	cover our nousehold needs

3. What are the priority needs of IDPs

						Health condition does not allow me to leave the area of displacement Children enrolled at school in the area of displacement Other (State it)	
27	HH Interview	Priority Needs	Priority Needs	What are your priority needs?	Select One	Healthcare Security and Safety Food Shelter WASH Disability-inclusive services Other (List)	НН
28a	HH Interview	Priority Needs	Priority Needs	If you were to receive humanitarian assistance to support these needs, what would be your household's preferred means to receive this?	Select one	Cash Vouchers In-Kind Other	HH
28b	HH Interview	Priority Needs	Priority Needs	Why have you chosen the option above?	Select one	Cash Flexibility to prioritize most pressing needs. Dignity and autonomy to make choices. Access to a wide range of goods and services Vouchers Targeted assistance Sense of security as they are for specific goods. Access to the most essential goods.	НН

			In Kind Immediate relief and basic
			necessities
			Assurance of essential
			supplies
			Limitation of misuse and
			exploitation
			Cushions against effect of
			inflation
			Lack of access to markets

7. Data Management Plan

Administrative Data	0 1 1 1 1 1	,							
Research Cycle name	Sudan Intentions Assessment								
Project Code	23AZX								
Donor	BHA								
Project partners	Acted								
Research Contacts		sessment Officer, <u>melaine.nsaikila@</u> ator, Sudan, <u>helen.pittam@impact-in</u>							
Data Management Plan Version	Date: 17/01/2024	Version.	: _01	1					
Related Policies	NA	•							
Documentation and Metadata									
What documentation and metadata will accompany the data? Select all that apply	□ Data analysis plar	1		Data Cleaning Log, including: □ Deletion Log □ Value Change Log					
	X Code book			Data Dictionary					
	□ Metadata based o	n HDX Standards		[Other, Specify]					
Ethics and Legal Compliance									
Which ethical and legal measures will be taken?		pants to participate		Consent of participants to share personal information with other agencies					
will be taken?	X No collection of p	ersonally identifiable data will	Χ	Gender, child protection and other protection					
	take place			issues are taken into account					
	X All participants reached age of majority			[Other, Specify]					
Intellectual Property Rights for the data that is collected? Storage and Backup	_								
Where will data be stored and backed up during the research?	□ IMPACT/REACH	Kobo Server		Other Kobo Server: [specify]					
	X IMPACT Global P	hysical / Cloud Server		Country/Internal Server					
	□ On devices held b	y REACH staff		Physical location [specify]					
	□ [Other, Specify]	,							
Which data access and security measures have been taken?	X Password protection on devices/servers			Data access is limited to [specify, e.g. REACH staff]					
	□ Form and data collection server	encryption on data		Partners signed an MoU if accessing raw data					
	□ [Other, Specify]	1							
Preservation									
Where will data be stored for long-term preservation?	X IMPACT / REACH Server	Global Cloud / Physical		OCHA HDX					
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	□ REACH Country Server			[Other, Specify]					
Data Sharing									
Will the data be shared publically?	□ Yes		Χ	No, only with mandating agency / body					
Will all data be shared?	□ Yes			No, only anonymized/ cleaned/ consolidated [delete what does not apply] data will be shared					

			□ No, [Other, Specify]					
Where will you share the data?			REACH Resource Centre			OCHA HDX		
			□ HumanitarianResponse			[Other, Specify]		
Data protection risk as	ssessment							
Have you completed the Assessment table below			□ Yes			No, no information that potentially allows identification of individuals is to be collected.		
		[Ple	ase complete the first 4 columns in t	he Indicators Risk A	ssess	sment table below]		
Risk indicator (including direct and indirect identifiers)	(including direct and		Disclosure implications	Benefits		Class	Required mitigation	
[Specify indicator, e.g. KI_phone number]	[Specify indicator, [Specify identification		[Specify implications, e.g. loss of privacy/potential target of armed actors]	[Specify benefits e.g. follow up fo data cleaning]		[To be completed by IMPACT HQ]	[To be specified by IMPACT HQ]	
[Add relevant number of rows for risk indicators]								
Responsibilities								
Data collection			Melaine Nsaikila, Senior Assessment Officer, melaine.nsaikila@impact-initiatives.org					
Data cleaning			Abdoul N'dao, Data Officer, abdoul.ndao@impact-initiatives.org					
Data analysis		Abdoul N'dao, Data Officer, abdoul.ndao@impact-initiatives.org						
Data sharing/uploading		Melaine Nsaikila, Senior Assessment Officer, melaine.nsaikila@impact-initiatives.org						