Joint Multi Sectoral Needs Assessment (J-MSNA): Union-level findings

December 2023 **Bangladesh**

Context

Considered as a stateless minority, the Rohingya in Myanmar were denied citizenship in 1982 by the government of Myanmar and have faced violence and discrimination over decades.¹ Following a wave of Myanmar military violence in August 2017 in Rakhine State that the UN designated as "a textbook example of ethnic cleansing",² 730,000 Rohingya refugees have fled to nearby Cox's Bazar, Bangladesh.³

Bangladesh hosts now more than 967,000 Rohingya refugees, in some of the largest and most densely populated refugee camps in the world.⁴ With limited movements, access to regular income and livelihood opportunities in camps,⁵ it is estimated that 95% of the Rohingya refugee population is moderately to highly vulnerable,⁶ and remain entirely dependent on humanitarian assistance.

At the same time, Cox's Bazar district is characterised by some of the poorest living conditions in the country, with approximately 33% of its population living below the poverty line,⁷ mostly rural communities (78%),⁸ that are quite isolated and thus with difficult access to basic services such as healthcare and education.

With the refugee population being almost double the host community population in Ukhiya and Teknaf,⁹ the massive increase in population density following the influx, coupled with the pre-existing lack of livelihoods and levels of poverty and vulnerability among the host community population, has led to tensions over labour competition, falling wages and price hikes of daily essentials.¹⁰

Most of the agricultural land in Ukhiya and Teknaf is no longer available due to the establishment of refugee camps, and overcrowding has also heightened the risk of landslides and fires. The perceived increases in crime and security concerns, and high stress over environmental resources leading to deforestation and the depletion of water sources, have been reported as sources of tensions between host community and refugees. The same stress of the same stress of tensions between host community and refugees.

In addition, large camp areas are in hilly, formerly forested areas that are highly vulnerable to landslides and flash-flooding during the monsoon season. The provisional materials of refugee camp shelters and the poor living conditions of the host community makes both population groups especially vulnerable to weather conditions such as with Cyclone Mocha in May 2023, and Cyclone Hamoon in October 2023.

Table 1: Number of households (HHs) interviewed per union

Union Name	HHs Interviewed
Raja Palong	105
Haldia Palong	105
Jalia Palong	105
Ratna Palong	105
Palong Khali	105
Nhilla	105
Sabrang	103
Whykong	105
Baharchara	104
Teknaf	102
Teknaf Paurashava	105
Total	1,149

- 1. UNHCR, Rohingya Refugee Crisis Explained (August 2023). Available here.
- 2. United Nations, UN human rights chief points to 'textbook example of ethnic cleansing' in Myanmar (September 2017). Available here.
- 3. Cox's Bazar has received multiple waves of Rohingya refugees from Myanmar since the 1970s
- 4. Joint Government of Bangladesh, UNHCR Population Factsheet (As of October 2023). Available here.
- 5. Population Council, Assessment of Economic Opportunities for Young Rohingyas in Bangladesh (November 2022). Available here.
- 6. World Food Programme (WFP), Refugee Influx Emergency Vulnerability Assessment (REVA-6) Cox's Bazar and Bhasan Char, Bangladesh (June 2023). Available here.
- 7. Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics & World Food Programme, Poverty maps of Bangladesh 2016: key findings (December 2020). Available here.
- 8. World Bank, Bangladesh Interactive Poverty Maps (November 2016). Available here.
- 9. Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics, Population & Housing Census-2011, National Volume-2: Union Statistics (Dhaka, 2011).
- 10. ACAPS & IOM-NPM, Cox's Bazar Upazila Profiles (September 2020). Available here.
- 11. UNDP, Impacts of the Rohingya Refugee Influx on Host Communities (November 2018). Available here.
- 12. ACAPS & ISCG, Joint Multi-Sector Needs Assessment (J-MSNA): Host Communities In-depth (August-September 2019). Available here.
- 13. ISCG, United Nations Bangladesh and UNHCR, Bangladesh: Cyclone Mocha Humanitarian Response, Situation Report (May 2023). Available here.
- 14. IRC, Bangladesh: Cyclone Hamoon ravages Cox's Bazar as a severe cyclonic storm, affecting over 450,000 lives and damaging 13 IRC learning centres (October 2023). Available https://example.com/hemosphere/











ii Methodology

The 2023 J-MSNA aims to:

- Provide a detailed overview of the current humanitarian needs and gaps of the host community population (by sector and across sectors) in Cox's Bazar district to inform the 2024 Joint Response Plan,
- Understand the drivers and severity of needs of the host community population from sector-specific and inter-sectoral
 perspectives,
- Identify variations in needs among sub-population groups and geographical area (unions) in order to inform response prioritisation and strategic planning, particularly for the most vulnerable people.

The assessment design was a collaborative effort involving all relevant sectors, working groups, and thematic experts active in the Humanitarian response in Bangladesh response. The Multi-Sector Needs Assessment Technical Working Group (MSNA TWG), led by the Inter-Sector Coordination Group (ISCG), coordinated such efforts. Key partners include REACH, ACTED, NPM-IOM, UNHCR, and other stakeholders. Regular consultations and dedicated meetings ensured input from all sectors and stakeholders.


The assessment covering the host community population focused on all host community households in the 11 unions in Ukhiya and Teknaf. International Organization for Migration (IOM) Bangladesh Needs and Population Monitoring (NPM-IOM) contributed to the J-MSNA by conducting 1,149 face-to-face household surveys, using a stratified random sampling approach, with a 95% confidence level and a 10% margin of error at the union level. Aiming to collect a balanced number of gender responses, NPM-IOM collected data with a gender-balanced team of enumerators, and thus achieved 50% of female and 50% of male respondents in the host community household survey. The household surveys collected data from 6,288 individuals. Data collection took place between the 27 August and 17 September 2023 with Kobo Collect. REACH performed the data cleaning (see <u>IMPACT minimum standards</u>) and analysis.

This 2023 J-MSNA is the fourth J-MSNA conducted in Bangladesh since 2018, and the first conducted with face-to-face interviews since 2019.

Limitations

- The assessment relies on the households' ability to self-report on many indicators, and therefore certain biases may exist within the findings. Some indicators may be under- or over-reported due to the subjective perceptions of the respondents. These potential biases should be taken into consideration when interpreting findings, particularly those referring to sensitive issues.
- Findings referring to a subset of the total population may have a wider margin of error and a lower level of precision. Therefore, results may not be generalizable with a known confidence level and margin of error, and should be considered indicative only.
- The J-MSNAs are designed to provide an analysis from a multi-sectoral perspective. They don't provide a detailed understanding of all sectors and thematic concerns. As such, in-depth sectoral assessments and triangulation with other sources is required to complement and deepen the analysis.
- The unit of analysis of the survey is the household. As such, only limited information can be collected relating to conditions and experiences of specific members of the household. The resulting household data is therefore likely to conceal intra-household differences.

♥ Host community coverage















SUMMARY OF RESULTS



Priority Needs

While in the 2021 J-MSNA the most commonly first priority was accessing food (65%), in the 2023 J-MSNA a wider range of priorities was reported: the most commonly first ranked priority need was food (33%), shelter materials (14%) and access to water (10%).

Over half (62%) of the households reported being able to afford fewer goods and services compared to this time last year, especially access to food (81%), health services (43%) and Incomegenerating activities (42%).

THUMANITATION Assistance

Of the 18% of households who reported having received humanitarian assistance in the 12 months prior to data collection, they reported this assistance was mostly provided by the government (61%) and humanitarian organizations (42%). The most commonly reported types of assistance received were **food** assistance (45%) and cash assistance (39%).

A large majority of households were satisfied with humanitarian assistance (96%).



Food Security

Overall, 88% of households had an acceptable food consumption score (FCS), 15 and 12% had a borderline FCS, with a high borderline FCS reported in the Palong Khali Union (29%) and Ratna Palong Union (27%).

More than half of the households (63%) reported not having or having a low reduced Coping Strategies Index (rCSI).16 Over a quarter of them (36%) were reported to have a medium rCSI. More than half of the households (55%) had to rely on less preferred and less expensive food to cope with a lack of food or money, and 40% had to borrow food or rely on support from friends or relatives.

The majority of households reported using coping strategies (77%), mostly to afford food (89%) or to cover health expenses (73%). In terms of the severity of coping strategies, 52% of households reportedly were using stress, 21% crisis, and 4% emergency coping strategies.¹⁷ The most commonly reported coping strategies were buying on credit/borrowing food (67%), borrowing money (63%), and spending savings (38%).



Livelihoods and Skills development

The most commonly reported sources of income or cash inflow of households over the last 30 days prior to data

collection were loans or support from family/friends (not including remittances, 61%), incomes from own production (34%) and from business (31%).18 The median household income was 12,000 BDT per month (110 USD), while they received 6,000 BDT from other cash inflows (55 USD).18 19

Overall, reported frequent expenses of households were covered by their reported sources of income, with households having reported monthly median expenses of 15,350 (141 USD).²⁰ Remaining income after deduction of all expenses was 5,650 BDT (52 USD). It should be taken into consideration that there were infrequent expenditures over the 6 months, which would contribute to higher expenses over a month. The reported median total amount for infrequent expenditures over the last 6 months was 27,000 BDT (248 USD),²¹ which if one assumes this expenditure was evenly spread every month, it would be additional 4,500 BDT (41 USD) to their monthly expenditures. Additionally, the sources of income included regular and irregular employment, donations, loans, and remittances among others. Overall, a relatively high proportion of households took loans to meet their basic needs in the 30 days prior data collection (63%).

Food was the largest reported expense for the households and represented 47% of the frequent expenditures. Over a quarter of the households (29%) reported not having any income/livelihoods opportunities nearby as a barrier to income opportunities.



♀ Protection

A third of households (33%) reported that one or more of their household members showed signs of psychosocial distress. This percentage is particularly high in households with at least one member with a disability (51%).

Only 3% of households reported they feel very unsafe walking alone at night in their area/neighbourhood, and 11% reported they feel a bit unsafe.²² The majority of households (67%) didn't report any protection issue in the area. Those who reported being concerned about protection issues mentioned crime and violence (15%), drug or alcohol abuse or consumption (12%), and property disputes (10%).

In terms of gender-based violence services, female respondents reported that they would refer to Community-based dispute resolution mechanisms,²³ (49%) or Health facilities (37%).



ि कें Shelter & NFIs

Households' most reported types of shelters were kutcha (51%) and semi-pucca (35%).²⁴ Whereas kutcha are made of temporary

- 15. The Food Consumption Score is an indicator which represents households' dietary diversity and nutrient intake and is calculated by inspecting how often households consume food items from different food groups during the 7 days before the survey. The FCS is calculated according to United Nations World Food Programme's most recent technical guidelines, as of February 2008. Available here.
- 16. The reduced Coping Strategy Index (rCSI) is an indicator used to understand the frequency and severity of changes in food consumption-based coping mechanisms in the seven days prior to data collection when households are faced with a shortage of food. More information here.
- 17. Coping strategies are classified into three categories, based on the severity of the strategies used. Stress: spent savings, bought food on credit or borrowed food, borrowed money, sold households' assets/goods, sold NFI or food assistance. Crisis: sold productive assets or means of transport, reduced essential non-food expenditures, withdrew children from school, whole household migrated, child marriage. Emergency: child work, adults engaged in high-risk or illegal activities, begged and/or scavenged.
- 18. Income sources: regular labour, casual or daily labour, income from own business or trade, income from own production, cash for work. Other cash inflow sources: loans from family or community members, humanitarian assistance, charitable donations, selling relief items, remittances, income from rent, government social assistance, other sources 19. The effective exchange rate for Bangladesh was reported to be 109 Bangladeshis Takas (BDT) for 1 US dollar (USD) in September 2023.
- 20. Frequent expenditures include food items, rent, water, regular purchases of NFIs, utilities, fuel, transportation, communications and health frequent expenses during the 30 days prior to data collection.
- 21. Infrequent expenditures include shelter maintenance or repair, infrequent purchases of NFIs, health-related expenditures, education-related expenditures, debt repayment and festival expenses during the 6 months prior to data collection.
- 22. The feeling of safety after dark might be under-reported, given that the survey conditions (limited possibilities to ensure privacy) didn't allow for total confidentiality
- 23. E.g. local authorities, elderly citizens, chief traditional leaders
- 24. Kutcha is a type of house made of branches, mud, tarpaulin, or jute. Semi-pucca is a house where either the roof or the walls are made of 'pucca' materials such as burnt bricks, stone, cement, concrete or timber.











materials, semi-pucca are made of semi-permanent materials. The predominance of kutcha shelters in Bangladesh, and particularly in rural areas,²⁵ affects shelter security and households' vulnerability during the cyclone season.²⁶ The majority of households (93%) reported owning their shelter.

Over half of the households (60%) reported having damage/ noticeable issue in their enclosure. The most commonly reported enclosure damages were leaks during rain (53%) and minor damage to roof (cracks, openings, 40%).

The majority (93%) of households reported NFI needs, most commonly solar lamps/panels (54%), torches/handheld lights (47%) and pressure cookers (47%).

Over half of the households (56%) reported having improved their shelter in the 12 months prior to data collection. **Of the 44% of households who reported not improving their shelters,** 45% reported not improving it because there was **no need to improve**, and 42% because they **didn't have money to pay for materials.**

Education

Overall, **81% of school-aged children** (referred to as 5-18 y.o.) **were enrolled and regularly attending formal school** (4 days out of 5 or 80% of attendance) during the 2022-2023 school year. However, this percentage lowered to 70% for households with at least 1 member with a disability.

The majority of children (91%) aged 5 to 11 were enrolled and regularly attending school, whereas only 72% of children aged 12 to 18 were enrolled and regularly attending school. **The main reported reason for school drop-out for children aged 12 to 18 was that the households could not afford education costs** (60%).

Girls were also more likely to drop out from school because

they got married or pregnant (15%) compared to boys (0%), and boys were more likely to drop out because they were helping at home/ farm (17%) compared to girls (7%).

Only 22% of children aged 4 (corresponding to pre-primary level) reportedly attended any early childhood education program at any time during the 2022-2023 school year.

Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene (WASH)

Less than half of households reported having had access to an improved drinking water source (42%).²⁷ The most commonly reported sources of drinking water were deep tubewell (unimproved drinking water source, 44%), and water piped into dwelling (improved drinking water source, 27%).

Overall, half of the households (47%) reported using single pit latrines with slab and 23% twin pit latrines with slab.

Almost a quarter of the households (23%) reported not having any handwashing place in their dwelling/yard/ plot.

🕏 Health

During the 3 months prior to data collection, **55% of individuals reported needing healthcare**. Of the 55% of individuals who reported needing healthcare, 12% reported they were not able to obtain health care.

Overall, households' most reported barriers to accessing healthcare were the **cost of treatment** (29%), the **distance to health facility** (20%) and the **absence of functional health facility nearby** (19%).²⁸ All households who needed healthcare reported they needed to pay for health services (99%).

COORDINATED BY:



ABOUT REACH

REACH Initiative facilitates the development of information tools and products that enhance the capacity of aid actors to make evidence-based decisions in emergency, recovery and development contexts. The methodologies used by REACH include primary data collection and in-depth analysis, and all activities are conducted through inter-agency aid coordination mechanisms. REACH is a joint initiative of IMPACT Initiatives, ACTED and the United Nations Institute for Training and Research - Operational Satellite Applications Programme (UNITAR-UNOSAT).

FUNDED BY:



Funded by European Union Civil Protection and Humanitarian Aid





Global Affairs Canada Affaires mondiales Canada





- 25. International Conference on Engineering Research, Innovation and Education, School of Applied Sciences & Technology, Sylhet, An Analysis of the Construction of Kutcha Houses (Dwellings and Non-Dwellings) in Bangladesh (February 2022). Available https://example.com/https://ex
- 26. Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences, Bangladesh's vulnerability to cyclonic coastal flooding (March 2022). Available here.
- 27. Improved drinking water sources include tapstands such as public tap/standpipe, piped into compound, piped water tap/tapstand into settlement site, piped to neighbour, piped into dwelling.
- 28. This question was asked to all households regardless of if they needed or not healthcare in the 3 months prior to data collection.











Table of Contents

Context	1
Methodology	2
Summary of Findings	3
Teknaf Upazila's Unions	
Baharchara	6
Nhilla	9
Sabrang	12
Teknaf	15
Teknaf Paurashava	18
Whykong	21
Ukhiya Upazila's Unions	
Haldia Palong	24
Jalia Palong	27
Palong Khali	30
Raja Palong	33
Ratna Palong	36
Unions Comparative Overview	39
Annex 1	40









Host Community

Joint Multi Sectoral Needs Assessment: **Baharchara Union**

December 2023

Bangladesh


■ Introduction

This factsheet provides an overview of the current humanitarian needs and vulnerabilities of the population in **Baharchara Union** in **Teknaf**, Cox's Bazar district. Primary data was collected through **104** household surveys (including buffer) between the 27th of August and the 14th of September 2023. Households were randomly sampled. Findings are generalisable at a 95% confidence level and with a 10% margin of error at the union level.

For more information on the methodology, see our <u>Terms of reference and Data Analysis Plan</u>.

SUMMARY OF SECTORAL NEEDS

% of households with sectoral living standard gaps1



Union Overview

Number of individuals: 28,805 Number of HHs: 4,832 Average HH size (individuals): 5 Upazila: Teknaf

†** Survey Demographics

† 46%	6 Males Fem	ales 54%	Ť
4%	+60	3%	ó
22%	18-59	26	%
15%	6-17	16	%
5%	0-5	9%	Ď

Triority Needs

Most commonly first ranked priority need:2

Access to food	31%	
Shelter materials/upgrade	21%	
Access to clean drinking water	11%	

of HHs reported that they can afford fewer goods and services compared to last year

Top three most commonly reported basic needs that the HHs could afford at the time of data collection, compared to last year:³

Food	84%	
Cooking Fuel	48%	
Shelter materials/upgrade	45%	

Aid Distribution

of HHs reported receiving humanitarian assistance in the 12 months prior to data collection

Most commonly reported type of assistance received:4

Food assistance	58%	
Cash assistance	32%	
NFI assistance	21%	

Government	68%	
Humanitarian organizations	37%	

- 1. For more information, refer to annex 1.
- 2. Respondents could select up to 3 options. Findings may therefore exceed 100%.
- 3. Respondents could select up to 5 options. Findings may therefore exceed 100%.
- 4. Respondents could select multiple options. Findings may therefore exceed 100%











Reduced Coping Strategy Index (rCSI)¹





70%	None or Low
30%	Medium
0%	High

Livelihoods-based Coping Strategies (LCS)^{2,3}

Emergency	5%
Crisis	21%
Stress	54%
None	20%



The most commonly reported coping strategies were found to be:4

Borrowed money	66%	
Bought food on credit or borrow food	65%	
Spent savings to meet essential needs	54%	

Livelihoods and Skills Developement

Median HH Income and Expenditure (month prior data collection

Income:6 15,000 BDT (138 USD)5 Other cash inflows:6 6,250 BDT (57 USD)5 Expenditure: 15,925 BDT (146 USD)5

of HHs with at least one member earning an income

Top three most commonly reported HH income and cash inflow sources in the 30 days prior to data collection:

Loans, support from family/friends	73%
Income from own production	43%
Income from own business or regular trade	34%

Main monthly HH expenditures in the 30 days prior to data collection:

Food	61%	
Transportation	7%	
Health	7%	


25%

of HHs reported not having any income/livelihoods **opportunities** nearby

♠ Shelter & NFIs

Most commonly reported shelter types:






of HHs reported having improved their shelter **54%** in the 12 months prior to data collection

of HHs reported not living in a functional domestic space7

Top three most commonly reported enclosure issues:4




Top three most commonly reported NFI needs:4



Education

Reported regular school attendance by age and gender:8



17% of children aged 4 were reportedly receiving early childhood education

Of the 15% of HHs who reported that at least one schoolaged child (5-18 y.o) was not enrolled or was not attending school regularly,8 in the 2022-2023 school year, the most commonly reported barriers included:

- Cannot afford education-related costs
- Marriage and/or pregnancy
- 1. The reduced Coping Strategy Index (rCSI) is an indicator used to understand the frequency and severity of changes in food consumption-based coping mechanisms in the seven days prior to data collection when households are faced with a shortage of food. More information here.
- 2. This is an indicator used to understand medium and long-term coping capacity of households in response to a lack of food or lack of money to buy food and their ability to overcome challenges in the future. The use of emergency, crisis or stress level livelihoods-based coping strategies typically reduces HHs' overall resilience, in turn, increasing the likelihood of depleting resources to cover basic needs gaps.
- 3. Stress: spent savings, bought food on credit or borrowed food, borrowed money, sold HH assets/goods, sold NFI or food assistance. Crisis: sold productive assets or means of transport, reduced essential non-food expenditures, withdrew children from school, whole HH migrated, child marriage. Emergency: child work, adults engaged in high-risk or illegal activities, begged and/or scavenged.
- 4. Respondents could select multiple options. Findings may therefore exceed 100%.
- 5. The effective exchange rate for Bangladesh was reported to be 109 Bangladeshis Takas (BDT) for 1 US dollar (USD) in September 2023.
- 6. Income sources: regular labour, casual or daily labour, income from own business or trade, income from own production, cash for work. Other cash inflow sources: loans from family or community members, humanitarian assistance, charitable donations, selling relief items, remittances, income from rent, government social assistance, other sources.
- 7. A functional domestic space where households can cook store water sleep, and use lectricity wihtout issues. If selected can do with issues or cannot do at all, domestic space was considered not functional.
- 8. Definition of regularly: 4 days out of 5 or 80% of attendance











ষ্ট Health

Of the **57% of individuals who required healthcare services** in the three months prior to data collection:



96% Received healthcare4% Didn't receive healthcare

Of the **4%** of individuals who needed healthcare but were unable to receive it, **most reported unmet healthcare needs**:^{1,2}

Consultation or drugs for acute illness

Consultation or drugs for chronic illness

6%

Preventative consultation / check-up

3%

54% of HHs reported facing barriers to access healthcare*, with the top three most commonly reported barriers including:

None 46%
Cost of treatment 32%
Long waiting time for the service/overcrowded 30%

* The question was asked to all HHs regardless of if they needed or not healthcare in the 3 months prior to data collection.

Average travel time to the nearest, functional healthcare facility, by normal mode of transportation:

≤15 minutes 62%
16-30 minutes 31%
31-60 minutes 5%
1-2 hours 2%



Water, Sanitation and Hygiene (WASH)

Access to functioning handwashing facilities:3



88% Fixed or mobile handwashing place

12% No handwashing place

Top primary sources of drinking water:



Deep tubewell

Shallow tubewell

Piped into dwelling

42%

17%

Top three most commonly reported sanitation facility types:



Single pit latrine with slabTwin Pit Latrine with slabFlush to septic tank

types.

of HHs reported using unimproved latrine facilities⁴

Access to an improved drinking water source:

of HHs reported having access to an improved drinking water source⁵

Protection

Top three most commonly reported protection risks:6

Crime and violence	35 %	
Drugs, alcohol abuse or consumption	14%	
Property disputes	11%	

Psychosocial distress:

24%

of HHs reported having a household member showing **signs of psychosocial distress or trauma**, of which **8%** HHs reported that at least one of their **children (3-17)** showed these signs⁷

Feeling of safety after dark while walking alone in the neighbourhood:

Never walk alone after dark0%Very safe37%Fairly safe38%Bit unsafe20%Very unsafe5%

Top three most commonly reported service points for GBV:1

Law enforcement officials

Community-based mechanisms

Health facilities

35%

31%

29%

- 1. Respondents could select multiple options. Findings may therefore exceed 100%
- 2. Findings from very small subsets should be considered indicative only.
- 3. Answers collected through observation.
- 4. Unimproved latrine facilities include single pit latrines without slab and no facility/bush/field.
- 5. Improved drinking water sources include tapstands such as public tap/standpipe, piped into compound, piped water tap/tapstand into settlement site, piped to neighbour, piped into dwelling.
- 6. Households could select up to 3 options unless they selected "None" 55%. Findings may therefore exceed 100%.
- 7. Signs of distress or trauma include: nightmares, lasting sadness, extreme fatigue without doing work, being often tearful; hopeless for the future; avoiding people, places or activities due to feelings of distress; anxiety or extreme worry for the future; extreme anger and out of control; uninterested in things that they used to like; unable to carry out essential activities; changes in appetite or sleep pattern compared to usual.









Joint Multi Sectoral Needs Assessment: Nhilla Union

December 2023

Bangladesh

■ Introduction

This factsheet provides an overview of the current humanitarian needs and vulnerabilities of the population in **Nhilla Union** in **Teknaf**, Cox's Bazar district. Primary data was collected through **105** household surveys (including buffer) between the 27th of August and the 14th of September 2023. Households were randomly sampled. Findings are generalisable at a 95% confidence level and with a 10% margin of error at the union level.

For more information on the methodology, see our <u>Terms of reference and Data Analysis Plan</u>.

SUMMARY OF SECTORAL NEEDS


% of households with sectoral living standard gaps1

Education	32%	7 % 25%
Food Security	4%	0% 4%
Livelihoods	37%	7% 30%
Health	28%	1% 27%
Protection	24%	8% 16%
Shelter and NFIs	45%	1% 44%
WASH	52%	50% 2%
	■ Scale 4 or 4+ ■ Scale 3	

Union Overview

Number of individuals: 46,896
Number of HHs: 8,271
Average HH size (individuals): 6
Upazila: Teknaf

†** Survey Demographics



Triority Needs

Most commonly first ranked priority need:2

Access to food	30%	
Access to clean drinking water	16%	
Shelter materials/upgrade	15%	

of HHs reported that they can afford fewer goods and services compared to last year

Top three most commonly reported basic needs that the HHs could afford at the time of data collection, compared to last year:³

Food	80%	
Cooking Fuel	45%	
Health services/medicine	39%	

Aid Distribution

of HHs reported receiving humanitarian assistance in the 12 months prior to data collection

Most commonly reported type of assistance received:4

Food assistance	50 %	
Cash assistance	36%	
WASH assistance	14%	

Government	71%	
Humanitarian organizations	29%	

- 1. For more information, refer to annex 1.
- 2. Respondents could select up to 3 options. Findings may therefore exceed 100%.
- 3. Respondents could select up to 5 options. Findings may therefore exceed 100%.
- 4. Respondents could select multiple options. Findings may therefore exceed 100%











Reduced Coping Strategy Index (rCSI)¹





63%	None or Low
36%	Medium
1%	High

Livelihoods-based Coping Strategies (LCS)^{2,3}

Emergency	6%	
Crisis	18%	
Stress	59%	
None	17%	



The most commonly reported coping strategies were found to be:⁴

Bought food on credit or borrow food	81%	
Borrowed money	71%	
Spent savings to meet essential needs	40%	

Livelihoods and Skills Developement

Median HH Income and Expenditure (month prior data collection

Income:⁶ 10,000 BDT (92 USD)⁵ Other cash inflows:⁶ 8,000 BDT (73 USD)⁵ Expenditure: 15,000 BDT (138 USD)⁵

30% of HHs with at least one member earning an income

Top three most commonly reported HH income and cash inflow sources in the 30 days prior to data collection:

Loans, support from family/friends	70 %	
Income from own production	34%	
Cash for work	31%	

Main monthly HH expenditures in the 30 days prior to data collection:

Food	57 %	
Transportation	10%	
Fuel	6%	

of HHs reported **not having any income/livelihoods opportunities** nearby

♠ Shelter & NFIs

Most commonly reported shelter types:






11%	Pucca
34%	Semi-pucca
51 %	Kutcha
3%	Jhuprie

of HHs reported having **improved their shelter** in the 12 months prior to data collection

of HHs reported **not living in a functional** domestic space⁷

Top three most commonly reported enclosure issues:⁴




Top three most commonly reported NFI needs:4



Torches/handheld lights	50 %	
Solar lamps/panels	50 %	
Pressure cookers	50 %	

Education

Reported regular school attendance by age and gender:8



13% of children aged 4 were reportedly receiving early childhood education

Of the 22% of HHs who reported that at least one schoolaged child (5-18 y.o) was not enrolled or was not attending school regularly,⁸ in the 2022-2023 school year, the most commonly reported barriers included:

- Cannot afford education-related costs
- Education is not a priority
- 1. The reduced Coping Strategy Index (rCSI) is an indicator used to understand the frequency and severity of changes in food consumption-based coping mechanisms in the seven days prior to data collection when households are faced with a shortage of food. More information here.
- 2. This is an indicator used to understand medium and long-term coping capacity of households in response to a lack of food or lack of money to buy food and their ability to overcome challenges in the future. The use of emergency, crisis or stress level livelihoods-based coping strategies typically reduces HHs' overall resilience, in turn, increasing the likelihood of depleting resources to cover basic needs gaps.
- 3. Stress: spent savings, bought food on credit or borrowed food, borrowed money, sold HH assets/goods, sold NFI or food assistance. Crisis: sold productive assets or means of transport, reduced essential non-food expenditures, withdrew children from school, whole HH migrated, child marriage. Emergency: child work, adults engaged in high-risk or illegal activities, begged and/or scavenged.
- 4. Respondents could select multiple options. Findings may therefore exceed 100%.
- 5. The effective exchange rate for Bangladesh was reported to be 109 Bangladeshis Takas (BDT) for 1 US dollar (USD) in September 2023.
- 6. Income sources: regular labour, casual or daily labour, income from own business or trade, income from own production, cash for work. Other cash inflow sources: loans from family or community members, humanitarian assistance, charitable donations, selling relief items, remittances, income from rent, government social assistance, other sources.
- 7. A functional domestic space where households can cook store water sleep, and use lectricity without issues. If selected can do with issues or cannot do at all, domestic space was considered not functional.
- 8. Definition of regularly: 4 days out of 5 or 80% of attendance.











ਏ Health

Of the 54% of individuals who required healthcare services in the three months prior to data collection:



85% Received healthcare Didn't receive healthcare Of the 15% of individuals who needed healthcare but were unable to receive it, most reported unmet healthcare needs:1,2

Consultation or drugs for acute illness 50% Consultation or drugs for chronic illness 50% Preventative consultation / check-up 0%

57% of HHs reported facing barriers to access healthcare*, with the top three most commonly reported barriers including:1

43% Cost of treatment Health facility is too far away 22%

* The question was asked to all HHs regardless of if they needed or not healthcare in the 3 months prior to data collection.

Average travel time to the nearest, functional healthcare facility, by normal mode of transportation:

≤15 minutes 38% 16-30 minutes 47% 31-60 minutes 1-2 hours 1%



ל Water, Sanitation and Hygiene (WASH)

Access to functioning handwashing facilities:3



77% Fixed or mobile handwashing place

No handwashing place

Top primary sources of drinking water:



Deep tubewell 38% Piped to neighbour 30% Piped into dwelling 19%

Top three most commonly reported sanitation facility types:



Single pit latrine with slab 51% Twin Pit Latrine with slab 21% Flush to septic tank 14%

Access to an improved drinking water source:

of HHs reported having access to an improved drinking water source5

of HHs reported using unimproved latrine facilities4

₩ Protection

Top three most commonly reported protection risks:6

Crime and violence	5%	
Environmental degradation	5%	
Drugs, alcohol abuse or consumption	5%	

Psychosocial distress:

of HHs reported having a household member showing signs of psychosocial distress or trauma, of which 6% HHs reported that at least one of their children (3-17) showed these signs⁷

Feeling of safety after dark while walking alone in the neighbourhood:

Never walk alone after dark 1% Very safe 42% Fairly safe 35% Bit unsafe 16% Very unsafe 6%

Top three most commonly reported service points for GBV:1

Community-based mechanisms 62% Law enforcement officials 42% Health facilities 28%

- 1. Respondents could select multiple options. Findings may therefore exceed 100%.
- 2. Findings from very small subsets should be considered indicative only.
- 3. Answers collected through observation.
- 4. Unimproved latrine facilities include single pit latrines without slab and no facility/bush/field.
- 5. Improved drinking water sources include tapstands such as public tap/standpipe, piped into compound, piped water tap/tapstand into settlement site, piped to neighbour, piped into dwelling.
- 6. Households could select up to 3 options unless they selected "None" 83%. Findings may therefore exceed 100%.
- 7. Signs of distress or trauma include: nightmares, lasting sadness, extreme fatigue without doing work, being often tearful; hopeless for the future; avoiding people, places or activities due to feelings of distress; anxiety or extreme worry for the future; extreme anger and out of control; uninterested in things that they used to like; unable to carry out essential activities; changes in appetite or sleep pattern compared to usual.









Joint Multi Sectoral Needs Assessment: **Sabrang Union**

December 2023

Bangladesh


■ Introduction

This factsheet provides an overview of the current humanitarian needs and vulnerabilities of the population in **Sabrang Union** in **Teknaf**, Cox's Bazar district. Primary data was collected through **103** household surveys (including buffer) between the 27th of August and the 14th of September 2023. Households were randomly sampled. Findings are generalisable at a 95% confidence level and with a 10% margin of error at the union level.

For more information on the methodology, see our <u>Terms of reference and Data Analysis Plan</u>.

SUMMARY OF SECTORAL NEEDS


% of households with sectoral living standard gaps1



Union Overview

Number of individuals: 58,358
Number of HHs: 9,970
Average HH size (individuals): 5
Upazila: Teknaf

†** Survey Demographics



Triority Needs

Most commonly first ranked priority need:2

Access to food	43%	
Shelter materials/upgrade	15%	
Access to health services/medicine	10%	

of HHs reported that they can afford fewer goods and services compared to last year

Top three most commonly reported basic needs that the HHs could afford at the time of data collection, compared to last year:³

Food	67 %	
Income-generating activities	59%	
Safe/functional latrines	37%	

Aid Distribution

of HHs reported receiving humanitarian assistance in the 12 months prior to data collection

Most commonly reported type of assistance received:4

Cash assistance	62%	
Food assistance	38%	
NFI assistance	17%	

Humanitarian organizations	72 %	
Government	38%	

- 1. For more information, refer to annex 1.
- 2. Respondents could select up to 3 options. Findings may therefore exceed 100%.
- 3. Respondents could select up to 5 options. Findings may therefore exceed 100%.
- 4. Respondents could select multiple options. Findings may therefore exceed 100%











Reduced Coping Strategy Index (rCSI)¹





72%	None or Low
28%	Medium
0%	High

Livelihoods-based Coping Strategies (LCS)^{2,3}

Emergency	4%
Crisis	28%
Stress	53%
None	15%



The most commonly reported coping strategies were found to be:⁴

Borrowed money	76%
Bought food on credit or borrow food	74%
Spent savings to meet essential needs	37%

Livelihoods and Skills Developement

Median HH Income and Expenditure (month prior data collection

Income:⁶ 10,000 BDT (92 USD)⁵
Other cash inflows:⁶ 6,000 BDT (55 USD)⁵
Expenditure: 15,000 BDT (138 USD)⁵

29% of HHs with at least one member earning an income

Top three most commonly reported HH income and cash inflow sources in the 30 days prior to data collection:

Loans, support from family/friends	70%
Income from own production	39%
Casual or daily labour (excl. CFW)	29%

Main monthly HH expenditures in the 30 days prior to data collection:

Food	60%	
Transportation	8%	
Health	8%	

37% of HHs reported **not having any income/livelihoods opportunities** nearby

♠ Shelter & NFIs

Most commonly reported shelter types:






8%	Pucca
31%	Semi-pucca
52 %	Kutcha
9%	Jhuprie

of HHs reported having **improved their shelter** in the 12 months prior to data collection

75% of HHs reported **not living in a functional domestic space**⁷

Top three most commonly reported enclosure issues:⁴



Top three most commonly reported NFI needs:4




Solar lamps/panels 67%

Pressure cookers 52%

Batteries 50%

Education

Reported regular school attendance by age and gender:8



of children aged 4 were reportedly receiving early childhood education

Of the 20% of HHs who reported that at least one schoolaged child (5-18 y.o) was not enrolled or was not attending school regularly,⁸ in the 2022-2023 school year, the most commonly reported barriers included:

- · Cannot afford education-related costs
- Education is not a priority
- 1. The reduced Coping Strategy Index (rCSI) is an indicator used to understand the frequency and severity of changes in food consumption-based coping mechanisms in the seven days prior to data collection when households are faced with a shortage of food. More information here.
- 2. This is an indicator used to understand medium and long-term coping capacity of households in response to a lack of food or lack of money to buy food and their ability to overcome challenges in the future. The use of emergency, crisis or stress level livelihoods-based coping strategies typically reduces HHs' overall resilience, in turn, increasing the likelihood of depleting resources to cover basic needs gaps.
- 3. Stress: spent savings, bought food on credit or borrowed food, borrowed money, sold HH assets/goods, sold NFI or food assistance. Crisis: sold productive assets or means of transport, reduced essential non-food expenditures, withdrew children from school, whole HH migrated, child marriage. Emergency: child work, adults engaged in high-risk or illegal activities, begged and/or scavenged.
- 4. Respondents could select multiple options. Findings may therefore exceed 100%.
- 5. The effective exchange rate for Bangladesh was reported to be 109 Bangladeshis Takas (BDT) for 1 US dollar (USD) in September 2023.
- So the encetween regular labour, casual or daily labour, income from own business or trade, income from own production, cash for work. Other cash inflow sources: loans from family or community members, humanitarian assistance, charitable donations, selling relief items, remittances, income from rent, government social assistance, other sources.
- 7. A functional domestic space where households can cook store water sleep, and use lectricity without issues. If selected can do with issues or cannot do at all, domestic space was considered not functional.
- 8. Definition of regularly: 4 days out of 5 or 80% of attendance.











Of the 57% of individuals who required healthcare services in the three months prior to data collection:



86% Received healthcare Didn't receive healthcare Of the 14% of individuals who needed healthcare but were unable to receive it, most reported unmet healthcare needs:1,2

Consultation or drugs for acute illness 95% Consultation or drugs for chronic illness Preventative consultation / check-up 5%

68% of HHs reported facing barriers to access healthcare*, with the top three most commonly reported barriers including:1

Cost of treatment	40%	
None	32%	
No functional health facility nearby	28%	

The question was asked to all HHs regardless of if they needed or not healthcare in the 3 months prior to data collection.

Average travel time to the nearest, functional healthcare facility, by normal mode of transportation:





ל Water, Sanitation and Hygiene (WASH)

Access to functioning handwashing facilities:3



73% Fixed or mobile handwashing place No handwashing place

Top primary sources of drinking water:



Deep tubewell 52% Shallow tubewell 25% Piped into dwelling 18%

Top three most commonly reported sanitation facility types:



Single pit latrine with slab 51% Twin Pit Latrine with slab 22% Flush to septic tank 17%

of HHs reported using unimproved latrine facilities4

Access to an improved drinking water source:

of HHs reported having access to an improved drinking water source5

₩ Protection

Top three most commonly reported protection risks:6

Property disputes	20%	
Environmental degradation	5%	
Intimate partner violence	5%	

Psychosocial distress:

of HHs reported having a household member showing signs of psychosocial distress or trauma, of which 11% HHs reported that at least one of their children (3-17) showed these signs⁷

Feeling of safety after dark while walking alone in the neighbourhood:

Never walk alone after dark	0%
Very safe	45%
Fairly safe	47%
Bit unsafe	6%
Very unsafe	2%

Top three most commonly reported service points for GBV:1

Community-based mechanisms	63%	
Health facilities	29%	
Law enforcement officials	24%	

- 1. Respondents could select multiple options. Findings may therefore exceed 100%.
- 2. Findings from very small subsets should be considered indicative only.
- 3. Answers collected through observation.
- 4. Unimproved latrine facilities include single pit latrines without slab and no facility/bush/field.
- 5. Improved drinking water sources include tapstands such as public tap/standpipe, piped into compound, piped water tap/tapstand into settlement site, piped to neighbour, piped into dwelling.
- 6. Households could select up to 3 options unless they selected "None" 72%. Findings may therefore exceed 100%.
- 7. Signs of distress or trauma include: nightmares, lasting sadness, extreme fatigue without doing work, being often tearful; hopeless for the future; avoiding people, places or activities due to feelings of distress; anxiety or extreme worry for the future; extreme anger and out of control; uninterested in things that they used to like; unable to carry out essential activities; changes in appetite or sleep pattern compared to usual.











Joint Multi Sectoral Needs Assessment:

Teknaf Union

December 2023

Bangladesh

■ Introduction

This factsheet provides an overview of the current humanitarian needs and vulnerabilities of the population in **Teknaf Union** in **Teknaf**, Cox's Bazar district. Primary data was collected through **102** household surveys (including buffer) between the 27th of August and the 14th of September 2023. Households were randomly sampled. Findings are generalisable at a 95% confidence level and with a 10% margin of error at the union level.

For more information on the methodology, see our <u>Terms of reference and Data Analysis Plan</u>.

SUMMARY OF SECTORAL NEEDS

% of households with sectoral living standard gaps1

Education	31%	8 % 23%
Food Security	1% ♦	0% 1%
Livelihoods	34%	6% 28%
Health	24%	1% 23%
Protection	27%	4% 23%
Shelter and NFIs	41%	3% 38%
WASH	59%	59% 0%
	■ Scale 4 or 4+ ■ Scale 3	

Union Overview

Number of individuals: 47,708
Number of HHs: 8,467
Average HH size (individuals): 6
Upazila: Teknaf

†** Survey Demographics

† 51%	Males Fema	ales 49% 🛊
4%	+60	2%
26%	18-59	25%
15%	6-17	15%
6%	0-5	7%

☆ Priority Needs

Most commonly first ranked priority need:2

Access to food	32%	
Access to clean drinking water	18%	
Shelter materials/upgrade	11%	

of HHs reported that they can afford fewer goods and services compared to last year

Top three most commonly reported basic needs that the HHs could afford at the time of data collection, compared to last year:³

Food	87%	
Income-generating activities	51 %	
Health services/medicine	46%	

Aid Distribution

of HHs reported **receiving humanitarian assistance** in the 12 months prior to data collection

Most commonly reported type of assistance received:4

Food assistance	70 %	
WASH assistance	20%	
Cash assistance	15%	

Government	75%	
Humanitarian organizations	30%	

- 1. For more information, refer to annex 1.
- 2. Respondents could select up to 3 options. Findings may therefore exceed 100%.
- 3. Respondents could select up to 5 options. Findings may therefore exceed 100%.
- 4. Respondents could select multiple options. Findings may therefore exceed 100%









Reduced Coping Strategy Index (rCSI)¹






61%	None or Low
35%	Medium
4%	High

Livelihoods-based Coping Strategies (LCS)^{2,3}

Emergency	5%	
Crisis	22%	
Stress	48%	
None	25%	



Bought food on credit or borrow food	67%
Borrowed money	60%
Spent savings to meet essential needs	29%

Livelihoods and Skills Developement

Median HH Income and Expenditure (month prior data collection

Income:⁶ 15,000 BDT (138 USD)⁵ Other cash inflows:⁶ 6,000 BDT (55 USD)⁵ Expenditure: 17,275 BDT (158 USD)⁵

28% of HHs with at least one member earning an income

Top three most commonly reported HH income and cash inflow sources in the 30 days prior to data collection:

Loans, support from family/friends	58%	
Income from own production	35%	
Casual or daily labour (excl. CFW)	34%	

Main monthly HH expenditures in the 30 days prior to data collection:

Food	60%	
Transportation	8%	
Health	7%	

25% of HHs reported **not having any income/livelihoods opportunities** nearby

♠ Shelter & NFIs

Most commonly reported shelter types:






11%	Pucca
37%	Semi-pucca
47%	Kutcha
5%	Jhuprie

of HHs reported having **improved their shelter** in the 12 months prior to data collection

of HHs reported **not living in a functional** domestic space⁷

Top three most commonly reported enclosure issues:4




Top three most commonly reported NFI needs:4



Education

Reported regular school attendance by age and gender:8



of children aged 4 were reportedly receiving early childhood education

Of the 31% of HHs who reported that at least one schoolaged child (5-18 y.o) was not enrolled or was not attending school regularly, in the 2022-2023 school year, the most commonly reported barriers included:

- Cannot afford education-related costs
- Education is not a priority
- 1. The reduced Coping Strategy Index (rCSI) is an indicator used to understand the frequency and severity of changes in food consumption-based coping mechanisms in the seven days prior to data collection when households are faced with a shortage of food. More information here.
- 2. This is an indicator used to understand medium and long-term coping capacity of households in response to a lack of food or lack of money to buy food and their ability to overcome challenges in the future. The use of emergency, crisis or stress level livelihoods-based coping strategies typically reduces HHs' overall resilience, in turn, increasing the likelihood of depleting resources to cover basic needs gaps.
- 3. Stress: spent savings, bought food on credit or borrowed food, borrowed money, sold HH assets/goods, sold NFI or food assistance. Crisis: sold productive assets or means of transport, reduced essential non-food expenditures, withdrew children from school, whole HH migrated, child marriage. Emergency: child work, adults engaged in high-risk or illegal activities, begged and/or scavenged.
- 4. Respondents could select multiple options. Findings may therefore exceed 100%.
- 5. The effective exchange rate for Bangladesh was reported to be 109 Bangladeshis Takas (BDT) for 1 US dollar (USD) in September 2023.
- 6. Income sources: regular labour, casual or daily labour, income from own business or trade, income from own production, cash for work. Other cash inflow sources: loans from family or community members, humanitarian assistance, charitable donations, selling relief items, remittances, income from rent, government social assistance, other sources.
- 7. A functional domestic space where households can cook store water sleep, and use lectricity without issues. If selected can do with issues or cannot do at all, domestic space was considered not functional.
- 8. Definition of regularly: 4 days out of 5 or 80% of attendance.









ষ্ট Health

Of the **55% of individuals who required healthcare services** in the three months prior to data collection:



86% Received healthcare14% Didn't receive healthcare

Of the **14%** of individuals who needed healthcare but were unable to receive it, **most reported unmet healthcare needs**:^{1,2}

Consultation or drugs for acute illness

Preventative consultation / check-up

Consultation or drugs for chronic illness

4%

53% of HHs reported facing barriers to access healthcare*, with the top three most commonly reported barriers including:

None	47%	
Cost of treatment	33%	
No functional health facility nearby	29%	

* The question was asked to all HHs regardless of if they needed or not healthcare in the 3 months prior to data collection.

Average travel time to the nearest, functional healthcare facility, by normal mode of transportation:

≤15 minutes	26%
16-30 minutes	53%
31-60 minutes	20%
1-2 hours	1%



Water, Sanitation and Hygiene (WASH)

Access to functioning handwashing facilities:3

17%



67% Fixed or mobile handwashing place

33% No handwashing place

Top primary sources of drinking water:



Deep tubewell

Piped into dwelling

Shallow tubewell

33%

13%

Top three most commonly reported sanitation facility types:



Single pit latrine with slabFlush to septic tank

by three most commonly reported summation racinty types.

Flush to septic tank
Twin Pit Latrine with slab

of HHs reported using unimproved latrine facilities⁴

Access to an improved drinking water source:

of HHs reported having access to an improved drinking water source⁵

₩ Protection

Top three most commonly reported protection risks:6

Crime and violence 35%

Drugs, alcohol abuse or consumption 21%

Disputes between host communities and 13% refugees

Psychosocial distress:

26%

of HHs reported having a household member showing **signs of psychosocial distress or trauma**, of which **5%** HHs reported that at least one of their **children (3-17)** showed these signs⁷

Feeling of safety after dark while walking alone in the neighbourhood:

Never walk alone after dark2%Very safe30%Fairly safe43%Bit unsafe23%Very unsafe2%

Top three most commonly reported service points for GBV:1

Community-based mechanisms

Health facilities

Law enforcement officials

43%

39%

31%

- 1. Respondents could select multiple options. Findings may therefore exceed 100%.
- 2. Findings from very small subsets should be considered indicative only.
- 3. Answers collected through observation.
- 4. Unimproved latrine facilities include single pit latrines without slab and no facility/bush/field.
- 5. Improved drinking water sources include tapstands such as public tap/standpipe, piped into compound, piped water tap/tapstand into settlement site, piped to neighbour, piped into dwelling.
- 6. Households could select up to 3 options unless they selected "None" 52%. Findings may therefore exceed 100%.
- 7. Signs of distress or trauma include: nightmares, lasting sadness, extreme fatigue without doing work, being often tearful; hopeless for the future; avoiding people, places or activities due to feelings of distress; anxiety or extreme worry for the future; extreme anger and out of control; uninterested in things that they used to like; unable to carry out essential activities; changes in appetite or sleep pattern compared to usual.









Host Community

Joint Multi Sectoral Needs Assessment:

Teknaf Paurashava

December 2023

Bangladesh

■ Introduction

This factsheet provides an overview of the current humanitarian needs and vulnerabilities of the population in **Teknaf Paurashava Union** in **Teknaf**, Cox's Bazar district. Primary data was collected through **105** household surveys (including buffer) between the 27th of August and the 14th of September 2023. Households were randomly sampled. Findings are generalisable at a 95% confidence level and with a 10% margin of error at the union level.

For more information on the methodology, see our <u>Terms of reference and Data Analysis Plan</u>.

SUMMARY OF SECTORAL NEEDS


% of households with sectoral living standard gaps1

Education	20%	4% 16%
Food Security	2%	0% 2%
Livelihoods	28%	2% 26%
Health	30% <	1% 29%
Protection	9%	3% 6%
Shelter and NFIs	29%	2% 27%
WASH	50%	45% 5%
	■ Scale 4 or 4+ ■ Scale 3	

Union Overview

Number of individuals: 25,056
Number of HHs: 4,752
Average HH size (individuals): 6
Upazila: Teknaf

†** Survey Demographics



Triority Needs

Most commonly first ranked priority need:2

Access to food	32%	
Shelter materials/upgrade	14%	
Electricity/solar lamps/batteries	10%	

of HHs reported that they can afford fewer goods and services compared to last year

Top three most commonly reported basic needs that the HHs could afford at the time of data collection, compared to last year:³

Food	82%	
Shelter materials/upgrade	52%	
Health services/medicine	48%	

Aid Distribution

17%

of HHs reported **receiving humanitarian assistance** in the 12 months prior to data collection

Most commonly reported type of assistance received:4

Cash assistance	78%	
Food assistance	17%	
NFI assistance	6%	

Government		67 %	
Humanitarian o	organizations	33%	

- 1. For more information, refer to annex 1.
- 2. Respondents could select up to 3 options. Findings may therefore exceed 100%.
- 3. Respondents could select up to 5 options. Findings may therefore exceed 100%.
- 4. Respondents could select multiple options. Findings may therefore exceed 100%











Reduced Coping Strategy Index (rCSI)¹





57%	None or Low
41%	Medium
2%	High

Livelihoods-based Coping Strategies (LCS)^{2,3}

Emergency	2%	
Crisis	20%	
Stress	46%	
None	32%	



The most commonly reported coping strategies were found to be:4

Bought food on credit or borrow food	60%	
Borrowed money	50%	
Spent savings to meet essential needs	41%	

Livelihoods and Skills Developement

Median HH Income and Expenditure (month prior data collection

Income:6 15,000 BDT (138 USD)5 Other cash inflows:6 8,000 BDT (73 USD)5 Expenditure: 16,800 BDT (154 USD)⁵

31% of HHs with at least one member earning an income

Top three most commonly reported HH income and cash inflow sources in the 30 days prior to data collection:

Loans, support from family/friends	53%	
Income from own business or regular trade	45%	
Salaried work	29%	

Main monthly HH expenditures in the 30 days prior to data collection:

Food	56%	
Transportation	8%	
Health	7%	

of HHs reported not having any income/livelihoods **19% opportunities** nearby

♠ Shelter & NFIs

Most commonly reported shelter types:






13%	Pucca
57 %	Semi-pucca
25%	Kutcha
5%	Jhuprie

of HHs reported having improved their shelter **47%** in the 12 months prior to data collection

of HHs reported not living in a functional 70% domestic space7

Top three most commonly reported enclosure issues:4




Top three most commonly reported NFI needs:4



Education

Reported regular school attendance by age and gender:8



10% of children aged 4 were reportedly receiving early childhood education

Of the 22% of HHs who reported that at least one schoolaged child (5-18 y.o) was not enrolled or was not attending school regularly,8 in the 2022-2023 school year, the most commonly reported barriers included:

- Cannot afford education-related costs
- Education is not a priority
- 1. The reduced Coping Strategy Index (rCSI) is an indicator used to understand the frequency and severity of changes in food consumption-based coping mechanisms in the seven days prior to data collection when households are faced with a shortage of food. More information here.
- 2. This is an indicator used to understand medium and long-term coping capacity of households in response to a lack of food or lack of money to buy food and their ability to overcome challenges in the future. The use of emergency, crisis or stress level livelihoods-based coping strategies typically reduces HHs' overall resilience, in turn, increasing the likelihood of depleting resources to cover basic needs gaps.
- 3. Stress: spent savings, bought food on credit or borrowed food, borrowed money, sold HH assets/goods, sold NFI or food assistance. Crisis: sold productive assets or means of transport, reduced essential non-food expenditures, withdrew children from school, whole HH migrated, child marriage. Emergency: child work, adults engaged in high-risk or illegal activities, begged and/or scavenged.
- 4. Respondents could select multiple options. Findings may therefore exceed 100%.
- 5. The effective exchange rate for Bangladesh was reported to be 109 Bangladeshis Takas (BDT) for 1 US dollar (USD) in September 2023.
- 6. Income sources: regular labour, casual or daily labour, income from own business or trade, income from own production, cash for work. Other cash inflow sources: loans from family or community members, humanitarian assistance, charitable donations, selling relief items, remittances, income from rent, government social assistance, other sources.
- 7. A functional domestic space where households can cook store water sleep, and use lectricity wihtout issues. If selected can do with issues or cannot do at all, domestic space was considered not functional.
- 8. Definition of regularly: 4 days out of 5 or 80% of attendance









ਏ Health

Of the 55% of individuals who required healthcare services in the three months prior to data collection:



89% Received healthcare Didn't receive healthcare Of the 11% of individuals who needed healthcare but were unable to receive it, most reported unmet healthcare needs:1,2

Consultation or drugs for acute illness 83% Preventative consultation / check-up Consultation or drugs for chronic illness

37% of HHs reported facing barriers to access healthcare*, with the top three most commonly reported barriers including:1

None	63%		
Cost of treatment	27%		
Long waiting time for the service/overcrowded	10%		
* The question was asked to all HHs regardless of if they needed or no	t healthcar	e in the	

Average travel time to the nearest, functional healthcare facility, by normal mode of transportation:

≤15 minutes	62%	
16-30 minutes	37%	
31-60 minutes	1%	
1-2 hours	0%	

Water, Sanitation and Hygiene (WASH)

Access to functioning handwashing facilities:3



3 months prior to data collection.

85% Fixed or mobile handwashing place No handwashing place

Top primary sources of drinking water:



Piped into dwelling 38% Deep tubewell 30% Piped to neighbour 14%

Top three most commonly reported sanitation facility types:



Flush to septic tank 53% Single pit latrine with slab 30% Twin Pit Latrine with slab 8%

Access to an improved drinking water source:

of HHs reported having access to an improved drinking water source5

of HHs reported using unimproved latrine facilities4

₩ Protection

Top three most commonly reported protection risks:6

Property disputes	20%	
Environmental degradation	19%	
Crime and violence	12%	

Psychosocial distress:

of HHs reported having a household member showing signs of psychosocial distress or trauma, of which 0% HHs reported that at least one of their children (3-17) showed these signs⁷

Feeling of safety after dark while walking alone in the neighbourhood:

Never walk alone after dark	2%
Very safe	63%
Fairly safe	29%
Bit unsafe	6%
Very unsafe	0%

Top three most commonly reported service points for GBV:1

Community-based mechanisms	64%	
Health facilities	49%	
Law enforcement officials	38%	

- 1. Respondents could select multiple options. Findings may therefore exceed 100%.
- 2. Findings from very small subsets should be considered indicative only.
- 3. Answers collected through observation.
- 4. Unimproved latrine facilities include single pit latrines without slab and no facility/bush/field.
- 5. Improved drinking water sources include tapstands such as public tap/standpipe, piped into compound, piped water tap/tapstand into settlement site, piped to neighbour, piped into dwelling.
- 6. Households could select up to 3 options unless they selected "None" 57%. Findings may therefore exceed 100%.
- 7. Signs of distress or trauma include: nightmares, lasting sadness, extreme fatigue without doing work, being often tearful; hopeless for the future; avoiding people, places or activities due to feelings of distress; anxiety or extreme worry for the future; extreme anger and out of control; uninterested in things that they used to like; unable to carry out essential activities; changes in appetite or sleep pattern compared to usual.









Joint Multi Sectoral Needs Assessment: Whykong Union

December 2023

Bangladesh


■ Introduction

This factsheet provides an overview of the current humanitarian needs and vulnerabilities of the population in **Whykong Union** in **Teknaf**, Cox's Bazar district. Primary data was collected through **105** household surveys (including buffer) between the 27th of August and the 14th of September 2023. Households were randomly sampled. Findings are generalisable at a 95% confidence level and with a 10% margin of error at the union level.

For more information on the methodology, see our <u>Terms of reference and Data Analysis Plan</u>.

SUMMARY OF SECTORAL NEEDS

% of households with sectoral living standard gaps1



Union Overview

Number of individuals: 50,863 Number of HHs: 8,867 Average HH size (individuals): 6 Upazila: Teknaf

†** Survey Demographics

† 51%	6 Males Fem	ales 4	9% †
3%	+60		2%
25%	18-59		23%
16%	6-17		17%
7%	0-5		7%

Triority Needs

Most commonly first ranked priority need:2

Access to food	35%	
Shelter materials/upgrade	18%	
Access to clean drinking water	13%	

70% of HHs reported that they can afford fewer goods and services compared to last year

Top three most commonly reported basic needs that the HHs could afford at the time of data collection, compared to last year:³

Food	79 %	
Cooking Fuel	55%	
Income-generating activities	45%	

Aid Distribution

16%

of HHs reported **receiving humanitarian assistance** in the 12 months prior to data collection

Most commonly reported type of assistance received:4

Food assistance	35%	
Cash assistance	35%	
WASH assistance	24%	

Government	53%	
Humanitarian organizations	47%	

- 1. For more information, refer to annex 1.
- 2. Respondents could select up to 3 options. Findings may therefore exceed 100%.
- 3. Respondents could select up to 5 options. Findings may therefore exceed 100%.
- 4. Respondents could select multiple options. Findings may therefore exceed 100%









Reduced Coping Strategy Index (rCSI)¹





66%	None or Low
34%	Medium
0%	High

Livelihoods-based Coping Strategies (LCS)^{2,3}

Emergency	2%
Crisis	22%
Stress	55%
None	21%



The most commonly reported coping strategies were found to be:4

Bought food on credit or borrow food	70 %	
Borrowed money	69%	
Spent savings to meet essential needs	36%	

Livelihoods and Skills Developement

Median HH Income and Expenditure (month prior data collection

Income:6 12,000 BDT (110 USD)5 Other cash inflows:6 7,000 BDT (64 USD)⁵ Expenditure: 14,000 BDT (128 USD)5

27% of HHs with at least one member earning an income

Top three most commonly reported HH income and cash inflow sources in the 30 days prior to data collection:

Loans, support from family/friends	66%	
Casual or daily labour (excl. CFW)	42%	
Income from own production	31%	

Main monthly HH expenditures in the 30 days prior to data collection:

Food	62%	
Transportation	9%	
Health	6%	1

of HHs reported not having any income/livelihoods 23% **opportunities** nearby

♠ Shelter & NFIs

Most commonly reported shelter types:






8%	Pucca
34%	Semi-pucca
53%	Kutcha
5%	Jhuprie

of HHs reported having improved their shelter **49%** in the 12 months prior to data collection

of HHs reported not living in a functional domestic space7

Top three most commonly reported enclosure issues:4



Top three most commonly reported NFI needs:4





Education

Reported regular school attendance by age and gender:8



45% of children aged 4 were reportedly receiving early childhood education

Of the 14% of HHs who reported that at least one schoolaged child (5-18 y.o) was not enrolled or was not attending school regularly,8 in the 2022-2023 school year, the most commonly reported barriers included:

- Cannot afford education-related costs
- Not able to register or enrol child in the school
- 1. The reduced Coping Strategy Index (rCSI) is an indicator used to understand the frequency and severity of changes in food consumption-based coping mechanisms in the seven days prior to data collection when households are faced with a shortage of food. More information here.
- 2. This is an indicator used to understand medium and long-term coping capacity of households in response to a lack of food or lack of money to buy food and their ability to overcome challenges in the future. The use of emergency, crisis or stress level livelihoods-based coping strategies typically reduces HHs' overall resilience, in turn, increasing the likelihood of depleting resources to cover basic needs gaps.
- 3. Stress: spent savings, bought food on credit or borrowed food, borrowed money, sold HH assets/goods, sold NFI or food assistance. Crisis: sold productive assets or means of transport, reduced essential non-food expenditures, withdrew children from school, whole HH migrated, child marriage. Emergency: child work, adults engaged in high-risk or illegal activities, begged and/or scavenged.
- 4. Respondents could select multiple options. Findings may therefore exceed 100%.
- 5. The effective exchange rate for Bangladesh was reported to be 109 Bangladeshis Takas (BDT) for 1 US dollar (USD) in September 2023.
- 6. Income sources: regular labour, casual or daily labour, income from own business or trade, income from own production, cash for work. Other cash inflow sources: loans from family or community members, humanitarian assistance, charitable donations, selling relief items, remittances, income from rent, government social assistance, other sources.
- 7. A functional domestic space where households can cook store water sleep, and use lectricity wihtout issues. If selected can do with issues or cannot do at all, domestic space was considered not functional.
- 8. Definition of regularly: 4 days out of 5 or 80% of attendance









ਝੇ Health

Of the **57% of individuals who required healthcare services** in the three months prior to data collection:



79% Received healthcare21% Didn't receive healthcare

Of the **21%** of individuals who needed healthcare but were unable to receive it, **most reported unmet healthcare needs**:^{1,2}

Consultation or drugs for acute illness

Preventative consultation / check-up

Consultation or drugs for chronic illness

9%

51% of HHs reported facing barriers to access healthcare*, with the top three most commonly reported barriers including:

None	49%	
Cost of treatment	29%	
Health facility is too far away	28%	

* The question was asked to all HHs regardless of if they needed or not healthcare in the 3 months prior to data collection.

Average travel time to the nearest, functional healthcare facility, by normal mode of transportation:

≤15 minutes	36%
16-30 minutes	46%
31-60 minutes	18%
1-2 hours	0%



Water, Sanitation and Hygiene (WASH)

Access to functioning handwashing facilities:3



70% Fixed or mobile handwashing place30% No handwashing place

Top primary sources of drinking water:



Deep tubewell 50%

Piped to neighbour 18%

Piped into dwelling 12%

Top three most commonly reported sanitation facility types:



54% Single pit latrine with slab25% Twin Pit Latrine with slab10% Flush to septic tank

Access to an improved drinking water source:

of HHs reported having access to an improved drinking water source⁵

5% of HHs reported using unimproved latrine facilities⁴

Protection

Top three most commonly reported protection risks:6

Crime and violence	8%	
Property disputes	6%	
Environmental degradation	4%	

Psychosocial distress:

of HHs reported having a household member showing signs of psychosocial distress or trauma, of which 8% HHs reported that at least one of their children (3-17) showed these signs⁷

Feeling of safety after dark while walking alone in the neighbourhood:

_	
Never walk alone after dark	2%
Very safe	42%
Fairly safe	29%
Bit unsafe	18%
Very unsafe	9%



Top three most commonly reported service points for GBV:1

Community-based mechanisms	63%	
Health facilities	51%	
Law enforcement officials	35%	

- 1. Respondents could select multiple options. Findings may therefore exceed 100%.
- 2. Findings from very small subsets should be considered indicative only.
- 3. Answers collected through observation.
- 4. Unimproved latrine facilities include single pit latrines without slab and no facility/bush/field.
- 5. Improved drinking water sources include tapstands such as public tap/standpipe, piped into compound, piped water tap/tapstand into settlement site, piped to neighbour, piped into dwelling.
- 6. Households could select up to 3 options unless they selected "None" 87%. Findings may therefore exceed 100%.
- 7. Signs of distress or trauma include: nightmares, lasting sadness, extreme fatigue without doing work, being often tearful; hopeless for the future; avoiding people, places or activities due to feelings of distress; anxiety or extreme worry for the future; extreme anger and out of control; uninterested in things that they used to like; unable to carry out essential activities; changes in appetite or sleep pattern compared to usual.









Joint Multi Sectoral Needs Assessment:

Haldia Palong Union

December 2023

Bangladesh

■ Introduction

This factsheet provides an overview of the current humanitarian needs and vulnerabilities of the population in **Haldia Palong Union** in **Ukhiya**, Cox's Bazar district. Primary data was collected through **105** household surveys (including buffer) between the 27th of August and the 14th of September 2023. Households were randomly sampled. Findings are generalisable at a 95% confidence level and with a 10% margin of error at the union level.

For more information on the methodology, see our <u>Terms of reference and Data Analysis Plan</u>.

SUMMARY OF SECTORAL NEEDS

% of households with sectoral living standard gaps1

Education	20%	5% 15%
Food Security	1% ♦	0% 1%
Livelihoods	39%	7% 32%
Health	24%	1% 23%
Protection	6%	1% 5%
Shelter and NFIs	50%	4% 46%
WASH	53%	53% 0%
	■ Scale 4 or 4+ ■ Scale 3	

Union Overview

Number of individuals: 47,461 Number of HHs: 9,006 Average HH size (individuals): 5 Upazila: Ukhiya

†** Survey Demographics

† 47% Ma	ales Fem	nales 5	3% 🛊
5%	+60		4%
24%	18-59		26%
12%	6-17		14%
6%	0-5		9%

Triority Needs

Most commonly first ranked priority need:2

Access to food	22%	
Shelter materials/upgrade	19%	
Access to safe/functional latrines	16%	

of HHs reported that they can afford fewer goods and services compared to last year

Top three most commonly reported basic needs that the HHs could afford at the time of data collection, compared to last year:³

Food	81%	
Health services/medicine	47%	
Cooking Fuel	46%	

Aid Distribution

of HHs reported receiving humanitarian assistance in the 12 months prior to data collection

Most commonly reported type of assistance received:4

Cash assistance	56%	
Food assistance	44%	
Livelihoods assistance	6%	

Government	81%	-
Humanitarian organizations	19%	

- 1. For more information, refer to annex 1.
- 2. Respondents could select up to 3 options. Findings may therefore exceed 100%.
- 3. Respondents could select up to 5 options. Findings may therefore exceed 100%.
- 4. Respondents could select multiple options. Findings may therefore exceed 100%











Reduced Coping Strategy Index (rCSI)¹





67%	None or Low
33%	Medium
0%	High

Livelihoods-based Coping Strategies (LCS)^{2,3}

Emergency	6%
Crisis	17%
Stress	55%
None	22%



The most commonly reported coping strategies were found to be:4

Bought food on credit or borrow food	72 %
Borrowed money	57%
Spent savings to meet essential needs	31%

Livelihoods and Skills Developement

Median HH Income and Expenditure (month prior data collection

Income:6 14000 BDT (128 USD)⁵ Other cash inflows:6 6,000 BDT (55 USD)⁵ Expenditure: 14,910 BDT (137 USD)5

of HHs with at least one member earning an income

Top three most commonly reported HH income and cash inflow sources in the 30 days prior to data collection:

Loans, support from family/friends	59 %	
Income from own production	44%	
Casual or daily labour (excl. CFW)	29%	

Main monthly HH expenditures in the 30 days prior to data collection:

Food	58%	
Transportation	9%	
Health	9%	

18%

of HHs reported not having any income/livelihoods **opportunities** nearby

♠ Shelter & NFIs

Most commonly reported shelter types:






11%	Pucca
24%	Semi-pucca
63%	Kutcha
2%	Jhuprie

of HHs reported having improved their shelter **50%** in the 12 months prior to data collection

of HHs reported not living in a functional **80%** domestic space7

Top three most commonly reported enclosure issues:4




Top three most commonly reported NFI needs:4



Pressure cookers	60%	
Solar lamps/panels	54%	
Torches/handheld lights	49%	

Education

Reported regular school attendance by age and gender:8



43% of children aged 4 were reportedly receiving early childhood education

Of the 19% of HHs who reported that at least one schoolaged child (5-18 y.o) was not enrolled or was not attending school regularly,8 in the 2022-2023 school year, the most commonly reported barriers included:

- Cannot afford education-related costs
- Child helping at home / farm
- 1. The reduced Coping Strategy Index (rCSI) is an indicator used to understand the frequency and severity of changes in food consumption-based coping mechanisms in the seven days prior to data collection when households are faced with a shortage of food. More information here.
- 2. This is an indicator used to understand medium and long-term coping capacity of households in response to a lack of food or lack of money to buy food and their ability to overcome challenges in the future. The use of emergency, crisis or stress level livelihoods-based coping strategies typically reduces HHs' overall resilience, in turn, increasing the likelihood of depleting resources to cover basic needs gaps.
- 3. Stress: spent savings, bought food on credit or borrowed food, borrowed money, sold HH assets/goods, sold NFI or food assistance. Crisis: sold productive assets or means of transport, reduced essential non-food expenditures, withdrew children from school, whole HH migrated, child marriage. Emergency: child work, adults engaged in high-risk or illegal activities, begged and/or scavenged.
- 4. Respondents could select multiple options. Findings may therefore exceed 100%.
- 5. The effective exchange rate for Bangladesh was reported to be 109 Bangladeshis Takas (BDT) for 1 US dollar (USD) in September 2023.
- 6. Income sources: regular labour, casual or daily labour, income from own business or trade, income from own production, cash for work. Other cash inflow sources: loans from family or community members, humanitarian assistance, charitable donations, selling relief items, remittances, income from rent, government social assistance, other sources.
- 7. A functional domestic space where households can cook store water sleep, and use lectricity wihtout issues. If selected can do with issues or cannot do at all, domestic space was considered not functional.
- 8. Definition of regularly: 4 days out of 5 or 80% of attendance









ਝੇ Health

Of the **57% of individuals who required healthcare services** in the three months prior to data collection:



89% Received healthcare11% Didn't receive healthcare

Of the **11%** of individuals who needed healthcare but were unable to receive it, **most reported unmet healthcare needs**:^{1,2}

Consultation or drugs for acute illness 82%

Preventative consultation / check-up 27%

Consultation or drugs for chronic illness 0%

54% of HHs reported facing barriers to access healthcare*, with the top three most commonly reported barriers including:

None	46%	
Cost of treatment	31%	
Health facility is too far away	27%	

* The question was asked to all HHs regardless of if they needed or not healthcare in the 3 months prior to data collection.

Average travel time to the nearest, functional healthcare facility, by normal mode of transportation:





Water, Sanitation and Hygiene (WASH)

Access to functioning handwashing facilities:3



72% Fixed or mobile handwashing place28% No handwashing place

Top primary sources of drinking water:



Deep tubewell

Piped into dwelling

Shallow tubewell

40%

36%

11%

Top three most commonly reported sanitation facility types:



Single pit latrine with slabFlush to septic tankTwin Pit Latrine with slab

Access to an improved drinking water source:

of HHs reported having access to an improved drinking water source⁵

8% of HHs reported using unimproved latrine facilities 4

Protection

Top three most commonly reported protection risks:6

Property disputes	14%	
Crime and violence	10%	
Problems created by lack of services	8%	

Psychosocial distress:

9% of HHs reported having a household member showing signs of psychosocial distress or trauma, of which 0% HHs reported that at least one of their children (3-17) showed these signs⁷

Feeling of safety after dark while walking alone in the neighbourhood:

Never walk alone after dark	0%
Very safe	57 %
Fairly safe	37%
Bit unsafe	5%
Very unsafe	1%



Top three most commonly reported service points for GBV:1

Community-based mechanisms	55%	
Family/relatives/guardians/curator	38%	
Health facilities	34%	

- 1. Respondents could select multiple options. Findings may therefore exceed 100%.
- 2. Findings from very small subsets should be considered indicative only.
- 3. Answers collected through observation.
- 4. Unimproved latrine facilities include single pit latrines without slab and no facility/bush/field.
- 5. Improved drinking water sources include tapstands such as public tap/standpipe, piped into compound, piped water tap/tapstand into settlement site, piped to neighbour, piped into dwelling.
- 6. Households could select up to 3 options unless they selected "None" 71%. Findings may therefore exceed 100%.
- 7. Signs of distress or trauma include: nightmares, lasting sadness, extreme fatigue without doing work, being often tearful; hopeless for the future; avoiding people, places or activities due to feelings of distress; anxiety or extreme worry for the future; extreme anger and out of control; uninterested in things that they used to like; unable to carry out essential activities; changes in appetite or sleep pattern compared to usual.









Joint Multi Sectoral Needs Assessment:

Jalia Palong Union

December 2023

Bangladesh

■ Introduction

This factsheet provides an overview of the current humanitarian needs and vulnerabilities of the population in **Jalia Palong Union** in **Ukhiya**, Cox's Bazar district. Primary data was collected through **105** household surveys (including buffer) between the 27th of August and the 14th of September 2023. Households were randomly sampled. Findings are generalisable at a 95% confidence level and with a 10% margin of error at the union level.

For more information on the methodology, see our <u>Terms of reference and Data Analysis Plan</u>.

SUMMARY OF SECTORAL NEEDS


% of households with sectoral living standard gaps1

Education	21%		9% 12%
Food Security	0 % •		0% 0%
Livelihoods	36%		5% 31%
Health	8%		0% 8%
Protection	5%		2% 3%
Shelter and NFIs	36%		1% 35%
WASH	75%	\Diamond	75% 0%
	■ Scale 4 or 4+	■ Scale 3	

Union Overview

Number of individuals: 47,656
Number of HHs: 8,511
Average HH size (individuals): 6
Upazila: Ukhiya

†** Survey Demographics



Triority Needs

Most commonly first ranked priority need:2

Access to food	32%	
Shelter materials/upgrade	13%	
Access to safe/functional latrines	12%	

49% of HHs reported that they can afford fewer goods and services compared to last year

Top three most commonly reported basic needs that the HHs could afford at the time of data collection, compared to last year:³

Food	90%	
Health services/medicine	52%	
Income-generating activities	46%	

Aid Distribution

of HHs reported receiving humanitarian assistance in the 12 months prior to data collection

Most commonly reported type of assistance received:4

Food assistance	67%	
Cash assistance	33%	
WASH assistance	13%	

Government	73%	
Humanitarian organizations	33%	

- 1. For more information, refer to annex 1.
- 2. Respondents could select up to 3 options. Findings may therefore exceed 100%.
- 3. Respondents could select up to 5 options. Findings may therefore exceed 100%.
- 4. Respondents could select multiple options. Findings may therefore exceed 100%











Reduced Coping Strategy Index (rCSI)¹





56%	None or Low
44%	Medium
0%	High

Livelihoods-based Coping Strategies (LCS)^{2,3}

Emergency	3%	
Crisis	23%	
Stress	40%	
None	34%	



The most commonly reported coping strategies were found to be:⁴

Borrowed money	61%	
Spent savings to meet essential needs	55%	
Bought food on credit or borrow food	46%	

Livelihoods and Skills Developement

Median HH Income and Expenditure (month prior data collection

	Income:6	10,000 BDT	(92 USD)⁵
•	Other cash inflows: ⁶	9,000 BDT	(83 USD) ⁵
	Expenditure:	14,800 BDT	(136 USD)⁵

30% of HHs with at least one member earning an income

Top three most commonly reported HH income and cash inflow sources in the 30 days prior to data collection:

Loans, support from family/friends	56 %	
Casual or daily labour (excl. CFW)	39%	
Income from own production	36%	

Main monthly HH expenditures in the 30 days prior to data collection:

Food	62%	
Transportation	8%	
Fuel	6%	

of HHs reported **not having any income/livelihoods opportunities** nearby

Most commonly reported shelter types:





12%	Pucca
37%	Semi-pucca
47%	Kutcha
3%	Jhuprie

of HHs reported having **improved their shelter** in the 12 months prior to data collection

of HHs reported **not living in a functional domestic space**⁷

Top three most commonly reported enclosure issues:⁴




Top three most commonly reported NFI needs:4



Solar lamps/panels	53%	
Torches/handheld lights	50%	
Pressure cookers	48%	

Education

Reported regular school attendance by age and gender:8



31% of children aged 4 were reportedly receiving early childhood education

Of the 10% of HHs who reported that at least one schoolaged child (5-18 y.o) was not enrolled or was not attending school regularly, in the 2022-2023 school year, the most commonly reported barriers included:

- Cannot afford education-related costs
- · Child helping at home / farm
- 1. The reduced Coping Strategy Index (rCSI) is an indicator used to understand the frequency and severity of changes in food consumption-based coping mechanisms in the seven days prior to data collection when households are faced with a shortage of food. More information here.
- 2. This is an indicator used to understand medium and long-term coping capacity of households in response to a lack of food or lack of money to buy food and their ability to overcome challenges in the future. The use of emergency, crisis or stress level livelihoods-based coping strategies typically reduces HHs' overall resilience, in turn, increasing the likelihood of depleting resources to cover basic needs gaps.
- 3. Stress: spent savings, bought food on credit or borrowed food, borrowed money, sold HH assets/goods, sold NFI or food assistance. Crisis: sold productive assets or means of transport, reduced essential non-food expenditures, withdrew children from school, whole HH migrated, child marriage. Emergency: child work, adults engaged in high-risk or illegal activities, begged and/or scavenged.
- 4. Respondents could select multiple options. Findings may therefore exceed 100%.
- 5. The effective exchange rate for Bangladesh was reported to be 109 Bangladeshis Takas (BDT) for 1 US dollar (USD) in September 2023.
- 6. Income sources: regular labour, casual or daily labour, income from own business or trade, income from own production, cash for work. Other cash inflow sources: loans from family or community members, humanitarian assistance, charitable donations, selling relief items, remittances, income from rent, government social assistance, other sources.
- 7. A functional domestic space where households can cook store water sleep, and use lectricity without issues. If selected can do with issues or cannot do at all, domestic space was considered not functional.
- 8. Definition of regularly: 4 days out of 5 or 80% of attendance.











ষ্ট Health

Of the **52% of individuals who required healthcare services** in the three months prior to data collection:



99% Received healthcare1% Didn't receive healthcare

Of the **1%** of individuals who needed healthcare but were unable to receive it, **most reported unmet healthcare needs**:^{1,2}

Consultation or drugs for acute illness

Preventative consultation / check-up

Consultation or drugs for chronic illness

6%

37% of HHs reported facing barriers to access healthcare*, with the top three most commonly reported barriers including:

None 63%

Specific medicine, treatment, service unavailable 16%

No functional health facility nearby

15%

* The question was asked to all HHs regardless of if they needed or not healthcare in the 3 months prior to data collection.

Average travel time to the nearest, functional healthcare facility, by normal mode of transportation:

≤15 minutes 47%
16-30 minutes 36%
31-60 minutes 17%
1-2 hours 0%



Water, Sanitation and Hygiene (WASH)

Access to functioning handwashing facilities:3



88% Fixed or mobile handwashing place12% No handwashing place

Top primary sources of drinking water:



Deep tubewell

Shallow tubewell

Piped into dwelling

56%

19%

16%

Top three most commonly reported sanitation facility types:



39% Twin Pit Latrine with slab32% Single pit latrine with slab23% Flush to septic tank

Access to an improved drinking water source:

of HHs reported having access to an improved drinking water source⁵

470

of HHs reported using unimproved latrine facilities⁴

Protection

Top three most commonly reported protection risks:6

Drugs, alcohol abuse or consumption	17%	
Crime and violence	10%	
Property disputes	8%	

Psychosocial distress:

of HHs reported having a household member showing signs of psychosocial distress or trauma, of which 0% HHs reported that at least one of their children (3-17) showed these signs⁷

Feeling of safety after dark while walking alone in the neighbourhood:

Never walk alone after dark	0%
Very safe	57 %
Fairly safe	39%
Bit unsafe	3%
Very unsafe	1%

Top three most commonly reported service points for GBV:1

Health facilities	62%	
Law enforcement officials	31%	
Family/relatives/guardians/curator	29%	

- 1. Respondents could select multiple options. Findings may therefore exceed 100%.
- 2. Findings from very small subsets should be considered indicative only.
- 3. Answers collected through observation.
- 4. Unimproved latrine facilities include single pit latrines without slab and no facility/bush/field.
- 5. Improved drinking water sources include tapstands such as public tap/standpipe, piped into compound, piped water tap/tapstand into settlement site, piped to neighbour, piped into dwelling.
- 6. Households could select up to 3 options unless they selected "None" 68%. Findings may therefore exceed 100%.
- 7. Signs of distress or trauma include: nightmares, lasting sadness, extreme fatigue without doing work, being often tearful; hopeless for the future; avoiding people, places or activities due to feelings of distress; anxiety or extreme worry for the future; extreme anger and out of control; uninterested in things that they used to like; unable to carry out essential activities; changes in appetite or sleep pattern compared to usual.









Host Community

Joint Multi Sectoral Needs Assessment: Palong Khali Union

December 2023

Bangladesh

■ Introduction

This factsheet provides an overview of the current humanitarian needs and vulnerabilities of the population in **Palong Khali Union** in **Ukhiya**, Cox's Bazar district. Primary data was collected through **105** household surveys (including buffer) between the 27th of August and the 14th of September 2023. Households were randomly sampled. Findings are generalisable at a 95% confidence level and with a 10% margin of error at the union level.

For more information on the methodology, see our <u>Terms of reference and Data Analysis Plan</u>.

SUMMARY OF SECTORAL NEEDS

% of households with sectoral living standard gaps1

Education	26%		6% 20%
Food Security	4%		0% 4%
Livelihoods	54%		10% 44%
Health	34%		1% 33%
Protection	20%		4% 16%
Shelter and NFIs	53% <		3% 50%
WASH	58%		56% 2%
	■ Scale 4 or 4+	■ Scale 3	

Union Overview

Number of individuals: 32,843
Number of HHs: 5,589
Average HH size (individuals): 6
Upazila: Ukhiya

†** Survey Demographics

† 53%	Males Fem	ales 47%	o 🛉
3%	+60		3%
26%	18-59		25%
14%	6-17		13%
10%	0-5		6%

Triority Needs

Most commonly first ranked priority need:2

Access to food	40%	
Shelter materials/upgrade	13%	
Access to safe/functional latrines	9%	

of HHs reported that they can afford fewer goods and services compared to last year

Top three most commonly reported basic needs that the HHs could afford at the time of data collection, compared to last year:³

Food	82%	
Health services/medicine	54%	
Shelter materials/upgrade	43%	

Aid Distribution

of HHs reported **receiving humanitarian assistance** in the 12 months prior to data collection

Most commonly reported type of assistance received:4

Food assistance	52%	
WASH assistance	24%	
Cash assistance	14%	

Government	62 %	
Humanitarian organizations	33%	

- 1. For more information, refer to annex 1.
- 2. Respondents could select up to 3 options. Findings may therefore exceed 100%.
- 3. Respondents could select up to 5 options. Findings may therefore exceed 100%.
- 4. Respondents could select multiple options. Findings may therefore exceed 100%











Reduced Coping Strategy Index (rCSI)¹





62%	None or Low
38%	Medium
0%	High

Livelihoods-based Coping Strategies (LCS)^{2,3}

Emergency	7%
Crisis	27%
Stress	47%
None	19%



The most commonly reported coping strategies were found to be:⁴

Bought food on credit or borrow food	68%	
Borrowed money	64%	
Reduce essential non-food expenditures	28%	

Livelihoods and Skills Developement

Median HH Income and Expenditure (month prior data collection

Income:⁶ 12,000 BDT (110 USD)⁵
Other cash inflows:⁶ 7,000 BDT (64 USD)⁵
Expenditure: 16,300 BDT (150 USD)⁵

32% of HHs with at least one member earning an income

Top three most commonly reported HH income and cash inflow sources in the 30 days prior to data collection:

Loans, support from family/friends	54%	
Income from own production	42%	
Casual or daily labour (excl. CFW)	37%	

Main monthly HH expenditures in the 30 days prior to data collection:

Food	66%	
Transportation	7%	
Health	7%	

36% of HHs reported **not having any income/livelihoods opportunities** nearby

♠ Shelter & NFIs

Most commonly reported shelter types:






5%	Pucca
26%	Semi-pucca
69%	Kutcha
0%	Jhuprie

of HHs reported having **improved their shelter** in the 12 months prior to data collection

of HHs reported **not living in a functional domestic space**⁷

Top three most commonly reported enclosure issues:⁴




Top three most commonly reported NFI needs:4



Mosquito nets	50%	
Solar lamps/panels	48%	
Blankets	43%	

Education

Reported regular school attendance by age and gender:8



12% of children aged 4 were reportedly receiving early childhood education

Of the **15%** of HHs who reported that **at least one schoolaged child (5-18 y.o) was not enrolled or was not attending school regularly,** in the 2022-2023 school year, the most commonly reported barriers included:

- · Cannot afford education-related costs
- Not able to register or enrol child in the school
- 1. The reduced Coping Strategy Index (rCSI) is an indicator used to understand the frequency and severity of changes in food consumption-based coping mechanisms in the seven days prior to data collection when households are faced with a shortage of food. More information here.
- 2. This is an indicator used to understand medium and long-term coping capacity of households in response to a lack of food or lack of money to buy food and their ability to overcome challenges in the future. The use of emergency, crisis or stress level livelihoods-based coping strategies typically reduces HHs' overall resilience, in turn, increasing the likelihood of depleting resources to cover basic needs gaps.
- 3. Stress: spent savings, bought food on credit or borrowed food, borrowed money, sold HH assets/goods, sold NFI or food assistance. Crisis: sold productive assets or means of transport, reduced essential non-food expenditures, withdrew children from school, whole HH migrated, child marriage. Emergency: child work, adults engaged in high-risk or illegal activities, begged and/or scavenged.
- 4. Respondents could select multiple options. Findings may therefore exceed 100%.
- 5. The effective exchange rate for Bangladesh was reported to be 109 Bangladeshis Takas (BDT) for 1 US dollar (USD) in September 2023.
- 6. Income sources: regular labour, casual or daily labour, income from own business or trade, income from own production, cash for work. Other cash inflow sources: loans from family or community members, humanitarian assistance, charitable donations, selling relief items, remittances, income from rent, government social assistance, other sources.
- 7. A functional domestic space where households can cook store water sleep, and use lectricity without issues. If selected can do with issues or cannot do at all, domestic space was considered not functional.
- 8. Definition of regularly: 4 days out of 5 or 80% of attendance.











ਏ Health

Of the 54% of individuals who required healthcare services in the three months prior to data collection:



79% Received healthcare Didn't receive healthcare Of the 21% of individuals who needed healthcare but were unable to receive it, most reported unmet healthcare needs:1,2

Consultation or drugs for acute illness 83% Preventative consultation / check-up 26% Consultation or drugs for chronic illness 9%

73% of HHs reported facing barriers to access healthcare*, with the top three most commonly reported barriers including:1

None	37 %	
Cost of treatment	36%	
Health facility is too far away	34%	

The question was asked to all HHs regardless of if they needed or not healthcare in the 3 months prior to data collection.

Average travel time to the nearest, functional healthcare facility, by normal mode of transportation:





ל Water, Sanitation and Hygiene (WASH)

Access to functioning handwashing facilities:3



43% Fixed or mobile handwashing place

No handwashing place

Top primary sources of drinking water:



Deep tubewell 48% Piped into dwelling 30% Piped to neighbour 10%

Top three most commonly reported sanitation facility types:



Single pit latrine with slab 50% Twin Pit Latrine with slab 20% 17%

Flush to septic tank

of HHs reported using unimproved latrine facilities4

Access to an improved drinking water source:

of HHs reported having access to an improved drinking water source5

₩ Protection

Top three most commonly reported protection risks:6

Crime and violence	34%	
Drugs, alcohol abuse or consumption	25%	
Environmental degradation	13%	

Psychosocial distress:

of HHs reported having a household member showing signs of psychosocial distress or trauma, of which 0% HHs reported that at least one of their children (3-17) showed these signs⁷

Feeling of safety after dark while walking alone in the neighbourhood:

Never walk alone after dark	1%
Very safe	32%
Fairly safe	48%
Bit unsafe	17%
Very unsafe	2%

Top three most commonly reported service points for GBV:1

Community-based mechanisms 61% Health facilities 29% Legal aid service providers 22%

- 1. Respondents could select multiple options. Findings may therefore exceed 100%.
- 2. Findings from very small subsets should be considered indicative only.
- 3. Answers collected through observation.
- 4. Unimproved latrine facilities include single pit latrines without slab and no facility/bush/field.
- 5. Improved drinking water sources include tapstands such as public tap/standpipe, piped into compound, piped water tap/tapstand into settlement site, piped to neighbour, piped into dwelling.
- 6. Households could select up to 3 options unless they selected "None" 49%. Findings may therefore exceed 100%.
- 7. Signs of distress or trauma include: nightmares, lasting sadness, extreme fatigue without doing work, being often tearful; hopeless for the future; avoiding people, places or activities due to feelings of distress; anxiety or extreme worry for the future; extreme anger and out of control; uninterested in things that they used to like; unable to carry out essential activities; changes in appetite or sleep pattern compared to usual.









Host Community

Joint Multi Sectoral Needs Assessment: Raja Palong Union

December 2023

Bangladesh

■ Introduction

This factsheet provides an overview of the current humanitarian needs and vulnerabilities of the population in **Raja Palong Union** in **Ukhiya**, Cox's Bazar district. Primary data was collected through **105** household surveys (including buffer) between the 27th of August and the 14th of September 2023. Households were randomly sampled. Findings are generalisable at a 95% confidence level and with a 10% margin of error at the union level.

For more information on the methodology, see our <u>Terms of reference and Data Analysis Plan</u>.

SUMMARY OF SECTORAL NEEDS

% of households with sectoral living standard gaps1

Education	16%	4% 12%
Food Security	2%	0% 2%
Livelihoods	20%	4% 16%
Health	15%	0% 15%
Protection	2% ♦	1% 1%
Shelter and NFIs	41%	0% 41%
WASH	45%	45% 0%
	■ Scale 4 or 4+ ■ Scale 3	

Union Overview

Number of individuals: 56,895
Number of HHs: 10,596
Average HH size (individuals): 5
Upazila: Ukhiya

†** Survey Demographics

† 49% M	ales Fem	ales 5	1% 🛊
4%	+60		3%
27%	18-59		28%
11%	6-17		15%
7%	0-5		5%

Triority Needs

Most commonly first ranked priority need:2

Access to food	32%	
Access to clean drinking water	14%	
Shelter materials/upgrade	9%	

of HHs reported that they can afford fewer goods and services compared to last year

Top three most commonly reported basic needs that the HHs could afford at the time of data collection, compared to last year:³

Food	82%	
Health services/medicine	54%	
Cooking Fuel	44%	

Aid Distribution

of HHs reported receiving humanitarian assistance in the 12 months prior to data collection

Most commonly reported type of assistance received:4

Cash assistance	40%	
Food assistance	33%	
Livelihoods assistance	13%	

Government	67 %	
Humanitarian organizations	33%	

- 1. For more information, refer to annex 1.
- 2. Respondents could select up to 3 options. Findings may therefore exceed 100%.
- 3. Respondents could select up to 5 options. Findings may therefore exceed 100%.
- 4. Respondents could select multiple options. Findings may therefore exceed 100%











Reduced Coping Strategy Index (rCSI)¹





65%	None or Low
34%	Medium
1%	High

Livelihoods-based Coping Strategies (LCS)^{2,3}

Emergency	2%	
Crisis	9%	
Stress	66%	
None	23%	

The most commonly reported coping strategies were found to be:⁴

Bought food on credit or borrow food	70 %	
Borrowed money	53%	
Spent savings to meet essential needs	42%	

Livelihoods and Skills Developement

Median HH Income and Expenditure (month prior data collection

Income:⁶ 12,000 BDT (110 USD)⁵
Other cash inflows:⁶ 6,000 BDT (55 USD)⁵
Expenditure: 15,900 BDT (146 USD)⁵

29% of HHs with at least one member earning an income

Top three most commonly reported HH income and cash inflow sources in the 30 days prior to data collection:

Loans, support from family/friends	54%	
Income from own business or regular trade	39%	
Cash for work	36%	

Main monthly HH expenditures in the 30 days prior to data collection:

Food	62 %	
Transportation	11%	
Utilities	7%	

38% of HHs reported **not having any income/livelihoods opportunities** nearby

Most commonly reported shelter types:






12%	Pucca
41%	Semi-pucca
47%	Kutcha
0%	Jhuprie

of HHs reported having **improved their shelter** in the 12 months prior to data collection

of HHs reported **not living in a functional domestic space**⁷

Top three most commonly reported enclosure issues:⁴




Top three most commonly reported NFI needs:4



Education

Reported regular school attendance by age and gender:8



15% of children aged 4 were reportedly receiving early childhood education

Of the 22% of HHs who reported that at least one schoolaged child (5-18 y.o) was not enrolled or was not attending school regularly,⁸ in the 2022-2023 school year, the most commonly reported barriers included:

- Cannot afford education-related costs
- Education is not a priority
- 1. The reduced Coping Strategy Index (rCSI) is an indicator used to understand the frequency and severity of changes in food consumption-based coping mechanisms in the seven days prior to data collection when households are faced with a shortage of food. More information here.
- 2. This is an indicator used to understand medium and long-term coping capacity of households in response to a lack of food or lack of money to buy food and their ability to overcome challenges in the future. The use of emergency, crisis or stress level livelihoods-based coping strategies typically reduces HHs' overall resilience, in turn, increasing the likelihood of depleting resources to cover basic needs gaps.
- 3. Stress: spent savings, bought food on credit or borrowed food, borrowed money, sold HH assets/goods, sold NFI or food assistance. Crisis: sold productive assets or means of transport, reduced essential non-food expenditures, withdrew children from school, whole HH migrated, child marriage. Emergency: child work, adults engaged in high-risk or illegal activities, begged and/or scavenged.
- 4. Respondents could select multiple options. Findings may therefore exceed 100%.
- 5. The effective exchange rate for Bangladesh was reported to be 109 Bangladeshis Takas (BDT) for 1 US dollar (USD) in September 2023.
- 6. Income sources: regular labour, casual or daily labour, income from own business or trade, income from own production, cash for work. Other cash inflow sources: loans from family or community members, humanitarian assistance, charitable donations, selling relief items, remittances, income from rent, government social assistance, other sources.
- 7. A functional domestic space where households can cook store water sleep, and use lectricity without issues. If selected can do with issues or cannot do at all, domestic space was considered not functional.
- 8. Definition of regularly: 4 days out of 5 or 80% of attendance.











† Health

Of the **50% of individuals who required healthcare services** in the three months prior to data collection:



97% Received healthcare3% Didn't receive healthcare

Of the **3%** of individuals who needed healthcare but were unable to receive it, **most reported unmet healthcare needs**:^{1,2}

Consultation or drugs for acute illness

Consultation or drugs for chronic illness

Preventative consultation / check-up

0%

29% of HHs reported facing barriers to access healthcare*, with the top three most commonly reported barriers including:

None	71%	
Health facility is too far away	16%	
Cost of treatment	13%	
* The question was asked to all HHs regardless of if they needed or	not healthcar	e in the

Average travel time to the nearest, functional healthcare facility, by normal mode of transportation:

≤15 minutes	39%	
16-30 minutes	52%	
31-60 minutes	9%	
1-2 hours	0%	

Water, Sanitation and Hygiene (WASH)

Access to functioning handwashing facilities:3



3 months prior to data collection.

99% Fixed or mobile handwashing place

1% No handwashing place

Top primary sources of drinking water:



Piped into dwelling

Deep tubewell

Piped to neighbour

42%

40%

10%

Top three most commonly reported sanitation facility types:



45% Single pit latrine with slab24% Twin Pit Latrine with slab15% Flush to septic tank

Access to an improved drinking water source:

of HHs reported having access to an **improved** drinking water source⁵

70 5. .

of HHs reported using unimproved latrine facilities⁴

Protection

Top three most commonly reported protection risks:6

Drugs, alcohol abuse or consumption	14%	
Crime and violence	7%	
Property disputes	6%	

Psychosocial distress:

of HHs reported having a household member showing signs of psychosocial distress or trauma, of which 0% HHs reported that at least one of their

children (3-17) showed these signs⁷

Feeling of safety after dark while walking alone in the neighbourhood:

0%
67%
31%
1%
1%



Top three most commonly reported service points for GBV:1

Community-based mechanisms	46%	
Family/relatives/guardians/curator	35%	
Legal aid service providers	31%	

- 1. Respondents could select multiple options. Findings may therefore exceed 100%.
- 2. Findings from very small subsets should be considered indicative only.
- 3. Answers collected through observation.
- 4. Unimproved latrine facilities include single pit latrines without slab and no facility/bush/field.
- 5. Improved drinking water sources include tapstands such as public tap/standpipe, piped into compound, piped water tap/tapstand into settlement site, piped to neighbour, piped into dwelling.
- 6. Households could select up to 3 options unless they selected "None" 81%. Findings may therefore exceed 100%.
- 7. Signs of distress or trauma include: nightmares, lasting sadness, extreme fatigue without doing work, being often tearful; hopeless for the future; avoiding people, places or activities due to feelings of distress; anxiety or extreme worry for the future; extreme anger and out of control; uninterested in things that they used to like; unable to carry out essential activities; changes in appetite or sleep pattern compared to usual.









Host Community

Joint Multi Sectoral Needs Assessment: Ratna Palong Union

December 2023

Bangladesh

■ Introduction

This factsheet provides an overview of the current humanitarian needs and vulnerabilities of the population in **Ratna Palong Union** in **Ukhiya**, Cox's Bazar district. Primary data was collected through **105** household surveys (including buffer) between the 27th of August and the 14th of September 2023. Households were randomly sampled. Findings are generalisable at a 95% confidence level and with a 10% margin of error at the union level.

For more information on the methodology, see our <u>Terms of reference and Data Analysis Plan</u>.

SUMMARY OF SECTORAL NEEDS

% of households with sectoral living standard gaps1

Education	11%	4% 7%
Food Security	0% •	0% 0%
Livelihoods	43%	5% 38%
Health	25% <	1% 24%
Protection	19%	4% 15%
Shelter and NFIs	46%	4% 42%
WASH	42%	41% 1%
	■ Scale 4 or 4+ ■ Scale 3	

Union Overview

Number of individuals: 22,524
Number of HHs: 4,238
Average HH size (individuals): 5
Upazila: Ukhiya

†** Survey Demographics

† 51%	Males Fem	ales 49% 🛊
6%	+60	4%
28%	18-59	28%
12%	6-17	11%
5%	0-5	6%

Triority Needs

Most commonly first ranked priority need:2

Access to food	27%	
Shelter materials/upgrade	14%	
Access to safe/functional latrines	12%	

of HHs reported that they can afford fewer goods and services compared to last year

Top three most commonly reported basic needs that the HHs could afford at the time of data collection, compared to last year:³

Food	78 %	
Health services/medicine	42%	
Income-generating activities	42%	

Aid Distribution

of HHs reported receiving humanitarian assistance in the 12 months prior to data collection

Most commonly reported type of assistance received:4

Food assistance	30%	
WASH assistance	26%	
Livelihoods assistance	22%	

Humanitarian org	ganizations	65%	
Government		39%	

- 1. For more information, refer to annex 1.
- 2. Respondents could select up to 3 options. Findings may therefore exceed 100%.
- 3. Respondents could select up to 5 options. Findings may therefore exceed 100%.
- 4. Respondents could select multiple options. Findings may therefore exceed 100%











Reduced Coping Strategy Index (rCSI)¹





55% None or Low 42% Medium High 3%

Livelihoods-based Coping Strategies (LCS)^{2,3}

Emergency	5%
Crisis	29%
Stress	39%
None	27%



The most commonly reported coping strategies were found to be:4

Bought food on credit or borrow food	61%
Borrowed money	58%
Spent savings to meet essential needs	22%

Livelihoods and Skills Developement

Median HH Income and Expenditure (month prior data collection



37% of HHs with at least one member earning an income

Top three most commonly reported HH income and cash inflow sources in the 30 days prior to data collection:

Loans, support from family/friends	50 %	
Income from own business or regular trade	40%	
Income from own production	40%	

Main monthly HH expenditures in the 30 days prior to data collection:

Food	62%	
Transportation	8%	
Fuel	7%	

21%

of HHs reported not having any income/livelihoods **opportunities** nearby

★ Shelter & NFIs

Most commonly reported shelter types:






11%	Pucca
38%	Semi-pucca
49%	Kutcha
2%	Jhuprie

of HHs reported having improved their shelter **50%** in the 12 months prior to data collection

of HHs reported not living in a functional **70%** domestic space7

Top three most commonly reported enclosure issues:4



Top three most commonly reported NFI needs:4



Solar lamps/panels Pressure cookers 39% Torches/handheld lights 28%

Education

Reported regular school attendance by age and gender:8



30% of children aged 4 were reportedly receiving early childhood education

Of the 16% of HHs who reported that at least one schoolaged child (5-18 y.o) was not enrolled or was not attending school regularly,8 in the 2022-2023 school year, the most commonly reported barriers included:

- Cannot afford education-related costs
- Not able to register or enrol child in the school
- 1. The reduced Coping Strategy Index (rCSI) is an indicator used to understand the frequency and severity of changes in food consumption-based coping mechanisms in the seven days prior to data collection when households are faced with a shortage of food. More information here.
- 2. This is an indicator used to understand medium and long-term coping capacity of households in response to a lack of food or lack of money to buy food and their ability to overcome challenges in the future. The use of emergency, crisis or stress level livelihoods-based coping strategies typically reduces HHs' overall resilience, in turn, increasing the likelihood of depleting resources to cover basic needs gaps.
- 3. Stress: spent savings, bought food on credit or borrowed food, borrowed money, sold HH assets/goods, sold NFI or food assistance. Crisis: sold productive assets or means of transport, reduced essential non-food expenditures, withdrew children from school, whole HH migrated, child marriage. Emergency: child work, adults engaged in high-risk or illegal activities, begged and/or scavenged.
- 4. Respondents could select multiple options. Findings may therefore exceed 100%.
- 5. The effective exchange rate for Bangladesh was reported to be 109 Bangladeshis Takas (BDT) for 1 US dollar (USD) in September 2023.
- 6. Income sources: regular labour, casual or daily labour, income from own business or trade, income from own production, cash for work. Other cash inflow sources: loans from family or community members, humanitarian assistance, charitable donations, selling relief items, remittances, income from rent, government social assistance, other sources.
- 7. A functional domestic space where households can cook store water sleep, and use lectricity wihtout issues. If selected can do with issues or cannot do at all, domestic space was considered not functional.
- 8. Definition of regularly: 4 days out of 5 or 80% of attendance











ਏ Health

Of the 59% of individuals who required healthcare services in the three months prior to data collection:



89% Received healthcare Didn't receive healthcare Of the 11% of individuals who needed healthcare but were unable to receive it, most reported unmet healthcare needs:1,2

Consultation or drugs for acute illness 92% Preventative consultation / check-up 33% Consultation or drugs for chronic illness 0%

51% of HHs reported facing barriers to access healthcare*, with the top three most commonly reported barriers including:1

None	49%	
Cost of treatment	28%	
Health facility is too far away	22%	

The question was asked to all HHs regardless of if they needed or not healthcare in the 3 months prior to data collection.

Average travel time to the nearest, functional healthcare facility, by normal mode of transportation:





ל Water, Sanitation and Hygiene (WASH)

Access to functioning handwashing facilities:3



86% Fixed or mobile handwashing place No handwashing place

Top primary sources of drinking water:



Deep tubewell 32% Piped into dwelling 32% Piped into compound, yard 21%

Top three most commonly reported sanitation facility types:



Flush to septic tank 35% Single pit latrine with slab 33% Twin Pit Latrine with slab 24%

Access to an improved drinking water source:

of HHs reported having access to an improved drinking water source5

of HHs reported using unimproved latrine facilities4

₩ Protection

Top three most commonly reported protection risks:6

Crime and violence	19%	
Drugs, alcohol abuse or consumption	16%	
Property disputes	12%	

Psychosocial distress:

of HHs reported having a household member showing signs of psychosocial distress or trauma, of which 8% HHs reported that at least one of their children (3-17) showed these signs⁷

Feeling of safety after dark while walking alone in the neighbourhood:

Never walk alone after dark	0%
Very safe	62%
Fairly safe	21%
Bit unsafe	15%
Very unsafe	2%

Top three most commonly reported service points for GBV:1

Community-based mechanisms	43%	
Health facilities	42%	
Law enforcement officials	26%	

- 1. Respondents could select multiple options. Findings may therefore exceed 100%.
- 2. Findings from very small subsets should be considered indicative only.
- 3. Answers collected through observation.
- 4. Unimproved latrine facilities include single pit latrines without slab and no facility/bush/field.
- 5. Improved drinking water sources include tapstands such as public tap/standpipe, piped into compound, piped water tap/tapstand into settlement site, piped to neighbour, piped into dwelling.
- 6. Households could select up to 3 options unless they selected "None" 59%. Findings may therefore exceed 100%.
- 7. Signs of distress or trauma include: nightmares, lasting sadness, extreme fatigue without doing work, being often tearful; hopeless for the future; avoiding people, places or activities due to feelings of distress; anxiety or extreme worry for the future; extreme anger and out of control; uninterested in things that they used to like; unable to carry out essential activities; changes in appetite or sleep pattern compared to usual.









J-MSNA

Host Community Comparative Overview

	Educatio	n	Foo	od	WA	SH	Prof	tection	Shelt	er & NFIs	Health
	% of children (5-18 y.o.) reportedly enrolled and attending formal school regularly (2022-2023) % of children aged	4 y.o. who attended an early childhood education programme (2022-2023)	% of HH with an acceptable Food Consumption Score (FCS)	% of HH using livelihoods coping strategies (LCSI)	% of HH having had access to an improved drinking water source	% of HH with access to functioning handwashing facilities	% of HH reporting they feel a bit or very unsafe walking alone at night	% of HH members with psychosocial distress	% of HH living in safe and dignified dwellings	% of HH reporting enclosure damage/ issues	% of individuals with an unmet health care need
Ukhiya Upazila											
Haldia Palong	99%	43%	88%	86%	49%	72%	6%	39%	85%	59%	11%
Jalia Palong	99%	31%	95%	83%	24%	88%	4%	39%	85%	58%	1%
Raja Palong	99%	15%	86%	88%	57%	99%	2%	30%	85%	54%	3%
Ratna Palong	100%	30%	73%	87%	60%	86%	17%	38%	85%	70%	11%
Palong Khali	99%	12%	71%	87%	45%	43%	19%	17%	85%	65%	21%
Teknaf Upazila											
Baharchara	98%	17%	97%	88%	20%	88%	25%	24%	85%	62%	4%
Nhilla	95%	13%	88%	88%	55%	77%	22%	29%	85%	57%	15%
Sabrang	96%	23%	96%	91%	22%	73%	8%	32%	85%	66%	14%
Teknaf	100%	22%	88%	85%	42%	67%	25%	26%	85%	57%	14%
Teknaf Paurashava	99%	10%	88%	83%	56%	85%	6%	44%	85%	58%	11%
Whykong	98%	45%	85%	84%	37%	70%	27%	39%	85%	63%	21%











Annex 1: Analysis of Living Standards

REACH facilitates the collection and analysis of crisis-level data across sectors and population groups through Multi-Sector Needs Assessments (MSNA) to support decision-making by humanitarian actors. MSNAs are conducted within a strong partnership framework at sector and inter-sector level. They are timed in order to inform strategic decision-making milestones along the humanitarian program cycle (HPC), such as the Humanitarian Needs Overview (HNO) and the Humanitarian Response Plan (HRP).

Note: The MSNA data analysis framework is completely independent from the Joint Inter-Sector Analysis Framework (JIAF). While some of the conceptual elements for the MSNA do come from the JIAF 1.1 (e.g. 'Living Standards Gap', indicators, severity categories), the methodology used is different. Furthermore, the JIAF is being developed through an inter-agency group and implemented primarily to produce inter-sectoral PiN (and area-level severity) using different data sources available in-country. Meanwhile, the REACH MSNA analysis method was developed internally by REACH and is implemented primarily using household-level data collected through the MSNA. In line with the research questions, the analysis aims to provide a crisis-wide overview of humanitarian needs and the underlying drivers, that influence access to basic needs and services.

The methodology relies on a two-step aggregation process:

- 1. Aggregation of indicators at the sector level: Construction of sectoral Living Standard Gaps (LSG).
- 2. Aggregation of sectoral LSGs into a multi-sectoral composite result: Multi-Sector Needs Index (MSNI).

The key analytical components are:

- Living Standard Gap (LSG): signifies a need in a given sector, where the LSG severity score is 3 or higher.
- Livelihood Coping Strategies Index (LCSI): signifies that negative and unsustainable coping strategies are used to meet
 needs. Households not categorised as having an LSG may be maintaining their living standards through the use of negative
 coping strategies.
- **Severity:** signifies the "intensity" of needs, using a scale that ranges from 1 (minimal/no gap) to 4 (extreme needs)/4+ (very extreme needs).
- Magnitude: corresponds to the overall number or percentage of households in need.

The Multi-Sectoral Needs Index (MSNI) is a measure of the household's overall severity of humanitarian needs across sectors (expressed on a scale from 1 to 4+), based on the highest severity of sectoral LSG severity scores identified in each household.

The different levels of severity can be broadly defined as follows:

- Very extreme (4+): Indications of total collapse of living standards, with potentially immediately life-threatening outcomes (increased risk of mortality and / or irreversible harm to physical or mental well-being).
- Extreme (4): Collapse of living standards. (Risk of) significant harm to physical or mental well-being.
- Severe (3): Degrading living standards, with reduced access to / availability of basic goods and services. (Risk of) degrading
 physical or mental well-being.
- **Stress (2):** Living standards are under stress. Minimal (risk of) impact on physical or mental well-being / stressed physical or mental well-being overall.
- **Minimal (1):** Living standards are acceptable, at a maximum showing some signs of deterioration and / or inadequate access to basic services. No or minimal (risk of) impact on physical or mental well-being

For more information, access the full methodology note via this link.









