



Context & Methodology

As part of their regular programming, the CCCM Cluster and partners, with the support of REACH, are implementing the Site Report to build a profile of IDP hosting sites in Yemen. This activity is carried out to inform a more targeted, evidence-based humanitarian response. The findings presented here provide an overview of basic information on population demographics, site conditions, service access, site threats and community needs. A total of 6 IDP hosting sites out of 8 IDP hosting sites in Raymah governorate were surveyed, with a total population of 2,229 individuals out 2,496 individuals. Data was received between May 2022 - May 2022 through key informant interviews with community representatives in each site. The findings presented should be generally read as the proportion of assessed sites as reported by key informants. Findings should be considered as both indicative and incomplete. All information is for humanitarian use only.



Jan-21 Feb-21 Mar-21 May-21 Jul-21 Sep-21 Oct-21 Dec-21 Jan-22 Feb-22 Mar-22 Apr-22 May-22 Source: CCCM IDP Hosting Site Master List (January 2021-May 2022)

Site Population Trends




Jan-21 Feb-21 Mar-21 May-21 Jul-21 Sep-21 Oct-21 Dec-21 Jan-22 Feb-22 Mar-22 Apr-22 May-22

- Total IDP Population in Sites
- Number of IDP Households in Sites

Source: CCCM IDP Hosting Site Master List (January 2021-May 2022)







May 2022

Site overview

Land ownership	Proportion of sites	Proportion of individuals
Private	100%	100%
Public	0%	0%
Owner not known	0%	0%



Proportion of assessed sites with a tenancy agreement



?→ Displacement

Most common governorates of origin of displaced households, by proportion of assessed sites

100% Al Hodeidah

Most common districts of origin of displaced households, by proportion of assessed sites


Al Hali	50%
Al Hawak	17%
Zabid	17%
At Tuhayta	17%

Most common reason for displaced households to leave their place of origin, by proportion of assessed sites*

Security concerns / War	100%	
Evicted from Property	0%	
House/livelihood assets destroyed/occupied	0%	
Lack of basic services	67%	
Evacuated for protection	0%	
Lack of commodities	33%	
Lack of employment	0%	
Natural disaster	0%	

^{*}Respondents could select multiple options for these questions, and therefore overall figures may not add up to 100%.

Most common movement intention of displaced households for the coming three months, by proportion of assessed sites



Demographics

Proportion of assessed sites with presence of High-Risk Groups*

Child-headed households	17%	
Older persons	100%	
Female-headed households	100%	
Marginalized people / Minorities	0%	
Persons with chronic diseases	100%	
Persons with disabilities	100%	
Pregnant and lactating women	100%	
Unaccompanied / separated children	0%	


Proportion of assessed sites with population groups other than IDPs*

Host community	100%	•
Migrants	0%	
Refugees	0%	
None - only IDPs present	0%	
Not known	0%	


^{*}Respondents could select multiple options for these questions, and therefore overall figures may not add up to 100%.

Infrastructure/Resources


Proportion of assessed sites with cooking fuel in site / close proximity



Proportion of assessed sites with electricity / solar power



Proportion assessed of sites with markets in site $\slash\hspace{-0.5em}/$ close proximity





Access to Services

Proportion of assessed sites by adequacy of services, per service type

May 2022

	Adequate	Inadequate	Non-existent
RRM distributions	0%	0%	100%
Shelter / maintenance services	0%	0%	100%
NFI distributions	0%	0%	100%
Food distributions	0%	100%	0%
Cash distributions (multi-purpose)	83%	17%	0%
WASH services	0%	0%	100%
Healthcare services	0%	0%	100%
Education services	0%	0%	100%
Livelihood services	0%	0%	100%
Protection services	100%	0%	0%
Nutrition services	0%	0%	100%
Waste disposal services	0%	0%	100%



Priority Needs

Proportion of assessed sites per priority needs

	First	Second	Third
Cash assistance	0%	0%	0%
Education	0%	0%	0%
Food	17%	17%	33%
Water	0%	0%	0%
Legal services	83%	0%	0%
Livelihood assistance	0%	0%	0%
Medical assistance	0%	0%	33%
Non-food items	0%	67%	17%
Protection services	0%	0%	0%
Sanitation services	0%	17%	17%
Shelter / maintenance	0%	0%	0%
Nutrition services	0%	0%	0%



Primary Shelter Type

Proportion of sites per primary shelter type

Own house / apartment	0%
Makeshift shelter	100%
Host family house / apartment	0%
Emergency shelter	0%
Rented house / apartment	0%
Transitional shelter	0%
Public building	0%
Open air (no shelter)	0%



Primary Latrine Type

Proportion of sites per primary latrine type

Flush latrine to tank / sewage system pit	0%
Flush latrine to the open	0%
Pit latrine - covered	17%
Pit latrine - open	83%
Open defecation	0%



Primary Water Source

Proportion of sites per primary water source

Borehole	0%
Bottled water	0%
Illegal connection to piped network	0%
Public tap	0%
Protected rainwater tank	0%
Surface water	83%
Unprotected rainwater tank	17%
Water trucking	0%



Site Threats

Most common threats to sites by proportion of assessed sites*

May 2022

Conflict-related incidents / War	0%
Eviction	0%
Fire-related incidents	0%
Flooding	0%
Friction between communities	0%
Infectious diseases	0%
Water contamination	0%



Fire Safety Measures

Most common fire safety measures adopted in the sites, by proportion of assessed sites*

Fire points	0%
Fire wardens	0%
Fire breaks	0%
Escape routes	0%
None	100%



Data Collection Partners

The following **CCCM partner** supported the data collection for the CCCM Site Report in Raymah governorate from May 2022 - May 2022:

Jeel Albena Association for Humanitarian Development (JAAHD)

^{*}Respondents could select multiple options for these questions, and therefore overall figures may not add up to 100%.