# **Research Methodology Note**

Humanitarian Situation Monitoring (HSM) SOM1901 Somalia

December 2023 Version 1



## 1. Executive Summary

Country of	Somalia									
intervention		T					1			
Type of Emergency	Х	Natural disaster	X	Con			Other (specify)			
Type of Crisis		Sudden onset			v onset		Protracted			
Mandating Body/	Office	e for the Coordination of Hu	ıma	nitaria	an Affairs (OCHA), Inte	er-Clu	ster Coordination			
Agency	Grou	p (ICCG)								
IMPACT Project	27EL	N								
Code										
Overall Research										
Timeframe (from research design to final outputs / M&E)	01/02	01/02/2024 to 30/05/2024								
Research	1. Pile	1. Pilot/ training: 27/02/2024- 6. Outputs to be produced:								
Timeframe		1/2024			Clean datase		04/2024			
Add planned deadlines		,			<ul> <li>Formatted ar</li> </ul>	alvsis	: 18/04/2024			
for first cycle if more					<ul> <li>Key findings</li> </ul>	•				
than 1)					<ul> <li>District Zoom</li> </ul>					
	2. Sta	art collect data: 03/03/2024	4		7. Outputs sent for va	alidati	on: 09/05/2024			
	3. Da	ta collected: 21/03/2024			8. Outputs published	: 23/0	5/2024			
	4. Da	ta analysed: 03/04/2024			9. Final presentation	:/_				
		ta sent for validation:								
		<u>-</u> /2024_								
Number of	X	Single assessment (one	cycl	e)						
assessments		Multi assessment (more	thar	one	cycle)					
Humanitarian	Miles	tone			Deadline (can be te	ntativ	re)			
milestones		Donor plan/strategy			//					
Specify what will the		Inter-cluster plan/strategy	<b>y</b>							
assessment inform and when	X	CCCM, Health, Education, Shelter, 30/05/2024								
e.g. The shelter cluster		WASH and Protection cluster								
will use this data to		planning NGO platform plan/strate	N.		1 1					
draft its Revised Flash		·	,ay							
Appeal;		Other (Specify):								
	Audi	ence type			Dissemination					

Audience Type &	X Strategic	X General Product Mailing (e.g. mail to NGO					
Dissemination	X Programmatic	consortium; HCT participants; Donors): Direct emails to CCCM, Health, Education, Shelter, Protection and					
Specify <b>who</b> will the	□ Operational	WASH cluster leads; dissemination on REACH SOM					
assessment inform and	·	SendinBlue list.					
how you will	□ [Other, Specify]	X Website Dissemination (Relief Web & REACH					
disseminate to inform the audience		Resource Centre).					
the audience		□ [Other, Specify]					
Stakeholder	X Yes	□ No					
mapping Has a							
detailed stakeholder							
mapping been							
conducted during							
research design to							
identify all actors that							
could contribute to							
and/or <b>benefit from</b> the							
research?							
General Objective	humanitarian needs including access to Health, Protection, Shelter and Water,	nitarian planning in Somalia by providing information on o services, displacement dynamics, Education, Food Security, Sanitation and Hygiene (WASH) in hard-to-reach areas where itarian actors is restricted or impossible due to insecurity or y the Protection cluster.					
Specific Objective(s)	<ul> <li>and WASH needs).</li> <li>To identify which population population groups are unable influencing movement dynan</li> <li>To identify primary livelihood (including lack of rain, drougl influence primary livelihoods)</li> <li>To understand what services and the barriers that impede</li> <li>To identify protection concerned to understand the types of heach settlement have accesservices.</li> </ul>	in hard-to-reach settlements and how climatic hazards t) and economic conditions (including ways to earn income)					
Research Questions	humanitarian needs in hard-t	c hazards and economic conditions influencing livelihoods and o-reach settlements and movement out of hard-to-reach					
	Shelter, Protection and WAS conditions influencing these coping strategies further driv  Which population groups are that are driving movement of unable to move, but would lik  To which services and types reach settlements have according to the condition of the	eds with regards to Education, Food Security, Health, ? How are shocks, climatic hazards and economic umanitarian needs? What are the barriers and negative g these humanitarian needs? noving out of hard-to-reach settlements? What are the factors t of hard-to-reach settlements? Are some population groups to do so? If yes, whom? of humanitarian assistance, if any, do populations in hard-to- se to? What are the constraints to accessing services and					
Geographic Coverage		p-reach settlements in 25 districts in Central and Southern overed when 15% of the settlements under that district are					

	account by interviewing three VIa	nor cottle	most 1	For this assessmen	nt har	d to rooch oottlemente				
	assessed by interviewing three KIs will be defined as settlements in dis	•								
	which by the Access Working Grou		-							
	Protection cluster. The final list of d									
Secondary data										
sources			rition <i>F</i>	<u>Analysis Unit</u> pub	olicatio	ons				
	<ul><li>Somalia IPC r</li><li>Somalia WAS</li></ul>		. publi	nations						
	1									
	<ul> <li>Somalia <u>Health cluster</u> publications</li> <li>Somalia <u>Shelter cluster</u> publications</li> </ul>									
	Somalia Educ									
	<u> </u>			ng on Somalia						
	Somalia Prote	ection an	d Retu	rn Monitoring Ne	etwork	(PRMN) dashboard				
	<u> </u>			onse Plan (HRP)						
				Overview (HNC						
	1		_	n Annual Countr	<u>y</u> repo	ort				
	Somalia Liveli     Somalia secondina seco									
	Somalia acce      IOM DTM (Did		_							
	<ul><li>IOM DTM (<u>Dis</u></li><li>OCHA <u>Situation</u></li></ul>									
					ment	(SWALIM) publications				
						) Somalia publications				
	-					·				
Population(s)	□ IDPs in camp									
Select all that apply	□ IDPs in host communities	3		IDPs [Other, Sp	ecify]	cify]				
	□ Refugees in camp			Refugees in inf	l sites					
	□ Refugees in host commu	nities								
	□ Host communities	iiiiioo	X	i i i i i g i i i i i i i i i i i i i i						
Stratification	X Geographical #:1810	□ Gro	pup #:							
Select type(s) and	Population size per		pulation size per							
enter number of strata	strata is known?   Yes	-	ta is known?			strata is known?				
	X No		es □ N			□ Yes □ No				
Data collection	X Structured (Quantitative)			Semi-structure	d (Qu					
tool(s)	ou dotal od (Qddilatauro)				a (aa	amairo,				
(-)	Sampling method		Data	collection met	hod					
Structured data	X Purposive		Y Ko	v informant intervi	iow (T	arget #\: 1810				
collection tool # 1	·		X Key informant interview (Target #): 1810							
Select sampling and	□ Probability / Simple random			oup discussion (T						
data collection method	□ Probability / Stratified simple ran	dom	□ Household interview (Target #):							
and specify target #	□ Probability / Cluster sampling		□ Individual interview (Target #):							
interviews	□ Probability / Stratified cluster sar	mpling	□ Di	rect observations	(Targe	rt #):				
	X Snowballing		□ [Other, Specify] (Target #):							
Target level of				. , , ,	- /					
precision if										
probability										
sampling										
Camping			Age							
	Gender	Age								

Disaggregation by gender and age	X	Yes X Yes			Yes			
Are you planning to conduct sex/age disaggregated analysis?		No			No			
Data management platform(s)	Х	IMPACT			Х	UNHCR		
		[Other, Specify]						
Expected ouput type(s)		Situation overview #: _		Rep	ort#:			Profile #:
		Presentation (Preliminary findings) #:	Х	(ICCG, Education cluster, Protection cluster and SNFI cluster)			Х	Factsheet #: 2 briefs  – 1 Key Findings brief, 1 district-level Zoom-In
		Interactive dashboard #:_					X	Map #: 1 coverage map
		[Other, Specify] #:						
Access	X	Public (available on REA	CH	resou	irce c	enter and other	huma	nitarian platforms)
		Restricted (bilateral disserpublication on REACH of					semir	nation list, no
Visibility Specify	REA	CH						
which <b>logos</b> should be on outputs	Don	NOTS: USAID and ECHO  FROM THE AMERICAN PEOPLE  Funded by European Union Rumanitarian Aid						
	Coo	rdination Framework: NA						
	Part	ners: NA						

#### 2. Rationale

#### 2.1 Background

The ongoing crisis in Somalia, exacerbated by a combination of drought, insecurity, and flooding attributed to El Niño, has escalated the risk of disease outbreaks, notably cholera and Acute Watery Diarrhea (AWD). Recent reports indicate a concerning uptick, with at least nine fatalities and 474 confirmed cases recorded within the first two weeks of 2024. The humanitarian situation continues to deteriorate significantly, with successive failed rainy seasons and recurrent flash floods severely impacting the livelihoods of the H2R residents. This scenario has led to widespread displacement, further exacerbated by heightened insecurity, limiting access to essential services and aid for affected communities. Despite concerted efforts, humanitarian access remains constrained due to security challenges, underscoring the urgent need for coordinated interventions to address the multifaceted challenges facing Somalia's vulnerable populations. — i.e. Hard-to-Reach (H2R) districts. These districts have already been categorized as Extreme Constraints or Hard-to-Reach by the Access Working Group (AWG) or Category 5 (catastrophic) by the Protection Cluster as Category 5.

#### 2.2 Intended impact

HSM aims to provide information on shifting humanitarian needs including access to services, displacement dynamics, Education, Food Security, Health, Protection, Shelter and Water, Sanitation and Hygiene (WASH) in hard-to-reach settlements that are categorized as Extreme Constraints or Hard-to-Reach by the Access Working

Group (AWG) or identified as a Category 5 Protection Risk by the Protection cluster. The March 2023 round of HSM will further aim to provide information on humanitarian need in hard-to-reach settlements following the Deyr seasonal flooding and ahead of the Gu rain season.<sup>1</sup>

### 3. Methodology

#### 3.1 Methodology overview

This assessment aims to offer settlement-level data of hard-to-reach areas where face-to-face household surveys are not feasible through Key Informant Interviews (KIIs). KIIs are conducted with Key Informants (KIs) who are residents of the target hard-to-reach settlement at the time of data collection via mobile phone interviews. If mobile phone interviews are not possible, then KIIs are conducted with KIs who are knowledgeable of the target hard-toreach settlement via face-to-face interviews in accessible locations with KIs who have recent knowledge of the hard-to-reach settlements. All KIs will be snowballed by enumerators and Field Officers using contacts from local NGOs, contacts from INGOs and contacts from previous REACH assessments. All KIs will be selected based on their knowledge of the target hard-to-reach settlements. Field Officers will make sure to keep an up-to-date contact list of potential KIs and local guides to build a strong network at the field level. Data collection will be conducted both at REACH bases and in IDP sites or settlements accessible to AoK KIIs. Data collection methods are supervised by one officer in each of the four base locations – Baidoa, Kismayo, Garowe and Mogadishu. IDP sites that received new arrivals from hard-to-reach settlements in the previous three months, new IDP sites (set up in the last three months by people who arrived from hard-to-reach settlements) and accessible settlements will be identified through the REACH field team, local authorities, humanitarian organization, and REACH field networks. All KIs are asked a structured, close-ended questionnaire through the KOBO application. Data will be collected at the settlement level, i.e., the questionnaire relates to site level humanitarian needs, not individual needs. However, there are some individual-level questions which are asked to all KIs and some household-level questions that will be asked to KIs who are current hard-to-reach residents in the target hard-to-reach settlements at the time of data collection. A threshold of 15% of the settlements under each target district will be covered. Three key informant interviews (KIIs) will be conducted at each settlement, this will help reduce the occurrence of "No-consensus" cases when findings are aggregated at the settlement level during the analysis stage. The details of the number of target settlement are included in Table 1.

#### 3.2 Population of interest

The assessment targets the hard-to-reach settlements of Somalia that are located within the following regions: Bakool, Bay, Gedo, Lower Juba, Lower Shabelle, Middle Juba, Middle Shabelle, Bari and Sool. These districts and settlements were identified by the Access Working Group (AWG) as districts that have constraints ranging from low, moderate to high access constraints (i.e., lack of roads, flooding) or security concerns (i.e., clan conflict, presence of armed actors) or are Category 5 as identified by the Protection cluster. The severity scales offer a conceptual structure to comprehend the implications of being categorized at severity levels 1 (minimal), 2 (stressed), 3 (crisis), 4 (critical), or 5 (catastrophic) concerning the scope of protection needs. These stages are defined for both individual households and broader geographical areas<sup>2</sup>.

#### 3.3 Secondary data review

In addition to the secondary data outlined earlier in this Methodology Note, additional sources will be used:

- Food Security and Nutrition Analysis Unit publications
- Somalia IPC reports

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Somalia Floods, October 2023

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> methodology\_for\_calculating\_protection\_severity\_and\_estimating\_people\_affected\_and\_in\_need.pdf (globalprotectioncluster.org)

- Somalia WASH cluster publications
- Somalia Health cluster publications
- Somalia Shelter cluster publications
- Somalia Protection cluster publications
- Somalia <u>Education cluster</u> publications
- WHO epidemiological reporting on Somalia
- Somalia Protection and Return Monitoring Network (PRMN) dashboard
- Somalia Humanitarian Response Plan (HRP), 2023 Somalia
- Somalia Humanitarian Needs Overview (HNO), 2023 Somalia
- Somalia World Food Program Annual Country report
- Somalia Livelihood Zones Map
- Somalia access severity map
- IOM DTM (<u>Displacement Tracking Matrix</u>)
- OCHA Situation Reports on Flooding
- Somalia Water and Land Information Management (SWALIM) publications
- Food Early Warning Systems Network (FEWSNET) Somalia publications

As much as possible, secondary sources will be used to contextualize findings. For example, IPC reports providing information on food security and nutrition needs as well as projections, per region. The World Food Programme (WFP) annual country report adds more context to the food security situation in further context and complement the IPC.<sup>3</sup> The Somalia Water and Land Information Management (SWALIM) can provide climatic data such as the combined drought index capturing information on crops, pasture, fire danger, water shortages, livestock migration.<sup>4</sup> FEWSNET's seasonal monitoring adds important value to the monitoring of seasons, especially during drought conditions, contributing with information on rainfall during Somali seasons among others will be used to triangulate the findings<sup>5</sup>.

#### 3.4 Primary Data Collection

Given that physical access to the target locations is limited and there is no possibility of drawing a representative sample, purposive sampling will be adopted for both the Area of Knowledge and the mobile phone data collection methods.

KIs will be selected based on their knowledge of the target settlement. If the KI is not living in the hard-to-reach settlement, then the following eligibility criteria will apply (and is integrated into the data collection tool):

- Being newly displaced from the target hard-to-reach settlement within the past 30 days before the start of data collection or having visited the target hard-to-reach settlement in the last 30 days prior to the start of data collection.
- 2) Having been in contact with residents living in the target hard-to-reach settlement in the last 30 days prior to the start of data collection as a healthcare worker, business professional, traders or relative.
- 3) Is reporting on a target hard-to-reach settlement where at least one household remained. Since the assessment aims to fill in gaps in understanding the humanitarian context, targeting settlements that are no longer inhabited would not contribute to this.

The questionnaire includes a section to abet the snowballing approach. The interviewees will be asked if they can refer REACH team to another KI that matched the eligibility criteria, from the target hard-to-reach settlement or any other target hard-to-reach settlement. The contact details of these additional KIs will be collected for sampling purposes only. FOs will make sure to keep an up-to-date contact list of potential KIs and local guides to build a strong network at the field level. This information will not be shared externally and will be stored only on REACH assets, protected by a password.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> FSNAU, PowerPoint Presentation (fsnau.org)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> FAO SWALIM (2023), Somalia, Belet Weyne District: Potential Higher Ground for Evacuation from Floods. 15 Sept 2023

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> FEWS-NET (2023), <a href="https://fews.net/east-africa/somalia">https://fews.net/east-africa/somalia</a>

Table 1: KI interview targets, per district and settlement:

District	Settlement Target (15% of known settlements)	Key Informant Interview Target (3 KIs per settlement)
Adan Yabaal	8	23
Afmadow	34	101
Balcad	45	136
Bu'aale	18	54
Bulo Burto	34	101
Buur Hakaba	107	320
Ceel Buur	12	37
Ceel Dheer	13	40
Ceel Waaq	13	39
Dhuusamarreeb	27	82
Diinsoor	36	107
Jalalaqsi	17	52
Jamaame	22	66
Jilib	26	78
Kurtunwaarey	17	50
Laasqoray	12	37
Qandala	14	41
Qansax Dheere	20	59
Rab Dhuure	16	49
Saakow	17	51
Sablaale	18	54
Tayeeglow	22	67
Waajid	13	40
Xahardheere	8	25
Xudur	34	101
Grand Total	603	1810

Table 2: KI interview targets, per base

Baidoa	898
Garowe	78
Kismayo	338
Mogadishu	496

The tool will include questions on: Education, Food Security, Livelihoods, Health, Humanitarian Assistance, Movement, Protection, Shelter and WASH; and has been adapted to specifically capture the influence of climate hazards and economic conditions. Most indicators will be collected at the settlement level, except for a selection of individual-level indicators regarding the KI's profile (including eligibility questions) for all KIs and

a selection of household-level indicators pertaining to Food Security asked to KIs in the hard-to-reach settlement at the time of data collection. For most indicators, KIs will be reporting at the time of data collection unless otherwise specified. Data collection will be organized as follows:

Training of Trainers (ToTs): A one day training of trainers will be conducted for the field officers of the five above mentioned bases.

Enumerator training: A two day training for Enumerators directly after the ToT.

Pilot data collection: A one day pilot, conducted by Enumerators and Field Officers, to test the tool before data collection commences. Proceeding this, field officer and assessment officers will continually test the tool until the the ToT.

Data collection: A two-week data collection, including mobile phone interviews with KIs who residents of the target hard-to-reach settlements and face-to-face Area of Knowledge interviews with KIs are knowledgeable of the target hard-to-reach settlement, from the REACH bases of Baidoa, Garowe, Kismayo and Mogadishu.

Data cleaning: Daily data checking and cleaning will be conducted by the field and assessment teams during data collection.

#### 3.5 Data Processing & Analysis

IMPACT data cleaning minimum standards checklist will be followed<sup>6</sup>. Every day, the surveys are uploaded on the REACH/IMPACT Kobo-server and downloaded by the Database Officer (DO) at the end of data collection. The DO anonymizes and subsequently checks the dataset before it goes through to Field and Assessment Officers who will be conducting data checking and cleaning through log changes and deletions. The Assessment Officer will oversee and do the data cleaning templates for the Field Officers, who are in turn responsible for data checking and the supervision of field teams. The following protocols will be in place to ensure the quality of data collected:

- Daily data cleaning by Field Officers, who identify outliers, anomalies, and logical inconsistencies, and
  give regular feedback to enumerators through daily briefings and ad-hoc training. Data points that cannot
  be resolved through follow-ups with the enumerators or respondents will be deleted. If survey records
  have more than three outliers that cannot be checked, the entire record is deleted from the dataset. Also,
  if the duration of the survey taken is very long or short and the enumerators could not provide concise and
  clear justification, the entire survey will be deleted.
- In parallel, daily data cleaning will be conducted by the Assessment Officer, who reviews data cleaning
  conducted by Field Officers and provides additional feedback to the data collection teams in regular
  communication with the Senior Assessment Officer regarding briefings, and training.

The GIS and Database Officers do data aggregation and spatial verification, who provide feedback to ascertain settlement coverage.

#### **Data Analysis and Aggregation**

The data collected is aggregated at the following levels: (1) Settlement, (2) District.

Given that more than one quantitative survey will be collected for a given settlement, data from key informants reporting on the same settlement is aggregated to the settlement level using an R script which employs the following logic to calculate settlement-level responses: More details below:

Single response questions: Majority of the survey questions only allow a KI to select a single response. For this type of question, mode aggregation is used, whereby "I don't know" responses are dropped and then the most reported response is taken for each settlement. Should the same number of KIs from the same settlement provide different responses to the same question, the result is reported as "No consensus".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> IMPACT Memo Data-Cleaning-Min-Standards-Checklist 28012020-1.pdf (reachresourcecentre.info)

 Multiple response questions: Mode aggregation is used, whereby "I don't know" responses are dropped and then all other responses reported by the KIs are presented.

The clean dataset and analysis will then inform the following outputs:

- Clean dataset.
- Formatted analysis.
- Trend analysis.
- Key finding brief.

#### 3.6 Limitations

As the assessment relies on interviews with snowballed KIs either through mobile phone interviews or face to face Area of Knowledge interviews, all findings are indicative, i.e., not statistically representative, of hard-to-reach areas in Somalia. And as these data collection methods rely on snowballing for accessible participants from snowballing, findings will reflect the experiences, perceptions, and limited knowledge of these accessible KIs.

Limitations of data collections by Phone Interview:

Respondents might be inclined to exhibit social desirability bias, where they tend to offer responses that are seen as socially acceptable rather than honestly expressing their genuine opinions. This tendency arises because individuals may feel a social pressure to conform to what is deemed acceptable, leading them to modify their answers to align with societal expectations. This phenomenon can impact the accuracy of survey results, as participants may not fully disclose their authentic beliefs or attitudes, skewing the overall findings<sup>7</sup>

#### Limitations of data collection by AoK:

One significant drawback of AoK lies in its non-reliance on random sampling; instead, it employs purposive sampling methods that vary based on circumstances. Consequently, its findings are regarded as merely "indicative" rather than representative. Two key factors contribute to this limitation: (i) variations in question phrasing and indicator construction between the two data sources and (ii) the use of survey data as a comparison source (as opposed to a census), introducing additional noise or error that may have compromised certain correlations. Despite these constraints, valuable insights emerge. Collectively, the results underscore the utility of AoK as a valuable tool for assessing specific conditions and needs to inform emergency prioritization and planning. This is particularly evident in the absence of viable alternatives for measuring needs remotely and rapidly or at a sufficiently high frequency and cost-effectively, especially across various sectors and indicators.

### 4. Key ethical considerations and related risks

The proposed research design meets / does not meet the following criteria:

The proposed research design	Yes/ No	Details if no (including mitigation)
Has been coordinated with relevant stakeholders to avoid unnecessary duplication of data collection efforts?	Yes	
Respects respondents, their rights and dignity (specifically by: seeking informed consent, designing length of survey/ discussion while being considerate of participants' time, ensuring accurate reporting of information provided)?	Yes	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Measures of Personality and Social Psychological Attitudes | ScienceDirect

<sup>8</sup> REACH-AoK-Validation-Study-Summary-Report-October-2023-4.pdf (impact-initiatives.org)

Does not expose data collectors to any risks as a direct result of participation in data collection?	Yes
Does not expose respondents / their communities to any risks as a direct result of participation in data collection?	Yes
Does not involve <b>collecting information on specific topics which may be stressful and/ or re-traumatising</b> for research participants (both respondents and data collectors)?	Yes
Does not involve <b>data collection with minors</b> i.e. anyone less than 18 years old?	Yes
Does not involve <b>data collection with other vulnerable groups</b> e.g. persons with disabilities, victims/ survivors of protection incidents, etc.?	Yes
Follows IMPACT SOPs for management of <b>personally</b> identifiable information?	Yes

## 5. Roles and responsibilities

Task Description	Responsible	Accountable	Consulted	Informed
Research design	Assessment Officer, Senior Assessment Officer	Senior Assessment Officer	IMPACT SOM Inter-Sectoral Unit (ISU) Research Manager, IMPACT KEN- SOM Data unit, IMPACT KEN- SOM Operations unit HQ RDDU	Inter-Cluster Coordination Group (ICCG), IMPACT KEN-SOM Country Coordinator
Supervising data collection	REACH Field Officers (FOs), Senior Field Cpoordinator, Assessment Officer, Senior Assessment Officer	Senior Assessment Officer	IMPACT SOM ISU Research Manager, IMPACT KEN- SOM Data Manager	ICCG, IMPACT KEN-SOM Country Coordinator
Data processing (checking, cleaning)	Senior Assessment Officer, Assessment Officer, Field Officers, GIS Officer (GISO, Data Officer	Senior Assessment Officer	IMPACT SOM ISU Research Manager, IMPACT KEN- SOM Data Manager, IMPACT HQ RDDU	ICCG, IMPACT KEN-SOM Country Coordinator

Data analysis	Assessment Officer, Senior Assessment Officer GIS Officer and Data Officer	Senior Assessment Officer	IMPACT SOM ISU Research Manager, IMPACT KEN- SOM Data Manager, IMPACT HQ RDDU	ICCG, IMPACT KEN-SOM Country Coordinator
Output production	Assessment Officer, Senior Assessment Officer	Senior Assessment Officer	IMPACT SOM ISU Research Manager, IMPACT KEN- SOM Country Coordinator, IMPACT HQ Research and Reporting Unit (RRU)	ICCG, IMPACT KEN-SOM Country Coordinator
Dissemination	Assessment Officer, Senior Assessment Officer, IMPACT SOM ISU Research Manager	IMPACT SOM ISU Research Manager	IMPACT KEN- SOM Country Coordinator	HQ RRU
Monitoring & Evaluation	Assessment Officer, Senior Assessment Officer	Senior Assessment Officer	IMPACT KEN- SOM Research Manager, IMPACT KEN- SOM Country Coordinator, IMPACT KEN- SOM PD Officer	IMPACT HQ
Lessons learned	Assessment Officer, Senior Assessment Officer, Assessment Officer	Senior Assessment Officer	, IMPACT SOM ISU Research Manager, IMPACT KEN- SOM Data Manager, IMPACT SOM Operations Manager, Field Officers, GIS Officer, Database	IMPACT KEN-SOM Country Coordinator, IMPACT HQ

Responsible: the person(s) who executes the task

Accountable: the person who validates the completion of the task and is accountable of the final output or milestone

Consulted: the person(s) who must be consulted when the task is implemented Informed: the person(s) who need to be informed when the task is completed

2024\_External-1.xlsx

6. Data Analysis Plan -SOM1901 HSM-in-Hard-to-Reach DAP March-

## 7. Data Management Plan

Administrative Data	_		_				
Research Cycle name	Humani	tarian Situation Monitoring 2023, So	omalia				
Project Code	27ELN	tarian Gitaation Monitoring 2020, Oc	omana				
Donor	ВНА						
Project partners	OCHA				_		
Research Contacts		ayero, paul.wayero@impact-initiativ	ves ord	1			
		ed Muse, mohamed.muse@reach-i	-		ra		
		Madsen, hanna.madsen@impact-in			•		
Data Management Plan			Version				
Version	Date. 20	V6/3/01/. 01					
Related Policies	[List	[List any relevant policies/procedures on data management, data sharing and c					
		security that this project will be based on]					
Documentation and Metadat							
What documentation and	X	Data analysis plan		X	Data Cleaning Log, including:		
metadata will accompany the data?					<ul><li>Deletion Log</li></ul>		
Select all that apply					<ul><li>Value Change Log</li></ul>		
Coloct all that apply		Code book			Data Dictionary		
		Metadata based on HDX			[Other, Specify]		
		Standards					
<b>Ethics and Legal Complianc</b>	е						
Which ethical and legal	X	Consent of participants to particip	ate		Consent of participants to share personal		
measures will be taken?		No collection of nonconclled double	داداد:	<b>V</b>	information with other agencies		
		No collection of personally identification of the second s	iable	X	Gender, child protection and other		
		data will take place			protection issues are taken into account		
	X	All participants reached age	e of		[Other, Specify]		
		majority					
Who will own the copyright a		ACH Initiative					
Intellectual Property Rights	tor						
the data that is collected?							
Storage and Backup Where will data be stored	v	IMPACT/DEACH Keha Camian		_	Other Kehe Comer lengelful		
and backed up during the	X	IMPACT/REACH Kobo Server			Other Kobo Server: [specify]		
research?	X	IMPACT Global Physical / C	Cloud		Country/Internal Server		
		Server	7.000		Country/micrital Co.vo.		
	X	On devices held by REACH staff			Physical location [specify]		
	^	On devices field by NEAOT stail		П	Triysical location [specify]		
		[Other, Specify]					
- NA(1) - 1 - 1 - 1							
Which data access and security measures have	X	Password protection on devices /		X	Data access is limited to REACH		
security measures have been taken?		servers			staff		
boon takon:		Form and data encryption on			•		
		data collection server			accessing raw data		
		[Other, Specify]					
Kobo Access Rights							
Account Name(s)		Person(s)			Type of Kobo access		
reidjackson	Reid	Jackson, DO		ΧV	/iew X Submit Data		
		INGIU Jacksoli, DO			Edit X Download Data		
m_m_n	Moh	named Muse, AO			/iew □ Submit Data		
reidjackson	Enu	merator		□ V	/iew X Submit Data		

					□ Edit □ Download Data				
Hanna.madsen@in	npact-	Hanna Madsen, RM				X View □ Submit Data			
initiatives.org					□ E	dit 2	<b>K</b> Download Data		
Raw Data Access	Rights								
Raw Data A	Access		Reason				Pe	erson	
Manager		Data	focal point			Rei	d Jackson, DO		
A		D-4-		!.		Da:	d Jackson DO	_	
Access		Data	a cleaning and analy	/515			d Jackson, DO on Langat, SDO		
							at Jahan, GISO		
							named Muse, A		
							Il Wayero, SAO		
Preservation							, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Where will data b		X	IMPACT / REACH	Global	Cloud /	□ OCHA HDX			
for long-term prese	rvation?		Physical Server						
			REACH Country S	Server			[Other, Specify]		
Data Sharing	- h - n - d	V					A1 1 20		
Will the data be publicly?	snared	X Yes			□ No, only with mandating agency / body				
Will all data be sha	red?	□ Yes			X		mized / cleaned / ata will be shared		
		□ No, [Other, Specify]							
Where will you sl data?	hare the	X	X REACH Resource Centre			X	OCHA HDX		
		Χ	X Humanitarian Response			Χ	Dissemination	by email and upon	
			· ·				request for par	tners.	
Data protection ris		t							
Have you complete			□ Yes			□ No, no information that potentially allows identification of individuals is to			
Indicators Risk Ass table below?	essment					be collected.			
table below?		[Plea	[Please complete the first 4 columns in the Indicators Risk Assessment table below]					table belowi	
		Įi ico	iso complete the mat-	+ coluiiii	is in the maleat	0131	NON MODESSITION	able below]	
Risk indicator									
(including direct	Type o	f	Disclosure	)	5 64			Required	
and indirect	identification		implication	S	Benefits		Class	mitigation	
identifiers)			·						
Respondent	Direct contact	İ	Loss of privacy Verificatio			of	B1	To be deleted after	
contact details			Loss of anonymity unclear					data cleaning	
(name and phone	Identification	of KI							
number)	respondents		sensitive or verification t		hat				
			biographic		respondent				
			information		actually	:			
			Potential targets Discrimination/	•	participated	1[1			
			Stigmatisation		the survey				

Respondent GPS location	Identification of KI respondents		Loss of privacy Loss of anonymity Potential targes Discrimination	Spatial verification of collected data	B1	To be deleted after data cleaning	
Responsibilities							
Data collection		Hajir Hussein, Senior Field Officer, hajir.hussein@reach-initiative.org					
Data cleaning		Paul Wayero, Senior Assessment Officer, <a href="mailto:paul.wayero@impact-initiatives.org">paul.wayero@impact-initiatives.org</a>					
		Mohamed Muse, Assessment Officer, mohamed.muse@reach-initiative.org					
		Reid Jackson, Data Officer, reid.jackson@impact-initiative.org					
		Aaron Langat, Senior Data Officer, aaron.langat@impact-initiatives.org					
Data analysis		Paul Wayero, Senior Assessment Officer, paul.wayero@impact-initiatives.org					
		Mohamed Muse, Assessment Officer, mohamed.muse@reach-initiative.org					
		Reid Jackson, Data Officer, reid.jackson@impact-initiative.org					
		Aaron Langat, Senior Data Officer, aaron.langat@impact-initiatives.org					
		Ishrat Jahan, GIS Officer, ishrat.jahan@impact-initiatives.org					
		Dennis Mutai, GIS Manager, dennis.mutai@reach-initiative.org					
Data sharing/uploa	ding	Hanna Madsen, Research Manager, hanna.madsen@impact-initiatives.org					
		Paul Wayero, Senior Assessment Officer, paul.wayero@impact-initiatives.org					
		Mohamed Muse, Assessment Officer, mohamed.muse@reach-initiative.org					

# 8. Monitoring & Evaluation Plan

IMPACT Objective	External M&E Indicator	Internal M&E Indicator	Focal point	Tool	Will indicator be tracked?
Humanitaria n stakeholders are accessing IMPACT products	Number of humanitarian organisations accessing IMPACT services/products  Number of individuals accessing IMPACT services/products	# of downloads of x product from Resource Center	Country request to HQ		X Yes
		# of downloads of x product from Relief Web	Country request to HQ		X Yes
		# of downloads of x product from Country level platforms	Country team	User_log	□ Yes
		# of page clicks on x product from REACH global newsletter	Country request to HQ		□ Yes
		# of page clicks on x product from country newsletter, sendingBlue, bit.ly	Country team		X Yes
		# of visits to x webmap/x dashboard	Country request to HQ		□ Yes
IMPACT activities contribute to	Number of humanitarian organisations utilizing IMPACT services/products	# references in HPC documents (HNO, SRP, Flash appeals, Cluster/sector strategies)	Country team	Referenc e_log	Somalia Humanitarian Needs Overview
better program implementati on and coordination of the		# references in single agency documents			(HNO) Somalia Humanitarian Response Plan (HRP)

humanitaria					
n response					
Humanitaria n stakeholders are using IMPACT products	Humanitarian actors use IMPACT evidence/product s as a basis for decision making, aid planning and delivery  Number of humanitarian documents (HNO, HRP, cluster/agency strategic plans, etc.) directly informed by IMPACT products	Perceived relevance of IMPACT country-programs  Perceived usefulness and influence of IMPACT outputs		Usage_F eedback and Usage_S urvey template	[Outline here the usage survey to be implemented for this research cycle E.g. Usage survey to be conducted in November 2017, following the release of x outputs, targeting at least 10 partners
		Recommendations to strengthen IMPACT programs	Country team		
		Perceived capacity of IMPACT staff Perceived quality of outputs/programs			E.g. Usage survey to be conducted at the end of the
		Recommendations to strengthen IMPACT programs			research cycle related to all outputs, targeting at least 20 partners]
Humanitaria n	Number and/or percentage of humanitarian organizations	# of organisations providing resources (i.e. staff, vehicles, meeting space, budget, etc.) for activity implementation		Engage ment_log	□ Yes
stakeholders are engaged in IMPACT	directly contributing to IMPACT	# of organisations/clusters inputting in research design and joint analysis	Country		X Yes
programs throughout the research cycle	programs (providing resources, participating to presentations, etc.)	# of organisations/clusters attending briefings on findings;	team		<b>X</b> Yes

i Somalia 2024 Humanitarian Needs and Response Plan (HNRP) - Somalia | ReliefWeb ii Somalia 2024 Humanitarian Needs and Response Plan (HNRP) - Somalia | ReliefWeb