Camp 6 Ukhiya, Cox's Bazar, Bangladesh

July 2018 and January 2019 comparison


Background and Methodology

An estimated 738,000 Rohingya refugees have fled violence in Myanmar's Rakhine state since August 25, 2017¹. Most of the refugees rely on humanitarian assistance, having left with few possessions and exhausted their financial resources on the journey. The cyclone and monsoon seasons, stretching from May to October, further threatened the living conditions of the vast majority of refugees who are living in makeshift shelters and settlements highly vulnerable to floods and landsides.

To understand the evolving priority needs of the refugees, and to understand change over time, this Multi-Sector Needs Assessment (MSNA), coordinated by the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) and with technical support from REACH, was conducted in 33 refugee sites using a household survey methodology. It is a follow up assessment to the baseline MSNA conducted in July 2018.


Results of this MSNA are generalizable to the camp level with 95% confidence level and 10% margin of error. This factsheet presents an analysis of data collected in Camp 6 where 105 households were surveyed between 8 and 26 January 2019. Support for questionnaire translation and enumerator language training was provided by Translators Without Borders.

January 2019 data is presented in red, and July 2018 data is presented in beige.



The UN Refugee Agency

M Demographics³



3. January 2019 data only




Camp 6 July 2018 and January 2019 comparison

Protection

% of households reporting safety concerns (read: *fears*) for boys and girls (aged under 18)^{4,5,6}

Jan 2019			ј Во	oys		Jul 2018
64%	Kidna	pping	0	Kidna	pping	75%
63%	Violence comm		2	Armed recruit		61%
32%	Armed recruit		B	Violence comm		38%
			🛉 Gi	rls		
61%	Sexual v	violence	0	Kidna	pping	60%
47%	Kidna	pping	2	Sexual v	violence	57%
36%	Violence comm		B	Child m	arriage	30%
Ja	an 2019				Jul 20	18
C	5%	least on hazardor days prio of house	e child in us labour r to data	porting at volved in in the 30 collection, h children	0%	0
C	46%	presenc	e of a yo	porting the uth group during the	80%	0
% of children reported to be attending activities at an						

NGO-run safe space for children in the 7 days prior to data collection⁸



% of females reported to be attending activities at an NGO-run space where only women and girls are allowed in the 7 days prior to data collection⁹



% of households reporting areas in the camp where men, women, boys, and girls do not feel safe 4,10

Jan 2019		л м	len	Jul 2018
45%	Market	0	No area unsafe	65%
38%	Distribution points	2	Do not know	15%
36%	No area unsafe	B	Latrines	12%
		• w	lomen	
45%	Market	0	Latrines	51%
38%	Distribution points	2	Bathing areas	47%
28%	Health centre	B	Water points	43%
		∱ В	oys	
56%	Learning spaces	0	Latrines	44%
51%	Market	2	Market	43%
44%	Distribution points	B	Learning spaces	26%
		🛉 G	irls	
56%	Market	0	Latrines	79%
48%	Learning spaces	2	Bathing areas	70%
30%	Bathing areas	B	Water points	52%

4. Respondents could select multiple options

5. Three most common safety concerns for boys and girls are shown

These results are based on the respondent's subjective perception of risks in the camp, and not the actual number of security incidents

7. In this assessment hazardous labour was defined as: working with heavy machinery or lifting heavy objects, exposure to harmful chemicals, exposure to extreme heat (eg. furnace, bakery), working more than

40 hours per week, working at night (between 8 pm and 6 am), and using sharp objects 8. Respondents were asked to report information for each child in their household. This indicator shows the proportion of all individual children reported

9. Respondents were asked to report information for each woman in their household. This indicator shows the proportion of all individual women reported

REACH

An initiative of IMPACT Initiatives


ACTED and UNOSAT

10. Three most common areas where men, women, boys and girls do not feel safe are shown



Camp 6

July 2018 and January 2019 comparison





An initiative of IMPACT Initiatives

ACTED and UNOSAT

REACH

Camp 6

July 2018 and January 2019 comparison

% of households reporting challenges in accessing NGO run clinics since arriving in the $camp^{15,16}$

Jan 2019				Jul 2018
63 %	Treatment unavailable	0	No challenge	45%
51%	Supplies unavailable	2	Clinic too far	25%
31%	Crowded	B	Treatment unavailable	20%
21%	No challenge	4	Supplies unavailable	20%
10%	Poor staff behaviour	6	Crowded	16%

Food Security




of households reporting possession of a ration card



Jul 2018

% of households reporting receiving food assistance in the 30 days prior to data collection, by source¹⁵






15. Respondents could select multiple options

16. Five most common health care access challenges are shown


17. Respondents were asked to report information for each child in their household. This indicator shows the proportion of all individual children reported

🕮 Education

% of children reported to be attending NGO-run learning centres in the 7 days prior to data collection¹⁷



% of children reported to be attending Madrassas in the 7 days prior to data collection¹⁷



Shelter & Non-Food Items



of households reporting 36% sharing their shelter with other households



% of households reporting destruction or damage of their shelter in the 30 days prior to data collection

Jan 2019		Jul 2018
21%	Roof damaged	14%
23%	Roof destroyed	10%
10%	Wall damaged	3%
16%	Wall destroyed	5%




Camp 6 July 2018 and January 2019 comparison

% of households reporting using different materials for the frame and walls of the household shelter¹⁸

Bamboo frame with external mud walls	9% 5%
Bamboo frame with bamboo matting walls	37% 28%
Bamboo frame with lattice walls covered in plastic sheeting	55% 68%
Bamboo frame with combination of bamboo lattice and mud walls	3% 0%
Bricks and cement	0% 0%
Other	0% 0%
J an 2019	Jul 2018


% of households reporting using different materials for the roof of the household shelter^{18}




% of shelters with windows, by number of openings



% of shelters with ventilation mesh, by number of openings



% of households reporting ownership of the following non-food items at the time of data collection



% of households reporting most urgently needed non-food items^{19,20}

days prior to data collection

Jan 2019				Jul 2018
63%	Blanket	0	Fuel	59%
47%	Solar lamp	2	Cooking stove	57%
45%	Kitchen set	B	Solar lamp	48%
37%	Clothes - Children	4	Kitchen set	48%
22%	Clothes - Female	6	Portable lamp	33%
19%	Clothes - Male	6	Umbrella	17%
17%	Cooking stove	7	Floor mat	12%

18. Respondents could select multiple options

19. Respondents could select up to 3 options

20. Seven most common non-food item needs are shown



Camp 6

July 2018 and January 2019 comparison

👬 Site Ma	nagem	ent		
Jan 2019			Jul 2018	
62%	of household being aware f Cyclone Pr Program vo	of the ro	ng le 70%	
% of households re prepare for an app			,23	
Jan 2019			Jul 2	018
Secure valuables	42%	81%	Secure shelter	
Secure shelter	38% 2	58%	Stay in shelter	
Secure food supplies	37%	39%	Secure valuables	
Jan 2019	nication	ı with	Communitie	S
63% be	of household ing aware o edback mech their o	f a compla nanism w	aint/ 67%	
% of households re mechanism in the 3			ta collection ^{22,24}	
Jan 2019		0.40/	Jul 2	018
None used		01/0	None used	
Mahj		39%	Mahji	
NGO staf	f 9% E	0%	Complaint box	
Jan 2019			Jul 2018	
	of household receiving a	response	•	
100% f	to their co eedback, of that reporte mecha	househol ed using a		

21. CPP is a joint community-based disaster risk reduction initiative of the Government of Bangladesh and the Bangladesh Red Crescent Society. It trains volunteers to deliver cyclone warnings to communities, assist with shelter and rescue, and provide medical attention 22. Respondents could select multiple answers

- 23. Three most common steps for cyclone preparedness are shown
- 24. Three most common complaint mechanisms used are shown

The UN Refugee Agency

- 25. Three most common barriers to accessing complaint mechanisms are shown
- 26. Income from employment, remittances, cash assistance and new debts
- 27. 1 USD = 83.4 BDT (as of 28 January 2019). Source: www.xe.com

% of households reporting barriers to using complaint/ feedback mechanisms $^{\rm 22,25}$

Jan 2019				Jul 2018
No barriers	76%	0	69%	No barriers
Mechanism too far	13%	2	31%	Unaware of mechanisms
Unaware of mechanisms	3%	ß	2%	Unsure how to use

% of households reporting use of information channels in the 7 days prior to data collection^{22}

Dhana	91%
Phone	85%
Radio	14% 6 %
Television	2% 1%
WhatsApp	19% 16%
Imo (instant messaging app)	18% 22%
Jan	2019 🔳 Jul 2018

🔨 Livelihoods



 of households reporting at least one member working to earn an income in the 30 days prior to data collection Jul 2018



% of individuals reported to be working to earn an income in the 30 days prior to data collection


Men	Ť	39% 24%	Women	Ť	2% 0%
Boys (<18 yrs)	Ť	5% 4%	Girls (<18 yrs)	ŧ	0% 0%
		Jan 2019	Jul 2018		
Jan 2019 2,500		Median housel Bangladeshi T			Jul 2018 500



Camp 6

July 2018 and January 2019 comparison

% of households reporting income from different sources in the 30 days prior to data collection²⁸



% of households reporting income from different types of employment in the 30 days prior to data collection^{28, 29}

Jan 2019				Jul 2018
19%	Agriculture or livestock	0	NGO volunteer	13%
13%	NGO volunteer	2	Restaurant	6%
5%	Construction / Manual labour	ß	Agriculture or livesto	ck 4%
3%	Domestic work	4	Construction / Manua labour	al 4%
2%	Restaurant	6	Domestic work	1%
Jan 20				Jul 2018
C	of househo least one inc 5% in work paid b for work") in to data	dividu by an the 3	ial engaging NGO ("cash 4% 0 days prior	0
C	72% taking on r	new c	reporting debts since 65% ngladesh	0
Jan 20				Jul 2018
6,00		ld de a (BE	bt in Bangladeshi)T) ³¹	3,250
29.Five mos	dents could select multiple answe st common employment sectors a useholds reporting that an indivic	are sho		ual labour in

30. Only households reporting that an individual had worked Construction / Manual labour in the 30 days prior to data collection were asked if this work was 'Cash for Work'. The finding is a proportion of the entire camp population

31. 1 USD = 84.1 BDT (as of 5 March 2019). Source: www.xe.com

32. Data from only January 2019 presented, as WASH indicators were not included in the July 2018 MSNA

33. Top three sources of drinking water are shown

34. Top three locations for defecation shown

T Water Sanitation and Hygiene³²

% of households reporting main source of drinking water³³

0	Tubewell / Borehole	99%	
2	Water tank	1%	L
B	Protected dugwell	0%	



of households reporting witnessing someone treating water with chlorine the last time they were at a water point, of households using tubewells / boreholes as a main source of drinking water

13

Average litres of potable water collected per person per day for each household

Water collection - % of households reporting:

at least 3L of drinking water per person per day	at least 10L of protected water storage capacity per person	at least 15L of water for all domestic uses per person per day
89%	16%	53%

% of households reporting where households members usually go to defecate $^{\rm 28,\,34}$

0	Communal latrine	90%
2	Household latrine	10%
B	Open defecation	0%

57% of households reporting having soap in their shelter

% of households reporting the most common method of solid waste disposal



48%	Designated waste pit
10%	Undesignated waste pit
42%	Stream or water source
0%	Burn it
0%	Bury it

- 0% Other
- 0% Do not know

REACH An initiative of IMPACT Initiatives ACTED and UNOSAT

The UN Refugee Agency