




# IDP Hosting Sites in Ma'rib

## Context & Methodology

As part of their regular programming, the CCCM Cluster and partners, with the support of REACH, are implementing the Site Report to build a profile of IDP hosting sites in Yemen. This activity is carried out to inform a more targeted, evidence-based humanitarian response. The findings presented here provide an overview of basic information on population demographics, site conditions, service access, site threats and community needs. A total of **174 IDP hosting sites out of 208 IDP hosting sites** in Ma'rib governorate were surveyed, with a total population of 255,262 individuals out 270,303 individuals. Data was received between January 2022 - May 2022 through key informant interviews with community representatives in each site. The findings presented should be generally read as the proportion of assessed sites as reported by key informants. Findings should be considered as both indicative and incomplete. All information is for humanitarian use only.




## IDP Site Number Trends



Source: CCCM IDP Hosting Site Master List (January 2021-May 2022)



## Site Population Trends




■ Total IDP Population in Sites  
■ Number of IDP Households in Sites

Source: CCCM IDP Hosting Site Master List (January 2021-May 2022)




## Ma'rib assessment coverage: Districts assessed through the Site Report




## Site overview

### Land ownership




### Type of site






## Tenancy agreement

Proportion of assessed sites with a tenancy agreement




Proportion of assessed sites with a tenancy agreement reportedly facing eviction threat




## Displacement

Most common governorates of origin of displaced households, by proportion of assessed sites




Most common districts of origin of displaced households, by proportion of assessed sites




\* Additionally, Al Odayn, Harib districts were also reported as most common districts of origin in 3% of assessed sites.

Most common reason for displaced households to leave their place of origin, by proportion of assessed sites\*



\* Respondents could select multiple options for these questions, and therefore overall figures may not add up to 100%.


Most common movement intention of displaced households for the coming three months, by proportion of assessed sites






## Demographics

### Proportion of assessed sites with presence of High-Risk Groups\*



### Proportion of assessed sites with population groups other than IDPs\*




\*Respondents could select multiple options for these questions, and therefore overall figures may not add up to 100%.




## Infrastructure/Resources


### Proportion of assessed sites with cooking fuel in site / close proximity



### Proportion of assessed sites with electricity / solar power



### Proportion assessed of sites with markets in site / close proximity



## Access to Services

### Proportion of assessed sites by adequacy of services, per service type

	Adequate	Inadequate	Non-existent
RRM distributions	22%	35%	43%
Shelter / maintenance services	5%	37%	58%
NFI distributions	6%	53%	41%
Food distributions	5%	79%	16%
Cash distributions (multi-purpose)	2%	53%	45%
WASH services	5%	36%	59%
Healthcare services	15%	44%	41%
Education services	19%	51%	31%
Livelihood services	5%	11%	85%
Protection services	8%	26%	66%
Nutrition services	16%	26%	59%
Waste disposal services	5%	26%	68%



## Priority Needs


### Proportion of assessed sites per priority needs

	First	Second	Third
Cash assistance	6%	24%	26%
Education	2%	3%	14%
Food	40%	11%	7%
Water	12%	11%	3%
Legal services	0%	0%	1%
Livelihood assistance	1%	2%	5%
Medical assistance	3%	5%	5%
Non-food items	3%	10%	7%
Protection services	1%	2%	3%
Sanitation services	11%	14%	13%
Shelter / maintenance	21%	18%	15%
Nutrition services	0%	0%	0%




## Primary Shelter Type

### Proportion of sites per primary shelter type




## Primary Latrine Type

### Proportion of sites per primary latrine type



## Primary Water Source

### Proportion of sites per primary water source




## Site Threats

### Most common threats to sites by proportion of assessed sites\*



## Fire Safety Measures

### Most common fire safety measures adopted in the sites, by proportion of assessed sites\*



## Data Collection Partners

The following **CCCM partners** supported the data collection for the CCCM Site Report in Ma'rib governorate from January 2022 - May 2022:

ACTED, Society for Humanitarian Solidarity (SHS), Human Access, Polish Humanitarian Action (PAH), CRB, Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC), Building Foundation for Development (BFD), Yemen Family Care Association (YFCA), IOM, Yemen General Union of Sociologists, Social Workers, and Psychologists

\*Respondents could select multiple options for these questions, and therefore overall figures may not add up to 100%.