

# **Bor Town - Port and Road Monitoring**

December, 2022

**Bor South County, Jonglei State, South Sudan** 

## **KEY FINDINGS**


- **Half (52%)** of the assessed households (HHs) inbound to Bor Town were reported to be from Juba County.
- Returning from the displacment was the most reported pull factor into Bor Town as reported by less than half of the assessed HHs (16%).
- Access to food was the most reported push factor out of Bor Town in October and was cited by 51% of the assessed HHs.
- More than half (73%) of assessed inbound HHs reported arriving from a non-camp setting while 97% of assessed outbound HHs reported that their original point of departure was a non-camp setting.
- More than three quarters (77%) of inbound HHs reported they intended to stay in the new location for more than 6 months or permanently.

## **CONTEXT AND RATIONALE**

Bor Town is located in Bor South County, situated along the River Nile approximately 190 kilometers north of Juba, and is the capital of Jonglei State. Bor Town was among the epicenters of fighting that broke out in 2013, resulting in the widespread displacement of civilians. Thousands of civilians remain displaced at protection of civilian sites (PoCs) in Bor Town¹. Bor Town is also a major transport hub.

To inform humanitarian actors operating in the area, REACH monitors the main bus station and boat port in Bor Town to record the arrivals and departures of households on a daily basis.<sup>2</sup>

## MAIN DESTINATIONS OF INBOUND AND OUTBOUND HHs



## **ASSESSMENT OVERVIEW**

This factsheet provides results from the REACH port and road monitoring exercise in Bor town. The data collected covers HH demographics, key reasons for movement, vulnerable populations, and transportation routes. This daily data is synthesized into a monthly factsheet to provide an overview of wider movement trends, including reasons for movement, vulnerabilities and intentions. The data may be used to provide evidence for more effective humanitarian planning.

REACH teams attempt to interview all arrivals and departures at the HH level using a contextualised survey. However, the data presented here is not representative, rather indicative of movement trends for the assessed population. Data collection took place every Monday to Friday from 7:30 a.m to 5:30 p.m. This factsheet is based on data from 217 departing HHs (857 individuals) and 67 arriving HHs (338 individuals), which was collected between 1 and 30 November 2022.<sup>3</sup>





#### **ARRIVALS TO BOR TOWN**

#### **Vulnerability and Security**

51% of assessed inbound HHs reported that at least one member had a vulnerability, including:4

Breastfeeding	75%	0
Pregnant	60%	İ
Malnourished individual (self-reported)	46%	

## Self reported refugees and IDPs

Proportion of inbound refugee HHs (left) who reported having refugee status in South Sudan and inbound IDP HHs who reported having IDP status in Bor Town:

Refugee HHs





IDP HHs

## **Demographics**


The gender of inbound HH members:



The average reported size of inbound HHs was 7.5 individuals, and 15% of arriving respondents reported travelling as partial HH.5

#### **Destination and Departure Locations**

73% of inbound HHs reported arriving from a non-camp setting, the most commonly reported of which were in:



The most frequently reported intended destinations for inbound HHs:



#### DEPARTURES FROM BOR TOWN

#### **Vulnerability and Security**

75% of assessed outbound HHs reported that at least one member had a vulnerability, including:4

Breastfeeding	59%	Ö
Pregnant	55%	İ
Malnourished individual (self-reported)	19%	

#### Self reported refugees and IDPs

Proportion of outbound refugee HHs (left) who reported having refugee status in South Sudan and outbound IDP HHs who reported being displaced from Bor Town:

Refugee HHs





IDP HHs

## **Demographics**

The gender of outbound HH members:



The average reported size of outbound HHs was 5.0 individuals, and 55% of departing respondents reported travelling as partial HH.<sup>5</sup>

#### **Destination and Departure Locations**

97% of outbound HHs reported leaving for a non-camp setting, the most commonly reported of which were in:



The most frequently reported intended destinations for outbound HHs:

Juba	58%	
Duk	2%	L
Bor South	2%	L





Intended duration of stay in new location, reported by inbound households:

77% More than 6 months or permanently


12% Between 1 and 3 months

5% Between 4 and 6 months

5% Less than 1 month

0% Do not know

Intended duration of stay in new location, reported by outbound households:



#### Main reported reasons for movement, by % of inbound HHs<sup>6</sup>


	Return from	ment ment Buy goor	Js Land	Family	Economic
First reason	16%	12%	11%	11%	7%
Second reason	19%	0%	1%	8%	10%

#### Main reported reasons for movement, by % of outbound HHs6

	Food	Family	mily Avoid disaster Collect		
	400	Łyr.	Kn	For	C aio
First reason	51%	11%	9%	7%	6%
Second reason	6%	9%	13%	38%	1%

### MAIN MOVEMENT TRENDS OF INBOUND AND OUTBOUND HOUSEHOLDS

Average daily number of individuals inbound to (grey) and outbound from (red) South Sudan with the intention to stay in their final destination for more than six months recorded in Bor Town from December 2021 to December 2022<sup>7</sup>.



#### **ENDNOTES**

- <sup>1</sup> "County Profile: Bor South, Jonglei State," Conflict Sensitivity Resource Facility, available online here: <a href="https://www.csrf-southsudan.org/county\_profile/bor-south/">https://www.csrf-southsudan.org/county\_profile/bor-south/</a>
- <sup>2</sup> For more details on the methodology, please access the Port and Road Monitoring Terms of Reference <u>here</u>.
- <sup>3</sup> Percentages do not add up to 100 because respondents were able to select more than one choice.
- <sup>5</sup> "Partial" means that not all members were travelling with the interviewed houshold
- <sup>6</sup> Reported presence of services or opportunities is indicative of respondents' perceptions and does not necessarily reflect improved availability or access.
- <sup>7</sup> July data is missing and readers should take this into account while reading the graph.

## **ABOUT REACH**

Initiative RFACH facilitates development of information tools and products that enhance the capacity of aid actors to make evidence-based decisions in emergency, recovery and development contexts. methodologies used by REACH include primary data collection and in-depth analysis, and all activities are conducted through inter-agency aid coordination mechanisms. REACH is a joint initiative of IMPACT Initiatives, ACTED and the United Nations Institute for Training and Research - Operational Satellite Applications Programme (UNITAR-UNOSAT).



