

Vulnerability Profiling of UNHCR Multi-Purpose Cash Distributions to IDPs and Refugees

Kurdistan Region of Iraq

April 2017







VULNERABILITY PROFILING

This report has been drafted based on the declarations of MPCA beneficiaries. It aims at providing UNHCR an overview of their living conditions and main difficulties in the KR-I. Thus, three indicators related to vulnerability profiling have been included:

1) Income vulnerability

According to a 2009 survey¹, which is the most recent comprehensive, government-led assessment of poverty in Iraq, poverty is defined as living on 76,896 IQD per person, per month. Income is defined as capital gained through productive means – therefore, debts and donations have not been included in this indicator. Using this as the baseline indicator of absolute poverty, income vulnerability thresholds were modeled based on those established by the regional vulnerability framework² and are illustrated in Table 1.

Limitations

This indicator does not account for difference in expenditures, or the real income needs of beneficiaries, nor does it account for accrued debt, donations, or remittances. Findings are based on reported incomes and household sizes, which could be misreported. Lastly, the poverty line referenced is from a survey that is eight years old, and therefore the study may no longer serve as an accurate benchmark for poverty.

2) Debt dependency

Debt dependency scores are based on dependencies self-identified by beneficiaries. IMPACT asked about how much debt beneficiaries had at the time of the cash distribution, and then to what extent they were dependent on this debt in the month prior to the distribution. Scorings were categorized as shown in Table 2.

Limitations

This indicator does not account for other vulnerabilities that may be related to this debt, such as difficulties related to the owner of the debt. It also does not account for income or expenditure.

3) Coping strategies

Scoring of coping strategies is based on the following categorisations shown in Table 3. These are also modeled on the regional vulnerability framework baseline survey.

Findings present the percentage of beneficiaries who have reported using strategies that fall into each of these categories as their primary coping strategy.

Limitations

This methodology does not account for the extent to which families are dependent on each strategy. Ideally, coping strategies should always be seen in the wider context of each household; as such this ranking should be seen as indicative only.

Table 1: Income vulnerability scoring breakdown

Income per person per month (IQD)	Vulnerability score	
129,186 or more	Low	
76,897 - 129,185	Moderate	
45,369 - 76,896	Absolute poverty	
45,368 or less	Severe poverty	

Table 2: Scoring of debt dependency as an indicator of vulnerability

To what extent were you dependent on loans in the month prior to receiving MPCA?	Scoring	
I have no loans	Low	
I didn't use the loan money during that time.	dependency	
I had another source of income, but still had to use the loan money for some expenditures.	Moderate dependency	
I had another source of income, but still had to use the loan money for about half of my expenditures.		
Loans were my main form of support, but I had some other income.	High dependency	
Loans were my only form of support; I had no other income	Extreme dependency	


Table 3: Scoring of coping strategies as indicators of vulnerability

Strategy	Indicator	Vulnerability score	
HH not adopting strategies	No strategies	Low	
Usage of stress strategies	Received donations from relatives, the community or religious organization		
	Spent savings to purchase food or basic goods such as hygiene items, water, baby items	Moderate	
	Bought commodities to meet basic needs on credit or borrowed money to purchase them		
	Limited portions at meal time		
Usage of crisis strategies = Directly reduce future produc- tivity, including human capital formation	Sought or relied on aid from humanitarian agencies		
	Skipped paying rent to meet other needs	High	
	Reduced essential non-food or basic need expenditures such as hygiene items, water, baby items etc		
Existence of emergency strategies = Affect future productivity and are more	Sent children (under 18) to work		
	Sold household items or assets (car, jewelry, sewing machine) in order to buy food or basic goods	Severe	
difficult to reverse, or more dramatic in nature including	Moved to a less adequate shelter situation	001010	
loss of human dignity	Restricted food consumption of adults in order for small children to eat		

¹ "Confronting Poverty in Iraq", Central Statistics Office of Iraq, 2009. https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/2253

² "Vulnerability Assessment Framework Baseline Survey", UNHCR Jordan, May 2015.


PROFILE OF IDP BENEFICIARIES OF MULTI-PURPOSE CASH ASSISTANCE



³ Median debt of total sample, including those that reported having no debt.




PROFILE OF IDP BENEFICIARIES OF MULTI-PURPOSE CASH ASSISTANCE







PROFILE OF REFUGEE BENEFICIARIES OF MULTI-PURPOSE CASH ASSISTANCE





PROFILE OF REFUGEE BENEFICIARIES OF MULTI-PURPOSE CASH ASSISTANCE

