
## IDP Hosting Sites in Hadramawt

### **Context & Methodology**

As part of their regular programming, the CCCM Cluster and partners, with the support of REACH, are implementing the Site Report to build a profile of IDP hosting sites in Yemen. This activity is carried out to inform a more targeted, evidence-based humanitarian response. The findings presented here provide an overview of basic information on population demographics, site conditions, service access, site threats and community needs. A total of 14 IDP hosting sites out of 105 IDP hosting sites in Hadramawt governorate were surveyed, with a total population of 21,498 individuals out 52,114 individuals. Data was received between February 2022 - March 2022 through key informant interviews with community representatives in each site. The findings presented should be generally read as the proportion of assessed sites as reported by key informants. Findings should be considered as both indicative and incomplete. All information is for humanitarian use only.

## **IDP Site Number Trends**



Jan-21 Feb-21 Mar-21 May-21 Jul-21 Sep-21 Oct-21 Dec-21 Jan-22 Feb-22 Mar-22 Apr-22 May-22

Source: CCCM IDP Hosting Site Master List (January 2021-May 2022)

## **Site Population Trends**




Total IDP Population in Sites

Number of IDP Households in Sites



### Hadramawt assessment coverage: Districts assessed through the Site Report





### Land ownership

Private Public Owner not known

## **Proportion of sites** 79%

14%

7% 🥢


0%

57%

0%

0%

43%




### Type of site Spontaneous settlement **Collective Centre** Location

Urban displaced IDP location Camp

### **Proportion of sites**

**Proportion of individuals** 





~ Source: CCCM IDP Hosting Site Master List (January 2021-May 2022)

Informing more effective humanitarian ar

5

## Tenancy agreement






## $\cancel{A} \rightarrow \text{Displacement}$

Most common governorates of origin of displaced households, by proportion of assessed sites


Raymah	29%	
lbb	21%	
Ma'rib	21%	
Sa'dah	14%	
Al Jawf	7%	
Amran	7%	

## Most common districts of origin of displaced households, by proportion of assessed sites



 $^{\ast}$  Additionally, Khab wa Ash Shaf, Khamir districts were also reported as most common districts of origin in 7% of assessed sites.

## Proportion of assessed sites with a tenancy agreement reportedly facing eviction threat




Most common reason for displaced households to leave their place of origin, by proportion of assessed sites\*

Security concerns / War	100%	•
Evicted from Property	0%	
House/livelihood assets destroyed/occupied	0%	
Lack of basic services	0%	
Evacuated for protection	0%	
Lack of commodities	0%	
Lack of employment	0%	
Natural disaster	0%	

\*Respondents could select multiple options for these questions, and therefore overall figures may not add up to 100%.

Most common movement intention of displaced households for the coming three months, by proportion of assessed sites



## Demographics

### Proportion of assessed sites with presence of High-Risk Groups\*

Child-headed households	71%	
Older persons	100%	
Female-headed households	100%	
Marginalized people / Minorities	29%	
Persons with chronic diseases	100%	
Persons with disabilities	<b>79%</b>	
Pregnant and lactating women	100%	•
Unaccompanied / separated children	21%	

### Proportion of assessed sites with population groups other than IDPs\*

Host community	7%	
Migrants	7%	
Refugees	7%	
None - only IDPs present	<b>79%</b>	
Not known	0%	

\*Respondents could select multiple options for these questions, and therefore overall figures may not add up to 100%.

#### Infrastructure/Resources


Proportion of assessed sites with cooking fuel in site / close proximity

Available

Not available

71%

29%



## **Access to Services**

### Proportion of sites by adequacy of services, per service type

	Adequate	Inadequate	Non-existent
RRM distributions	21%	71%	7%
Shelter / maintenance services	0%	0%	100%
NFI distributions	0%	71%	29%
Food distributions	0%	<b>50%</b>	<b>50%</b>
Cash distributions (multi-purpose)	0%	64%	36%
WASH services	0%	86%	14%
Healthcare services	7%	43%	50%
Education services	7%	71%	21%
Livelihood services	0%	0%	100%
Protection services	14%	14%	71%
Nutrition services	0%	57%	43%
Waste disposal services	0%	0%	100%

## **Priority Needs**

### **Proportion of sites per priority needs**

	First	Second	Third
Cash assistance	0%	0%	14%
Education	0%	0%	0%
Food	<b>64%</b>	21%	14%
Water	36%	57%	0%
Legal services	0%	0%	0%
Livelihood assistance	0%	0%	43%
Medical assistance	0%	7%	7%
Non-food items	0%	0%	7%
Protection services	0%	0%	0%
Sanitation services	0%	7%	7%
Shelter / maintenance	0%	7%	7%
Nutrition services	0%	0%	0%

## Primary Shelter Type

### Proportion of sites per primary shelter type

Own house / apartment	0%
Makeshift shelter	50%
Host family house / apartment	0%
Emergency shelter	0%
Rented house / apartment	50%
Transitional shelter	0%
Public building	0%
Open air (no shelter)	0%

## Primary Latrine Type

### Proportion of sites per primary latrine type

Flush latrine to tank / sewage system pit	71%
Flush latrine to the open	7%
Pit latrine - covered	7%
Pit latrine - open	7%
Open defecation	7% <

## Primary Water Source

### Proportion of sites per primary water source

Borehole	0%
Bottled water	0%
Illegal connection to piped network	0%
Public tap	0%
Protected rainwater tank	0%
Surface water	7% <
Unprotected rainwater tank	0%
Water trucking	93%

## **Site Threats**

### Most common threats to sites by proportion of assessed sites\*

0%	
7%	
0%	
21%	
0%	
36%	
64%	
	7% 0% 21% 0% 36%

## Fire Safety Measures

## Most common fire safety measures adopted in the sites, by proportion of assessed sites\*

Fire points	0%
Fire wardens	0%
Fire breaks	0%
Escape routes	0%
None	100%



# The following **CCCM partner** supported the data collection for the CCCM Site Report in Hadramawt governorate from February 2022 - March 2022:

Field Medical Foundation (FMF)

\*Respondents could select multiple options for these questions, and therefore overall figures may not add up to 100%.