
IDP Hosting Sites in Ibb

Context & Methodology

As part of their regular programming, the CCCM Cluster and partners, with the support of REACH, are implementing the Site Report to build a profile of IDP hosting sites in Yemen. This activity is carried out to inform a more targeted, evidence-based humanitarian response. The findings presented here provide an overview of basic information on population demographics, site conditions, service access, site threats and community needs. A total of 28 IDP hosting sites out of 61 IDP hosting sites in lbb governorate were surveyed, with a total population of 10,749 individuals out 16,889 individuals. Data was received between January 2022 - May 2022 through key informant interviews with community representatives in each site. The findings presented should be generally read as the proportion of assessed sites as reported by key informants. Findings should be considered as both indicative and incomplete. All information is for humanitarian use only.

IDP Site Number Trends

Total # IDP sites




Jan-21 Feb-21 Mar-21 May-21 Jul-21 Sep-21 Oct-21 Dec-21 Jan-22 Feb-22 Mar-22 Apr-22 May-22

Source: CCCM IDP Hosting Site Master List (January 2021-May 2022)

Site Population Trends





2,852 2,812 2,812 2,883 2,887 2,937 2,432 2,707 2,868 2,830 2,830 2,708

Jan-21 Feb-21 Mar-21 May-21 Jul-21 Sep-21 Oct-21 Dec-21 Jan-22 Feb-22 Mar-22 Apr-22 May-22


- Total IDP Population in Sites
- Number of IDP Households in Sites

Source: CCCM IDP Hosting Site Master List (January 2021-May 2022)




lbb assessment coverage: Districts assessed through the Site Report

May 2022




Site overview

Land ownership **Proportion of individuals Proportion of sites** Private **Public** Owner not known 0%




Tenancy agreement

Proportion of assessed sites with a tenancy agreement



Proportion of assessed sites with a tenancy agreement reportedly facing eviction threat





Displacement

Most common governorates of origin of displaced households, by proportion of assessed sites

Ta'iz	79%	
Al Hodeidah	14%	
Ad Dali'	7%	

Most common districts of origin of displaced households, by proportion of assessed sites

Salah	36%	
Al Qahirah	18%	
Maqbanah	11%	
Al Jarrahi	7%	
Mawza	4%	《
Jabal Habashi	4%	《

^{*} Additionally, Al Mudhaffar, At Taiziyah, Ad Durayhimi districts were also reported as most common districts of origin in 4% of assessed sites.

Most common reason for displaced households to leave their place of origin, by proportion of assessed sites*

Security concerns / War	100%	
Evicted from Property	0%	
House/livelihood assets destroyed/occupied	7%	
Lack of basic services	0%	
Evacuated for protection	0%	
Lack of commodities	0%	
Lack of employment	0%	
Natural disaster	0%	

^{*}Respondents could select multiple options for these questions, and therefore overall figures may not add up to 100%.

Most common movement intention of displaced households for the coming three months, by proportion of assessed sites



İ

Demographics

Proportion of assessed sites with presence of High-Risk Groups*

Child-headed households	36%	
Older persons	64%	
Female-headed households	89%	
Marginalized people / Minorities	0%	
Persons with chronic diseases	86%	
Persons with disabilities	68%	
Pregnant and lactating women	89%	
Unaccompanied / separated children	18%	


Proportion of assessed sites with population groups other than IDPs*

Host community	14%	
Migrants	4%	(
Refugees	4%	
None - only IDPs present	79%	
Not known	0%	


^{*}Respondents could select multiple options for these questions, and therefore overall figures may not add up to 100%.

Infrastructure/Resources


Proportion of assessed sites with cooking fuel in site / close proximity



Proportion of assessed sites with electricity / solar power



Proportion assessed of sites with markets in site / close proximity





Access to Services

Proportion of assessed sites by adequacy of services, per service type

May 2022

	Adequate	Inadequate	Non-existent
RRM distributions	4%	29%	68%
Shelter / maintenance services	4%	54%	43%
NFI distributions	4%	36%	61%
Food distributions	4%	50%	46%
Cash distributions (multi-purpose)	0%	50%	50%
WASH services	11%	61%	29%
Healthcare services	0%	7%	93%
Education services	4%	25%	71%
Livelihood services	0%	0%	100%
Protection services	0%	46%	54%
Nutrition services	0%	18%	82%
Waste disposal services	4%	25%	71%



Priority Needs

Proportion of assessed sites per priority needs

	First	Second	Third
Cash assistance	0%	4%	25%
Education	0%	0%	4%
Food	54%	14%	4%
Water	4%	7%	14%
Legal services	0%	0%	0%
Livelihood assistance	0%	0%	4%
Medical assistance	0%	11%	18%
Non-food items	11%	50%	11%
Protection services	0%	0%	0%
Sanitation services	4%	0%	18%
Shelter / maintenance	25%	14%	4%
Nutrition services	0%	0%	0%



Primary Shelter Type

Proportion of sites per primary shelter type

Own house / apartment	0%
Makeshift shelter	75%
Host family house / apartment	0%
Emergency shelter	7%
Rented house / apartment	0%
Transitional shelter	0%
Public building	18%
Open air (no shelter)	0%



Primary Latrine Type

Proportion of sites per primary latrine type

Flush latrine to tank / sewage system pit	39%
Flush latrine to the open	0%
Pit latrine - covered	36%
Pit latrine - open	0%
Open defecation	25%



Primary Water Source

Proportion of sites per primary water source

Borehole	36%	
Bottled water	0%	
Illegal connection to piped network	0%	
Public tap	0%	
Protected rainwater tank	0%	
Surface water	4%	(
Unprotected rainwater tank	0%	
Water trucking	61%	



Site Threats

Most common threats to sites by proportion of assessed sites*

May 2022

Conflict-related incidents / War	0%	(
Eviction	32%	
Fire-related incidents	0%	
Flooding	32%	
Friction between communities	0%	
Infectious diseases	18%	
Water contamination	14%	



Fire Safety Measures

Most common fire safety measures adopted in the sites, by proportion of assessed sites*

Fire points	54%
Fire wardens	29%
Fire breaks	14%
Escape routes	11%
None	46%



Data Collection Partners

The following **CCCM partner** supported the data collection for the CCCM Site Report in lbb governorate from January 2022 - May 2022: Tamdeen Youth Foundation, IOM, Yemen Family Care Association (YFCA)

^{*}Respondents could select multiple options for these questions, and therefore overall figures may not add up to 100%.