Research Terms of Reference

Durable Solutions Readiness Assessment

Somaliland

January 2024 Version 1

1. Executive Summary

Country of intervention	Soma	aliland					
Type of Emergency	X	Natural disaster	X	Conf	lict		
Type of Crisis		Sudden onset			onset	Х	Protracted
Mandating Body/		national Organization for Migration	_				
Agency				,			
Project Code	27BB	Z 0M8					
Overall Research							
Timeframe	01/11	/2023 – 30/06/2024					
Research Timeframe		art collect data: 15/02/2024, pilo	t data		5. Outputs sent for v	alida	tion: 20/05/2024
		ction – 1 day					
	-	ta collected: 15/03/2024			6. Outputs published		
		ta sent for validation: 20/03/202	4		7. Final presentation	: Jur	ne 2024
Number of	4. Da	ta analysed: 01/04/2024	<u>ala)</u>				
assessments	^	Single assessment (one cy	cie)				
a5565511161115		Multi assessment (more than	one	nvolo)			
Humanitarian	Miles		Une	Sycie)	Deadline		
milestones	X	Donor plan/strategy			30/06/2024		
	~				30/00/2024		
		Inter-cluster plan/strategy			//		
		Cluster plan/strategy			!!		
		NGO platform plan/strategy			//		
		Other (Specify):					
Audience Type &		ence type			Dissemination		
Dissemination	X Str	ategic			X General Product		
	X Pro	ogrammatic			participants; Dono mailing group)	rs (H	DG), Durable Solutions
	X Op	erational					
	· ·	her, Specify]			Cluster Mailing		
						on A	ngs (1 - To Humanitarian genda/Data for Solutions to MoPIED DSS)
					X Website Dissemi	natio	on (Relief)
					□ [Other, Specify]		

Detailed dissemination plan required	X	Yes		No
General Objective	Displa demog factors	ced Persons (IDPs) in selected sites o praphic characteristics of their househo	of urban a olds, thei ment of d	of durable solutions actors for Internally areas across Somaliland, by identifying the r displacement-related vulnerabilities, and urable solutions against the different IASC the neighbourhood level.
Specific Objective(s)	s tt p T d T d T T	plutions enabling factors from the Deta nose IDP sites on track for more of rioritization for urgent crisis IDP site in o map out specific locations of IDP se urable solutions enabling factors within o understand if the sites identified sho urable solutions, following the IASC gu o compare the achievement of dura eighbourhood level;	ailed Site developm terventio ettlements n each se owing dur uidelines; able solu the prog	able solutions enabling factors, are in pathway to tions of IDPs to that of non-IDP population at gress that site residents have taken in locally
Research Questions	 V V a T e V s a s a F e e e H e e H e H w s v 	chievement of durable solutions, regar o what extent do the existing safety a nvironment for IDPs? /hat is the status of basic services such upply at the IDP site, and how does i ccess to essential services in compari o what extent do employment and live ssessed sites align with the IASC fit olutions in comparison to the host com ow are IDPs in the sites involved in attent does this participation align ingagement in comparison to the host ow effective are the protection mech insuring the safety and well-being of in ow secure are the tenure arrangement hat extent do they align with the IASC rability, and protection from forced evice	ics of site vulnera rdless of and secu h as healt it align w son to ho elihood op ramewort nmunity in decision- with the commun hanisms iternally c nts for int SC frame ctions or	es and host community settlements? bilities and contextual issues impacting the displacement status? rity measures contribute to creating a protective th, education, food, nutrition, sanitation, and water ith the IASC framework's standards for ensuring bist communities in the nearby settlements? oportunities for internally displaced persons in the k's recommendations for promoting sustainable in the nearby settlements? -making processes within the sites, and to what a IASC framework's emphasis on community ity in the nearby settlements? at the IDP site, as per the IASC framework, in displaced persons? ternally displaced persons at the IDP site, and to work's guidelines to ensure a sense of security,
Geographic Coverage	in Son	naliland showing enabling factors for d	urable so) ¹ in urban / semi-urban ² areas across 2 districts olutions. In December 2023, 9 sites were
	operat	ional relevance for Danwadaag Conso		le solutions index score, as well as strategic and rtners.
Secondary data sources	On So	<u>mali context:</u>		

¹ An informal settlement is defined as a site where a displaced population has settled without purposeful site planning and prior arrangement with relevant actors. A planned settlement is defined as sites purposefully built where infrastructure and basic services are provided for displaced populations. Somaliland Settlement Typologies: Overview of settlement types for displaced populations in Somaliland . CCCM Cluster, Shelter Cluster.

² Semi-urban areas are defined as areas between consolidated urban and rural regions. OCHA data is available to verify whether an IDP settlement lies within an area designated as rural, urban or semi-urban.

-	• l	Jnited Nations Office for the C	`oord	ination	of	Humonitorion Aff	oire	
		Veeds Overview – Somalia , IPC					ans	(UNOCHA), <u>Humanitanan</u>
		PC (Integrated Phase Classific		•			nd A	cute malnutrition analysis
		March 2023	allui		- 10	ou msecunty a	iu A	
	n n							
	On di	splacement:						
		nternational Organization for Mi	aratio	on - <mark>Dis</mark>	plac	ement Tracking M	Matri	(IOM – DTM)
		Jnited Nations High Commissi	-		_			• •
		Vetwork (PRMN), Somaliland da			nug		1010	
		Vorwegian Refugee Council – R			Man	ning (NRC - REM	<i>I</i>)	
		DSA – previous rounds		VIOLIOIT	iviap		")	
	_	CCCM Cluster, Site prioritization	mati	-iv 202	3			
		•						
		CCCM Cluster, Site prioritization					۱ ۸	augt 2022)
		CCCM Partner Organization Pop				•		•
	• (CCCM Cluster, Minimum standa	rds to	or Cam	p Ma	anagement, 2021	edit	ON
	0	urable colutions.						
		urable solutions:	dere		امر			
		ReDSS solutions Framework gui		e mani	<u>la</u> i.			
	-	Durable Solutions Initiative 2019						
		Danwadaag Durable Solutions	Cons	ortium	Loc	al (Re)Integration	n As	sessment (LORA) Report,
	2	<u>2020</u>						
Population(s)	X	IDPs in camp			X	IDPs in information	al sit	es
• • • •		IDPs in host communities				IDPs [Other, Sp	ecify]
		Refugees in camp				Refugees in info		
		Refugees in host communities	S			Refugees [Othe		
	X	Host communities				[Other, Specify]		**
Stratification	X	Geographical #: 2	Х	Groui	. #.	2 - IDPs and	_	[Other Specify] #:
Stratification	^	districts ³	^			nunity		Population size per
		Population size per strata is				n size per		strata is known?
		known? X Yes □No				nown?		
				X Yes				
Data collection	X	Structured (Quantitative)		A 168		Semi-structured	(Ou	alitativo)
tool(s)	^	Structured (Quantitative)				Serni-Structured	(Qu	anauvej
(00)(3)	Samr	ling method			Dat	a collection me	thod	
Structured data	_ D	naaiya / Crayyhalling			_ I.		irviev	
		posive / Snowballing				Key informant inte		
		posive / Snowballing bability / Simple random			□ (Group discussion	(Tar	,
Structured data collection tool # 1	□ Pro	, ç	m		□ (Group discussion	(Tar	arget #): Total 692 (IDP
	□ Pro X Prol	bability / Simple random bability / Stratified simple rando	m		□ (X F	Group discussion	(Tarı ew (T	arget #): Total 692 (IDP
	□ Pro X Prol □ Pro	bability / Simple random bability / Stratified simple randoi bability / Cluster sampling			□ (X F HH	Group discussion lousehold intervie – 557, Host HH	(Tar ew (T - 13	arget #): Total 692 (IDP 5)
	□ Pro X Prol □ Pro	bability / Simple random bability / Stratified simple rando			□ (X F HH	Group discussion lousehold intervie – 557, Host HH ndividual interview	(Targ ew (T - 13 w (Ta	arget #): Total 692 (IDP
	Pro X Pro Pro Pro Pro	bability / Simple random bability / Stratified simple randoi bability / Cluster sampling			□ (X F HH	Group discussion lousehold intervie – 557, Host HH ndividual interview Direct observation	(Tar(ew (T – 13 w (Ta is:	Farget #): Total 692 (IDP 5) arget #):
	□ Pro X Prol □ Pro □ Pro □ [Oth	bability / Simple random bability / Stratified simple randon bability / Cluster sampling bability / Stratified cluster sampl ner, Specify]			- (X F HH - [Group discussion lousehold intervie – 557, Host HH ndividual interview Direct observation Other, Specify] (T	(Tar ew (T – 13 w (Ta is: Targe	Farget #): Total 692 (IDP 5) arget #):
collection tool # 1	□ Pro X Prol □ Pro □ Pro □ [Oth	bability / Simple random bability / Stratified simple randol bability / Cluster sampling bability / Stratified cluster sampl			- (X F HH - [Group discussion lousehold intervie – 557, Host HH ndividual interview Direct observation	(Tar ew (T – 13 w (Ta is: Targe	Farget #): Total 692 (IDP 5) arget #):
collection tool # 1 Target level of precision, if	□ Pro X Prol □ Pro □ Pro □ [Oth	bability / Simple random bability / Stratified simple randon bability / Cluster sampling bability / Stratified cluster sampl ner, Specify]			- (X F HH - [Group discussion lousehold intervie – 557, Host HH ndividual interview Direct observation Other, Specify] (T	(Tar ew (T – 13 w (Ta is: Targe	Farget #): Total 692 (IDP 5) arget #):
collection tool # 1 Target level of	□ Pro X Prol □ Pro □ Pro □ [Oth	bability / Simple random bability / Stratified simple randon bability / Cluster sampling bability / Stratified cluster sampl ner, Specify]			- (X F HH - [Group discussion lousehold intervie – 557, Host HH ndividual interview Direct observation Other, Specify] (T	(Tar ew (T – 13 w (Ta is: Targe	Farget #): Total 692 (IDP 5) arget #):

³ Subject to changing levels of security and access.

		[Other, Specify]				
Expected output type(s)		Situation overview #:		Report #:		Profile #:
	X	Factsheet: #1 for Somaliland – May 2024	X	Presentation: #1 Final presentation for Somaliland– June 2024		
	X	Interactive dashboard #: 1 to be merged with the existing LORA Dashboard		Webmap #:		Map #1– May 2024
		[Other, Specify] #:				I
Access	X	Public (available on humar	nitaria	an platforms)		
		Restricted (bilateral dissemir platforms)	nation	only upon agreed dissemina	ation	list, no publication on other
Visibility	REA	CH department of ACTED, IO	M/Dar	าwadaag Consortium, FCD	0	
	Don	or: IOM/ FCDO (Foreign, Comn	nonwe	ealth and Development Offic	e)	
	Coo	rdination Framework: IOM				
	Part	ners: IOM Danwadaag Consort	ium (p	oossibility of having FGS visi	ibility))

2. Rationale

2.1. Background

Conflict, droughts, floods, and limited development have driven protracted displacement across Somaliland. The failure of multiple rainy seasons in 2021 and 2022 has led to widespread crop loss, livestock deaths, and further mass displacement.

The Protection and Return Monitoring Network reported 108,611 Internally Displaced Persons (IDPs) in the year 2022. The top reasons reported include conflict/ insecurity, drought, and flood. In terms of needs, IDP households present a level of income particularly low, 54% of HHs in IDP sites reported facing challenges to obtain enough money to meet their need. Additionally, IDPs from minority clans, or those with weak social connections in host communities, are at particular risk of marginalisation, exploitation, aid exclusion, and eviction.

Successive waves of displacement in Somaliland result from inter-clan conflict, desert locust infestations and the socioeconomic impacts of COVID-19. Most notable, however, is the impact of the drought caused by the climatic shocks. Whilst droughts have become more frequent and prolonged, rainy seasons have become shorter and more unpredictable.

Nevertheless, given the protracted displacement experienced in Somaliland, more sites need to be identified to be considered for durable solutions rather than just emergency needs. The combination of internal displacement and refugee return is exacerbating Somaliland's demographic shift from a rural to an urbanized country. IDPs and vulnerable returnees tend to join existing, congested settlements or establish temporary sites in urban and peri-urban areas seeking safety and assistance. The categorization of various conditions and site preparedness supports the IDP sites that are on course for more development-focused activities while still prioritizing IDP sites that require urgent interventions (through the site prioritization matrix). It also aligns with the fourth objective of the CCCM Cluster to "support opportunities for displaced people to achieve durable solutions".

As a follow-up to the DSA VII, it is therefore necessary to conduct an exercise to identify and assess these IDP sites that would be seen suitable for durable solutions and development-oriented interventions to support IOM, the Danwadaag Consortium, and CCCM Cluster partners. To move suitable sites closer to development implementation, the Durable Solutions Readiness Assessment (DSRA) will incorporate this next step in the assessment process of IDP sites in Somaliland. This will build upon the evidence infrastructure of the CCCM response by offering partners evidence that is specifically focused on durable solutions. The assessment will interview both host and IDP households (HHs) and the responses from the host HH will be used in comparison to that of the IDPs. This will allow us to see the specific displacement related vulnerabilities that hinder the impact of achieving DS regardless of the displacement status. Additionally, it will allow us to measure the degree of social cohesion amongst the IDPs and the hosts in the nearby big cities.

2.2 Intended Impact

A durable solution is achieved when displaced persons no longer have any specific assistance and protection needs that are linked to their displacement and can enjoy their human rights without discrimination on account of their displacement. It can be achieved through sustainable reintegration at the place of origin (voluntary return), local integration in areas where displaced persons take refuge or in another part of the country based on their choice. To help find durable solutions for internal displacement — whether through return to communities of origin, local integration, or relocation – and to prepare for new displacements in the region, it is crucial to measure the progress of enabling factors of durable solutions in the country. With continued insecurity and limited access leading to the protracted displacement of over one million internally displaced persons (IDPs) and two million refugees abroad, a key priority is to plan for durable solutions in a context where access and mobility are reduced.⁴ The search for durable solutions to protracted displacement situation in East and Horn of Africa is a key humanitarian and development concern.

The DSRA will give critical information on how IDP sites have changed over time in terms of the conditions that make durable solutions possible, ensuring a strategic, targeted, and sustainable approach, tailored to context-specific displacement and socio-economic characteristics. This information will be critical to the Danwadaag partners to advocate for interventions most relevant for sites that demonstrate durable solutions preparedness. Secondly, findings from this assessment will also assess the site's preparedness for long-term solutions while prioritizing the needs of those most at risk. Consequently, humanitarian actors will have up-to-date information to efficiently prioritize interventions and ensure that the most vulnerable population's specific sites access relevant assistance they most urgently need. Specifically, the DSRA will provide critical information to humanitarian actors to tailor solutions that enhance the displaced communities' capacities to have sustained access to adequate food, water, housing, and basic health and education services. Displaced communities will also be empowered to meet daily needs and live in dignity, access labour markets and local financial services as well as stimulate markets and generate employment. The progress towards each of the IASC criterion will be measured against or in comparison with the non-IDP or host population in the nearby big city. This process will allow for isolating more thoroughly displacement-related vulnerabilities and contextual issues, impacting the achievement of DS regardless of the displacement status of respondents to be facing greater barriers to achieving durable solution objectives.

Moreover, displaced communities will be empowered to participate in formal and informal decision-making processes from community to national levels and have access to legal, physical, and material security, including personal safety, family reunification, access to documentation, and effective mechanisms to restore housing, land, and property.⁵ Finally, the DSRA tool would be harmonized with the IOM DTM Local (Re)Integration Assessment tool in order to incorporate the data into the existing LORA dashboard and provide Durable Solutions actors and practitioners a common platform to look at granular site-level progress of durable solutions enabling factors. This would further enable the right and informed response from both development and humanitarian actors as well as identifying IDPs with developmental needs for fundraising as a part of the central action agenda.

3. Methodology

3.1. Methodology overview

The DSRA will use the quantitative methods approach in conducting the research. REACH department of ACTED will use structured HH surveys, in line with the IOM Displacement Tracking Matrix (DTM) Local (Re)Integration Assessment (LORA) tool, to understand the demographic characteristics of households in sites showing durable solutions enabling factors within each settlement. To ensure representativeness, a stratified random sampling technique will be used to survey community members from the host and IDP households (HHs) through face-to-face interviews. REACH department of ACTED will train

4 Review of Durable Solutions Initiatives in East and Horn of Africa, 2016, <u>https://www.urban-response.org/help-library/review-of-durable-solutions-initiatives-in-east-africa</u>

⁵ Progressive resolution of displacement situations

Field Officers and enumerators while also leveraging on the existing partnerships from DSA VII data collection to promote cost efficiency and local capacity building. This primary data collection exercise will be implemented over 3 weeks in Quarter 1 (February – March 2024). Site partners and government authorities will be informed about the assessment.

Once the data has been verified, the data team will remove sensitive information, and disaggregate the datasets by the agreed-upon groups. The Field Officers will check and clean the data and note any changes made in the cleaninglog before sending the cleaned data to the Senior Assessment Officer (SAO). The SAO and assessment team will check all data again and take note of any recommendations and/or points for follow up and will provide them to the Field Officers who will transmit the information to the team leaders and enumerators during their daily briefings. In addition to the daily data checks, the final datasets for each site will undergo a thorough cleaning, with any outstanding issues reported to field staff for feedback - following **REACH department of ACTED's data collection and data cleaning standard operating procedures** as detailed in the ToR. The data analysis will consist of two main outputs, the clean dataset, and the Results Tables: an analysis dataset, to be produced during the two-three weeks following the end of data collection. It will present the key findings for all assessed indicators. It will be executed with R data programming software (for statistical computing and analysis) and shared through the REACH department of ACTED Resource Centre as well as with the Danwadaag Consortium.

Key Definitions

Durable Solutions – A durable solution is achieved when internally displaced persons no longer have any specific assistance and protection needs that are linked to their displacement and can enjoy their human rights without discrimination on account of their displacement. Durable Solutions can be achieved through the following ways – 1) Sustainable reintegration at the place of origin (hereinafter referred to as "return"), 2) Sustainable local integration in areas where internally displaced persons take refuge (local integration), or 3) Sustainable integration in another part of the country (settlement elsewhere in the country).

Durable Solutions Enabling Factors – The presence of factors that allow IDPs in a site to move away from critical emergency needs, specific assistance or protection needs or vulnerabilities directly linked to the phenomenon and towards a more conducive longer-term, resilience-oriented interventions. These include but are not limited to access to proper documentation, absence of security threats, reduced housing, land, and property issues, etc. As a part of the Detailed Site Assessment, we collect some of these indicators to assess the presence of durable solutions conducive factors. Using a binary scoring, we tried measuring the positive scoring of sites confirming the presence of such factors and then considering them for the DSRA.

Household - The family consisting of persons who live together, are connected by common life and have mutual rights and responsibilities.

IASC Framework on Durable Solutions for Internally Displaced Persons – The framework fosters a better understanding of the concept of durable solutions for the internally displaced, to provide general guidance on the process and conditions necessary for achieving a durable solution, and to assist in determining to what extent a durable solution has been achieved. The Framework aims to provide guidance for achieving durable solutions following internal displacement in the context of armed conflict, situations of generalized violence, violations of human rights and natural or human-made disasters.⁶

Internally Displaced Persons – According to the Guiding Principles on Internal Displacement, internally displaced persons (also known as "IDPs") are "persons or groups of persons who have been forced or obliged to flee or to leave their homes or places of habitual residence, in particular as a result of or in order to avoid the effects of armed conflict, situations of generalized violence, violations of human rights or natural or human-made disasters, and who have not crossed an internationally recognized border.

⁶ IASC Framework on Durable Solutions for Internally Displaced Persons (2010), <u>https://www.unhcr.org/sites/default/files/legacy-pdf/50f94cd49.pdf</u>

3.2. Population of Interest

The DSRA will cover 810 HHs – host and IDPs, residing in informal and planned settlements in 2 (sampled) districts across Somaliland. The primary survey respondents will be the heads of HHs, however, representatives from vulnerable groups including the minority groups, persons with disabilities, abandoned children, female households' heads will be prioritized in the interviews, to provide a nuanced understanding of the needs of communities and durable solution preparedness. Please refer to **Annex 1**, for a detailed breakdown of the HHs to be sampled per district.

3.3. Secondary Data Review

To validate/triangulate primary data and conclusions, as well as to guide the assessment methodology (including area identification and questionnaire design), a review of secondary data will be carried out. There will be a variety of sources such as:

- **REACH department of ACTED dataset on DSA VII.** This will help in designing the methodology, particularly the selection of the sample based on identified characteristics such as vulnerable profiles of IDPs, the duration that the site has existed etc. REACH department of ACTED will also use data from the Danwadaag/LORA to target areas with IDP settlements. Target areas will be selected based on accessibility and will consist of districts where secondary data have identified the presence of IDP settlements. Additional districts with newly arrived IDP settlements or districts that were not covered by previous rounds of DSA will be included based on recommendations from the CCCM cluster.
- International Organization for Migration's <u>Displacement Tracking Matrix (DTM)</u>. This will serve to help understand the information gaps, especially with regards to the push, pull, and identity factors that have influenced displacement patterns in Somaliland.
- **Danwadaag Consortium's** Local (Re)Integration Assessment (LORA). This will serve as a guideline for our harmonized tools and methodology and act as complementary assessment in terms of targeting of sites.
- **ReDSS solutions** <u>Framework guidance manual</u>. This helps in understanding key terminologies and an understanding of how-to better program towards durable solutions, and thus develop a suitable methodology.

3.4. Primary Data Collection

The primary population of interest in this assessment are the IDP households living in formal or semi-formal sites with durable solutions enabling factor identified using the DSA VII data. The progress towards each of the IASC criterion will be measured against or in comparison with the non-IDP or host population in the nearby big city. This process will allow for isolating more thoroughly displacement-related vulnerabilities and contextual issues, impacting the achievement of DS regardless of the displacement status of respondents to be facing greater barriers to achieving durable solution objectives. Each IDP site and the closest city in the area will be clustered separately as a single entry each (independent clusters each), on which a stratified sampling will be run using 90% confidence level and 10% margin of error. Weighting will be applied at site level to account for representativeness at higher aggregations. We would be sampling the nearest big city and multiple sites (close to each other) per district. Finally, the GIS team will generate GPS points and the HHs will be selected randomly to ensure that the entire population has an equal chance of being included in the assessment and to avoid any bias.

1. Stratified random sampling: This involves dividing the population into distinct groups based on certain characteristics and then randomly selecting samples from each stratum. This helps ensure representation from different subgroups within the population.

2. Confidence level and margin of error: By aiming for a 90% confidence level and a 10% margin of error, the sample size will be determined to provide a reliable estimate of the population parameter with a high level of confidence.

3. Random selection: The use of random selection ensures that every individual in the population has an equal chance of being included in the sample. This helps avoid bias and ensures that the sample is representative of the entire population. GPS points will be randomly allocated to all surveys in the four settlements. Enumerators will then survey the household located nearest to the assigned GPS point. Buffer GPS points will also be generated to account for the potential lack of responses from nearby households.

Household-level interviews will be conducted in the settlements and IDP sites, using structured surveys, with randomly selected HHs of the host and IDP communities. The HH surveys will be conducted with the self-reported head of household. If the head of household is unavailable, another adult with knowledge of household circumstances will be interviewed in his/her place. No individuals under the age of 18 will be interviewed. REACH department of ACTED will conduct training sessions for enumerators to ensure they understand the questionnaire and then conduct a pilot as part of the training. Enumerators will pilot/ test the questionnaire at the sites where they can easily access.

We decided to survey only the host population close to IDP sites. To process this, we found the nearest city of each IDP sites. Then a polygon was drawn around each city to calculate the population. We have used the <u>Global Human Settlement dataset</u> from EU. We took this dataset because the resolution was higher than the one from UN.

Closest city from IDP sites	Region	Districts	Population size
Hargeysa	<mark>Marodijeex</mark>	<mark>Hargeysa</mark>	<mark>1 405 655</mark>
Sheikh*	Togdheer	<mark>Sheikh</mark>	<mark>60 614</mark>

*Nearest city to IDP sites but not in the same district

3.5. Data Processing & Analysis

At the end of each day, the field team leaders will ensure that the collected data is uploaded from the smartphones used by the enumerators to REACH <u>department of ACTED's</u> Kobo Collect server. The data team will download all datasets for spatial verification. This is the process of checking if the GPS coordinates collected are within a predefined radius of the target settlement. Records that do not meet the set threshold will be flagged to the FOs. Daily data cleaning will also be conducted by the data team to identify potential errors and anomalies as established in **Data Cleaning Minimum Standards Checklist**.

The field officers will check and clean the data and note any changes made in the data cleaning log before sending back the clogs data to the data officers. The outcomes of the data quality checks will form a basis for debriefing the enumerators before further data collection.

On finalization of data cleaning, household survey data be analysed through the R statistical software and will include both descriptive statistics in addition to more advanced statistical analysis where appropriate.

Once data analysis is completed, the findings will be discussed and contextualized with relevant partners and two factsheets will be produced for Somaliland. Additionally, one presentation will be produced, and it will incorporate the findings from sites in Somaliland will compare the progress that sites' have made in readiness for durable solutions.

3.6 Limitations

The DSRA will be conducted across sites that have been identified from the Detailed Site Assessment Round VII and scoring positively (25 or above out of 39) in the internal durable solutions index scoring. The sites are predominantly in urban and semi-urban areas and in locations where there are active durable solutions programming of strategic relevance to the Danwadaag Consortium. For the purposes of this assessment, only the nearest biggest city will be surveyed for the host population as a comparison/control group against measuring progress towards durable solutions for the IDPs in the sites nearby. Weighting will be applied at site level to account for representatives at higher aggregations.

4. Key ethical considerations and related risks

The proposed research design meets / does not meet the following criteria:

The proposed research design	Yes/ No	Details if no (including mitigation)
Has been coordinated with relevant stakeholders to avoid unnecessary duplication of data collection efforts?	Yes	Consultations will be done with IOM and other stakeholders to ensure the data collections are harmonized.
Respects respondents, their rights and dignity (specifically by: seeking informed consent, designing length of survey/ discussion while being considerate of participants' time, ensuring accurate reporting of information provided)?	Yes	Will seek consent of the respondents for participation in the survey.
Does not expose data collectors to any risks as a direct result of participation in data collection?	Yes	
Does not expose respondents / their communities to any risks as a direct result of participation in data collection?	Yes	
Does not involve collecting information on specific topics which may be stressful and/ or re-traumatising for research participants (both respondents and data collectors)?	Yes	
Does not involve data collection with minors i.e. anyone less than 18 years old?	Yes	
Does not involve data collection with other vulnerable groups e.g. persons with disabilities, victims/ survivors of protection incidents, etc.?	No	Given the population will be randomly sampled, a HH survey might be conducted if a person with disabilities is identified at the site level while following do no harm principle. Our Field Team has received training and guidance to interact/interview people with disabilities, from Humanity & Inclusion. As a part of the DSRA training, we would reutilize the material to act as a refresher and look at the best practices in place to guide our team on the ground while conducting interviews and ensuring the participants feel comfortable.
Follows SOPs for management of personally identifiable information?	Yes	
Does not involve collecting personally identifiable information of participants e.g. name, age, gender, contact details, clan affiliation	No	All personally identifiable information will be deleted and is only used to put analysis into perspective/understand associated biases. The minority and clan affiliation questions, if any, will have a preceding question to ask the respondent if they are comfortable answering them.

5. Roles and responsibilities

Table 3: Description of roles and responsibilities

Task Description	Responsible	Accountable	Consulted	Informed
Research design	Senior Assessment Officer (SAO)	Research Manager (RM)	GIS Officer (GISO); Country Coordinator (CC); IOM Danwadaag Consortium; CCCM; Research Design and Data Unit (RDD (Research Design Data))	IOM Danwadaag Consortium Coordinators and partners; IDP Working group; OCHA (Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs).
Supervising data collection	Field Officers	Field Coordinator	SAO; RM; GISO;	IOM Danwadaag Consortium Coordinators and partners; OCHA (Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs) regional offices.
Data processing (checking, cleaning)	Data officer (DO); Field Officers.	SAO; GISO (for GIS data); RM.	CC; HQ Research Design and Data unit.	IOM Danwadaag Consortium
Data analysis	SAO AO DO GSIO	SAO; RM.	CC; HQ Research Design and Data unit; IOM Danwadaag Consortium	IOM Danwadaag Consortium
Output production	AO (Assessment Officer) GSIO	SAO; RM.	CC; HQ Research Reporting Unit; IOM Danwadaag Consortium	IOM Danwadaag Consortium
Dissemination	SAO; GSIO	RM; CC	HQ Research Reporting and Communication Units; IOM Danwadaag Consortium	IOM Danwadaag Consortium
Monitoring & Evaluation	SAO; GISO	RM" CC	HQ Research department	IOM Danwadaag Consortium

Lessons _{RM} learned СС

All staff involved; IOM Danwadaag Consortium IOM Danwadaag Consortium; HQ

Responsible: the person(s) who executes the task

Accountable: the person who validates the completion of the task and is accountable of the final output or milestone

Consulted: the person(s) who must be consulted when the task is implemented

Informed: the person(s) who need to be informed when the task is completed.

6. Data Analysis Plan

Please refer to the link – for the Data Analysis Plan.

7. Monitoring & Evaluation Plan

IMPACT Objective	External M&E Indicator	Internal M&E Indicator	Focal point	ΤοοΙ	Will indicator be tracked?
Humanitarian	Number of humanitarian organisations	# of downloads of DSRA products from Resource Centre # of downloads of DSRA products from Relief Web	Country request to HQ Country request to HQ		Yes Yes
stakeholders are accessing Products	accessing services/products Number of	# of downloads of DSRA products from links	Country team	User_log	Yes
FIGUUCIS	individuals accessing services/products	# of page clicks on DSRA products from global newsletter	Country request to HQ		Yes Yes
Activities contribute to better program implementation and coordination of the humanitarian response	Number of humanitarian organisations utilising services/products	 # references in HPC (Humanitarian Programme Cycle) documents (HNO, SRP, Flash appeals, Cluster/sector strategies) # references in single agency documents 	· Country team	Reference _log	IOM Danwadaag Consortium IOM Danwadaag Consortium
Humanitarian stakeholders are using products	Humanitarian actors use evidence/product	Perceived relevance of country- programs	Country team	Usage_Fe edback <i>and</i>	Usage survey to be conducted at

	s as a basis for decision making, aid planning and delivery Number of humanitarian documents (HNO, HRP (Humanitarian Response Plan), cluster/agency strategic plans, etc.) directly informed by products	Perceived usefulness and influence of outputs Recommendations to strengthen programs Perceived capacity of staff Perceived quality of outputs/programs Recommendations to strengthen programs		Usage_Sur vey template	the end of the research cycle related to all outputs
Humanitarian stakeholders are engaged in programs throughout the research cycle	Number and/or percentage of humanitarian organisations directly contributing to programs (providing resources, participating to presentations, etc.)	 # of organisations providing resources (i.e. Staff, vehicles, meeting space, budget, etc.) for activity implementation # of organisations/clusters inputting in research design and joint analysis # of organisations/clusters attending briefings on findings; 	Country team	Engageme nt_log	Number of areas covered by partners Number of partner staff conducting ToT (train of trainers)

ANNEX 1. LIST OF DISTRICTS

Districts	No. host community surveys	No. IDPs surveys	No.interviews
Hargeisa	68	497	565
Sheikh	67	60	127

ANNEX 2. TIMELINE

Durable Solutions Readiness Assessment (DSRA) Pi	lot Timeli	ine																																				DSR/
	August									amogar				a ng kas									1		. 1												1	DSA
	2023	Se	eptemi	ber 202	3	Octo	ber 20	23	No	vember	2023		Dec	ember	2023	Ja	nuary.	2024		Februa	ry 202	4	Ma	rch 20	24	A	oril 202	4	M	lay 202	4	Ju	ne 2024		July	y 2024		activ
					1.3													1																				DSA
Activity breakdown	W4	W1	W2 V	N3 W4	W5	W1 W	2 W3	W4	W1 W	2 W3	W4	W5 W	/1 W	2 W3	W4 W3	5 W1	W2 V	N3 W4	W1	W2 W	B W4	1 W5	W1 V	N2 W3	W4	W1	W2 W3	W4	W1 W	12 W3	W4	W1 V	V2 W3	W4 1	N1 W2	W3	W4	over
Activity 1 - Scoring framework for Durable Solutio	ns Suitabi	ility																																				
tem 1 - Team composition				_			-		_	_		_	_				_	- 1	\square	_	-	_	\square	_		\rightarrow			_	_	1	_	_	\downarrow	_			
em 2 - Engaging with IOM Danwadaag partners													1																				1					
tem 3 - Secondary Data Review				- 33	12 3							8.0						1																	0			
tem 4 - Indicator revision for DSA VII	2		0-1	- 11	12					3.2	-	1.1					- 83	- 32 - 3			1	18 1		1.						11			1		- 2		9-8	
Activity 2 - TOR, Tool and Data Analysis Plan Design	1																																					
tem 1 - ToRs and DAP:				1			19		1			0.00						1				1																
a. Drafting and pre-validation with partners																	_	_1_													1						-	
tem 2 - Partners consultation / feedbacks on the ToRs	P - 3		1		1		1		2	1		-0	1					1			1																9.9	
a. JAW with partners to go over DSA VII data and																		1													1							
ndicator selection																		- 8																				
b. JAW with government authorities to promote buy-					13																																	
n to the tool and the tools objectives																		- £																				
ter 3 - Government consultation / authorization	-	+	-		-	-	-	-		100		-	+	+ +	-	-	-	1	++	-	-	-	+	+-	+	+	+	+ +	-	-		+	-	++		-	-	
em 4 - ToRs finalization	1		-		1	-	-			97 7	-	1	+	+ +		+ +	-	1	+	-	1	-	+	+	+	+	+	+		-	1	+	+	+	-	+	-	
tem 5 - DAP Finalization	1		-			-	+			-			-	+ +		+ +		1	+	-	+	+	++	-		+	-	+	-	1		-	+	++		+ 1	_	
tem 6 - HQ validation	1					-			-	100					-	-		17	+	-	1	-		-		+		+		-			1	++	-			
tem 7 - Site finalization+partner consultation	-	+	-			-	-		-	-								- it -	+	_	-	-	+		+	\neg	-	+	-	-		-	-	++		+		
tem 8 - Tool validation and testing				1	1 3	-	-		-								_	1							+	+		+	-				-	++	-			
tem 9 - Tool translation	1					-	-		-	-			-					2		-	+	-	+			+	-	+	-				+	+	-		-	
Activity 3 - Data collection, Cleaning and Analysis					3																	-																
tem 1 - Field officers and enumerators training			1		1. 11				1	111												1.1		1					1									
including digitization of site)							-											1																				
tem 2 - Pilot data collection			2	- 6														1		6 8										1					1			
tem 3 - Data collection in all target districts	8 I			- 23	1. 3		10.1			8.8		1-2-					- 8				- 8-									4		- 6	3		- 2			
tem 4 - Data checks and cleaning																																						
tem 5 - Data collection buffer			1	1						12.1						1.0	12	1				1.1								8		1	1		18		2.5	
tem 6 - Data validation	0.00																	1																				
tem 7 - Data analysis					177								1	11				1								1		100			1					1		
em 8 - Analysis validation	2											1						1													1				- 2			
ctivity 4 – Information Product Drafting and Publi	cation																																					
em 1 - Factsheet - drafting and validation				3	1																1									12		1						
tem 2 - Factsheets - publication			1		1		1.1											1																				
activity 5 – Lessons Learned & Revisions to the DSI	RAII	4 314				16	V 1		14	20			1	-		V.S					10.1	1910 -		n 90	40. 10		10		1							24		
em 1 - Lessons learnt	100			12								6	1				- 33	1												2			1		- 20		<u>i - 2</u>	
tem 2 - Revisions to the DSRA II													1					10			T																	