

Initial Findings on Gaza Shelter Response

Gaza City, 20 December 2016



Content

- Background
- Initial Findings of Assessment
- Discussion on Analysis

A Quantitative Follow-Up to Phase I



Research Objective & Questions

Evaluate the outcomes of different types of shelter assistance delivered to conflict affected population following 2014 events in Gaza.

- To what extent **was each approach appropriate** to meet beneficiaries' shelter and NFIs needs
- To what extent **was each approach cost-effective in aiding household recovery and/or return of the IDP population affected by the conflict** as per Shelter Cluster response objectives
- To what extent **are response gaps and residual shelter needs, at household level, related to the 2014 conflict, still present in Gaza**

Methodology

1. Staffing
 - 5 Hub Coordinators
 - 50 Enumerators
 - 14 Drivers
2. Developed questionnaire with guidance from Phase I and Shelter Cluster
3. Extracted random sample from MoPWH database
4. Interviewed 1039 household over 10 days
5. Preparing to clean and analyze data
 - Findings stratified by level of shelter damage
 - Comparisons between governorates are *indicative* only

Keep in Mind


Don't get bogged down in the exact numbers

- The data still require cleaning
- The data provide multiple ways to answer the research questions, this presentation is just one way to look at the findings


More important to think about:

- How well do these findings align with my messaging?
- What surprises me or goes against my assumptions?
- What confirms things I already know?


Number of Surveys Completed, by Level of Damage




Are Repairs Completed?




Living in Pre-2014 Shelter?




Did You Receive Assistance?




Did You Receive Cash Assistance?




Current State of Pre-2014 House




Current State of Pre-2014 House, by Refugee Status




Completion of Repairs, by Number of Vulnerable People in Household




Condition of Current Housing



Personal Money Spent on Materials (NIS), Household Average



Current State of Pre-2014 Houses, by Receipt of Assistance




Received Assistance


No Assistance

- No Repairs or Demolition
- Currently Repairing or Demolishing
- Demolished
- Same or Better


Biggest Remaining Need to Ensure Full Recovery




Received Materials through GRM



Received Materials through GRM, by Receipt of Assistance







Planned Refuge in Case of New Emergency



Open Discussion on Analysis

Contact IMPACT Initiatives

Max Gibson | Assessment Officer

 +211(0)954064100  +211(0)924143907
 max.gib  South Sudan

IMPACT Shaping practices
Influencing policies
Impacting lives



max.gibson@reach-initiative.org

web www.impact-initiatives.org

email geneva@impact-initiatives.org

post 7 Chemin de Balexert, 1219 Geneva, Switzerland