



South Sudan - Camp Coordination and Camp Management

Assessment of Hard-to-Reach Areas in South Sudan

South Sudan Displacement Crisis

September 2018

The continuation of conflict since December 2013 has created a complex humanitarian crisis in the country, restricting humanitarian access and hindering the flow of information required by aid partners to deliver humanitarian assistance to populations in need. To address information gaps facing the humanitarian response in South Sudan, REACH employs its Area of Knowledge (AoK) methodology to collect relevant information in hard-to-reach areas to inform humanitarian planning and interventions outside formal settlement sites.

Using the AoK methodology, REACH remotely monitors needs and access to services in the Greater

Upper Nile, Greater Equatoria and Greater Bahr el Ghazal regions. AoK data is collected monthly and through multi-sector interviews with the following typology of Key Informants (KIs):

- KIs who are newly arrived internally displaced persons (IDPs) who have left a hard-to-reach settlement in the last month
- KIs who have had contact with someone living or have been in a hard-to-reach settlement in the last month (traders, migrants, family members, etc.)
- KIs who are remaining in hard-to-reach settlements, contacted through phone

Selected KIs are purposively sampled and have knowledge from within the last month about a specific settlement in South Sudan, with data collected at the settlement level. About half of settlements assessed have more than one KI reporting on the settlement. In these cases, data presented at the settlement level is the modal (most frequent) response for KIs reporting on that settlement. If there is an even number of 'yes/no' responses, data is aggregated as 'no consensus'.

All percentages presented in this factsheet, unless otherwise specified, represent the proportion of settlements assessed with that specific response.

The findings presented in this factsheet are indicative of the broad CCCM (Camp Coordination and Camp Management) trends in assessed settlements in September 2018, and are not statistically generalisable.

Assessment Coverage

1,459 Key Informants interviewed


1,102 Settlements assessed

53 Counties assessed

43 Counties with 5% or more coverage¹


Assessment coverage

Proportion of settlements assessed



IDP Presence

Proportion of assessed settlements reporting presence of IDPs



¹ Data is only represented for counties in which at least 5% of settlements have been assessed. The most recent OCHA Common Operational Dataset (COD) released in February 2016 has been used as the reference for settlement names and locations.



South Sudan - Camp Coordination and Camp Management


Assessment of Hard-to-Reach Areas in South Sudan

South Sudan Displacement Crisis


September 2018

Leadership Structures and IDP Representation

Proportion of assessed settlements reporting the absence of local leadership structures in the community



Proportion of assessed settlements reporting IDPs not included in local community leadership structures



Leadership meetings

Top five assessed counties reporting community leadership meetings occurring only once every few months

Mayendit	83%
Rubkona	81%
Leer	71%
Magwi	71%
Mayom	69%

Women leadership representation

Top five assessed counties reporting women represented in local leadership

Abiemnhom	100%
Ibba	100%
Kapoeta South	100%
Pariang	100%
Panyijar	89%

Youth leadership representation

Top five assessed counties reporting youth represented in local leadership

Akobo	100%
Ibba	100%
Kapoeta South	100%
Luakpiny/Nasir	100%
Nyiröl	100%

Elderly leadership representation

Top five assessed counties reporting elderly represented in local leadership

Abiemnhom	100%
Duk	100%
Ezo	100%
Ibba	100%
Kapoeta South	100%



South Sudan - Camp Coordination and Camp Management


Assessment of Hard-to-Reach Areas in South Sudan

South Sudan Displacement Crisis


September 2018

Displacement and Population Movement

Proportion of assessed settlements with IDPs who have arrived within the last three months



Proportion of assessed settlements reporting presence of returnees



Information sources

Top five assessed counties reporting their main source of information originating from family/friends

Yei	69%
Panyikang	67%
Yambio	67%
Ibba	60%
Tambura	56%

Information sources

Top five assessed counties reporting directly accessing information from in-person conversations or loudspeakers

Ibba	100%
Mayom	100%
Morobo	100%
Panyijar	100%
Ayod	98%

Lack of IDPs support

Top five assessed counties reporting IDPs not receiving food, non-food-items or shelter, from the local community or an NGO

Ezo	100%
Morobo	100%
Fangak	88%
Ibba	80%
Mundri East	80%

Living conditions: IDPs

Top five assessed counties reported where most IDPs are staying in temporary shelters or in the open

Ibba	60%
Ulang	52%
Malakal	47%
Mundri West	46%
Luakpiny/Nasir	45%