## **South Sudan - Camp Coordination and Camp Management**

**South Sudan Displacement Crisis** 

February 2018

Assessment of Hard-to-Reach Areas in South Sudan

#### Overview

The continuation of conflict since December 2013 has created a complex humanitarian crisis in the country, restricting humanitarian access and hindering the flow of information required by aid partners to deliver humanitarian assistance to populations in need. To address information gaps facing the humanitarian response in South Sudan, REACH employs its Area of Knowledge (AoK) methodology to collect relevant information in hardto-reach areas to inform humanitarian planning and interventions outside formal settlement sites.

Using the AoK methodology, REACH remotely monitors needs and access to services in the Greater

Upper Nile, Greater Equatoria and Greater Bahr el Ghazal regions. AoK data is collected monthly and through multi-sector interviews with the following typology of Key Informants (KIs):

- KIs who are newly arrived internally displaced persons (IDPs) who have left a hard-to-reach settlement in the last month
- KIs who have had contact with someone living or have been in a hard-to-reach settlement in the last month (traders, migrants, family members, etc.)
- KIs who are remaining in hard-to-reach settlements, contacted through phone

Selected KIs are purposively sampled and have knowledge from within the last month about a specific settlement in South Sudan, with data collected at the settlement level. About half of settlements assessed have more than one KI reporting on the settlement. In these cases, data presented at the settlement level is the modal (most frequent) response for KIs reporting on that settlement. If there is an even number of 'yes/no' responses, data is aggregated as 'no consensus'.

All percentages presented in this factsheet, unless otherwise specified, represent the proportion of settlements assessed with that specific response.

The findings presented in this factsheet are indicative of the broad CCCM (Camp Coordination and Camp Management) trends in assessed settlements in February 2018, and are not statistically generalisable.

#### **Assessment Coverage**


1,458 Key Informants interviewed

848 Settlements assessed


45 Counties assessed

30 Counties with 4.5% or more coverage<sup>1</sup>

#### **Assessment coverage**



#### **IDP Presence**



<sup>1</sup> Data is only represented for counties in which at least 5% of settlements have been assessed. The most recent OCHA Common Operational Dataset (COD) released in February 2016 has been used as the reference for settlement names and locations.






# South Sudan - Camp Coordination and Camp Management


South Sudan Displacement Crisis

February 2018

Assessment of Hard-to-Reach Areas in South Sudan

### **Leadership Structures and IDP Representation**





#### **Leadership meetings**

Top five assessed counties reporting community leadership meetings occurring once every few months

Mundri West	50%
Mundri East	40%
Tonj East	40%
Duk	32%
Ezo	29%

### **Most recent information: IDPs**

Top five assessed counties reporting IDPs last receiving information from their pre-displacement location more than one month ago

Yei	58%
Akobo	48%
Nyirol	31%
VIvolo	29%
Mayendit	22%

#### **Information sources**

Top five assessed counties reporting IDPs accessing information from pre-displacement locations in person

Γonj East	67%
_eer	63%
Mayendit	58%
Akobo	52%
Mundri East	50%

### **Living conditions: IDPs**

Top five assessed counties reported where most IDPs are staying in temporary shelters or in the open

Yei	67%
Ulang	38%
Leer	33%
Tonj East	33%
Nyirol	31%









# South Sudan - Camp Coordination and Camp Management

South Sudan Displacement Crisis
February 2018

Assessment of Hard-to-Reach Areas in South Sudan







10%

#### **Push factors: IDPs Pull factors: IDPs Previous locations: IDPs Intentions: IDPs** Primary reported reason newly arrived IDPs left Primary reported reason newly arrived IDPs came Top five states reported by newly arrived IDPs as Amount of time that newly arrived IDPs intend to to their current location their previous location previous location stay 42% 35% 37% More than 6 months 35% Lack security Security Unity Lack food 15% 16% 21% 3-6 months 18% Health services Jonglei 14% 17% Lack health services 13% Food distribution 15% Upper Nile 1-3 months 9% 14% 12% 11% Far from family Lakes Don't know Family



Lack education



Education services

8%



2 weeks - 1 month

10%

Western Equatoria

9%