

Adamawa and Borno - Water, Sanitation and Hygiene (WASH)

Assessment of Hard-to-Reach Areas in Northeast Nigeria

February 2021

Introduction

Yobe

The continuation of conflict in Northeast Nigeria has created a complex humanitarian crisis, rendering sections of Borno and Adamawa states as hard to reach. To address information gaps facing the humanitarian response and inform humanitarian actors on the demographics of households in hard-to-reach areas of Northeast Nigeria, as well as to identify their needs, access to services and movement intentions, REACH has been conducting monthly assessments of hard-to-reach areas in Northeast Nigeria since November 2018.

Proportion of settlements assessed, February 2021

Methodology

Using the Area of Knowledge (AoK) methodology, REACH remotely monitors the situation in hard-to-reach areas through monthly multi-sector interviews in accessible Local Government Area (LGA) capitals with key informants (KIs) who are either (1) newly arrived internally displaced persons (IDPs) who have left a hard-to-reach settlement in the last month or (2) KIs who have had contact with someone living or having been in a hard-to-reach settlement in the last month (traders, migrants, family members, etc.).

If not stated otherwise, the recall period for each question is set to one month prior to the last information the KI has had from the hard-to-reach area. Selected KIs are purposively sampled and are interviewed on settlement-wide circumstances in hard-to-reach areas, rather than their individual experiences. Responses from KIs reporting on the same settlement are then aggregated to the settlement level. The most common response provided by the greatest number of KIs is reported for each settlement. When no most common response could be identified, the response is considered as 'no consensus'. While included in the calculations, the percentage of settlements for which no consensus was reached is not displayed in the results below.

Results presented in this factsheet, unless otherwise specified, represent the proportion of settlements assessed within an LGA. Findings are only reported on LGAs where at least 5% of populated settlements and at least 5 settlements in the respective LGA have been assessed. The findings presented are indicative of broader trends in assessed settlements in February 2021, and are not statistically generalisable². Due to precautions related to the COVID-19 outbreak, data was collected remotely through phone based interviews with assistance from local stakeholders. Data collection took place from February 1st to February 26th.




Cameroor


LGA boundary

5 - 10% 11 - 20 %

21 - 50% 51 - 100% water source was an improved³ water source (e.g. protected well, tapstand):



Proportion of assessed settlements where the main reported drinking Proportion of assessed settlements where the main reported drinking water source was an unimproved water source (e.g. surface water, unprotected well):



The most recent dataset on grid3.gov.ng/datasets has been used as the reference for settlement names and locations, and adjusted to account for deserted villages based on information shared by OCHA

²Due to changes in migration patterns, the specific settlements assessed within each LGA vary each month. Changes in results reported in this factsheet, compared to previous factsheets, may therefore be due to variations in the assessed settlements instead of changes over time 3 Improved water is defined as a type of water source that, by nature of its construction or through active intervention, is likely to be protected from outside contamination, in particular from contamination with fecal matter



of key informant interviews: 457 # of assessed settlements: 351 # of assessed LGAs: 21

Adamawa

of assessed LGAs with sufficient coverage1: 17





Adamawa and Borno - Water, Sanitation and Hygiene (WASH)

Assessment of Hard-to-Reach Areas in Northeast Nigeria

February 2021



Safe water access


Latrine use

WASH related health concerns

safety concerns prevented at least some of the population from least some people were using latrines: accessing the preferred water source:

Proportion of assessed settlements where it was reported that Proportion of assessed settlements where it was reported at Proportion of assessed settlements where waterborne diseases

were reported to be the main perceived health problem:



Drinking water source

Latrine access

🚴 Hand - washing practice

Most commonly reported main drinking water sources, by % of assessed settlements:

was reported (53%), the estimated proportion of that some people were not using latrines (47%), settlements, by % of assessed settlements: people in the settlement who are using latrines: the most commonly reported reasons why people

were not using latrines:

Of the assessed settlements where latrine usage Of the assessed settlements where it was reported Main hand-washing materials used in the

Unprotected well Surface water Protected well 14% Less than half Half

2%

Cultural reason Overcrowded Damaged Nature of land4 Dirty

Only water Sand with water Ash with water Soap with water

⁴ Nature of land like rocks, waterloggings preventing them from constructing latrines



Borehole

