# South Sudan - Water, Sanitation and Hygiene (WASH)

Assessment of Hard-to-Reach Areas in South Sudan

**South Sudan Displacement Crisis** 

May 2020

#### Overview

The continuation of conflict since December 2013 has created a complex humanitarian crisis in the country, restricting humanitarian access and hindering the flow of information required by aid partners to deliver humanitarian assistance to populations in need. To address information gaps faced by the humanitarian response in South Sudan, REACH employs its Area of Knowledge (AoK) methodology to collect relevant information in hard-to-reach areas to inform humanitarian planning and interventions outside formal settlement sites.

Using the AoK methodology, REACH remotely monitors needs and access to services in the

Greater Upper Nile, Greater Equatoria and Greater Bahr el Ghazal regions. AoK data is collected monthly, through multi-sector interviews with the following typology of key informants (Kls):

- Kls who are newly arrived internally displaced persons (IDPs) who have left a hard-to-reach settlement in the last month
- KIs who have been in contact with someone living in a hard-to-reach settlement, or have been visiting one in the last month (traders, migrants, family members, etc.)
- KIs who are remaining in hard-to-reach settlements, contacted through phone

Selected KIs are purposively sampled and have knowledge from within the last month about a specific settlement in South Sudan, with data collected at the settlement level. About half of settlements assessed have more than one KI reporting on the settlement. In these cases, data is aggregated at the settlement level according to a weighting mechanism, which can be found in the Terms of Reference (ToRs).

All percentages presented in this factsheet, unless otherwise specified, represent the proportion of settlements assessed with that specific response.

The findings presented in this factsheet are indicative of the broad water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH)

trends in assessed settlements in May 2020, and are not statistically generalisable.

#### **Assessment Coverage**


1,954 Key informants interviewed

1,629 Settlements assessed


72 Counties assessed

68 Counties with 5% or more coverage<sup>1</sup>

#### **Assessment coverage**



### **Improved Water Sources**



<sup>1</sup> Data is only represented for counties in which at least 5% of settlements have been assessed. The most <u>recent OCHA Common Operational Dataset (COD)</u> released in March 2019 has been used as the reference for settlement names and locations.








# South Sudan - Water, Sanitation and Hygiene (WASH)

South Sudan Displacement Crisis


May 2020

Assessment of Hard-to-Reach Areas in South Sudan

#### **Access to water**



#### Safe water access and sexual and gender based violence (SGBV)



### **Drinking water source**

Top five counties where the highest proportion of settlements reported that the main source of drinking water is an unprotected water source (e.g. river, swamp, unprotected well)

Nagero	100%
Manyo	100%
Panyikang	95%
Ezo	92%
Canal/Pigi	92%

#### Water access time

Top five counties where the highest proportion of assessed settlements reported that most people need more than 30 minutes to access and return from their preferred drinking water

Yei	100%
Kapoeta North	74%
Raja	69%
Rumbek Centre	67%
Magwi	56%

## Handwashing

Top five counties where the highest proportion of assessed settlements reported most people do not wash their hand with soap

Magwi	100%
Gogrial East	93%
Yei	89%
Rumbek Centre	88%
Kajo-keji	88%

#### **Protection and water access**

Top five counties where the highest proportion of assessed settlements reported that safety concerns prevent people from accessing their preferred water source

Rumbek North	64%
Tonj East	62%
Twic	60%
Ulang	57%
Duk	50%








# South Sudan - Water, Sanitation and Hygiene (WASH)

Assessment of Hard-to-Reach Areas in South Sudan


**South Sudan Displacement Crisis** 

May 2020

#### Latrine use



#### Access and distance to water source



### **Overcrowded latrines**

Top five counties where the highest proportion of assessed settlements reported that overcrowding prevents people from using latrines

Nagero	67%
Luakpiny/Nasir	64%
Uror	58%
Ulang	50%
Nyirol	45%

## **Latrine availability**

Top five counties where the highest proportion of assessed settlements reported that latrines are unavailable

Pariang	100%
Kapoeta North	100%
Malakal	100%
Canal/Pigi	100%
Abiemnhom "Other counties where 100% of assessere unavailable include: Lafon and Wulu	

## **WASH** based health concerns

Top five counties where the highest proportion of assessed settlements reported diarrheal diseases is the main health problem

Nagero	33%
Ezo	9%
Tambura	8%
Panyijiar	8%
Leer	6%

Top five counties where the highest proportion of assessed settlements reported malaria is the main health problem

Melut	100%
Renk	100%
Malakal	100%
Canal/Pigi	100%
Luakpiny/Nasir "Other counties where 100% of assess	

main health problem include: Akobo, Gogrial West, Lafon, Maban, Manyo, and Wulu.





