CCCM CLUSTER
SUPPORTING DISPLACED COMMUNITIES

REACH
Informing more effective humanitarian action

IDP Hosting Sites in Ta'iz

May 2022

Context & Methodology

As part of their regular programming, the CCCM Cluster and partners, with the support of REACH, are implementing the Site Report to build a profile of IDP hosting sites in Yemen. This activity is carried out to inform a more targeted, evidence-based humanitarian response. The findings presented here provide an overview of basic information on population demographics, site conditions, service access, site threats and community needs. A total of **56 IDP hosting sites out of 198 IDP hosting sites in** Ta'iz governorate were surveyed, with a total population of 32,232 individuals out 78,009 individuals. Data was received between January 2022 - May 2022 through key informant interviews with community representatives in each site. The findings presented should be generally read as the proportion of assessed sites as reported by key informants. Findings should be considered as both indicative and incomplete. All information is for humanitarian use only.

IDP Site Number Trends



Source: CCCM IDP Hosting Site Master List (January 2021-May 2022)

Site Population Trends



Source: CCCM IDP Hosting Site Master List (January 2021-May 2022)

Ta'iz assessment coverage: Districts assessed through the Site Report



Site overview

Land ownership	Proportion of sites	Proportion of individuals
Private	71%	83%
Public	27%	16%
Owner not known	2%	1%

Type of site	Proportion of sites	Proportion of individuals
Spontaneous settlement	77%	93%
Collective Centre	20%	4%
Location	0%	0%
Urban displaced IDP location	0%	0%
Camp	4%	3%

CCCM CLUSTER
SUPPORTING DISPLACED COMMUNITIES

REACH
Informing more effective humanitarian action

Tenancy agreement

Proportion of assessed sites with a tenancy agreement



Proportion of assessed sites with a tenancy agreement reportedly facing eviction threat



Displacement

Most common governorates of origin of displaced households, by proportion of assessed sites

Ta'iz	86%
Al Hodeidah	11%
Lahj	2%
Ad Dali'	2%

Most common districts of origin of displaced households, by proportion of assessed sites

Maqbanah	22%
Salah	16%
Al Mudhaffar	15%
Mawza	11%
Al Qahirah	9%
Al Makha	4%

* Additionally, Ad Durayhimi, Al Jarrahi districts were also reported as most common districts of origin in 4% of assessed sites.

Most common reason for displaced households to leave their place of origin, by proportion of assessed sites*

Security concerns / War	93%
Evicted from Property	2%
House/livelihood assets destroyed/occupied	2%
Lack of basic services	0%
Evacuated for protection	0%
Lack of commodities	0%
Lack of employment	0%
Natural disaster	0%

*Respondents could select multiple options for these questions, and therefore overall figures may not add up to 100%.

Most common movement intention of displaced households for the coming three months, by proportion of assessed sites



CCCM CLUSTER
SUPPORTING DISPLACED COMMUNITIES

REACH
Informing more effective humanitarian action

Demographics

Proportion of assessed sites with presence of High-Risk Groups*

Child-headed households	30%
Older persons	66%
Female-headed households	82%
Marginalized people / Minorities	20%
Persons with chronic diseases	64%
Persons with disabilities	70%
Pregnant and lactating women	77%
Unaccompanied / separated children	13%

Proportion of assessed sites with population groups other than IDPs*

Host community	52%
Migrants	0%
Refugees	2%
None - only IDPs present	46%
Not known	0%

*Respondents could select multiple options for these questions, and therefore overall figures may not add up to 100%.

Infrastructure/Resources

Proportion of assessed sites with cooking fuel in site / close proximity



Proportion of assessed sites with electricity / solar power



Proportion assessed of sites with markets in site / close proximity



Access to Services

Proportion of assessed sites by adequacy of services, per service type

	Adequate	Inadequate	Non-existent
RRM distributions	34%	18%	48%
Shelter / maintenance services	4%	18%	79%
NFI distributions	9%	32%	59%
Food distributions	2%	54%	45%
Cash distributions (multi-purpose)	0%	21%	79%
WASH services	9%	34%	57%
Healthcare services	2%	41%	57%
Education services	9%	11%	80%
Livelihood services	0%	7%	93%
Protection services	5%	55%	39%
Nutrition services	4%	39%	57%
Waste disposal services	14%	4%	82%

Priority Needs

Proportion of assessed sites per priority needs

	First	Second	Third
Cash assistance	4%	20%	41%
Education	0%	0%	5%
Food	46%	21%	5%
Water	16%	11%	4%
Legal services	0%	0%	0%
Livelihood assistance	0%	2%	4%
Medical assistance	2%	5%	9%
Non-food items	11%	30%	9%
Protection services	0%	0%	2%
Sanitation services	0%	4%	16%
Shelter / maintenance	21%	7%	5%
Nutrition services	0%	0%	0%

CCCM CLUSTER
SUPPORTING DISPLACED COMMUNITIES

REACH
Informing more effective humanitarian action

Primary Shelter Type

Proportion of sites per primary shelter type

Own house / apartment	0%
Makeshift shelter	23%
Host family house / apartment	0%
Emergency shelter	55%
Rented house / apartment	2%
Transitional shelter	4%
Public building	16%
Open air (no shelter)	0%

Primary Latrine Type

Proportion of sites per primary latrine type

Flush latrine to tank / sewage system pit	11%
Flush latrine to the open	0%
Pit latrine - covered	71%
Pit latrine - open	0%
Open defecation	18%

Primary Water Source

Proportion of sites per primary water source

Borehole	11%
Bottled water	2%
Illegal connection to piped network	16%
Public tap	11%
Protected rainwater tank	20%
Surface water	0%
Unprotected rainwater tank	11%
Water trucking	30%

Site Threats

Most common threats to sites by proportion of assessed sites*

Conflict-related incidents / War	13%
Eviction	48%
Fire-related incidents	4%
Flooding	27%
Friction between communities	14%
Infectious diseases	2%
Water contamination	7%

Fire Safety Measures

Most common fire safety measures adopted in the sites, by proportion of assessed sites*

Fire points	45%
Fire wardens	5%
Fire breaks	4%
Escape routes	14%
None	54%

Data Collection Partners

The following **CCCM partners** supported the data collection for the CCCM Site Report in Ta'iz governorate from January 2022 - May 2022: Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC), IOM, Yemen Family Care Association (YFCA), DEEM, Nahda Makers Organization (NMO), Generations Without Qat (GWQ)

*Respondents could select multiple options for these questions, and therefore overall figures may not add up to 100%.