IDP Hosting Sites in Hajjah

Context & Methodology


As part of their regular programming, the CCCM Cluster and partners, with the support of REACH, are implementing the Site Report to build a profile of IDP hosting sites in Yemen. This activity is carried out to inform a more targeted, evidence-based humanitarian response. The findings presented here provide an overview of basic information on population demographics, site conditions, service access, site threats and community needs. A total of 195 IDP hosting sites out of 487 IDP hosting sites in Hajjah governorate were surveyed, with a total population of 196,698 individuals out 435,007 individuals. Data was received between January 2022 - May 2022 through key informant interviews with community representatives in each site. The findings presented should be generally read as the proportion of assessed sites as reported by key informants. Findings should be considered as both indicative and incomplete. All information is for humanitarian use only.

IDP Site Number Trends



Jan-21 Feb-21 Mar-21 May-21 Jul-21 Sep-21 Oct-21 Dec-21 Jan-22 Feb-22 Mar-22 Apr-22 May-22 Source: CCCM IDP Hosting Site Master List (January 2021-May 2022)

Site Population Trends



Jan-21 Feb-21 Mar-21 May-21 Jul-21 Sep-21 Oct-21 Dec-21 Jan-22 Feb-22 Mar-22 Apr-22 May-22


- Total IDP Population in Sites
- Number of IDP Households in Sites

Source: CCCM IDP Hosting Site Master List (January 2021-May 2022)




Hajjah assessment coverage: Districts assessed through the Site Report

May 2022




Site overview

Land ownership	Proportion of sites	Proportion of individuals
Private	92%	94%
Public	6% 《	6% ≪
Owner not known	2% (1% <



Tenancy agreement

Proportion of assessed sites with a tenancy agreement



?→ Displacement

Most common governorates of origin of displaced households, by proportion of assessed sites

Hajjah	93%	
Sa'dah	7%	

Most common districts of origin of displaced households, by proportion of assessed sites

Harad	80%	
Hayran	6%	
Adh Dhahir	6%	•
Abs	4%	(
Mustaba	2%	(
Shadaa	1%	(

^{*} Additionally, Bakil Al Mir district was also reported as most common district of origin in 1% of assessed sites.

Most common reason for displaced households to leave their place of origin, by proportion of assessed sites*

Security concerns / War	100%	•
Evicted from Property	0%	
House/livelihood assets destroyed/occupied	1%	
Lack of basic services	2%	(
Evacuated for protection	0%	
Lack of commodities	0%	
Lack of employment	0%	
Natural disaster	0%	

^{*}Respondents could select multiple options for these questions, and therefore overall figures may not add up to 100%.

Most common movement intention of displaced households for the coming three months, by proportion of assessed sites





Demographics

Proportion of assessed sites with presence of High-Risk Groups*

Child-headed households	65%	
Older persons	87%	
Female-headed households	82%	
Marginalized people / Minorities	12%	
Persons with chronic diseases	88%	
Persons with disabilities	92%	
Pregnant and lactating women	92%	
Unaccompanied / separated children	20%	


Proportion of assessed sites with population groups other than IDPs*

Host community	95%	
Migrants	0%	
Refugees	1%	
None - only IDPs present	4%	
Not known	0%	


^{*}Respondents could select multiple options for these questions, and therefore overall figures may not add up to 100%.

Infrastructure/Resources


Proportion of assessed sites with cooking fuel in site / close proximity



Proportion of assessed sites with electricity / solar power



Proportion assessed of sites with markets in site / close proximity





Access to Services

Proportion of assessed sites by adequacy of services, per service type

May 2022

	Adequate	Inadequate	Non-existent
RRM distributions	9%	22%	69%
Shelter / maintenance services	0%	20%	81%
NFI distributions	0%	21%	79%
Food distributions	0%	98%	2%
Cash distributions (multi-purpose)	0%	60%	41%
WASH services	1%	34%	65%
Healthcare services	3%	26%	71%
Education services	9%	70%	21%
Livelihood services	2%	1%	98%
Protection services	1%	40%	60%
Nutrition services	15%	60%	25%
Waste disposal services	1%	3%	96%



Priority Needs

Proportion of assessed sites per priority needs

	First	Second	Third
Cash assistance	11%	27%	17%
Education	1%	2%	4%
Food	41%	9%	13%
Water	6%	16%	7%
Legal services	0%	0%	0%
Livelihood assistance	8%	8%	24%
Medical assistance	1%	4%	8%
Non-food items	3%	12%	12%
Protection services	2%	6%	4%
Sanitation services	2%	4%	4%
Shelter / maintenance	26%	12%	7%
Nutrition services	0%	0%	1%



Primary Shelter Type

Proportion of sites per primary shelter type

Own house / apartment	1%	〈
Makeshift shelter	63%	
Host family house / apartment	14%	
Emergency shelter	9%	
Rented house / apartment	2%	(
Transitional shelter	9%	
Public building	1%	
Open air (no shelter)	1%	⟨



Primary Latrine Type

Proportion of sites per primary latrine type

Flush latrine to tank / sewage system pit	10%
Flush latrine to the open	24%
Pit latrine - covered	25%
Pit latrine - open	15%
Open defecation	27%



Primary Water Source

Proportion of sites per primary water source

Borehole	15%	
Bottled water	0%	
Illegal connection to piped network	2% ()-
Public tap	3% (
Protected rainwater tank	14%	
Surface water	2%	
Unprotected rainwater tank	42%	ŀ
Water trucking	23%	



Site Threats

Most common threats to sites by proportion of assessed sites*

May 2022

Conflict-related incidents / War	20%
Eviction	15%
Fire-related incidents	10%
Flooding	20%
Friction between communities	10%
Infectious diseases	30%
Water contamination	22%



Fire Safety Measures

Most common fire safety measures adopted in the sites, by proportion of assessed sites*

Fire points	0%
Fire wardens	0%
Fire breaks	0%
Escape routes	0%
None	100%



Data Collection Partners

The following CCCM partner supported the data collection for the CCCM Site Report in Hajjah governorate from January 2022 - May 2022: Rawabi Al-Nahda Development Foundation (RADF), Danish Refugee Council (DRC), Yemen General Union of Sociologists, Social Workers, and Psychologists (YGUSSWP)

^{*}Respondents could select multiple options for these questions, and therefore overall figures may not add up to 100%